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Abstract

Context: Pediatric obesity is characterized by insulin resistance, yet it remains unclear whether insulin resistance contributes to abnormalities in glucagon and incretin secretion.

Objective: To examine whether fasting and stimulated glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) concentrations differ between children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance (OIR), obesity and normal insulin sensitivity (OIS), and controls with normal weight (NW).

Methods: 80 (34 boys) children and adolescents, aged 7-17 years with OIR (n = 22), OIS (n = 22), and NW (n = 36) underwent an oral glucose tolerance test with measurements of serum insulin, plasma glucose, glucagon, total GLP-1, and total GIP. Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), single point insulin sensitivity estimator (SPISE), Matsuda index, insulinoergic index (IGI), and oral disposition index (ODI) were calculated.

Results: Fasting concentrations of glucagon and GLP-1 were higher in the OIR group, with no significant differences for GIP. The OIR group had higher glucagon total area under the curve (tAUC0-120) and lower GLP-1 incremental AUC (iAUC0-120), with no significant differences in GIP iAUC0-120. Higher fasting glucagon was associated with higher HOMA-IR, lower Matsuda index, lower SPISE, higher IGI, and higher plasma alanine transaminase, whereas higher fasting GLP-1 was associated with higher HOMA-IR, lower Matsuda index, and lower ODI. Higher glucagon tAUC0-120 was associated with higher SPISE and lower Matsuda index, whereas lower GLP-1 iAUC0-120 was associated with a higher HOMA-IR, lower Matsuda index, and lower ODI.

Conclusion: Children and adolescents with OIR have elevated fasting concentrations of glucagon and GLP-1, higher glucagon and lower GLP-1 responses during an OGTT compared to those with OIS and NW. In contrast, individuals with OIS have similar hormone responses to those with NW.
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With the escalating prevalence of pediatric obesity worldwide, children and adolescents are faced with an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes (T2D), hepatic steatosis, and cardiovascular complications (1). Yet not all children and adolescents with obesity develop cardiometabolic comorbidities. One explanation for this heterogeneity could be related to relative differences in fat distribution. When the subcutaneous adipose tissue capacity is exceeded, the lipids are directed towards the visceral adipose tissue and nonadipose tissues, leading to insulin resistance—a concept known as “the adipose expansion theory” (2). Insulin resistance of obesity is linked to the clustering of cardiometabolic risk factors, and may serve as a marker distinguishing differing levels of metabolic derangement (3).

Of particular interest to obesity are glucagon and the incretin hormones, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1). Glucagon is secreted from the pancreas (α-cells) during fasting (4). GIP is secreted mainly from the upper (K-cells) and GLP-1 from the lower (L-cells) intestinal tract upon nutrient intake (5). Abnormalities in the secretion of pancreatic and intestinal hormones are observed with obesity and may be related to the level of insulin resistance, raising questions about the role of these hormones in development of obesity during the period of childhood and adolescence.

Our group recently demonstrated that plasma concentrations of glucagon are elevated at fasting in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity, associating with worsened insulin resistance, higher liver fat content, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, but do not necessarily associate with hyperglycemia (6, 7). Moreover, children and adolescents with obesity display diminished glucagon suppression during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) or an euglycemic–hyperinsulinemic clamp (EHC) (8, 9), dependent on the level of insulin resistance (8).

GLP-1 responses to oral glucose or fat are seemingly blunted in children and adolescents with obesity when compared with controls with normal weight (NW) (10-12). Earlier work from our group showed that at fasting, plasma concentrations of total GLP-1 were elevated in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity compared with population-based controls, associating with insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, higher concentrations of plasma alanine transaminase (ALT), dyslipidemia, and hypertension (13, 14).

No significant differences in GIP response during an OGTT or EHC have previously been described in children and adolescents with obesity (15-18).

It still remains unclear whether insulin resistance mediates the effects of obesity on impaired glucagon and gut hormone secretion, and whether this occurs early on in disease pathogenesis (19). The primary aim of this study was to evaluate whether plasma concentrations of glucagon, total GLP-1, and total GIP during an OGTT differ between children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance (OIR), obesity and normal insulin sensitivity (OIS), and controls with NW. Secondly, we aimed to examine the associations of these hormones with estimates of insulin sensitivity, pancreatic β-cell function, and fasting levels of liver enzymes. We hypothesize that children and adolescents with OIR will have elevated fasting concentrations of these hormones, and higher glucagon and lower GLP-1 and GIP responses during an OGTT, which will associate with worsened insulin sensitivity, β-cell function, and elevated liver enzymes.

