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\abstract

\context Water is present during all stages of star formation: as ice in the cold outer parts of protostellar envelopes and dense inner regions of circumstellar disks, and as gas in the envelopes close to the protostars, in the upper layers of circumstellar disks and in regions of powerful outflows and shocks. Because of its key importance in the understanding of its origin in our own solar system, following the evolution of water all the way to the planet-forming disk is a fundamental task in research in star formation and astrochemistry.

\aims In this paper we probe the mechanism regulating the warm gas-phase water abundance in the innermost hundred AU of deeply embedded (Class 0) low-mass protostars, and investigate its chemical relationship to other molecular species during these stages.

\methods Millimeter wavelength thermal emission from the para-H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}O \textsuperscript{3}1,\textsubscript{3}2\textsubscript{10} (\textit{E}_u = 203.7 K) line was imaged at high angular resolution (0\textsuperscript{′\prime}75; 190 AU) with the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer toward the deeply embedded low-mass protostars NGC 1333-IRAS2A and NGC 1333-IRAS4A.

\results Compact H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}O emission is detected toward IRAS2A and one of the components in the IRAS4A binary; in addition CH\textsubscript{3}OCH\textsubscript{3}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}CN, and SO\textsubscript{2} are detected. Extended water emission is seen toward IRAS2A, possibly associated with the outflow.

\conclusions The results complement a previous detection of the same transition toward NGC 1333-IRAS4B. The detections in all systems suggests that the presence of water on \textless 100 AU scales is a common phenomenon in embedded protostars and that the non-detections of hot water with \textit{Spitzer} toward the two systems studied in this paper are likely due to geometry and high extinction at mid-infrared wavelengths. We present a scenario in which the origin of the emission from warm water is in a flattened disk-like structure dominated by inward motions rather than rotation. The gas-phase water abundance varies between the sources, but is generally much lower than a canonical abundance of 10\textsuperscript{−5}, suggesting that most water (>96%) is frozen out on dust grains at these scales. The derived abundances of CH\textsubscript{3}OCH\textsubscript{3} and SO\textsubscript{2} relative to H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}O are comparable for all sources pointing toward similar chemical processes at work. In contrast, the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}CN abundance relative to H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}O is significantly lower in IRAS2A, which could be due to different chemistry in the sources.

\keywords astrochemistry – stars: formation – protoplanetary disks – ISM: abundances – ISM: general

1. Introduction

Water is a key molecule in regions of star formation. In cold outer regions of protostellar envelopes and dense mid-planes of circumstellar disks, it is the most abundant molecule in the ices on dust grains (e.g. Gibb et al. 2004; Boogert et al. 2008). In regions of increased temperatures (e.g., due to protostellar heating or active shocks) it desorbs and becomes an important coolant for gas (Ceccarelli et al. 1996; Neufeld & Kaufman 1993). As one of the major oxygen reservoirs it also influences the oxygen based chemistry (e.g. van Dishoeck & Blake 1998). This paper presents high-angular resolution observations (0\textsuperscript{′\prime}75) at 203.4 GHz of the H\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{18}O isotopologue toward two deeply embedded (Class 0) low-mass protostars using the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI), one of the few water lines that can be routinely observed from Earth. The aim of the observations is to determine the origin of water emission lines in the inner 100 AU of these protostars.

The young, dust- and gas-enshrouded protostars provide an important laboratory for studies of the star formation process. On the one hand, they provide the link between the prestellar cores and the young stellar objects (YSOs) and on the other hand it is likely that during these stages the initial conditions for the later astrochemical evolution of the protostellar envelopes and disks are established (e.g., Visser et al. 2009). In the earliest stages most of the gas and dust is present on large scales in the protostellar envelopes where the temperatures are low (10–20 K) and most molecules, including water, are locked up as ice on grains. Nevertheless, space-based observations from ISO, SWAS, \textit{Odin}, \textit{Spitzer} and \textit{Herschel} show that significant amounts of gas-phase water are present toward even deeply embedded protostars, pointing to significant heating and processing.
of water-ice covered grains (Nisini et al. 1999; Ceccarelli et al. 1999; Maret et al. 2002; Bergin et al. 2003; Franklin et al. 2008; Watson et al. 2007; van Dishoeck et al. 2011; Kristensen et al. 2010).

Exactly which mechanism regulates where and how the heating and evaporation of the water ice takes place is still debated. Proposed locations and mechanisms include the innermost envelope, heated to temperatures larger than 100 K by the radiation from the central protostar on scales ≤100 AU (Ceccarelli et al. 1998; Maret et al. 2002), in shocks of the protostellar outflow (Nisini et al. 1999), or in the disk – heated either by shocks related to ongoing accretion onto the circumstellar disks or in the surface layers heated by the protostar (Watson et al. 2007; Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a).

