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ABSTRACT

We present JWST/NIRCam observations of a strongly lensed, sub-L*, multiply imaged galaxy at z = 6.072, with magnification factors μ ≥ 20 across the galaxy. The galaxy has rich HST, MUSE, and ALMA ancillary observations across a broad wavelength range. Aiming to quantify the reliability of stellar mass estimates of high redshift galaxies, we performed a spatially resolved analysis of the physical properties at scales of ~200 pc, inferred from spectral energy distribution (SED) modelling of five JWST/NIRCam imaging bands covering 0.16 μm < λrest < 0.63 μm on a pixel-by-pixel basis. We find young stars surrounded by extended older stellar populations. By comparing Hα+[N II] and [O III]+Hβ maps inferred from the image analysis with our additional NIRSpec integral field unit (IFU) data, we find that the spatial distribution and strength of the line maps are in agreement with the IFU measurements. We explore different parametric star formation history (SFH) forms with BAGPIPES on the spatially integrated photometry, finding that a double power-law (DPL) star formation history retrieves the closest value to the spatially resolved stellar mass estimate, and other SFH forms suffer from the dominant outshining emission from the youngest stars, thus underestimating the stellar mass – up to ~0.5 dex. On the other hand, the DPL cannot match the IFU-measured emission lines. Additionally, the ionising photon production efficiency may be overestimated in a spatially integrated approach by ~0.15 dex, when compared to a spatially resolved analysis. The agreement with the IFU measurements implies that our pixel-by-pixel results derived from the broadband images are robust, and that the mass discrepancies we find with spatially integrated estimates are not just an effect of SED-fitting degeneracies or the lack of NIRCam coverage. Additionally, this agreement points towards the pixel-by-pixel approach as a way to mitigate the general degeneracy between the flux excess from emission lines and underlying continuum, especially when lacking photometric medium-band coverage and/or IFU observations. This study stresses the importance of studying galaxies as the complex systems that they are, resolving their stellar populations when possible, or using more flexible SFH parameterisations. This can aid our understanding of the early stages of galaxy evolution by addressing the challenge of inferring robust stellar masses and ionising photon production efficiencies of high redshift galaxies.
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1. Introduction

One of the most critical problems in the study of high-redshift galaxies is the challenge of inferring robust stellar masses. Recent spatially unresolved works using the first data obtained with JWST have found surprisingly large stellar masses, which may be in conflict with the early growth of structure within the Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmological model (Labbé et al. 2023; Xiao et al. 2023). Various studies have found that varying the star formation history (SFH) parameterisation, or other assumptions such as the initial mass function (IMF), can have a significant impact on the inferred physical properties, potentially solving this conflict (see e.g. Suess et al. 2022; Whitler et al. 2023; Tacchella et al. 2023; Pacifici et al. 2023; Steinhardt et al. 2023; Endsley et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2024; Woodward et al. 2023).

The superb spatial resolution and sensitivity of the Near-Infrared Camera (NIRCam; Rieke et al. 2005, 2023) on board JWST allowed us to extend spatially resolved studies to high redshifts. Previous analyses have suggested that stellar mass estimates in spatially integrated studies could be significantly underestimated, emphasising the tension with predictions from theoretical models. This has so far been addressed at lower redshifts (z < 2.5), such as in the work by Sorba & Sawicki (2018) on a statistically significant sample of ~1200 galaxies, finding that resolved stellar masses can be up to five times larger than unresolved estimates. This effect has also been recently observed at high redshifts (5 < z < 9), albeit on a limited sample of five galaxies in the SMACS0723 ERO field (Giménez-Arteaga et al. 2023).

When resolving extended galaxies and studying their stellar populations on a pixel-by-pixel basis, one can partially disentangle the problem of outshining (Sawicki & Yee 1998; Papovich et al. 2001; Shapley et al. 2001; Trager et al. 2008; Graves & Faber 2010; Maraston et al. 2010; Pforr et al. 2013; Sorba & Sawicki 2015), where young stellar populations (<10 Myr) completely dominate the integrated light, hiding underlying older stellar populations (>100 Myr), thus leading to an underestimation of the total mass of the stellar population. This is particularly a problem when the coverage is limited to the UV–optical range (e.g. Paulino-Afonso et al. 2022), with redder wavelengths mitigating this effect (e.g. Zibetti et al. 2009; Bisigello et al. 2019). Outshining has also been studied in simulations (see e.g. Narayanan et al. 2024). Given the frequent degeneracy encountered between age and dust obscuration, similar stellar mass biases can be found due to dust reddening variations within a source (e.g. Smail et al. 2023).

However, using only photometric observations is not enough to unequivocally determine the “true” mass of a galaxy accurately, or the many other challenges within stellar population
synthesis (SPS) modelling (see e.g. Conroy et al. 2009, 2010; Pforr et al. 2012; Conroy 2013; Maraston et al. 2013, for discussions on the multiple assumptions, degeneracies, and difficulties within SPS modelling). One of the advantages of JWST is that we can also obtain spatially resolved spectra of galaxies at \( z > 6 \) with the NIRSpec integral field unit (IFU, Böker et al. 2022), providing spatial maps of emission lines and overall spectral properties (e.g. Wylezalek et al. 2022; Rigby et al. 2023; Maiolino et al. 2024). For our purposes, the IFU spectra can provide a crucial check on spatially resolved characteristics derived from modelling the images alone.