### Materials and Methods

#### Study Groups

This study includes 80 (34 boys) children and adolescents, aged 7-17 years, who participated in a standard OGTT. Participants were invited from the HOLBAEK study, which comprises an obesity group, the members of which underwent a multifaceted, holistic, childhood obesity management program at Holbæk Hospital (20) and a population-based group recruited from schools across Zealand, Denmark (21).

The HOLBAEK study, formerly known as the Danish Childhood Obesity Data and Biobank, is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov identifier number NCT00928473. Exclusion criteria were monogenic forms of obesity (eg, pathogenic mutations in the Melanocortin 4 receptor [MC4R]), diagnosed type 1 diabetes or T2D, and screen-detected T2D (22) based on the blood samples taken during the OGTT (fasting glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L and/or 2-hour glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L; n = 1), and failure to complete the OGTT.

Participants with a body mass index (BMI) standard deviation score (SDS) ≥2 were recruited from the HOLBAEK study obesity clinic cohort (23), and 30 + 30 were selected out of those with a historic fasting serum insulin concentration above or below the 50th age- and sex-specific percentiles, respectively (Fig. 1). Following exclusion of 16 participants, the remaining 44 participants were then regrouped based on the Matsuda index into 2 categories, OIR or OIS (Fig. 1). Forty-two age- and sex-matched participants with BMI SDS ≥-1 and ≤1 were selected from the HOLBAEK study population cohort, following exclusion of 6 participants, leaving a total of 36 participants in the NW group (Fig. 1).

#### Ethics

The study was conducted at the Children’s Obesity Clinic, Department of Pediatrics, Copenhagen University Hospital at Holbæk, Denmark, from June 2013 to November 2013. The study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and oral and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Since the participants were younger than 18, consent was accepted if the parents also gave informed written consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zealand Region (protocol no. SJ-104) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (REG-043-2013).

#### Anthropometrics

Height was measured by stadiometer to the nearest 1 mm, and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg on a Tanita medical scale (WB-110). Age- and sex-adjusted BMI SDS were calculated and evaluated according to a Danish reference (24).

#### Oral Glucose Tolerance Test

Following a 10-hour overnight fast, participants underwent a 2-hour OGTT (1.75 g/kg, maximum 75 g). Blood samples were obtained at 0, 30, and 120 minutes for the measurement of plasma glucose, serum insulin, plasma glucagon, plasma total GLP-1, and plasma total GIP. Impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and/or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was defined based on fasting plasma glucose between 5.6 and 6.9 mmol/L and 2-hour plasma glucose between 7.8 and 11.0 mmol/L according to guidelines from the American Diabetes Association (22).
Biochemical Analyses

Blood samples were immediately separated by centrifugation and stored at −80 °C until analysis. Concentrations of plasma glucose were determined by Siemens Dimension Vista and serum insulin by enzymatic calorimetric methods on a Cobas e 601, and plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), plasma triglycerides (TG), plasma ALT, aspartate transaminase (AST), and plasma γ-glutamyl transferase (GGT) were measured on a Siemens Dimension Vista (25). Blood samples were collected in ice-cold EDTA vials for glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP measurements. Plasma concentrations of glucagon (Mercodia Cat# 10-1271-01, RRID:AB_2737304), total GLP-1 (Mercodia Cat# 10-1278-01, RRID:AB_2892202), and total GIP (Mercodia Cat# 10-1258-01, RRID:AB_2895085) were quantified by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden) in duplicate and run on a SpectraMax iD3 (San Jose, CA, USA).

