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Abstract. In this paper we prove that for any associative ring $R$, and for any left $R$-module $M$ with finite projective dimension, the Gorenstein injective dimension $\text{Gid}_R M$ equals the usual injective dimension $\text{id}_R M$. In particular, if $\text{Gid}_R R$ is finite, then also $\text{id}_R R$ is finite, and thus $R$ is Gorenstein (provided that $R$ is commutative and Noetherian).

1. Introduction

It is well known that among the commutative local Noetherian rings $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$, the Gorenstein rings are characterized by the condition $\text{id}_R R < \infty$. From the dual of [10, Proposition (2.27)] ([6, Proposition 10.2.3] is a special case) it follows that the Gorenstein injective dimension $\text{Gid}_R(-)$ is a refinement of the usual injective dimension $\text{id}_R(-)$ in the following sense:

For any $R$-module $M$ there is an inequality $\text{Gid}_R M \leq \text{id}_R M$, and if $\text{id}_R M < \infty$, then there is an equality $\text{Gid}_R M = \text{id}_R M$.

Now, since the injective dimension $\text{id}_R R$ of $R$ measures Gorensteinness, it is only natural to ask what does the Gorenstein injective dimension $\text{Gid}_R R$ of $R$ measure?

As a consequence of Theorem (2.1) below, it turns out that:

An associative ring $R$ with $\text{Gid}_R R < \infty$ also has $\text{id}_R R < \infty$ (and hence $R$ is Gorenstein, provided that $R$ is commutative and Noetherian).

This result is proved by Christensen [2, Theorem (6.3.2)] in the case where $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a commutative local Noetherian Cohen-Macaulay ring with a dualizing module. The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem (2.1), together with a series of related results. Among these results is Theorem (3.2), which has the nice, and easily stated, Corollary (3.3):

Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a commutative local Noetherian ring, and let $M$ be an $R$-module of finite depth, that is, $\text{Ext}^m_R(k, M) \neq 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (this happens for example if $M \neq 0$ is finitely generated). If either

(i) $\text{Gid}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{id}_R M < \infty$ or
(ii) $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ and $\text{Gid}_R M < \infty$,

then $R$ is Gorenstein.
This corollary is also proved by Christensen [2, Theorem (6.3.2)] in the case where \((R, \mathfrak{m}, k)\) is Cohen-Macaulay with a dualizing module. However, Theorem (3.2) itself (dealing not only with local rings) is a generalization of [8, Proposition 2.10] (in the module case) by Foxby from 1979.

We should briefly mention the history of Gorenstein injective, projective and flat modules: Gorenstein injective modules over an arbitrary associative ring, and the related Gorenstein injective dimension, was introduced and studied by Enochs and Jenda in [3]. The dual concept, Gorenstein projective modules, was already introduced by Auslander and Bridger [1] in 1969, but only for finitely generated modules over a two-sided Noetherian ring. Gorenstein flat modules were also introduced by Enochs and Jenda; please see [5].

1.1. Setup and notation. Let \(R\) be any associative ring with a nonzero multiplicative identity. All modules are—if not specified otherwise—left \(R\)-modules. If \(M\) is any \(R\)-module, we use \(\text{pd}_R M\), \(\text{fd}_R M\), and \(\text{id}_R M\) to denote the usual projective, flat, and injective dimension of \(M\), respectively. Furthermore, we write \(\text{Gpd}_R M\), \(\text{Gfd}_R M\), and \(\text{Gid}_R M\) for the Gorenstein projective, Gorenstein flat, and Gorenstein injective dimension of \(M\), respectively.

2. Rings with finite Gorenstein injective dimension

Theorem 2.1. If \(M\) is an \(R\)-module with \(\text{pd}_R M < \infty\), then \(\text{Gid}_R M = \text{id}_R M\). In particular, if \(\text{Gid}_R R < \infty\), then also \(\text{id}_R R < \infty\) (and hence \(R\) is Gorenstein, provided that \(R\) is commutative and Noetherian).