In this paper, we present the NIRCam observations of a strongly magnified galaxy at \( z = 6.072 \) (Fujimoto et al. 2021a; Laporte et al. 2021), observed also with NIRSpec IFU. The synergy of both instruments allows us to perform a spatially resolved analysis of the physical properties, as well as studying the effect on the stellar mass estimates. With the IFU data, we can disentangle the excess in the reddest photometric bands, which can be caused either by very strong emission lines from H II regions associated with young, recently formed stars, or by continuum emission by older stellar populations (see e.g. Labbé et al. 2013; Stark et al. 2013; Smit et al. 2014, 2016; Hashimoto et al. 2018; Clarke et al. 2021; Strait et al. 2021; Marsan et al. 2022).

This paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the JWST NIRCam observations. Section 3 describes the methodology employed for the pixel-by-pixel spectral energy distribution (SED) modelling. In Sect. 4 we compare and discuss the results inferred from the images to truth provided by the IFU line maps. Finally, we summarise our work and present the conclusions in Sect. 5. Throughout this work, we assume a simplified \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \).

### 2. Data

In this work, we study a galaxy at \( z = 6.072 \) behind the massive \( z = 0.43 \) galaxy cluster RXCJ0600–2007, included in the Reionization Lensing Cluster Survey (RELCICS; Coe et al. 2019). We refer the reader to Fujimoto et al. (2021a) for more information on the previous multi-wavelength observations for this target, including the rest-frame UV HST images from RELICS and far-infrared emission line and continuum measurements from the ALMA Lensing Cluster Survey (ALCS; PI: K. Kohno, Program ID: 2018.1.00035.L). In this work, we present and analyse JWST/NIRCam photometric observations targeting this galaxy (GO-1567, PI: S. Fujimoto) obtained in January 2023, centred at (RA, Dec) = (06:00:05.663, −20:08:20.86). The exposure time varies between bands, with 2491 s for \( F356W \) and \( F444W \), 1890 s for \( F115W \) and \( F277W \), and a deep integration of 4982 s in \( F150W \). The photometric data has been reduced with the grizl1 software pipeline (Brammer 2019; Brammer & Matharu 2021; Brammer et al. 2022), following the procedures outlined by Valentino et al. (2023) and the DAWN JWST Archive (DJA)\(^1\). Further description of the NIRCam, NIRSpec IFU data and more recent ALMA observations will be provided by Fujimoto et al. (in prep.) and Valentino et al. (2024).

We correct the reduced mosaics for Milky Way extinction assuming the curve by Fitzpatrick & Massa (2007) and \( E(B-V) = 0.0436 \) (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). We then PSF-match all images to the \( F444W \) band using model PSFs computed with the \texttt{WebbPSF} software (Perrin et al. 2012, 2014) and a convolution kernel to match each of the PSFs to that of \( F444W \) PSF with the pypher software (Boucaud et al. 2016). Finally, we resize the PSF-matched images to a common pixel scale of 40 mas pix\(^{-1} \), which corresponds to a physical scale of \( \sim 0.2 \text{ kpc pix}^{-1} \) at \( z = 6.072 \).

The strongly magnified galaxy (\( \mu \sim 20–160 \)) has five multiple images (see Fujimoto et al. 2021a, and in prep.). In this work, we focus on the image RXCJ0600-z6-3 (\( z = 6.3 \) in the nomenclature from Fujimoto et al. 2021a), located at (RA, Dec) = (06:00:09.55, −20:08:11.26). This image is resolved in all bands and does not show evidence of strong shears and differential magnification across the face of the source, with a magnification of \( \mu = 29^{+2}_{-1} \). In contrast, the strongly lensed arc \( z \leq 6/2 \) is crossing the critical curve, resulting in significant variation in the very high magnification regime (\( \mu \gtrsim 100 \)) across the arc. This requires a careful magnification correction to achieve the comparison between the spatially resolved and integrated estimates. The results obtained from the arc will be presented in Fujimoto et al. (in prep.) with the updated lens model. Moreover, RXCJ0600-z6-3 traces the entire galaxy, whereas the lensing of \( z \leq 6/2 \) amplifies the emission from a peripheral region of the galaxy (Fujimoto et al. 2021a). Finally, for the images \( z > 6/5 \) there is no IFU coverage. Figure 1 shows the NIRCam observations on RXCJ0600-z6-3, for the five available broad bands \( F115W \), \( F150W \), \( F277W \), \( F356W \), and \( F444W \), which sample roughly \( \lambda_{\text{test}} = 0.16, 0.21, 0.39, 0.50, \) and 0.63 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. As can be seen in Fig. 1, RXCJ0600-z6-3 has a moderate shear with the magnification variation within \( \lesssim 30\% \) across the galaxy, making it an optimal target for this study.

Throughout this work, no lensing correction is applied on any images nor derived quantities. We focus mostly on the relative differences of the inferred properties when studying them in a resolved or an integrated approach, rather than obtaining the intrinsic values for, for example, the stellar masses or star formation rates. Therefore, all quantities are reported in terms of the magnification factor \( \mu \).

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. \texttt{BAGPIPES} SED fitting

To analyse the spatially resolved physical properties of RXCJ0600-z6-3, we perform spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting on a pixel-by-pixel basis. We follow the same methodology as in Giménez-Arteaga et al. (2023, GA23 hereafter). We use the SED modelling code \texttt{BAGPIPES} (Carnall et al. 2018). We fix the redshift to the spectroscopic \( z_{\text{spec}} = 6.072 \), in order to reduce the number of free parameters in the modelling, given the limited band coverage, and break the degeneracy between redshift, age and dust. Following GA23, we use a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation curve, and extend the nebular grid so that the ionisation parameter (\( U \)) can vary between \( 3 < \log_{10}(U) < -1 \), with a uniform prior in logarcistic space. The nebular emission is included with CLOUDY (Feerland et al. 2017), and the SPS models are generated by Bruzual & Charlot (2003). The IGM attenuation prescription assumed is from Inoue et al. (2014). We assume a Kroupa (2001) initial mass function (IMF), and set the lifetime of birth clouds to \( t_{\text{bc}} = 10 \text{ Myr} \). We set uniform priors for the visual extinction \( A_V \in [0, 3] \), and the mass of formed stars \( \log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \in [5, 11] \). Given the advantage of having IFU spectra, we use the mean metallicity measured in the cube as a prior for our SED modelling. We thus set a Gaussian prior on the metallicity centred at 0.1Z⊙, with \( \sigma = 0.2Z_\odot \) (Fujimoto et al., in prep.).

