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Abstract

Underwater locomotion is challenging due to the high friction and resistance imposed on a body moving through water and energy lost in the wake during undulatory propulsion. While aquatic organisms have evolved streamlined shapes to overcome such resistance, underwater locomotion has long been considered a costly exercise. Recent evidence for a range of swimming vertebrates, however, has suggested that flapping paired appendages around a rigid body may be an extremely efficient means of aquatic locomotion. Using intermittent flow-through respirometry, we found exceptional energetic performance in the Bluelined wrasse Stethojulis bandanensis, which maintains tuna-like optimum cruising speeds (up to 1 metre s⁻¹) while using 40% less energy than expected for their body size. Displaying an exceptional aerobic scope (22-fold above resting), streamlined rigid-body posture, and wing-like fins that generate lift-based thrust, S. bandanensis literally flies underwater to efficiently maintain high optimum swimming speeds. Extreme energetic performance may be key to the colonization of highly variable environments, such as the wave-swept habitats where S. bandanensis and other wing-finned species tend to occur. Challenging preconceived notions of how best to power aquatic locomotion, biomimicry of such lift-based fin movements could yield dramatic reductions in the power needed to propel underwater vehicles at high speed.
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Introduction

Underwater locomotion is challenging due to the high friction and resistance imposed on a body moving through water and energy lost in the wake during undulatory propulsion [1–7]. While aquatic organisms have evolved streamlined shapes to overcome such resistance, aquatic locomotion is still considered a costly exercise [1–7]. Indeed, in tunas and other pelagic fishes that cruise the open ocean using tail-powered swimming, we see some of the fastest measured speeds for underwater swimming, but at the cost of some of the highest known rates of aerobic energy consumption during locomotion [5,6]. However, biomechanical explorations on swimming fish, birds, mammals and reptiles have suggested that flapping paired appendages (fins or flippers) around a rigid-body could be an extremely efficient form of aquatic locomotion at high sustained speeds [7–11]. Indeed, recent research on coral reef fishes swimming in this way have shown they can maintain high swimming speeds during everyday activities [8,12,13]. Such evidence rivals the paradigm that tail-powered swimming by tuna and other pelagic fishes is the pinnacle of underwater cruising locomotion [5,6,13,14].

Reef fish predominantly swim via the labriform gait, which involves moving solely the pectoral fins for propulsion [12,13,14,15]. Interestingly, these reef fishes display a wide range of pectoral fin shapes, which has been linked to differences in how they move their fins to produce thrust. Coral reef fish with wing-like fins (high aspect-ratio, AR) produce lift-based thrust via figure-eight flapping fin movements, while sister taxa with paddle-shaped fins (low AR) tend to produce resistance-based thrust via a rowing action [7]. Performance studies have indicated such modes translate to different swimming speeds according to the mechanical efficiency and energetic cost of using each form of labriform propulsion [7,12,15,16,17], with high aspect-ratio species adopting lift-based thrust being the faster swimmers. However, there is currently no empirical comparison of the energetic performance of fishes using these two styles of fin propulsion (hereafter referred to as resistance-based and lift-based labriform swimming). Given that labriform swimming is adopted by a diversity of vertebrates spanning fish to turtles and penguins [9,10,15], the underlying efficiency of this mode could help explain the widespread adoption of this form of underwater locomotion.

Integrating new data into a meta-analysis of comparative swimming energetics in bony fishes, we aimed to test the energetic efficiency of resistance- and lift-based labriform swimming for high-speed underwater locomotion. Firstly, we used intermittent flow-through respirometry to measure aerobic energy use during prolonged swimming activity in two species of coral reef fishes from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia: Stethojulis bandanensis and Cheilinus fasciatus. Chosen for their pectoral fin morphologies (indicated by the pectoral fin AR, which is measured as length of
the leading fin edge squared, divided by the fin area taken from
digitized fin images following [7,15,16]) and similar demersal (reef-
associated) microcarnivore lifestyle, these two species span the
paddle-shaped (aspect-ratio of 0.86 in C. fasciatus
and the standard metabolic rate (SMR, grey dots),
divided by SMR. Note the different y-axis scales, and rapid MO2 drop on
trial completion (last three dots) indicating minimal excessive post-
exercise oxygen consumption (EPOC).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054033.g001