Recently, Watson et al. (2007) observed 30 Class 0 protostars, including the sources in this paper, with the infrared spectrograph (IRS) on board Spitzer. The protostar NGC 1333-IRAS4B showed a wealth of highly excited H$_2$O lines, and was the only source in the sample in which the water lines were detected. By modeling the spectra they concluded that because of the high inferred density and temperature of the water, it originates in the vicinity of the protostar, likely accretion shocks in the circumstellar disk. However, the Spitzer data, and similar observations from space, lack the spatial and spectral resolution to unambiguously associate the emission with the components in the protostellar systems – and can for the shorter wavelengths also be hampered by extinction by the dust in the protostellar envelopes and disks. Herczeg et al. (2012) analyzed the emission from warm water lines in maps toward IRAS4B obtained with the PACS instrument on the Herschel Space Observatory. The maps show that the bulk of the warm water emission has its origin in a outflow-driven shock offset by about 5″ (1200 AU) from the central protostar. An excitation analysis of the emission furthermore shows that the temperature in the shocked region is about 1500 K, which can simultaneously explain both the far-IR (Herschel) and mid-IR (Spitzer) water lines.

Higher angular and spectral resolution is possible through interferometric observations of H$_2$O which has a few transitions that can be imaged from the ground (e.g. Jacq et al. 1988; Gensheimer et al. 1996; van der Tak et al. 2006). Jørgensen & van Dishoeck (2010a) report observations of IRAS4B with the PdBI. They detect the H$_2$O 3$_{1,2}$ transition at 203.4 GHz, and also resolve a tentative velocity gradient in the very narrow line (~2 km s$^{-1}$ width at zero intensity), indicating an origin of the H$_2$O emission in a rotational structure. The H$_2$O column density is around 25 times higher than previously estimated from mid-IR observations by Watson et al. (2007), on similar size scales (inner 25 AU of the protostar).

This study presents similar high angular resolution H$_2$O millimeter wavelength observations for the sources NGC 1333-IRAS2A (IRAS2A) and NGC 1333-IRAS4A (IRAS4A), which are compared to IRAS4B. The three sources are all deeply embedded Class 0 protostars in the nearby NGC 1333 region of the Perseus molecular cloud identified through early infrared and (sub)millimeter wavelength observations (e.g., Jennings et al. 1987; Sandell et al. 1991). All sources were mapped by Spitzer (Jørgensen et al. 2006; Gutermuth et al. 2008), and were part of the high resolution (~1″) PROSAC survey of Class 0/I sources using the Submillimeter Array (SMA; Jørgensen et al. 2007, 2009). Their similar luminosities

1 In this paper we adopt a distance of 250 pc to the Perseus molecular cloud (Enoch et al. 2006, and references therein).

2 The luminosity and mass estimates for IRAS4A refer to the binary system.

2. Observations

Three low-mass protostars, IRAS2A, IRAS4A-SE and -NW in the embedded cluster NGC 1333 in the Perseus molecular cloud were observed using the PdBI. IRAS2A and IRAS4A were observed in two configurations each: the B configuration on 6, 15 and 17 March 2010 and the C configuration on 9 December 2009. The sources were observed in track-sharing mode for a total of 12 h in B configuration and about 8 h in C configuration. Combining the observations in the different configurations, the data cover baselines from 15.4 to 452 m (10.5 to 307 kλ). The receivers were tuned to the para-H$_2$O 3$_{1,2}$ transition at 203.40752 GHz (1.47 mm) and the correlators were set up with one unit with a bandwidth of 40 MHz (53 km s$^{-1}$) centered on this frequency providing a spectral resolution on 460 channels of 0.087 MHz (0.115 km s$^{-1}$) width.

The data were calibrated and imaged using the CLIC and MAPPING packages, which are parts of the IRAM GILDA software. The general calibration procedure was followed: regular observations of the nearby, strong quasar 0333+3221 were used to calibrate the complex gains and bandpass, while MWC349, 0333+3221 and 3C84 were observed to calibrate the absolute flux scale. Integrations with significantly deviating amplitudes/phases were flagged and the continuum was subtracted before Fourier transformation of the data. The resulting beam...
sizes using natural weighting, along with other data, are given in Table 1. The field of view is 25″ (HPBW) at 1.45 mm and the continuum sensitivity is limited by the dynamical range of the interferometer while the line data RMS is given in Table 1. The uncertainty in fluxes is dominated by the flux calibration error, typically 20%.

3. Results

Continuum emission from the targeted sources (IRAS2A and the IRAS4A-NW and IRAS4A-SE binary) was detected at levels expected from previous high resolution millimeter wavelength continuum observations; parameters from elliptical Gaussian fits to the continuum emission for the sources are given in Table 2. The continuum emission toward the sources is resolved and the fluxes concur with the results of Jørgensen et al. (2007), assuming a power-law spectrum ($F_ν ∝ ν^α$) with $α$ ~ 2.3–2.7, expected for thermal dust continuum emission.

Figure 1 shows the spectrum toward the continuum peak of these sources, as well as IRAS4B (Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a). A number of lines are detected toward IRAS2A and one component in the IRAS4A protobinary system, IRAS4A-NW. IRAS4A-SE in contrast does not show any emission lines in the high-resolution spectra, and it is therefore only listed in the table showing the characteristics of the continuum emission.

The Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL, Pickett et al. 1998) and the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS, Müller et al. 2001) databases were queried through the Splatalogue interface to identify the lines. The line widths and strengths vary between the sources and because of the broader line widths some blending between the different CH$_3$OCH$_3$ lines occurs in both IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW. As seen in Fig. 1, several lines are detected: the target water line, SO$_2$ and several lines of CH$_3$OCH$_3$. C$_2$H$_5$CN is seen in IRAS 4A-NW and IRAS4B, but not in IRAS2A.

