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Abstract Members of the TMEM16 family have recently been described as Ca2+-activated Cl− channels. They have been implicated in cancer and appear to be associated with poor patient prognosis. Here, we investigate the role of TMEM16 channels in cell migration, which is largely unknown. We focused on TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels that have the highest expression of TMEM16 channels in Ehrlich Lettre ascites (ELA) cells. Due to the lack of specific pharmacological modulators, we employed a miRNA approach and stably knocked down the expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels, respectively. Migration analysis shows that TMEM16A KD clones are affected in their directional migration, whereas TMEM16F KD clones show a 40 % reduced rate of cell migration. Moreover, TMEM16A KD clones have a smaller projected cell area, and they are rounder than TMEM16F KD clones. The morphological changes are linearly correlated with the directionality of cells. TMEM16A and TMEM16F, thus, have an important function in cell migration—TMEM16A in directional migration, TMEM16F in determination of the speed of migration. We conclude that TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels have a distinct impact on the steering and motor mechanisms of migrating ELA cells.
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Introduction

Cell migration is involved in important physiological and pathophysiological processes ranging from embryogenesis to tumor metastases. On a cellular level, it is a process that involves the precise temporal and spatial integration of many components of the cellular migration machinery. One of these components, whose crucial role was appreciated only in recent years, is comprised of ion transport proteins. Numerous ion channels and transporters have been shown to be required for efficient migration of many different cell types (see [31, 32, 36] for review). Several general mechanisms have been identified, by which ion transport proteins impact on cell migration. They include but are not limited to the regulation of the cell volume and of the intracellular Ca2+ concentration ([Ca2+]i).

Many of the ion transport proteins that are part of the cellular migration machinery are involved in the regulation of the cell volume [15]. Based on this observation, we proposed a model that comprises local swelling at the cell front during the protrusion of the lamellipodium and local cell shrinkage at the rear part of the cell during its retraction [30]. By and large, this model was confirmed experimentally by showing (local) volume changes of migrating cells of up to ~30 % [12, 27, 38] and by revealing the functional expression of the respective transport proteins such as KCa3.1 channels, NHE1, NKCC1, or AQP1 either at the cell front or at the rear part of migrating cells [11, 18, 25, 33]. In order to induce local shrinkage, KCa3.1 channels need to cooperate with anion channels. In glioblastoma cells, CIC3 channels were proposed to constitute the major route for the required anion efflux [5, 21]. Since CIC3 indirectly acquires Ca2+ sensitivity via its interaction with Ca2+/calmodulin-
dependent protein kinase II, its activity can be coordinated with that of $K_{Ca}$ channels and other Ca$^{2+}$-dependent cytoskeletal mechanisms of cell migration. Alternatively, volume-regulated anion channels were proposed to cooperate with $K_{Ca}$3.1 channels [26]. Cell migration is a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent process, and loading cells with the Ca$^{2+}$-chelator BAPTA inhibits migration [7]. Thus, it is also likely that Ca$^{2+}$-activated Cl$^{-}$ channels could be involved.

Recently, TMEM16A and B also called anoctamin 1 and 2 (or ANO 1 and 2) were identified as molecular correlates of Ca$^{2+}$ sensitive Cl$^{-}$ channels [4, 6, 29, 40]. TMEM16F or ANO6 was also recently shown to be a Ca$^{2+}$-activated Cl$^{-}$ channel with delayed Ca$^{2+}$ activation [10, 35]. To date, ten members of the TMEM16 gene family have been identified in mammals (TMEM16A-K (I is omitted) or ANO1–10). They are conserved throughout the eukaryotic kingdom and contain eight-pass transmembrane segments with the N and C termini located in the cytosol and a reentrant loop situated between TM5 and TM6 possibly comprising the pore domain [9, 13, 14]. Each member is predicted to have multiple isoforms being simultaneously expressed in a given cell [6]. Nonetheless, the TMEM16 family shows tissue-specific expression. mRNA abundance of all members has been assayed in murine tissue, showing that TMEM16A, F, G, H, J, and K (ANO1, -6, -7, -8, -9, and -10) are expressed in various epithelial tissues, while TMEM16B, C, D, and E (ANO2, -3, -4, and -5) are primarily expressed in neuronal and musculoskeletal tissues [28]. One study has shown that members of the TMEM16 family (especially TMEM16A, F, and K) often are expressed in the same tissue [19]. This observation could indicate that the family members work in concert compensating for each other upon disarrangements.

