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INTRODUCTION

Plants have evolved an effective basal defense system to detect and limit the growth of pathogens. Pathogens may be recognized by the host via the perception of conserved microbial structures termed pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). PAMPs are recognized via transmembrane pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that bind specific PAMPs and initiate intracellular immune responses (Zipfel et al., 2006). These PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) responses include the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), extracellular alkalization, and protein phosphorylation with associated gene regulation that ultimately restricts the growth of the microbial intruder (Cütermér-Ibanez and Rathjen, 2010).

Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling plays central roles in such intracellular immunity pathways. In general, MAP kinase signaling is initiated by the stimulus-triggered activation of a MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAP3K; also called MEKK). MAP3K activation, which may be directly or indirectly effected by a PRR, in turn leads to the phosphorylation and activation of downstream MAP kinase kinases (MAP2K; also called MKK or MEK). Subsequently, the MAP2K phosphorylates the downstream MAP kinase (MAPK). This impairs its flg22-regulated kinase activity and inhibits phosphorylation of MPK4. However, these three MAPK cascades are differentially regulated already at the PRR level. For example, the two receptor kinases BAK1 and BKK1 genetically regulate PAMP signaling through their interactions with cognate PRRs (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). The BAK1 mutant allele baki-5 carries a Cys408Tyr substitution adjacent to its kinase catalytic loop. This impairs its flg22-regulated kinase activity and inhibits phosphorylation of MPK4. However, the catalytic complex formed between mutant BAK1 in baki-5 and FLs2 is still able to induce phosphorylation of MPK3/MPK6 (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). Interestingly, MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation was impaired in only the double baki-5 bkk1 background and not in the individual baki-5 and bkk1 lines (Roux et al., 2011). Asai et al. (2002) developed an elegant proetoplast expression system in an attempt to identify signaling components downstream of FLs2. With this system they were able to show a complete MAPK cascade downstream of FLs2 consisting of the MAP3K MEKK1, two MAP2Ks (MKK4 and MKK5), and the MAPKs MPK3/MPK6. However, genetic evidence later showed MAPKs MPK3/MPK6 to be activated by PAMPs such as fig22 (a conserved 22 amino acid flagellin peptide) and elf18 (elongation factor-Tu peptide; Felix et al., 1999; Zipfel et al., 2006). However, these three MAPK cascades are differentially regulated already at the PRR level. For example, the two receptor kinases BAK1 and BKK1 genetically regulate PAMP signaling through their interactions with cognate PRRs (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). The BAK1 mutant allele baki-5 carries a Cys408Tyr substitution adjacent to its kinase catalytic loop. This impairs its flg22-regulated kinase activity and inhibits phosphorylation of MPK4. However, the catalytic complex formed between mutant BAK1 in baki-5 and FLs2 is still able to induce phosphorylation of MPK3/MPK6 (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). Interestingly, MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation was impaired in only the double baki-5 bkk1 background and not in the individual baki-5 and bkk1 lines (Roux et al., 2011). Asai et al. (2002) developed an elegant proetoplast expression system in an attempt to identify signaling components downstream of FLs2. With this system they were able to show a complete MAPK cascade downstream of FLs2 consisting of the MAP3K MEKK1, two MAP2Ks (MKK4 and MKK5), and the MAPKs MPK3/MPK6. However, genetic evidence later showed MAPKs MPK3/MPK6 to be activated by PAMPs such as fig22 (a conserved 22 amino acid flagellin peptide) and elf18 (elongation factor-Tu peptide; Felix et al., 1999; Zipfel et al., 2006). However, these three MAPK cascades are differentially regulated already at the PRR level. For example, the two receptor kinases BAK1 and BKK1 genetically regulate PAMP signaling through their interactions with cognate PRRs (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). The BAK1 mutant allele baki-5 carries a Cys408Tyr substitution adjacent to its kinase catalytic loop. This impairs its flg22-regulated kinase activity and inhibits phosphorylation of MPK4. However, the catalytic complex formed between mutant BAK1 in baki-5 and FLs2 is still able to induce phosphorylation of MPK3/MPK6 (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). Interestingly, MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation was impaired in only the double baki-5 bkk1 background and not in the individual baki-5 and bkk1 lines (Roux et al., 2011). Asai et al. (2002) developed an elegant proetoplast expression system in an attempt to identify signaling components downstream of FLs2. With this system they were able to show a complete MAPK cascade downstream of FLs2 consisting of the MAP3K MEKK1, two MAP2Ks (MKK4 and MKK5), and the MAPKs MPK3/MPK6. However, genetic evidence later showed
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The Arabidopsis genome encodes 60 MAP3Ks, 10 MAP2Ks, and 20 MAPKs (Schinura et al., 2002). This indicates that MAPK cascades may not simply consist of single MAP3Ks, MAP2Ks, and MAPKs connected together. Instead, it suggests that there is some level of redundancy, and that the spatial and temporal activities of different components may be strictly regulated to minimize unwanted cross-talk. The three MAPKs MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 are the most intensively studied plant MAPKs, and all three were implicated in defense signaling a decade ago (Petersen et al., 2000; Asai et al., 2002). MPK11, a close homolog to MPK4, has also recently been shown to be activated by PAMP treatment (Bethke et al., 2012).

MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 are all activated by PAMPs such as fig22 (a conserved 22 amino acid flagellin peptide) and elf18 (elongation factor-Tu peptide; Felix et al., 1999; Zipfel et al., 2006). However, these three MAPK cascades are differentially regulated already at the PRR level. For example, the two receptor kinases BAK1 and BKK1 genetically regulate PAMP signaling through their interactions with cognate PRRs (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). The BAK1 mutant allele baki-5 carries a Cys408Tyr substitution adjacent to its kinase catalytic loop. This impairs its flg22-regulated kinase activity and inhibits phosphorylation of MPK4. However, the catalytic complex formed between mutant BAK1 in baki-5 and FLs2 is still able to induce phosphorylation of MPK3/MPK6 (Ruox et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). Interestingly, MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylation was impaired in only the double baki-5 bkk1 background and not in the individual baki-5 and bkk1 lines (Roux et al., 2011). Asai et al. (2002) developed an elegant proetoplast expression system in an attempt to identify signaling components downstream of FLs2. With this system they were able to show a complete MAPK cascade downstream of FLs2 consisting of the MAP3K MEKK1, two MAP2Ks (MKK4 and MKK5), and the MAPKs MPK3/MPK6. However, genetic evidence later showed
MAPK signaling cascades are attractive targets for bacterial effectors. The *P. syringae* HopAI1 effector irreversibly inactivates MPK4 to prevent immune responses. The R protein SUMM2 may guard processes downstream of MPK4 independent from MKS1, and triggers a hypersensitive response in the event of loss or inactivation of MPK4.

PAMP perception by PRRs instigates a signaling cascade, often via co-receptors, which causes activation of MAP3K MEKK1 and two MAP2Ks MKK1 and MKK2. These phosphorylate and activate MPK4 which then phosphorylates its substrate MKS1, releasing MKS1 in complex with WRKY33. MKP2/MKP4 sequentially phosphorylate WRKY33 allowing it to promote PAD3 transcription, thus activating plant defense.

MEKK1 kinase activity was dispensable for MPK3/MPK6 activation, although mkk1 plants were impaired in MPK4 activation (Rodriguez et al., 2007). Interestingly, expressing a kinase dead version of MEKK1 in mkk1 plants completely restored the activation of MPK4 upon treatment with flg22, suggesting that MEKK1 may "simply" act as a scaffold protein (Rodriguez et al., 2007). Biochemical and genetic studies further revealed that the two MAP2Ks MKK1 and MKK2 interact with both MEKK1 and with MPK4, and that flg22-induced MPK4 activation is impaired in the double mkk1 mkk2 mutant. This indicates that MKK1 and MKK2 are partially redundant in MPK4 mediated downstream signaling (Gao et al., 2008; Qiu et al., 2008b).