Figure 1. Rate of oxygen consumption against time in a
swimming speed trial for (A) Redbreasted wrasse Cheilinus fasciatus
(11.5 cm total body length) and (B) Bluelined wrasse Stethojulis
bandanensis (10.5 cm). Factorial aerobic scopes are provided, which is
the difference between maximum metabolic rate (MMR) during the trial
(highest black dot) and the standard metabolic rate (SMR, grey dots),

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054033.g002

Figure 2. Net cost of swimming for two species of reef fish with
alternate pectoral fin shapes, as indicated by the aspect ratio (AR),
displayed different increases in oxygen consumption with speed as
indicated by the semi-log plots for (A) Cheilinus fasciatus and (B) Stethojulis bandanensis fitted with the hydrodynamics-based power
equation (MO2 – SMR = a + b U^c) [3]. A low exponent value (c) for S.
bandanensis indicates a very high efficiency of locomotion with
increasing speed compared to other bony fishes (Table 1).

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the
protocols approved by The Australian National University Animal
Experimentation Ethics Committee (F.BTZ.03.06) for this specific
project. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering
through careful collection, handling, and swimming trials based
upon the natural rheotaxic behaviour and self-motivation of
individuals. Bluelined wrasse Stethojulis bandanensis (n = 7, mean ±
SE mass = 15.8 ± 1.1 g, total body length 10.1 ± 0.2 cm, body
depth 2.6 ± 0.01 cm, body width 1.4 ± 0.01 cm) and Redbreasted
wrasse Cheilinus fasciatus (n = 7, 34.2 ± 7.7 g, 12 ± 0.9 cm,
3.8 ± 0.03 cm, 1.6 ± 0.01 cm) were collected from the wild and
tested for their swimming performance at the Lizard Island
Research Station. Individuals were hand-collected during Sep-
tember 2008 from reefs around Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef
by divers on SCUBA (Self-Contained Underwater Breathing
Apparatus) using ultra-fine barrier nets. Transported to the Lizard
Island Research Station within 2 hours of capture, fish were
maintained in flow-through seawater aquaria at ambient temper-
atures (27–28°C) and fasted for 36 hours prior to their swimming
trial to maximize energy available for swimming and minimize
potential respirometer fouling. All individuals were swum within
3 days of capture.

Rate of oxygen consumption (MO2) by each fish was measured
with a computerized, intermittent-flow respirometry system
[18,19]. The clear Perspex respirometer consisted of an 8.3-Litre
recirculating flow tank entirely submerged within a 31-Litre
Table 1. Comparative energetic performance of bony fish swimming via pectoral (families Labridae & Scaridae) and caudal (Scombridae, Carangidae, Salmonidae) propulsion, ranked by relative efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Factorial Aerobic Scope</th>
<th>Relative Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stethojulis bandanensis</td>
<td>Bluelined wrasse</td>
<td>Labridae</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thunnus albacares [6,22]</td>
<td>Yellowfin tuna</td>
<td>Scombridae</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarus schlegeli [8]</td>
<td>Yellowband parrotfish</td>
<td>Scaridae</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachurus trachurus [23]</td>
<td>Horse mackerel</td>
<td>Carangidae</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onchorynchus nerka [6,24]</td>
<td>Sockeye salmon</td>
<td>Salmonidae</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheilinus fasciatus</td>
<td>Redbreasted wrasse</td>
<td>Labridae</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicentrarchus labrax [25]</td>
<td>European seabass</td>
<td>Moronidae</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperatures reflected wild conditions and ranged over 20–28°C for these measurements. Published data sources are indicated.