---

1 https://dawn-cph.github.io/dja/
Throughout this work, we discuss different parameterisations of the star formation history (SFH), testing various parametric SFH forms implemented in BAGPIES. We are fitting a basis of four parameters, described above, as well as additional parameters for each SFHs. Table A.1 provides the parametric shapes used, as well as the specific priors that we impose with each model.

### 3.2. Segmentation and pixel selection

To perform the pixel-by-pixel SED fitting, we first need to select the pixels that will be modelled. We first use the Agglomerative Clustering package within sklearn.cluster, in order to isolate RXCJ0600-z6-3 and exclude nearby sources. We use the “single” method as linkage, and apply a 1.5 distance threshold. Then, with the selected pixels associated to our desired source, we compute the $S/N$ in all bands and apply a preliminary threshold requiring $S/N > 1$ in all bands, on top of masking non-detections first. After that, we generate masks on each band with a new threshold that corresponds to the mean $S/N$ on each band. Finally, we combine (i.e. sum) these masks, so that the most extended band will dominate the segmentation, and ignore pixels outside the final combined mask. The resulting $S/N$ threshold per pixel is 1.9, 2.2, 3.5, 7.6, and 3.0 for the $F115W$, $F150W$, $F277W$, $F356W$, and $F444W$ bands, respectively, as well as the specific priors that we impose with each model.

### 4. Results and discussion

In this section we present the results of the various analyses we perform with the NIRCam observations on the RXCJ0600-z6-3 image. We also discuss the implications of our study, from integrated and spatially resolved perspectives. We reiterate that here we focus on the implications of inferring these properties in an unresolved versus resolved approach and do not attempt to discuss intrinsic properties affected by the lensing. A comparison with NIRSpec IFU data is presented, to test whether photometric-only estimates can reproduce spectroscopic measurements.

#### 4.1. Spatially resolved analysis

Following the methodology described in Sect. 3, we perform pixel-by-pixel SED fitting on RXCJ0600-z6-3. This allows us to build maps of the inferred physical properties. As described in Sect. 3.2, we only fit and display pixels that fulfill the imposed $S/N$ criteria.

Figure 2 shows the resulting maps inferred with BAGPIES given a constant star formation history (CSFH). This parameterisation is often used to model high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Heintz et al. 2023; Carnall et al. 2023). Even though a constant SFH is a simplistic parameterisation of the star formation in a galaxy, one would expect that it could be a reasonable approximation on a pixel-by-pixel basis, that would generally average over a smaller projected mix of non-coeval stellar populations.

We obtain an equivalent scenario to the one found and discussed in GA23 for five galaxies at $5<z<9$ in the SMACS0723 field. We find centrally located clumps of young stars, surrounded by an extended region of older stellar populations. The central populations have extremely young ages of $\leq 10$ Myr, which yields lower stellar masses, therefore we see that most mass resides in the outer shell of older stars when considering a constant star formation history. We see that the central populations are resolved, since they are larger ($\sim 3$) than the size of the $F444W$ PSF ($FWHM \sim 0.16''$), indicated on the top right corner of the UV slope map. Additionally, the extended region is also larger than the PSF, therefore being resolved and significant given our $S/N$ criteria. The youngest region matches an increased brightness of the clumps particularly on the $F356W$ band (see Fig. 1), given that this band captures the strong emission lines, as is discussed further in the following section. In the UV slope map we find two very blue clumps, which match the $F115W$ bands, surrounded by redder slopes. The UV slope $\beta$ is inferred fitting the slope over the range $\lambda_{\text{rest}} = 1350–2800\text{Å}$ on
the BAGPIES posterior samples per pixel. In the central region, given that the age of the stellar population is relatively constant, this indicates a varying presence of dust reddening the photometry, surrounding the unobscured blue central clump. The star formation rate density, which is averaged over the last ∼100 Myr, is relatively constant across the galaxy, displaying the highest activity in the most massive regions. In summary, the RXCJ0600-z6-3 galaxy contains centrally concentrated clumps of very young stars (<10 Myr) embedded within an extended region of somewhat older stars (>100 Myr).

4.2. The integrated field spectrum from NIRSpec

The photometry of RXCJ0600-z6-3 results in degenerate but distinct explanations of the rest-frame optical colours, for example, from young stars and high equivalent-width [O III]+Hβ and Hα+[N II] emission lines from their associated H II regions, or an underlying red continuum arising with somewhat older stars. With JWST/NIRSpec we can now directly observe the spatially resolved spectra with the IFU, and test our previously presented photometric-only estimates.

4.2.1. Strength of the integrated light

From the NIRSpec IFU cube, we can directly measure the strength and spatial distribution of the emission lines Hα (λ6564 Å), [N II] (λ6585 Å), Hβ (λ4861 Å) and the [O III] (λλ4959, 5007 Å) doublet. We refer the reader to Fujimoto et al. (in prep.) for all reduction, results and measurements extracted from the IFU data, both in pixel-by-pixel maps and in integrated (summed over all pixels) estimates of the equivalent width (EW) and the line fluxes.