In the context of the present paper, it is noteworthy that TMEM16/ANO proteins have been known for some time to compensate each other upon disarrangements. The two ssDNAs were annealed generating a dsDNA, which were then ligated into pcDNA³™6.2-GW/EmGFP-miR generating miR-16A-KD, miR-16 F-KD, and miR-16 K-KD. Plasmids were transformed into OmniMax T1 Escherichia coli (Invitrogen, Taastrup, Denmark) and select-ed using spectinomycin as antibiotic (50 μg/ml). Plasmid inserts were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Isolation of RNA, cDNA, and qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from ELA cells using NucleoSpin RNA II columns according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was prepared in a total volume of 20 μl by hybridization of 500 ng oligo dT primers to 2 μg RNA at 65 °C for 5 min followed by extension at 42 °C for 5 min in the presence of 200 U Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Brondby, Denmark), 500 μM dNTP, 10 μM DTT, 50 mM Tris–HCl, 75 mM KCl, and 3 mM MgCl₂, pH 8.3. Finally, the reverse transcription (RT) was
inactivated at 70 °C for 15 min. Real-time qPCR was performed in duplicates using a Stratagene MX4000 real-time PCR system and SYBR Green PCR master mix (ABI) in a total volume of 20 μl containing 1 μl of the RT reaction, 200 nM of primers, and 10 μl 2× MasterMix. Primers used for qPCR were: TMEM16A 16A_for; 5’-gaccttggaagttca-3’, 16A_rev; 5’-ggctgtagctttgggata-3’; TMEM16F 16F_for; 5’-gacgcctgctttgggata-3’, 16F_rev; 5’-tgctgtagctacctggaga-3’, 16K_for; 5’-tcgtgagcagccctcct-3’, 16K_rev; agaaagttggagggaaac-3’, mouse β2 microtubulin mB2m_for; 5’-atctgcatctgcttg-3’, ARP-rev; 5’-atctgcatctgcttg-3’. TMEM16 expression levels were normalized to the reference gene levels (mB2m and ARP), and the relative expression ratios were calculated using the equation:

\[
E_{\text{ratio}} = \frac{E_{\text{target}} \Delta C(t)_{\text{target}} \text{(control}\times\text{treated)}}{E_{\text{ref}} \Delta C(t)_{\text{ref}} \text{(control}\times\text{treated)}}
\]

Where \(E_{\text{target}}\) and \(E_{\text{ref}}\) are the amplification efficiencies for the target gene and the average of the two reference genes ARP and mB2m, respectively. \(\Delta C(t)_{\text{target}}\) and \(\Delta C(t)_{\text{ref}}\) are the change in \(C(t)\) values for target and reference genes.

Gel electrophoresis and Western blotting

Cells were lysed in 95 °C lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM EDTA, 10 % Glycerol, 0.5 % Triton X-100, and 0.5 % SDS) with protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science) and phosphatase inhibitors added. The lysates were subsequently homogenized, and the supernatant was collected by centrifugation. Protein concentrations were determined using Bio-Rad DC protein assay, and identical amounts of protein was added to each lane. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed using a 10 % gel (Invitrogen), and size-fractionated proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were stained with Ponceau Red (Sigma) as a second control of identical protein loading in each lane and washed in TBST (10 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 120 mM NaCl, and 0.1 % Tween 20) before being blocked in a 5 % nonfat dry milk and TBST solution. Membranes were incubated with primary antibody at following concentrations: TMEM16A; ab53212 (abcam) 1:50, TMEM16A; Ano1 AB (DOG1AB) 1:500; TMEM16F; sc-136932 contingent (Santa Cruz) 1:250, β-actin; A1978 (Sigma) 1:1,000. Membranes were washed in TBST before incubation with the secondary antibody (Sigma) 1:5,000. The protein bands were visualized using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate-nitro blue tetrazolium (Kirkegaard and Perry Lab) and scanned.