Calculations

Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as (fasting insulin mU/L × fasting glucose mmol/L)/22.5 as an estimate of hepatic insulin resistance (26). The single-point insulin sensitivity estimator (SPISE) was calculated as 600 × HDL-C\(^{0.185}\) \(\times\) [TG\(^{0.2}\) \(\times\) BMI\(^{1.336}\)] as a marker of whole-body insulin sensitivity (27). The Matsuda index was calculated as 10 000/\(\sqrt{[\text{fasting glucose} \times \text{fasting insulin}] \times [\text{mean glucose} \times \text{mean insulin during OGTT]}}\), representing a composite of both hepatic and peripheral tissue sensitivity to insulin (28). The insulinogenic index (IGI) was calculated as (insulin\(_{30}\) − insulin\(_{0}\))/(glucose\(_{30}\) − glucose\(_{0}\)) (29), which has been shown to correlate with acute insulin response (30). The oral disposition index (ODI) was calculated as (Δinsulin\(_{0}\) − 30/Δglucose\(_{0}\) − 30 × (1/fasting insulin) as an estimate of β-cell function (31).

Total area under the curve (tAUC\(_{0-120}\)) and incremental AUC (iAUC\(_{0-120}\)) were calculated for glucose, insulin, total GLP-1, and total GIP using the trapezoidal method. Relative AUC (rAUC\(_{0-120}\)) was calculated as tAUC\(_{0-120}\)/(fasting concentration × 120 minutes).

Statistical Analyses

Data were tested for normal distribution by the Shapiro–Wilk test and for equal variance by Levene’s test. Data are presented as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range) for continuous, normally, and non-normally distributed variables, respectively, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Differences between the groups were evaluated using Kruskal–Wallis tests for continuous variables (post hoc pair-wise comparisons using Wilcoxon rank sum tests with Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple testing) and chi\(^2\) test for categorical variables.

Linear regression was applied to test the associations between fasting hormones and hormone response during the OGTT (tAUC, iAUC, rAUC) on HOMA-IR, SPISE, Matsuda index, IGI, ODI, and fasting levels of liver enzymes (ALT, AST, GGT) in a pooled model with all individuals, adjusted for age, sex, BMI SDS. A further subanalysis was performed only with the OIR and OIS groups. Non-normally distributed (right-skewed) outcome variables were naturally log-transformed. Estimated β-effect sizes and 95% CIs were
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OIR</th>
<th>OIS</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OIR vs OIS</th>
<th>OIR vs NW</th>
<th>OIS vs NW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>13.6 (12.4, 15.3)</td>
<td>11.3 (9.4, 13.0)</td>
<td>11.2 (9.0, 13.3)</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (boys vs girls), n</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>13/9</td>
<td>15/21</td>
<td>.102</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.277</td>
<td>.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI SDS</td>
<td>2.94 (2.73, 3.14)</td>
<td>2.63 (2.42, 3.01)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.01, 0.47)</td>
<td>1.21 × 10^{-13}</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>5.30 × 10^{-16}</td>
<td>5.30 × 10^{-16}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist–hip ratio</td>
<td>0.91 (0.86, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.84, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.79, 0.86)</td>
<td>1.79 × 10^{-05}</td>
<td>.515</td>
<td>2.18 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>2.18 × 10^{-04}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG/IGT vs NGT, n</td>
<td>12/10</td>
<td>1/21</td>
<td>4/32</td>
<td>3.62 × 10^{-05}</td>
<td>5.79 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>5.79 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic insulin, pmol/L</td>
<td>214 (92, 243)</td>
<td>54 (41, 67)</td>
<td>44 (33, 64)</td>
<td>2.59 × 10^{-06}</td>
<td>5.94 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>2.75 × 10^{-07}</td>
<td>.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.00 (0.90, 1.17)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.00, 1.37)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.20, 1.60)</td>
<td>5.15 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>.139</td>
<td>3.86 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.45 (1.02, 1.80)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.55, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.48, 0.80)</td>
<td>2.22 × 10^{-07}</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.60 × 10^{-07}</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, U/L</td>
<td>27.0 (22.3, 36.8)</td>
<td>22.5 (20.3, 25.8)</td>
<td>21.0 (18.0, 25.0)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST, U/L</td>
<td>21.0 (20.0, 25.0)</td>
<td>23.0 (20.0, 27.5)</td>
<td>28.0 (20.0, 33.0)</td>
<td>.111</td>
<td>.432</td>
<td>.155</td>
<td>.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT, U/L</td>
<td>19.5 (18.0, 20.8)</td>
<td>18.0 (16.0, 20.8)</td>
<td>15.5 (14.0, 17.0)</td>
<td>3.31 × 10^{-05}</td>
<td>.133</td>
<td>2.76 × 10^{-05}</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>6.6 (4.7, 9.7)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3, 2.7)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4, 2.8)</td>
<td>1.29 × 10^{-09}</td>
<td>7.75 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>8.64 × 10^{-12}</td>
<td>.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPISE</td>
<td>6.4 (4.6, 7.5)</td>
<td>8.8 (7.3, 10.4)</td>
<td>15.3 (13.0, 17.8)</td>
<td>1.82 × 10^{-13}</td>
<td>3.30 × 10^{-04}</td>
<td>4.27 × 10^{-15}</td>
<td>9.83 × 10^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda index</td>
<td>1.9 (1.2, 2.5)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.6, 7.0)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.7, 8.1)</td>
<td>1.83 × 10^{-09}</td>
<td>1.79 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>6.81 × 10^{-12}</td>
<td>.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGI</td>
<td>2.7 (2.1, 3.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1, 1.8)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.8)</td>
<td>2.78 × 10^{-06}</td>
<td>7.91 × 10^{-05}</td>
<td>1.83 × 10^{-06}</td>
<td>.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>0.11 (0.08, 0.12)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.12, 0.23)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.08, 0.16)</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous data are presented as medians (interquartile range; all cases considered non-normal). Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables and post hoc analyses using Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Bonferroni correction to adjust for pair-wise multiple testing (n = 3).