Given the previous analysis, we can now test if our resolved estimates are consistent with the IFU spectrum. We start by summing the BAGPIES models for all pixels, and we calculate the EW. We consider the sum of the emission line groups [O III]+Hβ and Hα+[N II] as they are spectrally unresolved by the broad-band imaging filters. It is important to note that the relative strengths of the lines within each group depend on the metallicity, the ionisation parameter, amongst others, that are perhaps not fully constrained when modelling the images alone.

The line fluxes and equivalent widths are listed in Table 1. The observed line fluxes and EWs are also measured integrating the IFU cubes within a spatial aperture radius of 0″7 (Fujimoto et al., in prep.), and the resulting values are shown on the right column of Table 1.

4.2.2. Line emission spatial distribution

Besides the integrated comparison, we can also perform a spatially resolved analysis. While the IFU pixel scale (0″1 pix⁻¹) is somewhat larger than that of the NIRCam images (0″04 pix⁻¹), the IFU resolution is still sufficient for ~300 IFU pixels across the magnified face of RXCJ0600-z6-3.

In Fig. 3 we show the spatially resolved comparison between the NIRSpec IFU and NIRCam Hα+[N II] and [O III]+Hβ emission lines, with the strength and spatial distribution of the emission lines Hα (λ6564 Å), [N II] (λ6585 Å), Hβ (λ4861 Å) and the [O III] (λλ4959, 5007 Å) doublet. We refer the reader to Fujimoto et al. (in prep.) for all reduction, results and measurements extracted from the IFU data, both in pixel-by-pixel maps and in integrated (summed over all pixels) estimates of the equivalent width (EW) and the line fluxes.

Table 1. Values for the rest-frame equivalent widths and line fluxes (in cgs units of x10⁻¹⁸ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) of [O III]+Hβ and Hα+[N II] inferred from the pixel-by-pixel modelling with BAGPIES on the NIRCam images, and calculated from the NIRSpec IFU measurements within a spatial aperture radius of 0″7 (Fujimoto et al., in prep.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Group</th>
<th>NIRCam</th>
<th>NIRSpec/IFU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EW([O III]+Hβ) [Å]</td>
<td>940 ± 20</td>
<td>711 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW(Hα+[N II]) [Å]</td>
<td>596 ± 32</td>
<td>732 ± 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f([O III]+Hβ) [cgs]</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f(Hα+[N II]) [cgs]</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The line fluxes and equivalent widths are listed in Table 1. The observed line fluxes and EWs are also measured integrating the IFU cubes within a spatial aperture radius of 0″7 (Fujimoto et al., in prep.), and the resulting values are shown on the right column of Table 1.

The line fluxes and equivalent widths are listed in Table 1. The observed line fluxes and EWs are also measured integrating the IFU cubes within a spatial aperture radius of 0″7 (Fujimoto et al., in prep.), and the resulting values are shown on the right column of Table 1.

The line fluxes and equivalent widths are listed in Table 1. The observed line fluxes and EWs are also measured integrating the IFU cubes within a spatial aperture radius of 0″7 (Fujimoto et al., in prep.), and the resulting values are shown on the right column of Table 1.
sion lines. The underlying maps correspond to the line fluxes inferred from the pixel-by-pixel SED modelling of the NIRCam data with a CSFH. The overplotted contours are of the moment 0 (intensity) measurements of the emission line groups from the IFU cubes. The NIRCam maps consistently reproduce the spatial distribution of the IFU measured lines, as well as their strength, as has also been tested from the spatially integrated perspective. The IFU confirms the presence of strong nebular emission in the central region of RXCJ0600-z6-3.

With these quantitative and qualitative comparisons, we conclude that the pixel-by-pixel SED modelling with BAGPIPES using five photometric NIRCam bands reproduces the spatial distribution and strength of the Hα+[N II] and [O III]+Hβ emission lines inferred from the NIRSpec IFU observations. This gives confidence in our results, given that the IFU spectra confirms the presence of strong line emission in the central region, where then the SED software chooses younger ages of the stellar population to model the excess in photometry. The outskirts present weaker emission lines, and that yields older stellar populations.

A spatially resolved analysis with only broad-band photometry, has the potential to bypass the degeneracies that not having medium-bands or IFU observations introduces on single-aperture photometric fits, as well as providing a more complete picture of the internal structure and properties of galaxies. Having confidence in our spatially resolved estimates, we can now study and discuss their implications.

4.3. The spatial resolution effect on the stellar mass

Analogous to GA23, we wish to test if having spatially resolved observations affects the inferred physical parameters of a particular galaxy, when compared to spatially integrated studies. For this, as explained in Sect. 3.2, we add the pixel-by-pixel photometry in each band to create a spatially integrated measurement with only the pixels that fulfil the above-mentioned S/N criteria, and be able to compare both scenarios one-to-one.

Earlier studies have found that stellar masses can be underestimated with spatially integrated fits, given how a given model parameterisation of the total light may not have sufficient flexibility to fully explain complex distributions of, for example, dust attenuation and SFH within a single galaxy. One of these studies is Sorba & Sawicki (2018, SS18 hereafter), that used an exponentially declining SFH, finding a stellar mass discrepancy of factors up to 5. Using a constant SFH, GA23 found that the stellar mass can be underestimated ∼0.5−1 dex in an unresolved fit, due to outshining from the young stellar populations (see also e.g. Narayanan et al. 2024). Here we test if this is also the case for RXCJ0600-z6-3, or if we can reproduce the resolved CSFH with different SFH shapes in the integrated photometry. It is important to note that the wavelength range that is covered, particularly the lack of IR data or the coverage of the rest-frame 1−1.6 µm where the emission from older stellar populations peaks, additionally plays an important role in the inferred stellar masses and parameters (see e.g. Zibetti et al. 2009; Bisigello et al. 2019; Song et al. 2023).

