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SUMMARY

Aneuploidy compromises genomic stability, often leading to embryo inviability, and is frequently associated with tumorigenesis and aging. Different aneuploid chromosome stoichiometries lead to distinct transcriptomic and phenotypic changes, making it helpful to study aneuploidy in tightly controlled genetic backgrounds. By deploying the engineered SCRaMbLE (synthetic chromosome rearrangement and modification by loxP-mediated evolution) system to the newly synthesized megabase Sc2.0 chromosome VII (synVII), we constructed a synthetic disomic yeast and screened hundreds of SCRaMbLEd derivatives with diverse chromosomal rearrangements. Phenotypic characterization and multi-omics analysis revealed that fitness defects associated with aneuploidy could be restored by (1) removing most of the chromosome content or (2) modifying specific regions in the duplicated chromosome. These findings indicate that both chromosome copy number and specific chromosomal regions contribute to the aneuploidy-related phenotypes, and the synthetic chromosome resource opens new paradigms in studying aneuploidy.

INTRODUCTION

Aneuploidy represents an imbalanced genomic state, in which the copy number of intact or partial chromosomes is altered. At the organismal level, aneuploidy in humans is often intrinsically linked to embryonic lethality, particularly in early development with major developmental abnormalities, devastating genetic disorders, tumorigenesis, and aging.1–5 Therefore, systematic investigation of the underlying molecular mechanisms of aneuploidy is essential to unravel its effects on basic cellular and developmental functions, as well as its clinical relevance as a prognostic marker or potential therapeutic target. Early studies of aneuploid yeast, mouse, and human cells have unveiled a number of phenotypes and distinct gene expression
patterns. Different possible mechanisms have been proposed, attributing the aneuploid phenotypes to changes in many or a small number of critical genes (“mass action of genes” or “few critical genes” hypotheses), resulting in stoichiometric imbalances between different subunits of cellular protein complexes. 

Although substantial efforts have been devoted to elucidating the causes and consequences of aneuploidy in recent years, the molecular mechanisms underlying the diverse aneuploid phenotypes remain poorly understood. The difficulty in identifying links between aneuploidy and its associated distinct phenotypes mainly derives from two reasons: (1) the consequences of aneuploidy vary significantly in the context of distinct karyotypes and cell types, and (2) limitations of current methods to generate isogenic and stable aneuploid cell populations in multi-cellular organisms. Thus, as a unicellular eukaryote, the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is widely adopted as a simple and suitable model for studying aneuploidy. A method has been established to generate aneuploid yeast strains with defined karyotypes by induction of mis-segregation of target chromosomes during mitosis. However, this method allows only the investigation of immediate consequences of karyotypic changes but fails to further identify the effects of specific regions within a chromosome.

In recent years, DNA synthesis and editing technologies have rapidly evolved and propelled synthetic genomics to center stage, best exemplified by the Sc2.0 project that generated a series of synthetic yeast strains bearing designer chromosomes synthesized from scratch. As a unique feature of the Sc2.0 genome, the SCRaMbLE (synthetic chromosome rearrangement and modification by loxP-mediated evolution) system allows the generation of combinatorial genomic diversity through massive rearrangements between designed recombination recognition sites. This capability has been harnessed for applications including strain improvements for product yield and specific stress tolerance. This on-demand genome rearrangement feature also makes Sc2.0 synthetic yeast a superior model for dissecting the complexity underlying cellular aneuploidy. By generating isogenic aneuploid yeast strains bearing defined Sc2.0 designer chromosomes, SCRaMbLE will enable generating diverse chromosomal rearrangements specifically on the synthetic chromosome(s). Combined genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and karyotype analyses of the resultant aneuploid yeast strains will shed new light on genotype-to-phenotype relationships associated with aneuploidy.

In this study, we construct the Sc2.0 chromosome VII (synVII) and its corresponding disomic yeast to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach. SynVII was chosen for two main reasons: first, because the cost of aneuploidy is reported to be proportional to the chromosome length, synVII is one of the largest chromosomes at over 1 million base pairs, representing nearly 10% of the whole yeast genome. Second, few studies conducted in-depth analysis of the cause and consequence of disomic yeast bearing an extra copy of chromosome VII (chrVII). Therefore, our study could facilitate the investigation of multiple aspects of aneuploidy using the disomic synVII yeast as a model system. We identify 219 SCRaMbLEd disomic yeasts with massive chromosomal rearrangements specifically limited to synVII. Phenotypic characterization and multi-omics analyses reveal two distinct approaches adopted by aneuploid yeast to restore cellular fitness. The substantial fitness cost as the result of aneuploidy can be restored by removing the majority of content from the additional chromosome copy. Interestingly, we found the deletion of a 20-kb region on the right arm of synVII is associated with upregulation of translation and leads to fitness improvement in varying conditions. Our results indicate that both chromosomal copy number and specific gene content contribute to the aneuploidy phenotypes.