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate transaminase; GGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGI, insulinogenic index; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; NGT, normal glucose tolerance; NW, normal weight; ODI, oral disposition index; OIR, obesity and insulin resistance; OIS, obesity and insulin sensitive; SPISE, single-point insulin sensitivity estimator; TG, triglycerides.

reported as the SD change in outcome variable per SD change in exposure variable, to facilitate direct comparisons of the strength of associations.

Statistical significance was set at P < .05 and the statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.2.0 (32).

Results

Characteristics of the Study Groups

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study population. There was a tendency for older age and more girls in the OIR group (P = .016 for age; though nonsignificant, P = .102 for sex) than in OIS and NW groups. As expected, BMI SDS and waist–hip ratio were higher in subjects with obesity than in peers with NW; there was a tendency for higher BMI SDS in the OIR group than in the OIS group (though nonsignificant; P = .100). There was a higher proportion of individuals with IFG/IGT in the OIR group than in the OIS and NW groups (P = 3.62 × 10^{-05}). The OIR group had higher historic fasting serum insulin concentrations than the OIS and NW groups (P = 2.59 × 10^{-06}). The OIR group had higher plasma concentrations of HDL-C, TG, ALT, and GGT (all P < .05) than the OIS and NW groups (except for HDL-C P = .139 and GGT P = .133 in OIR vs OIS), with no significant difference between groups for AST (P = .111). The OIR group had higher HOMA-IR, lower SPISE, lower Matsuda index, higher IGI, and lower ODI than the OIS and NW groups (all P < .05; except for ODI P = .170 in OIR vs NW).

Plasma Glucose and Serum Insulin Response

Fasting concentrations of plasma glucose and serum insulin are shown in Fig. 2 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). Fasting concentrations of plasma glucose were higher in the OIR group than in the OIS (P = .007) and NW (P = .007) groups. As expected, fasting serum insulin concentrations were higher in the OIR group than in OIS (P = 2.51 × 10^{-10}) and NW (P = 5.40 × 10^{-12}) groups. There were no significant differences in fasting plasma glucose and serum insulin between the OIS and NW groups (P = .391; P = .854).

Plasma glucose and serum insulin response during the OGTT are presented in Fig. 3 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). There was a tendency for higher plasma glucose (though nonsignificant) and higher serum insulin iAUC_{0-120} in the OIR group than in the OIS (P = .052; P = 8.22 × 10^{-07}) and NW (P = .119; P = 1.28 × 10^{-05}) groups. There was a trend for lower plasma glucose iAUC_{0-120} (though nonsignificant; P = .119) in the OIS compared with the NW group, with no significant difference in serum insulin iAUC_{0-120} (P = .803; Fig. 4; Table S1 (33)).

Plasma Glucagon Response

Fasting concentrations of plasma glucagon are shown in Fig. 2 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). Fasting glucagon concentrations were higher in the OIR group than in the OIS (P = .014), but not for NW (P = .204) groups. Fasting glucagon concentrations were lower in the OIS than in the NW group (P = .019).