Electrophysiology

Cells were grown on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed using the Axopatch 200B amplifier interfaced to a Digidata 1440A controlled by pClamp10 software (Molecular Devices). Analog signals were acquired at 2.5 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz. Patch electrodes were pulled from borosilicate glass and had an input resistance of 2.5–6 MΩ. All recordings were performed at room temperature (20 °C). The standard bath solution contained (in millimolar): 150 NaCl, 1.5 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 Glucose, 10 HEPES, pH 7.4, and osmolality 315 mOsm. The 1 μM free Ca2+ pipet solution contained (in millimolar): 100 CsAsp, 40 CsCl, 4.34 CaCl2, 4 Na2ATP, 1 MgCl2, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, pH 7.2, and osmolality 295 mOsm.

Cell migration

Migration of ELA wild-type (wt) and ELA KD cells was assessed with a wound-healing assay. When the cell monolayers were confluent, a scratch was made with a fine pipette (width ~260 μm). Then, the culture medium was replaced with serum-free medium, and the cells were allowed to recover in the incubator for 1 h. The tissue culture flasks were thereafter sealed and placed in a heating chamber (37 °C) on the stage of an inverted microscope (Axiovert 25 or Axiovert 40C; ×10 or ×20; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Migration was monitored with a video camera for 4 h (Hamamatsu, Herrsching, Germany) and controlled by HiPic software (Hamamatsu). Images were analyzed in 15-min intervals by marking the outlines of individual cells semiautomatically at each time step with Amira software (TGS Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). These segmentation data were used for further processing. Migration was quantified as the movement of the cell center (geometric mean of the pixel positions within the cell outlines) and the rate determined as the displacement of the cell center with time (micrometer per minute). Translocation (micrometer) is the distance between the position of cells at the beginning and at the end of the experiment. The trajectories of individual cells were normalized to a common starting point (t = 0 h). The cell area (square micrometers) is the appropriately scaled sum of all pixels inside the cell contours in two dimensions. The structural index (SI = 4πA/p2) reflects the “roundness” of a cell with A representing the cell area and p the cell perimeter. It is one for a circle and approaches zero for a very elongated cell. The directionality of cell migration during wound closure was assessed by calculating the velocity of migration perpendicular to the wound (micrometer per minute). The directionality index is the ratio of translocation and total path length. We analyzed at least n = 30 cells from ≥N = 3 experiments for each condition.
To investigate the role of the chloride channels TMEM16A and 16 F in cell migration, we generated stable TMEM16A and TMEM16F miRNA knockdown ELA cell lines. The KD cell lines were selected for constitutive expression of miRNA hairpins targeting mouse TMEM16A and TMEM16F. Twenty KD clones of each were screened for reduced TMEM expression and three of each (TMEM16A-5, -7, and -9 and TMEM16F-10, -15, and -16) were selected for further verification using Western blotting, qPCR, and whole cell patch clamp. Figure 1a shows the efficiency of TMEM16A and TMEM16F knockdown at the protein level. Because of poor antibodies against both TMEM16A and TMEM16F, we were not able to quantify the bands. In all gels, however, miTMEM16A-5 and miTMEM16A-7 show a clear knockdown of TMEM16A, and in miTMEM16A-9, there is a tendency towards downregulation, too. In Fig 1c an extra blot showing the knockdown of TMEM16 A5 has been added, this time, including β-actin as a loading control. For TMEM16F, all three clones seem to be downregulated.

Using qPCR, we analyzed the mRNA expression levels of TMEM16A, 16 F, and 16 K in all cell lines (Fig. 1b). All miTMEM16A clones had a reduced TMEM16A mRNA expression level ranging between 50 (clone 5) and 80 % (clone 9) of the control level. However, the TMEM16F mRNA level was elevated to 180 % of the control level in miTMEM16A-5 clone. Similarly, there was a trend towards elevated TMEM16F expression in miTMEM16A-7 and -9 clones. TMEM16K expression level was not affected by the knockdown of TMEM16A (Fig. 1b). All selected miTMEM16F clones showed a reduced TMEM16F mRNA level. Notably, two of the three clones (miTMEM16F-10 and -16) also had reduced TMEM16A mRNA levels, ranging between 50 and 80 % of the respective control values. Clone miTMEM16F-10, furthermore, showed a reduced TMEM16K level as well (Fig. 1b). Whole cell patch clamp recordings of TMEM16A-5 showed a significant knockdown in current further supporting a successful knockdown of TMEM16A (Fig. 1c). This was not possible to do for TMEM16F, as the TMEM16A current was too dominating in ELA cells.