We model the integrated photometry with BAGPIPES using the same setup as described in Sect. 3.1. We test additional parametric SFH forms available within BAGPIPES (see Appendix A), and infer the integrated physical parameters. We choose a double-power law (DPL) SFH, which has been used to model high-redshift post-starburst galaxies (see e.g. Strait et al. 2023). We also test a log-normal SFH (Abramson et al. 2015, 2016), and an exponentially declining SFH (SS18).

Figure 4 shows the resulting SFH curves for the different models. The summed star formation histories of all individual pixels with CSFH (turquoise dashed-dotted curve) and shaded region) show that the total stellar population is built up over extended timescales. On the other hand, all integrated models except the double-power law have a short, recent burst of star formation that dominates the light, but that has a lower total mass-to-light ratio. The DPL model gets closest to the summed CSFH, with older stellar populations dominating the star formation activity over long timescales, and an inferred age of 130^+58_−23 Myr. Galaxy formation simulations predict that galaxies spend most of their time undergoing bursts of star formation,
with the typical bursts having a width of $\sim$100 Myr at $z \sim 6$ (Ceverino et al. 2018).

The stellar masses derived with each spatially integrated SFH form are reported in Table 2. The unresolved masses vary within $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.7$ and 10.4 by changing the SFH, that is, a difference of 0.7 dex depending on the choice of SFH form, consistent with what has been found in previous studies regarding the effect of SFH choice on the stellar masses (see e.g. Whittle et al. 2023; Tacchella et al. 2023).

We define the difference between the logarithmic resolved SFH and integrated stellar mass estimates as the “mass offset” or $\Delta M$. If we add up (sum) the mass for all pixels in the resolved map presented in Fig. 2, we obtain a resolved stellar mass of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$. The mass offset between the CSFH resolved estimate and the additional SFH parameterisations on the integrated modelling are shown in Table 2. The parametric shape that provides the smallest mass offset is the double-power law SFH, differing $\sim 0.2$ dex from the constant SFH resolved case (a larger stellar mass than the resolved case), reaching no offset when considering uncertainties. The largest $\Delta M$ is given by all the rest of SFH integrated runs, with a significant offset of 0.5 dex, so that the resolved mass is more than 3 times larger than the unresolved one. These models, as seen also in Fig. 4, suggest very young burst of star formation, with ages of $6^\text{+4}_{-2}$, $6^\text{+2}_{-1}$, and $4^\text{+2}_{-1}$ Myr, for a constant, log-normal, and exponentially declining SFH, respectively. None of these parameterisations allows for any significant population of stars with ages greater than $\sim$50 Myr, that are apparent in the spatially resolved analysis, yielding mass weighted ages of $\geq 100$ Myr (Fig. 2).

In Fig. 5, we reproduce the comparison made by Sorba & Sawicki (2018), plotting the mass offset as a function of the integrated specific star formation rate ($sSFR$). Points from SS18 are for galaxies at $z_{\text{spec}} < 2.5$ in the Hubble eXtreme Deep Field (XDF; Illingworth et al. 2013) with HST images in nine bands. We add the SMACS0723 galaxies from Giménez-Arteaga et al. (2023) to the comparison, which have $5 < z_{\text{spec}} < 9$ from JWST/NIRSpec, and similar NIRCam images as those used in this work. Our results for RXCJ0600-z6-3 are shown as the black square for the CSFH, and stars for the additional SFHs parameterisations, indicated with the different colours.

The mass discrepancies of all high redshift galaxies ($z > 5$, GA23 and this work) cover the tail of the SS18 sample, which corresponds to galaxies at lower redshifts with similarly high sSFR (SS18). Our constant SFH resolved model (black square) falls in the regime of the GA23 targets, which used the same modelling prescription. Additionally, the log-normal and exponentially declining SFH agree with this discrepancy. On the other hand, the double-power-law surpasses the ratio = 1 line, which means that the integrated mass is larger than the resolved one. This is not surprising given that on Fig. 4 we already saw that this SFH is as extended as the resolved CSFH, with larger SF in the older stars regime. This allows for the presence of older stellar populations in the integrated fit, bypassing the outshining caused by the youngest stars that affect the other SFH parameterisations. This is also a feature of non-parametric SED-fitting codes (e.g. Leja et al. 2017, 2019; Iyer et al. 2020), which also tend to infer older and more massive galaxies (see e.g. Carnall et al. 2019; Tacchella et al. 2023). Thus, more complex SFHs could also help disentangle the different stellar populations, as seen most recently in Jain et al. (2024).

Given the NIRCam observations alone, one might conclude that a double-power law or a SFH parameterisation that includes older stellar populations (e.g. non-parametric SFH), is a good model for targets such as RXCJ0600-z6-3, in the case that we did not have enough resolution to perform a spatially resolved analysis. For this target, we have complementary IFU observations. From the cube, as shown in Sect. 4.2, we find strong nebular emission in this galaxy. Given the power-law decline of the star formation rate in the DPL parameterisation, we can expect that it cannot produce extreme line emission. On top of this, if we integrate over the last $\sim$10 Myr the DPL SFH curve (Fig. 4), we can see that it will not be able to reproduce the strong line fluxes observed by the IFU such as Hα, which traces the most recent star formation. Thus, only by combining the NIRCam spatially resolved analysis with the NIRSpec IFU measurements of the emission lines, we can see that the DPL cannot explain the strength of the lines, thus not being a good model to represent this galaxy. Moreover, the DPL presents the largest reduced chi squared value from all fits (see Fig. C.1).