accredited seawater bath maintained at ambient temperature (27–28°C). The flow tank could be alternately sealed or flushed with water from the bath via a computer-actuated pump, which allowed high oxygen levels (>80% saturation) to be maintained in the flow tank throughout each swimming trial. The body dimensions and fin spans of all fish (mean ± SE fin span of 4.7±0.03 cm for S. bandanensis and 4.6±0.01 cm for C. fasciatus) fitted well within the dimensions of the flow tank working section (width 9 cm, depth 11 cm, length 26 cm), which was calibrated by vane-wheel flow probe (Hontzsch GmbH, Waiblingen, Germany) against the voltage output of the propeller motor controller. Honeycomb collimators and curved baffles were used to produce a smooth laminar flow in the working section of the flow tank. Fish were monitored continuously throughout each trial to ensure they swam in this calibrated flow without any use of the walls or corners. Temperature inside the flow tank was maintained at 27.7±0.1°C via a computer-actuated cooling coil housed downstream of the working section. Oxygen partial pressure (Po2) in the flow tank was measured with an opti-fibre sensor and oxygen meter (Fibox 3, Presens GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) linked to a computer to continuously record Po2 measurements in real time. The respirometer was set to periodically flush the flow tank with aerated water from the bath for 6 minutes, followed by a 2-minute closed mixing period then 7 minutes of closed respirometry when oxygen measurements were taken at a rate of 1 s⁻¹ to yield an MO2 at each set flow speed.

Each fish was measured for body depth, width, mass and total length before placement in the respirometer flow tank to calculate solid blocking effects, where they were allowed to acclimate for a minimum of 5 hours at a flow speed of 0.5 total body lengths s⁻¹ (4.7–7.9 cm s⁻¹). This initial speed provided adequate water mixing whilst allowing the fish to rest on the flow tank bottom without swimming. Oxygen measurements began immediately at the set flushing cycle described above, with these MO2 measurements at 0.5 total body lengths s⁻¹ used to calculate standard metabolic rate (SMR, MO2 at zero swim speed). Flow speed was then incrementally increased by 0.5 total body lengths s⁻¹ every 15 minutes and MO2 recorded for each set speed. Incremental speed increases were continued until the fish could no longer hold position and became impinged on the downstream grid. To ensure trials measured aerobic locomotion, at the conclusion of each trial, flow speed was reduced to 1 total body length s⁻¹ and MO2 was recorded through an additional two flushing cycles. Any post-trial repayments of excessive post-exercise oxygen consumption (EPOC, required to repay any oxygen debt incurred from anaerobic activity in a trial) were measured by comparison of post-trial MO2 against MO2 at 1 total body length s⁻¹ at the beginning of the trial. After the fish was removed, the flow tank was rescaled and oxygen consumption in the empty respirometer measured to determine background levels, which were subtracted from the MO2 values for the fish swum previously (average ± s.d. backgrounds were 116.7±80.7 mg O2 h⁻¹, n = 14).

Oxygen consumption rate (MO2) was determined from the slope of the linear regression of the Po2 decline over time for each measurement cycle, using the formula [8]: MO2 = s V repay t, where s is the slope, V repay the volume of the respirometer minus the volume of the fish (calculated from body mass), and t is the solubility of oxygen in seawater. Only measurements with a regression coefficient of determination (r²) greater than 0.94 were used in the analyses. SMR was calculated from a frequency histogram of the raw MO2 data within the acclimation period (excluding the first four values immediately after the fish was introduced), by fitting two normal curves to separate the SMR peak, when the fish was at rest, from higher MO2 observations that corresponded with spontaneous activity [20]. Swimming speeds were corrected for solid-blocking effects (minimal for both species, with all fish occupying less than 7% of the flow tank cross-sectional area) following [21] and calculated on-line in real time so that corrected swim speeds were used in the swimming trial settings. The relationship between swimming speed and net cost of swimming was then described using the hydrodynamics-based power equation [3]: Net cost of swimming (MO2 – SMR) = a + b U c, where a, b and c are constants and U is corrected swimming speed (U opt): U opt = (a + SMR)/(c–1)b ½, where Uopt is the speed that minimizes energy expenditure per unit of travel distance. Finally, the gross cost of transport (GCOT, total joules of energy used to move one Newton of body weight one metre) was calculated for each individual by first multiplying total MO2 consumption at U opt by the conversion factor 14.1 J mg⁻¹ O2 and then using the equation [3,5,6]: GCOT = MO2/SQRT (g Uopt) – g Uopt, where MO2 is the gross rate of oxygen consumption at Uopt (converted to units of J kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹), g the acceleration due to gravity (9.8 m s⁻²), and Uopt as calculated above (but converted to units of m hr⁻¹).