Plasma glucagon response during the OGTT is presented in Fig. 3 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). We observed a higher tAUC_{0-120} for glucagon in the OIR group than in the OIS (P = .017) and NW (P = .004) groups, with no significant differences between the OIS and NW groups (P = .377; Fig. 4; Table S1 (33)).

When the OIR group was stratified according to glucose tolerance status there was a trend for higher fasting glucagon
Plasma Total GLP-1 Response
Fasting concentrations of plasma total GLP-1 are shown in Fig. 2 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). Fasting GLP-1 concentrations were higher in the OIR group than in the OIS group (P = .007), with no significant differences between the OIR and NW (nonsignificant; P = .117), and OIS and NW groups (P = .135).

Plasma GLP-1 response during the OGTT is presented in Fig. 3 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). We observed a lower iAUC_0-120 for GLP-1 in the OIR group than in the OIS (P = .018) and NW (P = .038) groups, with no significant differences between the OIS and NW groups (P = .153; Fig. 4, Table S1 (33)).

Individuals within the OIR group with IFG and/or IGT had higher fasting total GLP-1 (P = .010) and a trend for lower iAUC_0-120 (nonsignificant; P = .114) than those with NGT (Fig. S1, Table S2 (33)).
Plasma Total GIP Response

Fasting concentrations of plasma total GIP are shown in Fig. 2 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). No significant differences in fasting GIP were observed between study groups ($P = .699$).

Plasma GIP response during the OGTT is presented in Fig. 3 and elsewhere (Table S1 (33)). No significant differences in iAUC$_{0-120}$ for GIP were observed between study groups ($P = .227$, Fig. 4, Table S1 (33)).

There were no significant differences in fasting total GIP ($P = .356$) and iAUC$_{0-120}$ ($P = .468$) in individuals with IFG and/or IGT compared with NGT from the OIR group (Fig. S1, Table S2 (33)).

Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With HOMA-IR

Higher fasting glucagon and a tendency for higher tAUC$_{0-120}$ were associated with higher HOMA-IR ($P = 2.52 \times 10^{-04}$, $P = .057$) in a pooled model adjusted for age, sex, and BMI SDS (Table S3 (33)). Higher GLP-1 at fasting and lower
iAUC_{0-120} were associated higher HOMA-IR ($P = 1.34 \times 10^{-04}$; $P = .001$). Lower GIP iAUC_{0-120} but not fasting GIP, was associated with higher HOMA-IR ($P = .038$; $P = .479$).

**Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With SPISE**

Higher fasting glucagon and tAUC_{0-120} were associated with lower SPISE ($P = .047$; $P = .033$; Table S3 (33)). A lower iAUC_{0-120} showed a tendency for an association with lower SPISE, but not for fasting (nonsignificant; $P = .56$; $P = .53$). GIP at fasting and iAUC_{0-120} were not significantly associated with SPISE ($P = .587$; $P = .656$).

**Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With Matsuda Index**

Higher fasting glucagon and tAUC_{0-120} were associated with a lower Matsuda index ($P = 2.17 \times 10^{-05}$; $P = 4.36 \times 10^{-04}$; Table S3 (33)). Higher fasting GLP-1 and lower iAUC_{0-120}
were associated with a lower Matsuda index \((P = 7.97 \times 10^{-05}; P = .028)\). Higher fasting GIP was associated with a higher Matsuda index, but not for iAUC_{0-120} \((P = .031; P = .125)\).

**Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With IGI**

Higher fasting glucagon and a tendency for higher tAUC-120 was associated with higher IGI \((P = .018; P = .098; Table S3 (33))\). There was a tendency for higher fasting GLP-1 and higher IGI, but not for iAUC_{0-120} (nonsignificant; \(P = .086; P = .674)\). Fasting GIP and iAUC_{0-120} were not significantly associated with IGI \((P = .850; P = .220)\).

**Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With ODI**

Fasting glucagon and tAUC_{0-120} were not significantly associated with ODI \((P = .132; P = .206; Table S3 (33))\). Higher fasting GLP-1 and lower iAUC_{0-120} were associated with lower ODI \((P = .044; P = .012)\). Higher fasting GIP was associated with lower ODI, but not for iAUC_{0-120} \((P = .010; P = .372)\).