![Table 2. Values for the stellar mass inferred in a spatially integrated fit with BAGPIES using different star formation history parameterisations.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFH</th>
<th>$\log(M_*/M_\odot)$</th>
<th>$\Delta M$ [dex]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>9.7$^{0.4}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>0.5$^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponentially declining</td>
<td>9.7$^{0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>0.5$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-normal</td>
<td>9.7$^{0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>0.5$^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-power law</td>
<td>10.4$^{0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>−0.2$^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The mass offset $\Delta M$ is calculated with respect to the resolved CSFH stellar mass of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$, thus $\Delta M = \log(M_{\text{resolved}}^*) - \log(M_{\text{integrated}}^*)$.
with the rest of models providing better fits with $\chi^2 \sim 1$. Additionally, all the rest of models have $\sim 0.5$ dex lower stellar mass when inferred in a spatially integrated analysis, demonstrating once more the effect of outshining by the youngest stellar populations, as seen in previous studies (Sobral & Sawicki 2018; Giménez-Arteaga et al. 2023; Narayanan et al. 2024), as well as the need for more complex SFHs. The implications of outshining are being studied at various redshifts (e.g. Roberts-Borsani et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2022; Topping et al. 2022). With new NIRCam data where galaxies are resolved at $z > 5$, a spatially resolved strategy can provide more adequate estimates for parameters such as the stellar mass, SFR and sSFR.

4.4. The ionising photon production efficiency

Because our target is a representative galaxy ($\sim$sub-$L^*$, low-mass) within the population that is thought to be the dominant agent facilitating re-ionisation (e.g. Atek et al. 2024), it is important to study its contribution to this cosmic epoch, when re-ionisation is thought to be almost completed ($z \sim 6$, Fan et al. 2006; Mason et al. 2018). We thus calculate the Lyman continuum (LyC) photon production efficiency ($\xi_{\text{ion}}$) of RXCJ0600-z6-3. To infer the production rate of ionising photons that did not escape the galaxy (see e.g. Prieto-Lyons et al. 2023), $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$, we use the equation by Bouwens et al. (2016):

$$\xi_{\text{ion},0} \equiv \xi_{\text{ion}}(1-f_{\text{esc}}) = \frac{L_{\text{H}0}}{L_{\text{UV}}/f_{\text{esc},\text{UV}}} \times 7.35 \times 10^{11} \text{[Hz erg}^{-1}] (1)$$

where $L_{\text{H}0}$ is the dust-corrected H$\alpha$ luminosity, $L_{\text{UV}}$ is the UV luminosity evaluated at 1500 Å, and $1/f_{\text{esc,UV}}$ accounts for the dust correction to obtain the intrinsic UV luminosity, before being obscured by dust. Using a Calzetti et al. (2000) attenuation curve, we employ the relation $A_{\text{V}} = 1.99 (B + 2.23)$, where $B$ is the UV slope. From $A_{\text{V}}$ we can infer $f_{\text{esc,UV}} = 10^{-A_{\text{V}}/2.5}$. Finally, from the H$\alpha$ flux we infer the luminosity, and correct for dust using the $A_{\text{V}}$ estimated by the SED modelling (see e.g. Eq. (3) in Giménez-Arteaga et al. 2022). It is important to note that we do not include the [N II] II emission here, by integrating only over the H$\alpha$ line on each pixel, unlike in the previous analyses where we studied the combined H$\alpha$+[N II] II emission.

The prescription for computing $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ can be used on a pixel-by-pixel basis, given that we have H$\alpha$ (Fig. 3, right panel), the UV $B$ slope (Fig. 2, right map), and $A_{\text{V}}$ and $L_{\text{UV}}$ maps (Fig. 6). Combining these maps according to Eq. (1), we obtain the 2D distribution of the ionising photon production shown in Fig. 7. We find variations of almost an order of magnitude in $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ across the galaxy, from a minimum log$_{10}(\xi_{\text{ion},0})$[Hz erg$^{-1}$]) $= 25.17$, to a maximum of log$_{10}(\xi_{\text{ion},0})$[Hz erg$^{-1}$]) $= 26.04$. This could be explained by the presence of distinct stellar populations (as the spatially resolved analysis indicates, see Sect. 4.1), by a varying escape fraction $f_{\text{esc}}$ in the different regions within the galaxy, or by a combination of both, which may be potentially correlated. A third possibility could be the presence of a hidden active galactic nuclei (AGN), which will be further addressed in Fujimoto et al. (in prep.), with the spatially resolved optical line properties with NIRSpec IFU. As expected, given the strong correlation between age and $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$, the region where most ionising photons are coming from corresponds to the youngest inferred ages of the stellar population (see age map in Fig. 2).

We can additionally derive the $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ from our spatially integrated photometry and estimates. Using a CSFH, to compare one-to-one with the resolved case, we obtain log$_{10}(\xi_{\text{ion},0}) = 25.68 \pm 0.08$. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the ionising photon production rate with redshift, where we place the measurements for RXCJ0600-z6-3, as well as additional literature values, where the mean $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ in the literature increases with increasing redshift. By calculating the UV-luminosity weighted mean value of the $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ 2D map (Fig. 7), we can also infer a “resolved” $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ estimate, shown in Fig. 8 as the turquoise star, which yields log$_{10}(\xi_{\text{ion},0}) = 25.53 \pm 0.14$. We perform a UV-luminosity weighted mean given that $\xi_{\text{ion},0}$ is conceptually relevant in regions where there is UV emission. Similarly to the discrepancy that we find on the stellar mass estimates, resolving from corresponds to the youngest inferred ages of the stellar population (see age map in Fig. 2).