Comparative performance of our study species was examined in the context of a range of bony fishes using published energetic estimates, either taken directly from reported figures in each paper (which often required conversion to the same units stated above) or calculated from fitted equations. Firstly, the exponent in the hydrodynamics-based power equation was used to provide a size-independent measure locomotion efficiency (rate of increase in
energy consumption with increasing swimming speed) among a range of fishes. Relative efficiency was calculated by taking the lowest exponent value for a species (1.36 for *S. bandanensis*) and denoting this as 1.00, then dividing this by the exponent value for each other species. Secondly, $U_{opt}$ for our study species was placed within a log-log plot of $U_{opt}$ versus body mass for a range of six scombrid (tuna-like) and seventeen other non-scombrid fishes (including one coral reef fish) following [3,6] (Table S1). Finally, the relationship between gross cost of transport (GCOT, J N$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) and body mass was used to examine the overall energetic cost incurred by each species swimming at $U_{opt}$ following [3].

Results

Our study species displayed swimming speeds and energetic profiles at two opposite extremes of the possible performance spectrum for labiform locomotion. At the high extreme, *S. bandanensis* displayed a rate of oxygen consumption ranging from 251 mg O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at rest, to over 5,300 mg O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$ at their maximum swimming speed (over 1 metre s$^{-1}$, Fig. 1), which is a factorial aerobic scope 22-fold above resting. By comparison, *C. fasciatus* displayed a factorial aerobic scope of just 2.7-fold (Fig. 1). Moreover, *S. bandanensis* exhibited a very low rate of increase in aerobic metabolism with increasing swimming speed when compared to the related *C. fasciatus* (Fig. 2). As such, *S. bandanensis* displayed the most efficient swimming speed performance profile known for any bony fish swimming via either a labriform or body-caudal gait (represented by the exponent $c$ in Table 1). Indeed, when embedded within the energetic performance of other bony fishes examined to date (23 species from 11 families), *S. bandanensis* is capable of maintaining fast cruising speeds without the high energetic cost incurred by high-speed tunas and other scombrid fishes of similar body size (Table 1 and Fig. 3). When swimming at a speed that minimizes cost of transport, *S. bandanensis* can maintain 7.7 total body lengths s$^{-1}$, which is more than 70% faster than the optimum speeds displayed by other fishes of similar body size (2.0 to 4.5 body lengths s$^{-1}$, Table S1, Fig. 3A). Moreover, *S. bandanensis* can maintain such high optimum swimming speeds without an increase in the gross cost of transport beyond what is seen in a bony fish of similar body mass; tuna-like fishes swimming at similarly high cruising speeds incur up to 40% higher costs of transport than *S. bandanensis* (Fig. 3B). More generally, we see the three labriform-swimming coral reef species examined to date all display faster than expected optimum swimming speeds for their size and cost of transport (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Challenging long-held notions about the costs of underwater locomotion [1–4], we find coral reef fishes using labiform locomotion can maintain fast swimming speeds without the elevated cost of transport that has been seen in tunas and other scombrid fishes swimming at such high cruising speeds [5,6]. Notably, this performance is reflective of their daily activities in the wild, where *S. bandanensis* and other wing-finned species swim at similar or faster speeds (up to 1 metre s$^{-1}$) while they forage across the reef [12,15,16]. Understanding the traits that drive such energetic performance in these coral reef fishes can yield important insights for their response to changing environmental conditions, and the technological benefits that could be gained from biomimicry of flapping fin propulsion.