Particular interesting for this study is the assignment of the H$_2$^{18}O 3_{1,3}–2_{2,0} transition ($E_u = 203.7$ K). No other lines fall within ±1 km s$^{-1}$ of the H$_2$^{18}O 3_{1,3}–2_{2,0} line listed in the spectral line catalogs. The lines that fall close (±1–2 km s$^{-1}$) are CH$_3$CHCN, CH$_3$CH$_2$CN and (CH$_3$)$_2$CO. Both the CH$_3$CHCN and CH$_3$CH$_2$CN isotopologues have other transitions which would have been detected, whereas a possible transition of (CH$_3$)$_2$CO has a too low intrinsic line strength to be plausible.

In Fig. 2 the integrated intensity (zeroth order moment) and velocity (first order moment) maps for the H$_2$^{18}O transition are shown for the observed sources. For IRAS2A the calculations were made over the velocity interval from 4.4 to 11.4 km s$^{-1}$ and for IRAS4A-NW from 4.7 to 9.6 km s$^{-1}$. The integrated intensity maps for IRAS2A show some extended emission, whereas for IRAS4A-NW the emission is compact around the continuum peak with a slight elongation in the north-south direction. The velocity maps for both IRAS2A and IRAS4A (right hand panels in Fig. 2) show no obvious spatial gradients in velocity, neither on source nor along the outflow in IRAS2A.

For estimates of the physical conditions in the gas, circular Gaussian profiles were fitted to the emission of each line in the $(u,v)$-plane. The fits were performed to the line intensities typically integrated over the line widths ($σ_{lsr}$ ± FWHM) and are given in Table 3. Table A.1 lists the molecular parameters adopted in this paper of the target line H$_2$^{18}O 3_{1,3}–2_{2,0}, and other detected lines, while Table A.2 lists the position, full width at half maximum (FWHM) and integration interval for the different lines.

4. Analysis and discussion

The detected water lines provide important constraints on the physical and chemical structure of the deeply embedded low-mass protostars, which we discuss in this section. The first part of the discussion (Sects. 4.1–4.3) focuses on the detection of warm water on scales comparable to the protostellar disk and the extended emission found in the outflow toward IRAS2A. The implications for the geometry and dynamics are also discussed. The second part (Sects. 4.4–4.6) discusses excitation conditions in the water emitting gas and the column densities and relative abundances of water and other species detected on small scales.

4.1. Water on small scales

The key result from the present observations is the detection of compact H$_2$^{18}O in IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW, adding to the discovery toward IRAS4B (Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a).
Table 2. Parameters for the sources from elliptical Gaussian fits to their continuum emission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IRAS2A</th>
<th>IRAS4A-NW</th>
<th>IRAS4A-SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flux (±σ)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.002) Jy</td>
<td>0.88 (0.025) Jy</td>
<td>1.46 (0.021) Jy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA (±σ)</td>
<td>03:28:55.57 (0.004)</td>
<td>03:29:10.44 (0.02)</td>
<td>03:29:10.53 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec (±σ)</td>
<td>31:14:37.03 (0.004)</td>
<td>31:13:32.16 (0.02)</td>
<td>31:13:30.92 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>1' × 1' (23&quot;)</td>
<td>2' x 1'2 (53&quot;)</td>
<td>1'4 x 1'0 (50&quot;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Errors given are the statistical errors from the fitting routine. (a) Statistical error from fit, the calibration dominates the uncertainty in the flux determination. (b) J2000 epoch. Statistical error from fit. (c) Major × Minor axis and position angle for elliptical Gaussian profile in (u, v) plane. The statistical error of the extent is typically 0.5–3%.

Table 3. Parameters for the lines detected in the sources from circular Gaussian fits to the integrated line maps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Fluxa (Jy km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Offsetb (&quot;)</th>
<th>Sizec (&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CH₂OCH₃</td>
<td>3₁,₂ - 2₁, EE</td>
<td>0.47d</td>
<td>-0.02; -0.002</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH₂OCH₃</td>
<td>3₁,₂ - 2₁, AA</td>
<td>-e</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH₂OCH₃</td>
<td>3₁,₀ - 2₁, AE</td>
<td>0.81f</td>
<td>-0.16; -0.32</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CH₂OCH₃</td>
<td>3₁,₁ - 2₁, EE</td>
<td>-e</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H²¹⁰O</td>
<td>3₁,₁ - 2₁,0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.07; -0.12</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CH₂OCH₃</td>
<td>3₁,₁ - 2₁, EA</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.35; -0.52</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C₃H₃CN</td>
<td>23₁,₁₂₂ - 2₂₂,₂₁</td>
<td>&lt;0.04f</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>12₁,₁₁₂ - 1₁₁₁</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>-0.07; +0.003</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Molecule specific data are tabulated in Table A.1 and the integration interval (in km s⁻¹) in Table A.2 in the appendix. (a) Flux from fitting circular Gaussian to integrated line emission in (u, v) plane. The typical statistical error is 0.01 Jy km s⁻¹, but the calibration uncertainty is roughly 20%. (b) Offset from position of continuum fitted elliptical Gaussian. Used in Fig. 3 to plot the positions of the lines. (c) FWHM from Gaussian fit in the (u, v) plane. (d,e) Blended pair, values given for the combined integrated emission. (f) Upper limit estimate.