Fig. 6. Maps of the $A_{\text{V}}$ and log$_{10}(L_{\text{UV}})$ inferred from the BAGPIPES SED modelling and posterior distributions, using a CSFH.

Fig. 7. Inferred 2D distribution of the ionising photon production rate log$_{10}(\xi_{\text{ion},0})$[Hz erg$^{-1}$]), derived using Eq. (1).
The turquoise shaded rectangle in Fig. 8 shows the range of values that are found in the 2D map shown in Fig. 7. We see that some pixels within the galaxy surpass all other literature values, and others go as low as the canonical value (Robertson et al. 2013), hinting once more at the complex internal structure of this galaxy, displaying a very broad range of $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ values. The large differences in $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ may indicate that some of the regions are undergoing recent bursts of star formation (traced by stronger H\alpha emission over the last few Myr), whereas others are forming stars over larger timescales (>100 Myr, with stronger UV emission instead), which is also found on our spatially resolved SED fitting analysis. Differences of $\sim$0.4 dex in the $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ have been found between the two clumps of MACS1149-JD1 (A-M+23 in Fig. 8, Álvarez-Márquez et al. 2024). The spatially integrated estimate (orange star symbol) falls at an intermediate-to-high value, given that, albeit being dominated by the youngest stars, we also find a bright central UV clump (Fig. 6, right map), which then yields a more moderate $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ value. As we would expect, $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ strongly correlates with the age of the stellar population, and the pixel-by-pixel analysis yields a broad range of stellar ages and $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ values. The youngest stars are responsible for most of the ionising photon production, dominating then the integrated value. The oldest stars do not contribute as significantly to $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$, and are outshined in the spatially integrated analysis. Therefore, a spatially resolved analysis yields a lower value of $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ (turquoise star). The two values derived for $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$ could imply different scenarios in terms of the contribution made by this galaxy to the end of reionisation – from being one of the largest contributors found so far, to being consistent with the derived trends from e.g. Stefanon et al. (2022).

4.5. Caveats

Despite seemingly providing the best agreement in the SFH shape with respect to the resolved CSFH, and besides not being able to reproduce the observed IFU line strengths, the double-power law SFH parameterisation has caveats to be considered. With the priors that we impose and specify in Table A.1, the BAGPIPES modelling has seven free parameters in total. As explained throughout, we only have five bands available with NIRCam, which may not be enough to constrain all free parameters without yielding extra degeneracies between them (see Appendix C). Similarly, this caveat applies to the log-normal and exponentially declining SFHs, which have six free parameters in the fits. Therefore, despite being one of the most basic forms, the constant SFH has five free parameters, which equals the amount of data points we have to constrain them. Albeit its simplicity, it should still be a reasonable parameterisation on a pixel-by-pixel basis, as discussed before. Given the limited amount of data points, we did not explore the possibility of implementing non-parametric SFHs on a pixel-by-pixel basis, but it is worth emphasising the flexibility that these SFH forms provide to describe with much more complexity the star formation activity. Upcoming software combining non-parametric SFHs in a spatially resolved approach will provide a much more detailed, and potentially more accurate, view of galactic properties.

It is worth noting that with the CSFH, most mass shows as residing in the outer extended region of older stars. Given the strong lines in the centre, only young stars are seen. In reality, this region can also be a mixture of young (<10 Myr) and older stellar populations (≥100 Myr), which would increase the total mass of the galaxy. Therefore, the “true” stellar mass of the galaxy is most likely larger than what a spatially resolved
analysis can recover, making the discrepancy with respect to single-aperture photometric estimates even larger. Assuming a similar SMD in all regions, equivalent to the mean SMD per pixel in non-outshined regions (defined as mass-weighted age older than 10 Myr), the galaxy would have 0.1 dex larger stellar mass.

Finally, a change of the initial mass function can also affect the inferred stellar masses (Rusakov et al. 2023; Steinhardt et al. 2023), and further studies factoring in the IMF assumption, the various SFH parameterisations, and the spatial resolution effect are necessary. Moreover, as stated before, we do not correct for the magnification throughout this work. It is worth noting that the magnification factors can be different for Hα and UV, due to differences in their intrinsic surface brightnesses, which could affect the $\xi_\text{ion,0}$ calculation.

5. Summary and conclusions

We have performed pixel-by-pixel SED modelling on the NIRCam images for RXCJ0600-z6-3, one of the multiple images of a highly lensed galaxy at $z = 6.072$ behind the galaxy cluster RXCJ0600−2007. We have built maps of the physical properties such as the stellar mass, the star formation rate, and the age of the stellar population. We have tested various parameterisations of the star formation history, and studied the effects on the retrieved stellar masses. We have tested our photometric-only estimates with measurements from NIRSpec IFU data, aiding us in verifying the conclusions from our methodology. We have studied the potential contribution to the end of re-ionisation of this interesting galaxy. The main results of our work are as follows:

- Analogous to the findings of Giménez-Arteaga et al. (2023) on different objects at $5 < z < 9$ from the SMACS0723 field, the galaxies display centrally located clumps of young stellar populations embedded within extended regions of older stars.

- The line fluxes inferred from NIRCam photometry with a CSFH can reproduce the spatial distribution and strength of the NIRSpec IFU emission line maps.

- From a spatially integrated perspective, with photometry-only modelling we retrieve consistent values of the EW and line fluxes of O III]+Hβ and Hα+[N II], when compared to the IFU spectra estimates.