**RESULTS**

The debugged synVII strain exhibits high fitness comparable with the wild-type strain

To utilize SCRaMbLEd of synthetic disomic yeast for dissecting aneuploidy phenotypes, we started with the construction of synthetic chrVII. SynVII was designed following the previously reported Sc2.0 design principles, resulting in a final 1,028,952-bp synthetic chromosome carrying ~11.88% modified sequence in comparison with the native sequence.

Three unexpected design features causing significant fitness defects were identified and corrected during the construction process. The intermediate strain containing synthetic megachunkS5-Y (synVIII) revealed a significant growth defect compared with the parental strain synVIIIT (because the chromosome was constructed from “right” to “left”) and wild-type (WT) strain BY4741 in rich medium (yeast extract peptone dextrose [YPD]) at 30°C (Figure 1A). By mating the synVIIIIS strain (MATα) with all 25 single-gene knockout strains corresponding to the megachunk S region, we found that the synthetic NSR1 gene led to the observed fitness defect (Figure 1B). Notably, transcriptome profiling of the synVIIIIS strain revealed an ~6-fold

---

**Figure 1. Functional dissection and repair of SynVII**

(A) Spot assay of synVIIIR intermediate strains. The synVIIIR is constructed in orientation from megachunk Y toward megachunk O.

(B) Bug mapping by mating growth-defective query strain synVIIIIS and its parental strain synVIIIT with yeast single-knockout strain BY4741. The corresponding phenotype by plating and protein expression level, quantified by multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry (MRM-MS) analysis, is shown for each constructed strain. Error bars indicate ± SD (n = 3). The white and orange blocks represent wild-type and synthetic PCRTags, respectively. Identified dysregulated genetic features at (F) transcriptome level and (G) proteome level of repaired synVII cells compared with BY4741. Total number of differentially expressed (p < 0.001) features in transcriptome and proteome are presented.
upregulation of \textit{NSR1} transcription compared with \textit{synVII}, which was further confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis (Figures 1C and 1D), whereas Nsr1 protein abundance was drastically reduced paradoxically (Figure 1E). There are several design features of the \textit{NSR1} gene: two synthetic PCRTags within the coding region and one \textit{loxPsym} site at the 3’ UTR were introduced into synthetic \textit{NSR1}; in addition, the YGR160W "dubious ORF" overlapped the \textit{NSR1} gene on its complementary strand, resulting in the insertion of an additional \textit{loxPsym} site immediately downstream of its stop codon, as dictated by the "rules" of the Sc2.0 design. This resulted in one \textit{loxPsym} site within the 5’ UTR of \textit{NSR1} (Figure 1B). We hypothesized that this "misplaced" \textit{loxPsym} site might be responsible for the fitness defect. To this end, we individually reverted each designer feature in or near \textit{NSR1} to the WT counterpart and monitored growth and \textit{NSR1} expression. The steady-state level of \textit{NSR1} returned to the WT level by simply removing the \textit{loxPsym} site at the 5’ UTR of \textit{NSR1} (Figure 1E). In comparison, the removal of the synthetic PCRTags had little effect. These results demonstrate that the \textit{loxPsym} site at the 5’ UTR of the \textit{NSR1} gene leads to the fitness defect, presumably by interfering with translation because the \textit{loxP} sequence can form a stem-loop structure.\textsuperscript{33} This interpretation accounts for the paradox described previously, namely, increased \textit{NSR1} mRNA abundance (presumably a consequence of the reduced protein expression level). Consistent with this finding, the introduction of a \textit{loxPsym} sequence at the 5’ UTR of \textit{NSR1} resulted in dramatic decreases in protein level and obvious growth defect in the BY4741 strain (Figure 1E). A similar pattern was also observed in a synX bug. One \textit{loxPsym} site was “accidentally” transcribed as a part of \textit{SWI3} 5’ UTR, which led to an increased transcript but reduced protein level.\textsuperscript{34} In addition, we noticed that the removal of \textit{loxPsym} site at the 3’ UTR could alleviate the cellular defect in the WT strain to some extent, suggesting the formation of a potential stem-loop by the two \textit{loxPsym} sites could further affect the translation. Taken together, significant fitness defect observed in the intermediate \textit{synVII} strain was derived from the \textit{loxPsym} site inserted into the UTR of \textit{NSR1}. Another two fitness defects caused by the \textit{loxPsym} site in mega-chunk W (Figure S1A) and the removal of the tRNA \textit{tNGU}U/JG gene (Figure S1B) were identified and corrected, followed by verification of whole-genome sequencing, phenotypic, transcriptomic, and proteomic profiling in comparison with the parental strain BY4741. Our results demonstrate that the final version of the synthetic chromosome has a minimal effect on yeast cell physiology and transcriptional and translational states (Figures 1F, 1G, and S2). Therefore, the \textit{synVII} strain with its in-depth characterized phenotype is ideal for further aneuploidy studies.