Fig. 2. Integrated intensity (left) and velocity (right) maps for the H²¹⁰O 3₁,₁ - 2₁,0 line in IRAS2A (from 4.4 to 11.4 km s⁻¹) and IRAS4A (from 4.7 to 9.6 km s⁻¹). Contours show integrated intensity and are in steps of 4σ for the intensity map, 8σ for the velocity map, and start at 3σ. The position of the continuum emission is marked by a red plus sign, an outflow by the solid line and maser emission by the dashed line. Positions where spectra have been extracted in IRAS2A along the outflow are marked with letters a and b and crosses with magenta and green colors in the integrated intensity map.

Together, the observations demonstrate the presence of water emission on 100 AU scales – much smaller than probed by the recent Herschel (Kristensen et al. 2010) and ISO (Nisini et al. 1999) observations.

It is particularly noteworthy that the water emission is present in all these systems – and the integrated emission (spatially and spectrally) is in fact stronger in both IRAS4A and IRAS2A than in IRAS4B. This is in contrast to the space observations where the Herschel-HIFI data show equally strong or stronger emission lines in IRAS4B than the other sources (Kristensen et al. 2010) and the Spitzer data only show detections in IRAS4B (Watson et al. 2007).
Fig. 3. Positions of the lines from circular Gaussian fits in the $(u, v)$-plane to the integrated line emission. The positions are plotted relative to the continuum peak position (cross) and the error in position for the continuum peak. When fitting the weak line of CH$_3$OCH$_3$ (line 6) in IRAS4A-NW (0$''$70 and 1$''$.45; 87–181 AU radii) than in IRAS4A-NW (0$''$.37 and 0$''$.88; 46–110 AU radii). Still, for both sources (as well as IRAS4B), these results show that the bulk of the emission is truly coming from the inner 50–100 AU of the protostellar systems.

The positions of the emission differ slightly: Fig. 3 shows the peak positions of Gaussian fits in the $(u, v)$-plane to the integrated intensity of the detected lines relative to the continuum position for the two sources, IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW, from this paper as well as IRAS4B. The size of the ellipses shows the statistical uncertainty in the Gaussian fits, and the numbers correspond to those in Fig. 1, Tables 3, A.1 and A.2. Many of the detected lines are systematically offset from the continuum peak.

The detection of extended outflow H$_2$O and SO$_2$ emission is further strengthened when looking at spectra at different positions in the outflow. Spectra extracted at two positions (averaged over 1$''$$\times$1$''$ regions) from the low surface brightness material in the outflow are shown in Fig. 5. In Fig. 2 the positions are marked with “a” (magenta cross) and “b” (green cross). Outflow position “a” shows one SO$_2$ component with a weak blue tail and a broad H$_2$O component that is blueshifted 4–6 km s$^{-1}$ from the rest velocity. This blueshift coincides with the velocity of the CH$_3$OCH$_3$ lines 3 and 4, but the absence of the CH$_3$OH lines 1 and 2, which are detected in the on-source spectra, shows that it is indeed H$_2$O. At position “b” only SO$_2$ with a broad blueshifted component and a narrow component is visible.

Thus the extended outflow emission seen toward IRAS2A in Fig. 2 is at least partly blueshifted H$_2$O in the inner part, close to the source and SO$_2$ in the outermost part of the outflow.
Continuum subtracted channel map between 2 and 33 km s$^{-1}$ for IRAS2A, velocity in km s$^{-1}$ given in each frame, channel width is 2.1 km s$^{-1}$. Contours in steps of 3σ (24 mJy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$) from a 3σ level. The beam size is given in the lower left corner of the first frame. Gray and red broad lines trace the different outflow components of SO$_2$ and H$_{18}$O, respectively.

of three targeted sources (Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a, and this paper) therefore argue against the first of these suggestions. The detected velocity gradient in IRAS4B – which is absent in the other sources (Fig. 2) – may be a geometrical effect. A simple solution could be that the sources without a velocity gradient are observed close to face-on. This would require that IRAS4B with its detected velocity gradient is observed edge-on, however. This is not supported by observations of the outflows (Jørgensen et al. 2007): all three sources show well-separated red and blue outflow cones suggesting at least some inclination of the outflows relative to the line-of-sight. Also, of the three sources, IRAS4B in fact shows the most compact outflow: if one assumes similar extents of the outflows this would suggest that IRAS4B rather than the other sources are seen more close to face-on.

Another way to deduce the inclination of the outflow with respect to the plane of the sky is through observations of maser spots in the outflows of the sources, although they do not seem to agree with observations of the larger scale outflow in many cases. Marvel et al. (2008) conclude that in IRAS4A-NW, the maser emission cannot be directly associated with the large scale outflow. For IRAS4B the masers have been associated with the outflow (Marvel et al. 2008; Desmurs et al. 2009), but the position angle of the “maser outflow” still differs from that of the CO outflow by some 20–30°.