The role of TMEM16A and TMEM16F in cell migration

To investigate the role of TMEM16A and 16 F in cell migration, a scratch assay was used. The results of these experiments are depicted in Fig. 2. Figure 2a-c shows the trajectories of individual cells from the different clones normalized to common starting points. The statistical evaluation is presented in Fig. 2d. wt ELA cells migrated at a speed of 0.48±0.03 μm/min (Table 1) and covered 83.0±5.8 μm during the course of experiment (Fig. 2a and d). The transfection process itself does not alter the migratory behavior, since speed and translocation of mock-transfected cells are indistinguishable of those from wt cells (0.53±0.03 μm/min and 88.9±4.5 μm, respectively; Table 1, Fig. 2a and d). The migrational speed of the two miTMEM16A clones with higher KD efficiency (clones 5 and 7) was elevated by ∼20 %, while that of clone 9, whose knockdown was less pronounced, was reduced by 17 % (Table 1). Nonetheless, the translocation was not affected in the two former miTMEM16A clones, while it was reduced in the latter one.
by ~25% (Fig. 2b and d, Table 1). The behavior of the miTMEM16F clones was more homogeneous. Migration speed and translocation of all miTMEM16F KD clones were reduced on average by ~40% (Fig. 2c and d, Table 1).

We next analyzed whether expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels affect the directionality of ELA cell migration. In Fig. 3d and e, we plotted the movement of the cells into the wound as a function of time (movement into the “y-direction” as depicted in Fig. 3a). Interestingly, the faster miTMEM16A clones 5 and 7 did not advance further into the wound than wt and mock-transfected cells. Similarly, the delay of cells from the miTMEM16A-9 clone is larger than the reduction of the speed. Both observations point towards a defect in the directionality of migration of miTMEM16A cells. To confirm this idea, we calculated a directionality index, which represents the ratio of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt</th>
<th>Mock</th>
<th>miTMEM16A</th>
<th>miTMEM16F</th>
<th>miTMEM16K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Translocation (µm)</td>
<td>83.0±5.8</td>
<td>88.9±4.5</td>
<td>89.7±6.9</td>
<td>81.2±5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migratory speed (µm/min)</td>
<td>0.48±0.03</td>
<td>0.61±0.03</td>
<td>0.61±0.03</td>
<td>0.44±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directional migration (µm)</td>
<td>66.7±5.2</td>
<td>72.1±4.2</td>
<td>72.1±4.2</td>
<td>54.3±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directionality index</td>
<td>0.72±0.03</td>
<td>0.69±0.02</td>
<td>0.59±0.03</td>
<td>0.53±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The asterisks denote statistically differences between mock and KD clones: *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001, respectively.
distance traveled into the wound and the total distance covered during the course of the experiment (see Fig. 3c). Calculating the directionality index reveals that the directionality of miTMEM16A cells is impaired (Fig. 3f, Table 1). It is significantly smaller in all miTMEM16A cells than in wt or mock-transfected cells. Such a defect in directionality is not present in miTMEM16F cells. In these cells, migrational speed and directional migration into the wound are reduced proportionately (Fig. 3e and f, Table 1).

In Fig. 4, we compared the migratory phenotype of our different cell clones with the respective ratios of the mRNA expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16F. Migratory speed (Fig. 4a) and directionality (Fig. 4b) are linearly correlated with the ratio of TMEM16A to TMEM16F mRNA. Thus, it seems that TMEM16A has a dampening effect on the migratory motor, while it supports directionality, and thereby persistent migration into a given direction. In contrast, TMEM16F has a positive effect on the migratory motor without affecting directionality. To lend further support to this interpretation, we also compared TMEM16A and TMEM16F mRNA levels in a miTMEM16K cell clone. We chose this cell clone, since the KD of TMEM16K was...
not very successful (−25 % on mRNA level) but, instead, it exhibited pronounced changes in TMEM16A (+80 %) and TMEM16F (+20 %) mRNA levels. Migratory behavior of miTMEM16K cells and their ratio of TMEM16A and F mRNA nicely fit to the linear correlation mentioned above. While the speed and translocation of the miTMEM16K cells are reduced, their directionality is increased (Figs. 2 and 3e, f).