Proof. Since \(\text{Gid}_R M \leq \text{id}_R M\) always, it suffices to prove that \(\text{id}_R M \leq \text{Gid}_R M\). Naturally, we may assume that \(\text{Gid}_R M < \infty\).

First consider the case where \(M\) is Gorenstein injective, that is, \(\text{Gid}_R M = 0\). By definition, \(M\) is a kernel in a complete injective resolution. This means that there exists an exact sequence \(E = \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots\) of injective \(R\)-modules, such that \(\text{Hom}_R(I, E)\) is exact for every injective \(R\)-module \(I\), and such that \(M \cong \text{Ker}(E_1 \rightarrow E_0)\). In particular, there exists a short exact sequence \(0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\), where \(E\) is injective, and \(M'\) is Gorenstein injective. Since \(M'\) is Gorenstein injective and \(\text{pd}_R M < \infty\), it follows by [11, Lemma 1.3] that \(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, M') = 0\). Thus \(0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\) is split-exact; so \(M\) is a direct summand of the injective module \(E\). Therefore, \(M\) itself is injective.

Next consider the case where \(\text{Gid}_R M > 0\). By [10, Theorem (2.15)] there exists an exact sequence \(0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0\) where \(H\) is Gorenstein injective and \(\text{id}_R C = \text{Gid}_R M - 1\). As in the previous case, since \(H\) is Gorenstein injective, there exists a short exact sequence \(0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow I \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0\) where \(I\) is injective and \(H'\) is Gorenstein injective. Now consider the pull-back diagram with exact rows and
Since \( I \) is injective and id\( _R(R) \leq 1 \) we get id\( _RP \leq \text{Gid}_R M \) by the second row. Since \( H' \) is Gorenstein injective and pd\( _R M < \infty \), it follows (as before) by \([4, \text{Lemma 1.3}]\) that Ext\( _R^1(M, H') = 0 \). Consequently, the first column \( 0 \to H' \to P \to M \to 0 \) splits. Therefore \( P \cong M \oplus H' \), and hence id\( _R M \leq \text{id}_R P \leq \text{Gid}_R M \). □

Theorem above has, of course, a dual counterpart:

**Theorem 2.2.** If \( M \) is an \( R \)-module with id\( _R M < \infty \), then Gpd\( _R R) = \text{pd}_R M \). □

**Theorem 2.6** below is a \(^{\text{flattened}}\) version of the two previous theorems. First recall the following.

**Definition 2.3.** The left finitistic projective dimension \( \text{LeftFPD}(R) \) of \( R \) is defined as

\[
\text{LeftFPD}(R) = \sup \{ \text{pd}_R M \mid M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module with } \text{pd}_R M < \infty \}.
\]

The right finitistic projective dimension \( \text{RightFPD}(R) \) of \( R \) is defined similarly.

**Remark 2.4.** When \( R \) is commutative and Noetherian, we have that \( \text{LeftFPD}(R) \) and \( \text{RightFPD}(R) \) equals the Krull dimension of \( R \), by \([3, \text{Théorème (3.2.6) (Seconde partie)})\].

Furthermore, we will need the following result from \([10, \text{Proposition (3.11)}]\):

**Proposition 2.5.** For any (left) \( R \)-module \( M \) the inequality

\[
\text{Gid}_R \text{Hom}_Z(M, Q/Z) \leq \text{Gfd}_R M
\]

holds. If \( R \) is right coherent, then we have \( \text{Gid}_R \text{Hom}_Z(M, Q/Z) = \text{Gfd}_R M \). □

We are now ready to state:

**Theorem 2.6.** For any \( R \)-module \( M \), the following conclusions hold:

(i) Assume that \( \text{LeftFPD}(R) \) is finite. If \( \text{fd}_R M < \infty \), then \( \text{Gid}_R M = \text{id}_R M \).

(ii) Assume that \( R \) is left and right coherent with finite \( \text{RightFPD}(R) \). If \( \text{id}_R M < \infty \), then \( \text{Gfd}_R M = \text{fd}_R M \).