We propose that the compact H$_{18}$O emission has its origin in a flattened disk-like structure where inward motions is still dominating the dynamics, and where the viewing angle defines the observational characteristics (Fig. 6 for a schematic drawing). In fact, Brinch et al. (2009) suggest, based on modeling of submillimeter observations, that IRAS2A indeed has such a structure on a few 100 AU scales. Observing a source with this structure at different viewing angles would potentially produce the observed differences.

In the proposed solution, IRAS4B is viewed at an angle, closer to face-on, causing the mid-IR emission observed by Spitzer to escape from the envelope through the cavities produced by the outflow, where the optical depth along the line of sight is lower in agreement with the suggestion by Watson et al. (2007) (case A in Fig. 6). In IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW, the viewing angle is closer to edge-on, causing mid-IR emission to be blocked by the optically thick envelope (case B in Fig. 6). This solution could also explain the velocity gradient observed toward IRAS4B and the broader line widths toward IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW. In disks dominated by inward motions rather than rotation, the systems viewed at an angle exhibit narrow lines and a velocity gradient (case A in Fig. 6), while systems viewed edge on show broader lines and no velocity gradient (case B in Fig. 6). The dynamical structure of the disk would move toward a rotationally supported disk on a local dynamical time-scale, which is a few $\times 10^3$ yr at a radius of 110 AU (Brinch et al. 2009).

4.4. Non-masing origin of H$_{18}$O emission

We now turn the attention to chemical implications of the presented data: the subsequent analysis of the data focuses on the abundance and excitation of water and other species detected in the spectra.

The primary concern about the H$_{18}$O analysis in this context is whether the H$_{18}$O line could be masing. Many lines of water observable from the ground are seen to be masing, as is the
case for the same transition studied here \((3_{1,3} - 2_{0,0})\) but in the main isotopologue, H\(^{16}\)O (Cernicharo et al. 1994). Several observables argue against that the H\(^{18}\)O \((3_{1,3} - 2_{0,0})\) line is masing in the sources investigated here.

First, the line widths observed in the central beam toward the continuum peak are comparable for all the detected species in each of the sources, as was also seen in IRAS4B (Jørgensen & van Dishoeck 2010a,b). Although the mean line width is somewhat broader in IRAS2A and IRAS4A-NW compared to IRAS4B they are still significantly more narrow toward the central source \(<5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) than in the broad outflow components seen in the Herschel data \(>5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) toward these sources (Kristensen et al. 2010). For IRAS2A, the mean FWHM and standard deviation of the fitted lines is \(2.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for IRAS4A-NW \(2.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and for IRAS4B \(1.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). In addition to this, the variations in intensities between the different lines in each source are smaller than the variations in line intensity between the sources.

In IRAS2A, Furuya et al. (2003) report that maser emission at 22 GHz is highly variable and has a velocity of \(7.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), i.e. marginally red-shifted. For IRAS4A, Furuya et al. (2003) and Marvel et al. (2008) show that the strongest maser component lies at \(10 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) where we do not detect any strong H\(^{18}\)O millimeter emission.

Taken together, these arguments suggest that the H\(^{18}\)O emission detected toward the central positions of the sources is not masing, but instead is thermal emission with the same origin as the other detected species.

### 4.5. Column densities and abundances determinations

Having established that the H\(^{18}\)O line is unlikely to be masing, the column densities for each species can be determined and compared directly. The emission from the different molecules is assumed to be optically thin and to uniformly fill the beam (valid since the deconvolved size in Table 3 is comparable to the beam size). The excitation is taken to be characterized by a single excitation temperature \(T_{\text{ex}}\), which does not have to be equal to the kinetic temperature.

#### Table 4. Derived properties of the detected species over the deconvolved sizes of the lines for all sources in the project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>IRAS2A</th>
<th>IRAS4A-NW</th>
<th>IRAS4B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{OH}}) ((a_{\text{CH}}\text{O}))</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^{17})</td>
<td>(6.8 \times 10^{16})</td>
<td>(5.6 \times 10^{16})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{H}^1\text{O}}) ((\text{H_2O}))</td>
<td>(2.9 \times 10^{16})</td>
<td>(7.9 \times 10^{15})</td>
<td>(3.9 \times 10^{15})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}) ((\text{H_2O}))</td>
<td>&lt;(1.4 \times 10^{14})</td>
<td>(1.1 \times 10^{15})</td>
<td>(2.8 \times 10^{14})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}}) ((\text{H_2CO}))</td>
<td>(1.8 \times 10^{16})</td>
<td>(8.2 \times 10^{15})</td>
<td>(1.3 \times 10^{15})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_{\text{H}<em>2\text{O}} \left(\text{M}</em>\odot\right))</td>
<td>(1.8 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>(2.7 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(1.5 \times 10^{-8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M_{\text{H}<em>2\text{O}} \left(\text{M}</em>\odot\right))</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X(=N_{\text{H}<em>2\text{O}}/N</em>{\text{H}_2}))</td>
<td>(4.2 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>(1.4 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(7.8 \times 10^{-9})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. \(^{(a)}\) In \(\text{cm}^{-2}\) assuming \(T_{\text{ex}} = 170 \text{ K}\). The typical error is a combination of the assumption of the excitation temperature and the flux calibration uncertainty (~20%). The determined column density varies with \(T_{\text{ex}}\) in the interval 100–200 K differently for each molecule, see Fig. 8 and the discussion in Sect. 4.5.3. \(^{(b)}\) Using only lines 1, 2, 3 and 4.