**Associations of Glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP With Liver Enzymes**

Higher fasting glucagon was associated with higher fasting plasma concentrations of ALT, but not AST or GGT \((P = .017; P = .407; P = .190; Table S3 (33))\). Glucagon tAUC_{0-120} as well as fasting and iAUC_{0-120} for GLP-1 and GIP were not significantly associated with ALT, AST, or GGT \((P \geq .05)\).

Consistent results were observed in the subanalysis with OIR and OIS individuals only (Table S4 (33)) compared with the pooled model with all 3 study groups.

**Discussion**

The present study reports that children and adolescents with OIR have elevated fasting concentrations of glucagon and GLP-1, with no significant difference in fasting GIP. Moreover, those with OIR exhibited elevated glucagon and attenuated GLP-1 responses during an OGTT compared with peers with OIS or NW. In contrast to children and adolescents with OIR, individuals with OIS display no significant differences in hormone responses compared with controls with NW. Elevated glucagon and attenuated GLP-1 responses were associated with worsened insulin sensitivity and \(\beta\)-cell function. Findings from this study suggest that insulin resistance is coupled to the obesity-related alterations in glucagon and GLP-1 secretion, which could have important implications for future disease risk.

Adults with obesity and T2D are characterized by elevated plasma concentrations of glucagon compared with healthy individuals, suggesting a potential resistance of the pancreatic \(\alpha\)-cells to insulin \((34-36)\). Altered glucagon response to glucose in adults has also been shown to independently associate with BMI and M values in EHC studies \((37)\). Adolescents with obesity display similar elevations in fasting glucagon concentrations compared with controls with NW \((7)\), associating with hyperinsulinemia, visceral adiposity, high plasma free fatty acids, plasma triglycerides, and IGT \((9)\). Inadequate suppression of glucagon during an EHC also occurs in adolescents with obesity and IGT \((8)\). In the present study, we are able to delineate that children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance have elevated concentrations of glucagon at fasting and higher glucagon responses during an OGTT compared with individuals with obesity and normal insulin sensitivity, and controls with NW.

Adults with obesity and T2D have lower GLP-1 responses after an oral glucose load than healthy individuals, which associates with worsened insulin sensitivity and impaired \(\beta\)-cell function \((38)\). Young adults with obesity, higher liver fat content, and hepatic insulin resistance show a similar attenuated GLP-1 response to oral glucose compared with healthy controls \((39)\). Several prior studies in children and adolescents with obesity report blunted GLP-1 responses compared with controls with NW \((10-12)\), suggesting that altered GLP-1 secretion emerges early in life. In addition to lower GLP-1 responses, elevated fasting GLP-1 has been reported in children and adolescents with obesity compared with NW peers \((10, 11, 13, 15, 16)\). Interestingly, higher fasting concentrations and lower GLP-1 response to an oral glucose challenge has also been observed in a rodent model of chronic hyperinsulinemia (MKR mice) compared with control mice \((40)\). In agreement with prior evidence, the present study finds that children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance exhibit higher fasting concentrations of GLP-1 and lower GLP-1 responses to oral glucose than peers with obesity and normal insulin sensitivity, and controls with NW, associating with lower insulin sensitivity and impaired \(\beta\)-cell function. Alterations in GLP-1 responses may also further deviate according to glucose tolerance status.

Similar to GLP-1, impaired GIP secretion is also expected in adults with obesity and T2D \((41)\). Yet, a large meta-analysis found no significant differences in GIP secretion between adult T2D patients \((n = 363)\) and subjects with NGT \((n = 325)\) \((42)\). Likewise, results from the large-scale ADDITION-PRO study \((n = 1405)\) revealed no significant differences in GIP response during an OGTT according to glucose tolerance status in adults \((43)\). Interestingly, higher fasting GIP was associated with improved lipid metabolism (ie, lower LDL-C in both men and women, and higher HDL-C in women), whereas higher stimulated GIP was associated with unhealthy fat distribution (ie, more visceral abdominal fat and higher waist to hip ratio) in men, independent of insulin \((43)\). Previous studies in children and adolescents with obesity also found no significant differences in GIP response during OGTT or EHC \((15-18)\). In the present study we found no significant differences in GIP response to oral glucose in children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance. Based on the present findings, the role of GIP in pediatric obesity still remains unclear. Future studies are needed to dissect the associations (if any) between changes in body fat distribution and insulin resistance on perturbed GIP secretion.