**Proof.** (i) If \( \text{fd}_R M < \infty \), then also \( \text{pd}_R M < \infty \), by \([11, \text{Proposition 6}]\) (since \( \text{LeftFPD}(R) < \infty \)). Hence the desired conclusion follows from Theorem (2.1) above.

(ii) Since \( R \) is left coherent, we have that \( \text{fd}_R \text{Hom}_Z(M, Q/Z) \leq \text{id}_R M < \infty \), by \([12, \text{Lemma 3.1.4}]\). By assumption, \( \text{RightFPD}(R) < \infty \), and therefore also
Theorem (2.1) gives that $\text{id}_{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{id}_{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q})$. It is well known that $\text{fd}_{R} M = \text{id}_{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q})$, and by Proposition (2.5) above, we also get $\text{Gfd} M = \text{Gid}_{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q})$, since $R$ is right coherent. The proof is done.

3. A theorem on Gorenstein rings by Foxby

We end this paper by generalizing a theorem [8, Proposition 2.10] on Gorenstein rings by Foxby from 1979. For completeness, we briefly recall:

3.1. The small support. Assume that $R$ is commutative and Noetherian. For an $R$-module $M$, an integer $n$, and a prime ideal $p$ in $R$, we write $\beta_{n}^{R}(p, M)$, respectively, $\mu_{n}^{R}(p, M)$, for the $n$th Betti number, respectively, $n$th Bass number, of $M$ at $p$.

Foxby [8, Definition p. 157] or [7, (14.8)] defines the small (or homological) support of an $R$-module $M$ to be the set

$$\text{supp}_{R} M = \{ p \in \text{Spec } R \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \beta_{n}^{R}(p, M) \neq 0 \}.$$ 

Let us mention the most basic results about the small support, all of which can be found in [8] pp. 157 – 159 and [7] Chapter 14:

(a) The small support, $\text{supp}_{R} M$, is contained in the usual (large) support, $\text{Supp}_{R} M$, and $\text{supp}_{R} M = \text{Supp}_{R} M$ if $M$ is finitely generated. Also, if $M \neq 0$, then $\text{supp}_{R} M \neq 0$.

(b) $\text{supp}_{R} M = \{ p \in \text{Spec } R \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \mu_{n}^{R}(p, M) \neq 0 \}$. 

(c) Assume that $(R, m, k)$ is local. If $M$ is an $R$-module with finite depth, that is, $\text{depth}_{R} M := \inf \{ m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mid \text{Ext}^{m}_{R}(k, M) \neq 0 \} < \infty$

(this happens for example if $M \neq 0$ is finitely generated), then $m \in \text{supp}_{R} M$, by (b) above.

Now, given these facts about the small support, and the results in the previous section, the following generalization of [8] Proposition 2.10 is immediate:

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that $R$ is commutative and Noetherian. Let $M$ be any $R$-module, and assume that any of the following four conditions is satisfied:

(i) $\text{Gpd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{id}_{R} M < \infty$,

(ii) $\text{pd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{Gid}_{R} M < \infty$,

(iii) $R$ has finite Krull dimension, and $\text{Gfd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{id}_{R} M < \infty$,

(iv) $R$ has finite Krull dimension, and $\text{fd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{Gid}_{R} M < \infty$.

Then $R_{p}$ is a Gorenstein local ring for all $p \in \text{supp}_{R} M$.

**Corollary 3.3.** Assume that $(R, m, k)$ is a commutative local Noetherian ring. If there exists an $R$-module $M$ of finite depth, that is, $\text{depth}_{R} M := \inf \{ m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mid \text{Ext}^{m}_{R}(k, M) \neq 0 \} < \infty$,

and which satisfies either

(i) $\text{Gfd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{id}_{R} M < \infty$, or

(ii) $\text{fd}_{R} M < \infty$ and $\text{Gid}_{R} M < \infty$,

then $R$ is Gorenstein.
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