The \textit{synVII} chromosome in disomic yeast is well maintained and leads to aneuploidy-specific phenotypes

To build a disomic yeast strain with a defined chromosome gain, we took advantage of a well-established system to generate a \textit{chrVII}-specific aneuploid yeast strain.\textsuperscript{14,35} The galactose inducible/glucose repressible \textit{GAL1} promoter was inserted adjacent to the \textit{CEN7} sequence of WT strain BY4742 to allow controlled inactivation of centromere function, which led to transient nondisjunction of \textit{chrVII} and the generation of the yeast strain YSy140 with two copies of native \textit{chrVII}. YSy140 (\textit{MATa}) and \textit{synVII} strain YSy105 (\textit{MATa}) were mated and sporulated to obtain the disomic yeast YSy142 bearing one synthetic and one native copy of \textit{chrVII} (Figure 2A), which was verified by the whole-genome sequencing.

Next, we systematically examined the consequences of gaining a synthetic \textit{chrVII} in the disome strain YSy142 through detailed analyses of chromosome organization, transcriptional profile, genome stability, and phenotypes. By investigating the trajectories of both synthetic and WT \textit{chrVII} of YSy142, we found that the two chromosomes are symmetrically arranged in the nucleus (Figure 2B). Transcriptome profiling revealed that the majority of genes present on both copies of \textit{chrVII} in YSy142 and YSy140 strains were transcribed (Figure 2C). In the 563 genes on \textit{chrVII}, 517 and 540 genes were upregulated in YSy140 and YSy142, respectively, in comparison with BY4741. Despite the presence of an extra copy of \textit{chrVII}, only less than 10% of genes exhibited a buffered expression level. Previous studies have described a common aneuploidy gene-expression (CAGE) signature in aneuploid yeast-cell populations.\textsuperscript{12} We found YSy140 and YSy142 strains exhibited a similar aneuploidy gene-expression profiling according to the CAGE patterns with a highly consistent rate (Figure S3). These results suggested that the disome strains YSy140 and YSy142 shared a common aneuploidy transcriptomic profiling and cell physiology.

Previous studies have shown that some aneuploid strains are unstable.\textsuperscript{36} Here we analyzed the stability of YSy142 and YSy140 through long-term growth assays (~220 generations). Compared with YSy140, YSy142 showed a significant improvement of genome stability. More than 50% of the population of YSy142 stably maintained the \textit{synVII} chromosome over 160 generations. In comparison, the aneuploid strain YSy140 was stable only up to ~80 generations (Figure 2D). The integrity of target chromosomes in both YSy142 and YSy140 was confirmed by whole-genome sequencing (Figure S4). Overall, the stability of an extra copy of synthetic \textit{chrVII} in the YSy142 strain provides an unprecedented opportunity to dissect \textit{chrVII}-specific aneuploidy-associated molecular and phenotypic changes.

To determine how aneuploidy affects the proliferation and physiology, we further characterized aneuploid \textit{synVII} strains YSy140 and YSy142 under conditions with different types of exogenous stress and identified two aneuploidy-specific phenotypes (Figure S5). Specifically, disomic strains exhibited increased sensitivity to cycloheximide (a protein synthesis inhibitor) and hydroxyurea (an inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase) and methyl methanesulfonate (MMS; a DNA-damaging agent). These findings are consistent with traits shared by most aneuploid yeast strains harboring different karyotypes reported in previous studies.\textsuperscript{11,37}

High-frequency rearrangements revealed in 219 SCRaMbLED disomic yeasts with varying degrees of growth recovery