Further support for the relationships found in the present study comes from adults in the IMI-DIRECT consortium \((n = 726)\), which applied soft clustering of clinical phenotypes, exposing an archetype of obesity and insulin resistance associated with higher visceral fat and liver fat, lower physical activity, and higher fasting concentrations of glucagon and GLP-1 \((44)\). In the present study, children and adolescents with obesity but normal insulin sensitivity seem to be protected against alterations in glucagon and incretin secretion compared to individuals with insulin resistance. Yet, despite this lack of difference in hormone levels in the OIS group, evidence suggest that adults with OIS have elevated cardiometabolic risk compared with those with NW and normal insulin sensitivity \((45)\).

Heterogeneity in fat distribution may play a key role in the relationship between insulin resistance and altered hormone secretion. The adipose expandability theory serves to explain
the individual variability in the upper level of fat storage capacity, which when exceeded elicits ectopic fat storage in visceral depots such as in the liver and skeletal muscles, contributing to insulin resistance and low-grade chronic inflammation (46).

The concept of a liver–α cell axis may serve as a possible mechanism, linking obesity, insulin resistance, and hyperglucagonemia. According to this theory, increased fat in the liver impairs glucagon signaling leading to a decrease in ureageneisis, an increase in plasma amino acids, in turn increasing glucagon secretion from the α-cells causing hyperglucagonemia (36). The glucagon–alanine index has been proposed as a biomarker of liver–α cell axis, as it associates with higher hepatic insulin resistance, plasma concentrations of ALT and GGT in the ADDITION-PRO study (47). A similar liver–α cell axis may exist in children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance (48). The current study found a positive associations between fasting glucagon and fasting plasma concentrations of ALT, but not with GLP-1 or GIP.

There are several strengths and limitations that apply to the present cross-sectional study. One limitation is the lack of information on pubertal stage and measurement of sex hormones, as transient physiological insulin resistance occurs during growth and development, which may limit the interpretations of our findings (23). This study included 0, 30, and 120 minute time points. Additional time points (eg, 180 minutes) during the OGTT could enhance the power to detect differences in hormone responses between groups (49). The children and adolescents with obesity included in this study were undergoing an intervention program at an obesity treatment clinic (20), which may have affected measures of glucose metabolism and hormone concentrations beneficially, potentially limiting the power to detect significant differences. This consideration does not apply to the participants with NW, who were not part of an intervention program. Moreover, we did not perform the more laborious EHC, which is the gold standard for assessing insulin sensitivity (50). Instead, we used surrogate measures, including the Matsuda index, HOMA-IR, and SPISE, which show a moderate degree of correlation in children and adolescents with obesity (27, 51). We also included the IGI as a correlate for acute insulin response (30) and ODI as an informative estimate of β-cell function in children and adolescents with obesity (52). A major strength to the present study is the use of well-documented and validated assays for plasma glucagon and GLP-1 with high specificity and sensitivity (53-55). The recruitment of subjects stratified by insulin sensitivity allowed us to uniquely examine the combined effects of obesity and insulin resistance on glucagon, GLP-1, and GIP secretion, which has not been previously characterized in pediatric studies.

Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, we cannot conclude whether altered hormone secretion precedes or parallels changes in insulin resistance. It also remains unclear whether insulin resistance in children and adolescents with obesity is a transient phenotype or whether it progresses over time. Future longitudinal studies are warranted to examine whether altered glucagon and GLP-1 secretion in children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance increase the risk of diabetes development into adulthood. Moreover, application of Mendelian randomization techniques might elucidate the (suggestive) causal relationship between obesity, insulin resistance, and altered glucagon and gut hormone secretion.

Conclusions

Children and adolescents with obesity and insulin resistance have elevated concentrations of fasting plasma glucagon and GLP-1, elevated glucagon, and attenuated GLP-1 responses during the OGTT, which is paralleled by lower estimates of insulin sensitivity and altered β-cell function. Children and adolescents with obesity and normal insulin sensitivity do not exhibit similar alterations in glucagon and incretin secretion, highlighting potential for targeted interventions.
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