Extreme performance in *S. bandanensis* seems to arise from mechanical efficiency; the comparative performance of *S. bandanensis* and *C. fasciatus* matched predictions based on the relative thrust-producing efficiency of their different pectoral fin shapes and movements [7,9–11,13,15]. Such biomechanical and kinematic evidence indicates that *S. bandanensis* achieves high-speed efficiency by sweeping their wing-like (high AR) fins in a figure-eight pattern to produce lift-based thrust on all fin strokes. Rowing paddle-shaped pectoral fins (low AR) in the power-recovery stroke cycle means that *C. fasciatus* produces thrust only half the time [7,9].

Energetic performance by *S. bandanensis* is brought into extreme relief by comparison with a range of vertebrates spanning multiple families and forms of locomotion. For instance, *S. bandanensis* displayed the widest factorial scope of active metabolism ever seen in a bony fish (up to 22 times above resting, cf. 9 times in Yellowfin tuna [6]) [3,6,12,13,33], and is comparable to the metabolic scope of active endothermic birds and mammals (typically ranging from 8 to 36 times above resting, [34]). Akin to the high-performing hummingbirds [34], the enormous metabolic scope of this coral reef fish allows maintenance of a wide range of swimming speeds for prolonged time periods.
Furthermore, *S. bandanensis* increased their swimming speed with the lowest rate of increased energy consumption seen in a swimming fish. Ultimately, it means this species can optimally travel (i.e., incur a minimum cost of transport) at a speed four times faster than non-scombrid fishes of similar size, without incurring the increased energy use typically seen in tuna-like fishes swimming at this speed. It is interesting to note that other coral reef fishes using labriform swimming also display faster than expected optimal swimming speeds for their size, with similarly low costs of transport, suggesting this may be a generalized feature of pectoral-based propulsion. Collectively, these coral reef fishes adopt oscillatory fin strokes around a rigid-body posture, which is thought to bring hydrodynamic drag down to minimum [1,8,14].

When combined with the mechanical efficiency of using a flapping fin stroke to produce lift-based propulsion [1,7,9–11,13,15], the result is very fast sustained speeds in species with wing-like, high aspect-ratio fins. Matching flexibility with efficiency, *S. bandanensis* currently stands out as the highest performing swimmers for their size with respect to measures of scope, optimum swimming speed and energy consumption.

Extermes in energetic performance appear to be linked with ecological extremes in these coral reef fishes. For species with low speed efficiency, such as *C. fasciatus*, we tend to find these fish occupying calm water habitats sheltered from incident energy and storms. In contrast, *S. bandanensis* and other wing-finned fish species tend to be in great abundance in habitats subject to highly variable and extreme water flows generated by direct exposure to wave energy [13,15,16]. Under such hydrodynamic conditions, high efficiency across a wide range of speeds may be a physiological imperative for species to occupy these challenging, but food-rich habitats [13,15,16]. Such extremes may also place these species in good stead for future changes in the marine environment arising from climate change. Both thermal and hydrodynamic conditions appear to be increasingly intense and variable in marine habitats around the globe [35,36]. With a flexible and wide scope of aerobic metabolism, *S. bandanensis* appears to be equipped with the physiological traits needed to maintain their metabolic delivery of energy across a wide range of activities and hydrodynamic conditions. While *S. bandanensis* may be able to ride through such challenges, species such as *C. fasciatus* and other coral reef fishes of low aerobic scope [8,33,37] may require rapid adaptation in order to survive any increases in the intensity and variability of environmental conditions [33–37].

Such functional innovation in swimming prompts a rethink of the possibilities and limits to the cost of high speed performance in underwater locomotion. In fishes, we see that relative swimming performance is not necessarily related to their perceived lifestyle, with reef fishes capable of exceptional swimming performance despite their supposed sedentary, reef-associated existence [12–16]. Achieved through the use of drag-minimizing rigid-body posture, and exploiting lift-based forces from oscillating fins, these species provide some key lessons for the field of biomimetics. We are just starting to see man-made submersibles with propulsive fins reminiscent of the pectoral fins in coral reef fishes, turtles, marine birds and mammals (e.g. *Madelaine* robot turtle [9–11,30]). By incorporating a lift-based oscillatory fin movement into such technology, dramatic reductions could be achieved in the power needed to propel autonomous underwater vehicles of similar size to the fish and other aquatic animals that use this mechanism [9,11–13,30].
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