Previous studies demonstrated that extensive unique genotypes could be generated by SCRaMbLE of synthetic chromosomes within populations of Sc2.0 synthetic strains.\textsuperscript{20–25,28,38} With the
constructed aneuploid yeast strain harboring a complete synVII, we sought to determine whether SCRaMbLE could help screen for aneuploid cells, which recovered a WT phenotype, offering us an opportunity to identify the genes/target regions that drive the aneuploidy-specific fitness defects. To this end, a daughter-cell-specific Cre recombinase expression plasmid, pSCW11-creEBD, was transformed into YSy142 strain to promote SCRaMbLE. After 24 h, cultured cells were plated onto selective agar medium containing translation inhibitor cycloheximide to select for SCRaMbLEd aneuploid synVII derivatives.
The dual auxotrophic selection (Leu² Met⁺) of target chromosomes was utilized to maintain the aneuploidy state and ensure that the phenotype is not due to the simple loss of one chromosome copy. The SCRaMbLE colonies showing improved growth in the presence of cycloheximide were analyzed by genome sequencing (Figure 3A).

In all 219 selected SCRaMbLEd strains, the growth recovery rate was calculated by comparing average colony size (from >200 single colonies) of each strain with that of the un-SCRaMbLEd aneuploid yeast parent YSy142. Our results revealed varying degrees of growth recovery rate from 6.6% to 80% for SCRaMbLEd strains harboring distinct content and size of synVII (Figure 3B). Not surprisingly, a peak of sequence retention was found within CEN7-adjacent regions because of the maintenance of selection for prototrophy (Leu² Met⁺). However, from the whole-genome sequencing, we observed an unequal proportion of reads of WT versus synthetic synVII PCRTags for three SCRaMbLEd strains, with the relative ratio at around 2:1 (Figure S6A). Presumably, the ratio should be equally distributed because there is one copy of WT and synthetic chrVII each in the disome yeast. Further analysis by flow cytometry on one of the three strains confirmed that it is a trisomic yeast (Figure S6B), possibly resulting from spontaneous whole-genome duplication (WGD) after or during SCRaMbLE. Thus, these three strains are...
excluded from the collection of selected SCRaMbLEd strains for further analysis because their phenotypes might also be influenced by the corresponding genome ploidy, as suggested in previous studies.37

Among the 969 recombination events that occurred in cells that showed recovery of cellular fitness, three types of event, including deletion, inversion, and duplication, were observed at frequencies of 62.2%, 29.2%, and 8.6%, respectively. A recent SCRaMbLE study suggested that the recombination frequency in a haploid synthetic yeast was not as high as that in the right arm of synthetic chromosome IX (synIXR) experiment.37 It is very likely that the random recombination between two locoPsym sites in a region containing essential genes in haploid yeast would lead to lethality and consequently result in a bias of recombination events, although the random nature of SCRaMbLE events is preserved in heterozygous diploids.38 Presumably, in our study, we might observe an increase of recombination frequency because SCRaMbLE occurs only in the synthetic chromosome, whereas the native copy remains intact. For each SCRaMbLEd strain, we observed an average number of events at 4.42. We also observed a very long tail in the distribution of events per strain, with ≥10 events found in 17 strains, and 2 strains containing 32 and 50 events, respectively (Figure 3C).

In general, we observed a negative correlation between chromosome retention rate (the frequency of each synVII segment preserved after SCRaMbLE across all selected SCRaMbLEd strains) and growth recovery rate (the relative colony size of each SCRaMbLEd strain compared with YSy142 under the same culturing condition) (Figure 3D). We found 31 SCRaMbLEd strains carrying circular chromosomes with higher growth recovery rates (40%–60%) tended to lose most of both synVII chromosome arms, retaining only 1%–19% of the original chromosome arms, namely, the centromere–adjacent regions. In contrast, 18 of the 24 strains with >50% retention rate showed only moderate growth recovery rates (averaging 32.4%). Our result supports the idea that gene dosage contributes heavily to aneuploidy and by reducing the copy number via SCRaMbLE, the growth defect of disomic yeast is rescued.

The frequency of circular synVII is significantly higher than that of linear synVII maintained in SCRaMbLEd disomic strains

We identified two types of synVII chromosome structural conformation (circular and linear forms) in the 219 SCRaMbLEd disomic yeast. Interestingly, the frequency of generating circular SCRaMbLEd synthetic chrVII was surprisingly high. Around 89% of all selected SCRaMbLEd disomic strains (in total 195) maintained circular SCRaMbLEd synVII with sizes ranging from 10 to 532 kb, whereas only 11% of selected strains (in total 24) carried the original linear SCRaMbLEd synVII with sizes ranging from 74 to 1,028 kb. One possible explanation for this is that once a circularized chromosome forms, which requires a single intramolecular SCRaMbLE event between locoPs sites near the two telomeres, it is “primed” to give rise to subsequent daughter deletions that can remove most of both chromosome arms. Moreover, whereas linear synVII can continually give rise to additional daughter circles, once locked into the circular state it cannot return to a linear state via SCRaMbLE. Another potential explanation is that some (or several) genes near one of the telomeres are very toxic in multiple copies. Thus, the formation of circular chromosomes would remove this gene preferentially and may have been selected for.