#### 4.5.1. Water column density and mass

Table 4 summarizes the inferred column densities of the various molecules assuming \(T_{\text{ex}} = 170 \text{ K}\). The gas-phase H\(_2\)O abundance and mass are calculated with an isotopic abundance ratio of \(^{16}\text{O}/^{18}\text{O}\) of 560 in the local interstellar medium (Wilson & Rood, 1994). The masses of gas phase H\(_2\)O in the sources are given in the bottom of Table 4, in units of Solar and Earth masses, along with the fraction of gas phase water relative to H\(_2\). As pointed out by Jørgensen & van Dishoeck (2010a), in IRAS4B, the derived column density of H\(_2\)O is almost 25 times higher than deduced by Watson et al. (2007). The deduced mass of the gas phase water in IRAS2A is roughly six times higher than in IRAS4A-NW and 90 times higher than in IRAS4B. Thus, water is present in the inner parts of all of the sources and the non-detection in IRAS4A and IRAS2A at mid-infrared wave-lengths is due to the high opacity of the envelope combined with a strong outflow contribution to H\(_2\)O lines in IRAS4B (see also Herczeg et al. 2012).

The ratio of H\(_2\)O gas phase abundance to the total H\(_2\) abundance (derived from continuum observations (Jørgensen et al. 2009)) in Fig. 7 shows that the fractional abundance of gas phase water in IRAS2A is much higher than in IRAS4A-NW and IRAS4B. Still, the gas-phase water likely accounts for only a small fraction of the total amount of water (gas+ice) on those scales. Typical H\(_2\)O to H\(_2\) abundance ratios of \(10^{-5} - 10^{-4}\) have been deduced in shocked regions close to protostars (Harwit et al. 1998; Nisini et al. 1999), and in ices in the cold outer parts of protostellar envelopes (Pontoppidan et al. 2004). In shocks, high temperature gas-phase reactions drive much of the oxygen into water (Draine et al. 1983). In more quiescent regions the ice is expected to evaporate off the grains when heated to temperatures above 100 K in close proximity to the central source (Fraser et al. 2001). The fact that our inferred abundance ratios are generally much lower than \(10^{-4}\) suggests that a large fraction of the dust mass in the inner \(100 \text{ AU}\) has a temperature below 100 K, with the fraction varying from source to source. In colder regions, most of the water gas originates from UV photodesorption of ices. Assuming a total H\(_2\)O to H\(_2\) abundance ratio of \(10^{-5}\) (gas+ice), the fraction of water in the gas phase is 4% for IRAS2A, 0.1% for IRAS4A-NW and 0.01% for IRAS4B of the total water content (gas+ice). This difference could be due, for example, to varying intensities of the UV radiation from the newly formed stars and geometry causing differences in the relative amounts of material heated above the temperature where
water ice mantles are evaporated. Towards the more evolved Class II source TW Hydra, Hogerheijde et al. (2011) deduce a gas phase water to total hydrogen abundance of \(2.4 \times 10^{-8}\) using \textit{Herschel}. This value is of the same order of magnitude as our results, and places TW Hydra just above IRAS4B in Fig. 7.

### 4.5.2. Other molecules

In the right plot of Fig. 7, the abundances of the detected molecules relative to H\(_2\)O are presented. The CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\) and SO\(_2\) content relative to H\(^{13}\)O is roughly comparable between the different sources. This suggests that for CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\), SO\(_2\) and H\(^{13}\)O (and thus H\(_2\)O) similar chemical processes are at work in the three sources. C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN is the least abundant of the molecules for all the sources. In IRAS2A the high abundance of water is contrasted by the relatively low abundance of C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN, for which only an upper limit is deduced. This relatively low abundance in IRAS2A is robust even when much lower excitation temperatures are assumed (see Sect. 4.5.3).

It is also evident from Fig. 7 and Table 4 that the CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\)/C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN column density ratio varies significantly between the sources. This ratio is \(10^{3}\) for IRAS2A, 60 for IRAS4A-NW and 200 for IRAS4B assuming \(T_{\text{ex}} = 170\) K. The differences in relative abundances of N and O-bearing complex organics have been interpreted as an indicator for different physical parameters (Rodgers & Charnley 2001) and different initial grain surface composition (Charnley et al. 1992; Bottinelli et al. 2004). Caselli et al. (1993) argue that the (dust) temperature history of the cloud, in particular whether it was cold enough to freeze out CO, is an important factor for the resulting ratio.

### 4.5.3. Effect of excitation temperature

The results presented in Sects. 4.5.1 and 4.5.2 assume an excitation temperature of 170 K for all species. In this section we demonstrate that all our conclusions are robust over a wide range of assumed temperatures. The adopted \(T_{\text{ex}} = 170\) K is the same as found from the model fit to the mid-infrared water lines observed by \textit{Spitzer} (Watson et al. 2007) and allows for easy comparison to this paper and Jørgensen & van Dishoeck (2010a).