MAPK4 was originally reported as a negative regulator of plant immunity because the mpk4 mutant accumulates high levels of salicylic acid, constitutively expresses pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, and has a severely dwarfed growth phenotype (Petersen et al., 2000). This phenotype is very similar to that of the mkk1 single and mkk1 mkk2 double mutants, further supporting their functional relationships (Rodriguez et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2008; Qiu et al., 2008b).

MAPK CASCADES IN EFFECTOR-TRIGGERED IMMUNITY

In addition to PTI, plants also employ resistance (R) proteins as cytoplasmic receptors to directly or indirectly recognize specific pathogenic effector proteins injected into host cells as virulence factors. Effector-triggered immunity (ETI) and PTI share a number of responses, although ETI also includes varying levels of rapid, localized cell death in what is called the hypersensitive response. R protein-dependent recognition initiates immune responses in ETI. R proteins may recognize effector proteins either directly or indirectly by monitoring changes in the effector’s host target(s). This latter case gave rise to the guard hypothesis in which R proteins guard host guardees that are manipulated by pathogen effectors (Van Der Biezen and Jones, 1998).

The genetic characterization of the MEKK1/MKK1–MKK2/MPK4 cascade as a negative regulatory pathway of defense responses was at odds with the activation of the pathway by PAMPs. Instead, it was possible that the severe phenotypes of the kinase knockout mutants were caused by activation of one or more R protein(s) guarding this kinase pathway. Indeed, in an elegant screen for suppressors of the mkk1 mkk2 double mutant, Zhang et al. (2012) identified the R protein SUMM2. The T-DNA insertion line summ2-8 completely suppressed the severe mkk1 mkk2 phenotype in respect to morphology, cell death, ROS levels and PR gene expression (Zhang et al., 2012). The analogous knockout phenotype of the upstream MAP3K mkk1 is also completely suppressed in the summ2-8 background. Interestingly, although the mpk4 mutant shares a similar phenotype with the knockouts of its upstream kinase partners, the mpk4 phenotype is not fully suppressed by the summ2-8
The importance of MAPK signaling in immunity is emphasized by studies reporting bacterial effector proteins targeting MAPK cascades for downregulation (Zhang et al., 2007a,b, 2012; Cui et al., 2010). For example, the Pseudomonas syringae effector protein HopA1 targets and irreversibly inactivates MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6, thereby suppressing immune responses which would otherwise inhibit bacterial growth (Zhang et al., 2007a, 2012). In addition, the P. syringae effector protein AvrR has been shown to interact with and induce the phosphorylation of MPK4, although it has not been shown if this phosphorylation occurs as a direct effect of AvrR action or via recognition of AvrR by the plant immune system (Cui et al., 2010).

In plants carrying functional SUMM2 alleles, immune responses are activated by bacterial effector proteins targeting the MPK4 pathway (Figure 1A). For example, inducible expression of the bacterial HopA1 effector in wild-type plants gives rise to a defense phenotype similar to that seen in mkk1, mkk2, and mpk4 mutants including elevated levels of ROS, PR gene expression, and cell death (Zhang et al., 2012). SUMM2 apparently does not interact directly with the kinase components of the MEKK1/MEKK1-MKK2/MPP4 signaling cascade, suggesting that SUMM2 most likely guards a downstream target of MPK4 activity (Zhang et al., 2012). At present, the best studied in vivo substrate of MPK4 activity is MPK4 substrate 1 (MSK1) which forms a nuclear complex with MPK4 and the WRKY33 transcription factor (Andreasson et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2008a). Phosphorylation of MSK1 follows MPK4 activation by flg22 perception and, once phosphorylated, MSK1 is released from complexes with MPK4, thereby releasing the WRKY33 transcription factor to bind to its cognate target genes (Qiu et al., 2008a). It has therefore been proposed that MPK4 and MSK1 sequester WRKY33 in the absence of pathogens, and free WRKY33 to induce resistance upon pathogen perception (Figure 1B, left).