TMEM16A and TMEM16F impact on cell morphology

Cl⁻ efflux in neutrophils was reported to result in cell spreading of neutrophil granulocytes [22, 23]. We therefore evaluated whether knockdown of TMEM16A or TMEM16F altered the spreading behavior of ELA cells and determined the projected cell area and the structural index. TMEM16A and TMEM16F knockdown affect the morphology of ELA cells differentially. miTMEM16A cells have a smaller projected cell area (Fig. 5a), and they are rounder (increased structural index; Fig. 5c) than miTMEM16F cells, which is consistent with a role of TMEM16A channels in cell spreading. The morphological changes are closely linked to the altered migratory behavior, since cell area and structural index are linearly correlated with the directionality of cells (Fig. 5b and d). This also applies to the miTMEM16K cell clone. Finally, we tested whether morphological changes could be accounted for by an altered adhesive behavior. Cells were allowed to adhere for 45 min before adherent cells were counted. Neither TMEM16A nor TMEM16F knockdown changed cell adhesion (data not shown).

Discussion

In the present study, we performed a detailed analysis of the contribution of different TMEM16 channel isoforms to migration of the mouse mammary ELA cell line. We focused on TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels that have the highest expression of TMEM16 channels in ELA cells. Due to the lack of specific pharmacological modulators, we employed a miRNA approach and stably knocked down the expression of TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels, respectively. The key result of our study is that TMEM16A and TMEM16F channels are both required for optimal cell migration. However, they appear to affect different components of the cellular migration machinery, so that their knockdown elicits different migratory phenotypes. TMEM16A channels are involved in the steering process, while TMEM16F channels are part of the migratory “engine”. Accordingly, knockdown of the two channels leads to impaired directionality and reduced translocation, respectively. The important question remains, by which mechanisms TMEM16A and TMEM16F elicit their differential effect on cell migration.

TMEM16A channels were shown to form Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels [4, 6, 29, 40] that can be activated by cell swelling [1]. They can thereby act in concert with Ca²⁺-sensitive K⁺ channels (e.g., KCa3.1) in mediating localized cell shrinkage at the rear part of migrating cells [19, 32]. Since KCa3.1 channels were shown to be involved in directional migration [34], it is conceivable that TMEM16A knockdown impairs directionality too. In addition, TMEM16A channels could also modify the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration by regulating the cell membrane potential. When TMEM16A channels are activated by a rise of the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration, the resulting Cl⁻ efflux will attenuate the hyperpolarization induced by the parallel activation of KCa3.1 channels. This would dampen Ca²⁺ influx by reducing the electrochemical driving force for Ca²⁺ ions. Along these lines, TMEM16A channels would affect the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration in a similar way as TRPM4 channels [20]. This implies that the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration of miTMEM16A cells is higher than that of wt or mock-transfected cells. The small and roundish morphology of miTMEM16A cells could then at least in part be due to increased myosin contraction [3]. In addition, the round morphology of miTMEM16A cells can also be explained on
the basis of reduced Cl\(^-\) efflux that is required for cell spreading [22].

TMEM16f channels are functionally quite distinct from TMEM16A channels. There is controversy about their biophysical properties. They are reported to constitute either a Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated anion channel [19, 35] or a Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated cation channel [39], and recently, Grubb et al. reported that P\(_{Na}\)=0.334(±0.028) P\(_{Cl}\) in TMEM16F overexpressing HEK cells [10]. However, they also have nonconductive properties and mediate Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent phospholipid scrambling [37, 39] and thereby regulate the phospholipid distribution in the plasma membrane. Normally, phosphatidylethanolamine or phosphatidylserine are predominantly found in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. The asymmetric phospholipid distribution can be abrogated by the activity of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent phospholipid scramblases [8, 37] or flippases [16]. In the context of the present study, it is important to note that migration involves the translocation of phosphatidylethanolamine within the plasma membrane, since inhibition of the scramblases or flippases impairs migration [8, 16]. Along these lines, the inhibition of migration of miTMEM16F cells could also be a consequence of impaired phospholipid translocation.
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