Although the origin of the mid-infrared and millimeter lines are likely not the same, this temperature is a reasonable first guess appropriate of the conditions in the inner 150 AU of protostellar systems. From modeling of dust continuum observations, the density in the inner envelope/disk is found to be high, at least \(10^3\) cm\(^{-3}\), and the dust temperature is thought to be at least 90 K for a fraction of the material (e.g., Jørgensen et al. 2002, 2004). This is also the temperature at which water is liberated from the grains; any gas-phase water detected in the high excitation line observed here (\(E_u\)) likely originates from the warmer regions (Sect. 4.5.1).

The current observations and literature data do not provide enough information on the line emission at these scales to constrain the excitation temperature directly. For none of the detected species are there more than two previous measurements and those detections either have large uncertainties due to their weakness (Jørgensen et al. 2005) or are sensitive to larger scale emission including outflow and envelope because of the large beam (Bottinelli et al. 2004; Bottinelli et al. 2007; Wakelam et al. 2005). For the deeply embedded low-mass protostar IRAS 16293-2422, Bisschop et al. (2008) derive rotational temperatures between 200–400 K from several compact emission lines of complex molecules using SMA data. Furthermore, for the outflow toward IRAS4B Herczeg et al. (2012) deduce \(T_{\text{ex}} = 110–220\) K with recent \textit{Herschel}-PACS data.

Figure 8 explores what happens with the column densities at significantly different excitation temperatures than those assumed here. It shows two different plots for the three sources. The plots in the top row show the ratio between the column density of the various molecules and that of water, whereas the plots in the bottom row show the column densities themselves, all as functions of excitation temperature. The ratios (\(N_x/N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\)) do not change significantly between 100 and 275 K. The gray area in the lower plots shows the range of excitation temperatures for which the column density of water is the same in the three sources. Only if the excitation temperature in IRAS4A-NW and IRAS4B is lower than 50 K can all sources have comparable water column densities based on the observed intensities.

The different molecules could of course each have different excitation temperatures. Specifically, can different excitation temperatures be the cause of the large range in inferred CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\)/C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN column density ratios? Figure 9 shows this ratio and the individual column densities for excitation temperatures from 25 to 275 K for IRAS2A. The corresponding plots for the other two sources show similar trends (see also Fig. 8). The temperature does not affect the column density estimate for C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN significantly, showing that our upper limit estimate is rather robust, and that the differences in this ratio between the sources cannot be attributed to differences in the excitation of C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN alone. On the other hand, changing the excitation temperature for CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\) affects the column density and the ratio to a greater extent. This difference in behavior with \(T_{\text{ex}}\) for the two molecules is caused by the transitions' upper energy levels (\(E_u\)); 18 K for CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\) versus 122 K for C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN. For CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\), its lower value of \(E_u\) causes the column density estimate to decline more rapidly with \(T_{\text{ex}}\) than for C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN: the contribution from the exponent in the Boltzmann distribution \((\exp(-E_u/kT_{\text{ex}}})) does not compensate for the fall that the partition function (proportional to \(T_{\text{ex}}^{E_u}\), where \(b > 0\)) introduces. In the temperature range 40 to 250 K the CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\)/C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN column density ratio varies with a factor of about 30. Looking only at the CH\(_3\)OCH\(_3\)/C\(_2\)H\(_5\)CN column density ratio, the excitation temperature for IRAS2A has to be \(T_{\text{ex}} = 45\) K, and for IRAS4B \(T_{\text{ex}} = 85\) K, to match that of IRAS4A-NW.
As argued above based on the physical characteristics of the sources, values of $T_{ex}$ significantly below 100 K and large differences in $T_{ex}$ between molecules and sources are not expected on the scales probed here. Also, the agreement in widths, extent and positions between the lines argues against this. If the temperature is allowed to vary in the range 100–250 K the conclusions drawn in this paper are unchanged.

4.6. Formation of molecules in the protostellar environment

The simultaneous observations of water and the complex molecules can also be used to shed new light onto where and how the formation of various molecules takes place in the protostellar environment. The basic scenario is that many of the complex organic molecules are formed as a result of grain-surface reactions during the collapse of the protostellar envelopes as material is heated slowly (see Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009, for a review).

Garrod & Herbst (2006) use a gas-grain network of reactions to investigate the formation of certain complex organic molecules in hot cores and corinos during the warm-up and evaporative stages. The results show that the chemistry occurs both on grain surfaces and in the gas phase, with the two phases being strongly coupled with each other. Large abundances of complex organic molecules are present at the end of this warm-up stage, and show that a period of $10^4–10^5$ years is vital for the formation of complex molecules.