As MSK1 is the only known direct target of MPK4, Zhang et al. (2012) tested whether MSK1 interacted with the R protein SUMM2 that seemingly guards MPK4 activity. However, no interaction between SUMM2 and MSK1 was detected. Since mkk1 mutants have a wild-type growth phenotype, and the mpk4 phenotype is strongly suppressed in the mkk2 background, SUMM2 may guard a process downstream of MPK4 that is independent of MSK1 (Petersen et al., 2010).

**WRKY TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS**

The plant-specific WRKY family is a large group of transcription factors which bind a conserved W-box sequence in the promoters of numerous genes including those encoding PR proteins. WRKY33 was found to induce the transcription of PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT 3 (PAD3) which encodes the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase 71B15 required for synthesis of the antimicrobial compound camalexin (Zhao et al., 1999; Qiu et al., 2008a; Figure 1B). The wrky33 mutant exhibits enhanced susceptibility toward necrotrophic pathogens such as Botrytis cinerea, while WRKY33 overexpression results in increased resistance due to enhanced PAD3 expression (Zhang et al., 2006).

MPK3 and MPK6 activities also induce the production of camalexin. Transient overexpression of the constitutively active, phospho-mimic mutant forms of MKK4/MKK5 (MKK4DD and MKK5DD), which are the upstream MAP2Ks of MPK3/MPK6, has been reported to induce transcription of both PAD2, which encodes γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase functioning in glutathione biosynthesis, and PAD3. Both PAD2 and PAD3 are necessary for camalexin production (Pariat et al., 2007; Ren et al., 2008). Pathogen-induced camalexin accumulation is partially comprised in mpk4 but not notably in mpk6 mutants, yet camalexin accumulation in mpk3 mpk6 double mutants is almost completely abolished (Ren et al., 2008). While this implicates MPK3/MPK6 in camalexin synthesis, caution should be applied in evaluating results obtained from the mpk3 mpk6 double mutant as it is arrested at the cotyledon stage and is unable to initiate true leaves (Wang et al., 2007).

Upstream of MPK3/MPK6 in camalexin induction, MKK4 and MKK5 are activated by the MAP3Ks MEKK1 and MPK4Kk in response to fungal pathogens (Ren et al., 2008). Yet another MAP2K, MKK9, whose upstream MAP3K(s) remains unidentified, is also involved in MPK3/MPK6 mediated signaling, as plants expressing phospho-mimic MKK9DD produce even more camalexin than plants expressing MKK4DD or MKK5DD (Xu et al., 2008).

To delineate the link between MPK3/MPK6 activation and camalexin accumulation, Mao et al. (2011) elegantly introduced the phospho-mimic mutant NAMERK2DD, an MKK4 and/or MKK5 ortholog from Nicotiana tabacum, into an array of different wrky mutants in a search for essential transcription factors involved in MPK3/MPK6 mediated camalexin induction. Interestingly, NAMERK2DD was able to induce camalexin accumulation in all tested mutant lines except wrky33. In addition, WRKY33 proved to be a substrate of MKP3/MPK6 activity, and overexpression of non-phosphorylatable forms of WRKY33 could not fully complement the inability of wrky33 mutants to express PAD3 and accumulate camalexin (Mao et al., 2011; Figure 1B, right).