- Consistent to recent findings in observations and simulations (e.g. Sorba & Sawicki 2018; Narayanan et al. 2024), outshining, where young stars dominate the integrated light, hiding underlying older stellar populations, affects our stellar mass values when comparing resolved versus unresolved estimates.

- A double-power law SFH displays star formation over extended timescales, matching the resolved CSFH curve, but cannot reproduce the strength of the IFU emission lines. Other parametric SFH shapes yield $\sim 0.5$ dex lower stellar masses than the CSFH resolved estimate. No parametric model can simultaneously match the resolved stellar mass estimate and the IFU emission line strengths.

- The ionising photon production efficiency estimate may additionally be affected by outshining. Resolving the galaxy yields $-0.15$ dex lower $\xi_{\text{ion,0}}$, which could hint at spatially integrated studies overestimating the contribution to re-ionisation of targets similar to the one studied here.

The problem of outshining is being studied in observations and simulations. Broadly covered in wavelength and larger statistical samples are needed to investigate this problem across cosmic time, and constrain better the methodology that it introduces in our physical estimates. The work presented here gives confidence to photometric-only spatially resolved analyses, but stresses the importance of combining these with NIRSpec IFU or spectroscopic data, in order to break photometric degeneracies and constrain the physical properties with more accuracy.

This study puts emphasis on the importance of studying galaxies as complex systems. Given the unprecedented resolution of the instruments on board JWST, resolving the stellar populations of galaxies, when possible, can give us different views on their internal structure and properties, when compared to the well established aperture photometry approach. By addressing the challenge of inferring robust stellar masses of high redshift galaxies, resolved studies can aid our understanding of the first stages of mass assembly and galaxy evolution.
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Appendix A: Star formation histories

In Sect. 3.1, we described the setup used within BAGPIPES to model the SEDs. We use different SFH parameterisations. Here we specify the SFH forms and priors imposed, which can be found on Table A.1. On top of the above-mentioned basis of four free parameters, we list here the additional ones.

Table A.1. Different parametric forms of the star formation history that we used with BAGPIPES. Each model has its own parameters and we specify the uniform priors used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFH model</th>
<th>Priors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Maximum age $\in [1 , \text{Myr}, 1 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-normal</td>
<td>Age of the Universe at peak SF $\in [1 , \text{Myr}, 1 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full width at half maximum SF $\in [0, 1 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponentially Declining</td>
<td>Time since SFH began $\in [1 , \text{Myr}, 1 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timescale of decrease $\tau \in [0, 10 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-Power Law</td>
<td>Falling slope index $\in [0, 10]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rising slope index $\in [0, 10]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age of the Universe at turnover $\tau \in [1 , \text{Myr}, 1 , \text{Gyr}]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B: Signal-to-noise maps

In Sect. 3.2, we explained how the pixels are selected according to a S/N threshold in all bands. Figure B.1 displays the resulting S/N maps per NIRCam band after the S/N criteria is applied.

Fig. B.1. Resulting S/N in all available NIRCam bands after imposing the S/N criteria discussed in Sect. 3.2.
Appendix C: SED fits

C.1. Spatially integrated

Here we present the different fits for the spatially integrated photometry using the SFH shapes specified in the previous section. Figure C.1 shows the best fit SED models for each SFH on top of the integrated NIRCam photometry, as well as the reduced chi squared values for each fit. Figure C.2 displays the corner plots of all fits, as well as the inferred physical properties. As discussed in the caveats Sect. 4.5, the SFHs with most free parameters such as the double-power law, show correlations and degeneracies between some of the fitted parameters. In terms of the SED fit, all models seem to fit the data, with the main difference being around the F356W and F444W bands. The DPL shows large continuum emission by old stellar populations, whereas the rest of models fit the photometric excess with strong [O\textsc{iii}]+H\textbeta and H\alpha+[N\textsc{ii}] emission lines instead, as discussed in Sect. 4.3.

C.2. Spatially resolved

Here we provide the fits and corner plots for two example pixels from the resolved maps presented in Figure 2. One pixel corresponds to the extended region of older stellar populations, and the other corresponds to one of the centrally located multiple young clumps. Figure C.3 shows the best fit SEDs and corresponding corner plots. The top pixel corresponds to the central region, with a young age of the stellar population of $15^{+7}_{-3}$ Myr. We can see that the F356W excess is fitted with strong [O\textsc{iii}]+H\textbeta and H\alpha+[N\textsc{ii}] line emission. The bottom pixel corresponds to the extended region of older stellar populations, displaying an age of $90^{+97}_{-48}$ Myr, with stronger stellar continuum and weaker emission lines fitting the F356W excess instead. We see that, particularly for the young pixel, the parameters are well constrained and we do not observe strong correlations or degeneracies. For the older pixel, the distributions of age, $A_V$ and log($U$) are broader, but we can still constrain the stellar mass. Both SED models are visibly different, with the young pixel displaying a bluer slope and stronger emission lines, and the old pixel having much redder UV slope and weak emission lines.

![Fig. C.1. Best fit models (solid coloured curves) for the various SFH parameterisations tested in the spatially integrated photometry (diamonds). The NIRCam filter curves are displayed in the lower part.](image-url)
Fig. C.2. Corner plots of the spatially integrated fits using different SFH parameterisations: constant (top left), double-power law (top right), lognormal (bottom left), and exponentially declining (bottom right).
*Fig. C.3.* Best fit models and corner plots for two representative pixels in the spatially resolved analysis, a young (top) and an older (bottom) pixel. The NIRCam photometry for each pixel is indicated by the diamond symbols.