A key question is thus whether the material in these low-mass protostars spends enough time at moderate temperatures of 20–40 K to form complex organic molecules during the collapse of the cloud and formation of the disk. A parcel of material originating in the cold core will pass through the warm inner envelope during the collapse and be incorporated into the disk before being accreted onto the star. Depending on its precise origin, the material can follow many different routes, but exploration of a variety of collapse models shows that most parcels indeed spend the required period at moderate temperatures to form the complex organics, either in the inner envelope or in the disk (Visser et al. 2009). Some of the routes result in the material being directly exposed to UV radiation near the outflow cavity walls (Visser et al. 2011). After evaporation, gas-phase temperatures in the hot core are generally too low (i.e., $T \lesssim 230$ K, $R \gtrsim 20$ AU, Larson 1972; Jørgensen et al. 2002) for any significant gas-phase formation of water to take place. The fact that we observe narrow emission lines of complex molecules on small scales confirms that some fraction of the collapsing cloud has spent enough time under conditions that lead to the formation of complex species that will enter the disk.

5. Summary and outlook

We have presented subarcsecond resolution observations of the H$_{2}$O $3_1,3-2_2,0$ transition at 203.4 GHz toward a small selection of deeply embedded protostars in the NGC 1333 region. In addition to the targeted H$_{2}$O molecule, SO$_2$ and complex organic molecules are seen.

- Water is successfully detected toward IRAS2A and one component of the IRAS4A binary. These data add to the previous detection of the $3_{1,3}-2_{2,0}$ transition of H$_{2}$O toward IRAS4B by Jørgensen & van Dishoeck (2010a). The origin of the emission differs from that seen with both Herschel and Spitzer, where larger-scale emission (protostellar envelope, outflow shocks/cavity walls) is detected.
- The gas-phase water abundance is significantly lower than a canonical abundance of $10^{-4}$, suggesting that most water is frozen out on dust grains at these scales. The fraction of water in the gas phase is 4% for IRAS2A, 0.1% for IRAS4A-NW and 0.01% for IRAS4B of the total water content (gas+ice).
Based on the small species-to-species variations, in both line strength and line width, we argue that the $H_2^{18}$O $3_{1,3}-2_{2,0}$ emission line is not masing in these environments and on these scales.

While IRAS4B shows narrow emission lines and a tentative velocity gradient, reported by Jørgensen & van Dishoeck (2010a), the other sources show broader lines and no velocity gradients. Additionally, IRAS4B is the only source of the three detected in mid-infrared water lines by Watson et al. (2007). We propose that a disk-like structure dominated by inward motions rather than rotation with different orientations with respect to the observer could explain the observed line characteristics.

The abundances of the detected molecules differ between the sources: the abundance of C$_2$H$_5$CN with respect to water, compared to other SO$_2$ and CH$_3$OCH$_3$, is much lower in IRAS2A than the other sources. This shows that the formation mechanism for C$_2$H$_5$CN is different from the other molecules, indicating that it is not trapped in the water ice mixture or related to the sublimation of water.

The detections of $H_2^{18}$O emission from the ground show good promise for using $H_2^{18}$O as a probe of the inner regions of protostars and for studying the formation of complex organic molecules in the water-rich grain ice mantles. Higher resolution observations, using the PdBI in the most extended configuration, or with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) band 5 could be used to reveal the kinematical structure of the 10–100 AU scales and the dynamics of the forming disks. Observations of higher excited lines of $H_2^{18}$O and the complex organics in other ALMA bands (e.g., band 8) could provide a better handle on the excitation conditions of water on few hundred AU scales in the centers of protostellar envelopes. Finally, observing more protostellar sources in the $H_18$O $3_{1,3}-2_{2,0}$ transition would provide a better statistical sample for comparisons and conclusions.
Appendix A: Tables

Table A.1. Tabulated data for the different lines detected from JPL (Pickett et al. 1998) and CDMS (Müller et al. 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Rest Freq. (GHz)</th>
<th>$E_u$ (K)</th>
<th>log $A_{ij}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,0}$–2$</em>{2,1}$ EE</td>
<td>203.4203</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,0}$–2$</em>{2,1}$ AA</td>
<td>203.4187</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,0}$–2$</em>{2,1}$ AE</td>
<td>203.4114</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,1}$–2$</em>{2,1}$ EE</td>
<td>203.4101</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,3}$–2$</em>{2,0}$</td>
<td>203.4075</td>
<td>203.7</td>
<td>-5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>3$<em>{1,3}$–2$</em>{2,1}$ EA</td>
<td>203.4028</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>-4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$CN</td>
<td>2$<em>{3,2,2}$–2$</em>{2,2,1}$</td>
<td>203.3966</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>12$<em>{0,12}$–11$</em>{1,11}$</td>
<td>203.3916</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2. Position and FWHM of the detected lines from Gaussian fits to the spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Position (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Width (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interval$^a$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>IRAS2A Position (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Width (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interval$^a$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>IRAS4A-NW Position (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Width (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interval$^a$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>-11.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-14.0–7.0</td>
<td>-11.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-13.0–7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>-9.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-14.0–7.0</td>
<td>-9.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-13.0–7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>+1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-1.0–4.4</td>
<td>+1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.0–4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>+3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>+7.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+4.4–11.4</td>
<td>+6.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>+4.7–9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CH$_3$OCH$_3$</td>
<td>+15.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+12.9–17.5</td>
<td>+14.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+12.0–15.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_5$CN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+22.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>+19.0–26.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>+30.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+26.6–33.9</td>
<td>+30.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>+26.9–34.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. ($^a$) Interval over which the integrated line intensity (Table 3) is estimated.