WRKY33-induced PAD3 expression therefore appears to involve both MPK4- and MPK3/MPK6-mediated signaling (Andreasson et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2008a; Mao et al., 2011). Mao et al. (2011) proposed a model in which PAD3-mediated camalexin induction occurs differentially depending on the type of pathogen causing the immune response. In this model, bacterial pathogens induce an MPK4 mediated response while fungal pathogens initiate an MPK3/MPK6 mediated response. This hypothesis is based on overexpression of the constitutively active MKK4/MKK5 ortholog NAMERK2DD, rendering MPK3/MPK6 hyperactive and able to induce PAD3 expression (Mao et al., 2011). In support of this hypothesis, the mpk3 mpk6 double mutant is comprised in R. cinerea-induced PAD3 induction (Ren et al., 2008). Nonetheless, and as noted above, some care should be taken with experiments based on mpk3 mpk6 double mutants given their developmental lethality (Wang et al., 2007).

An alternative model may therefore be proposed which combines the MPK4 and MPK3/MPK6 pathways into a dual control of PAD3 regulation in response to pathogen perception (Figure 1B). In such a model, WRKY33 is sequestered in a nuclear complex...
comprising at least MPK4 and MKS1 in unchallenged plants, and is released following PAMP perception (Qiu et al., 2008a). Phosphorylation is dispensable for WRKY33 to bind its cognate W-box cis-elements, although it does promote transcriptional activation (Mao et al., 2011). This is illustrated by the fact that P4D3 expression is induced in mpk4 plants (Qiu et al., 2008a), perhaps due to the basal activity of free non-phosphorylated WRKY33 or by free WRKY33 activated by basal MPK3 and/or MPK6 activity. In this scenario, once WRKY33 is released from its nuclear complex with MPK4 and MKS1, it is phosphorylated and hence activated by MPK3/MPK6, thereby inducing camalexin levels through P4D3 expression. The elevated P4D3 expression induced from NMEKK2A hyper-activated MPK3/MPK6 (Mao et al., 2011) is not in conflict with this model, as it is likely that hyperactive MPK3/MPK6 are able to phosphorylate residual free WRKY33, thus bypassing other possible feedback mechanisms in P4D3 expression.

In this model, MPK4 and MPK3/MPK6 function together as a binary switch conferring dual level regulation. Clarification of the mode of action in which MPK4 and MPK3/MPK6 phosphorylate OXI1 occurs. This is the finding that MPK3 also shared 50% of its targets with MKS1, as the Ca2+-binding protein calmodulin (CaM) is able to bind and activate MPK8 in an Ca2+-dependent manner (Takahashi et al., 2011). CaM-mediated MPK8 activation is interesting because it bypasses the traditional, sequential activation of MAPKs and also unequivocally links MAPK activation with the ROS burst and ion flux during stress signaling. In addition, CaM also mediates MAPK downregulation. MAP kinase phosphatase 1 (MKP1), which interacts with MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 (Ulm et al., 2002), binds CaM in a Ca2+-dependent manner and stimulates MKP1 phosphatase activity (Lee et al., 2008). The associations between MKP4 and MAPK cascades have recently been reviewed elsewhere (Warzinger et al., 2011).

Much progress has been made in understanding how MAPK signaling functions in plant immunity. In Arabidopsis, 3 of the 60 identified MAP3Ks are involved in defense, namely MEKK1 (Asai et al., 2002), EDR1 (Frye et al., 2001), and MEKKα (del Pozo et al., 2004; Ren et al., 2008). In addition, at least 6 of the 10 identified MAP2Ks (MKK1, MKK2, MKK4, MKK5, MKK7, and MKK9) are involved in defense signaling (Asai et al., 2002; Dami et al., 2007; Doci et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007b; Yoo et al., 2008). This situation requires tight regulation of the spatial and temporal kinase activities in order to impose specificity upon downstream signaling. To shed light on this regulation, high-throughput methods such as those used by Popescu et al. (2009) are particularly valuable and help to outline MAPK signaling cascades. While this progress may be lauded, further work needs to focus on identifying direct, in vivo kinase substrates and their respective phosphorylation sites. This may bring us closer to bridging the apparent gap between PRRs and MAPK cascades, and to understanding how specificity is achieved among MAPK pathways both spatially and temporally.
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