We observed that the average coverage depth of the circular SCRaMbLEd synVII was approximately three times lower than the native copy (Figure S7). One theoretical explanation for this observation is that of WGD followed by loss of one copy of the synthetic chromosome, yielding a 2n+1 strain. This was ruled out by both flow cytometry measurement and sporulation analysis (Figure S8). It is possible that circular synVII is not stable and lost in a subpopulation, raising the concern that the improved fitness is due to the average lowered copy number in the mixed population. To exclude this possibility, we selected three representative samples with distinct circular synVII contents from the 195 strains for long-term genome stability assays. Our results showed that the genome of SCRaMbLEd aneuploid strains is fairly stable. More than 50% of the population stably maintains the circular SCRaMbLEd synVII chromosome over 60–200 generations in the absence of selection (Figure S9). Considering at the time (~3 days culturing) of phenotypic assays and sampling for genome sequencing, more than 90% of the population in each selected strain maintained the SCRaMbLEd synVII chromosome, we conclude that the apparently low copy number of circular SCRaMbLEd synVII is not the main reason for the observed growth recovery. It has been previously reported that the average read depth for the non-synthetic chromosome is greater than that for the synthetic circular chromosome arm, indicating higher recovery of linear versus circular chromosomes during the sample preparation process for sequencing,22,29 potentially explaining the observed lower depth of circular SCRaMbLEd synVII.

In contrast with SCRaMbLEd strains bearing circular synVII, the recovery rates of screened strains that retained linear synVII exhibited a relatively wide range (from 6.6% to 80.2%), with an average recovery rate of around 39.1%. Using a previously established method,22 we reconstructed the full SCRaMbLEd synVII chromosome sequence of all 24 screened strains (Figure S10A). In 22 of 24 strains with varying recovered phenotype, the left arm of synVII (synVII) was well maintained, showing few SCRaMbLE events, whereas the chromosome content of synVII across these strains changed drastically. The most frequently observed recombination event was deletion, at a frequency of 61.9%, followed by inversion at a frequency of 34.1%. We further explored the deletion distribution across the entire chromosome and observed a deletion hotspot in the right arm region of synVII (Figure S10B). For the top 18 strains with relatively high fitness improvement (average recovery rate >30%), we visualized the copy number of each segment between two adjacent locoPsym sites in the original order of synVII. Large fragment losses within the middle of the chromosome’s right arm and some small deletions also located in the left arm represented the most common deletion types (Figure S10C).

The loss of major chromosome content by SCRaMbLE leads to significant fitness improvement of disomic yeast with reversed environmental stress response

Strains losing 85% of the chromosome content represent the leading type among all selected 195 SCRaMbLEd strains with
circular synVII, at a frequency of 91% (in total 178 strains), but the recovery rates of these strains varied significantly. We speculate that the retained chromosome contents include favored gene combinations that restore fitness under specific stress conditions, and thus the fitness of strains with varying retained gene contents would differ. We then selected the top 18 strains with recovery rate above 46% and examined their phenotypes under normal conditions (YPD), as well as under stress conditions related to DNA replication and repair, translation, and osmolarity regulation. Compared with the parental YSy142 strain, we observed a general improvement of fitness in all 18 strains, but to varying degrees (Figure 4A).

Previous studies described that exponentially growing disomic yeast strains typically exhibited a gene expression pattern designated as yeast environmental stress response (ESR), which includes the upregulation of ~300 genes and the downregulation of ~600 genes (also known as the “induced (i) ESR” and “repressed (r)ESR,” respectively).10,40 Our transcriptome analysis consistently revealed that the disomic YSy142 also exhibited the ESR transcriptional signature. To be more specific, >70% of the reported iESR genes were upregulated and >80% of the rESR genes were downregulated in YSy142. Because the ESR pattern is highly correlated with the fitness of disomic yeast strains under stressful conditions,41 we hypothesized that the SCRaMbLEd strains with recovered fitness would show a reversed ESR pattern. The fitness of both YSy150 and YSy151 SCRaMbLEd strains, which lost 95.2% and 88.4% of synthetic chrVII, respectively, was significantly improved under most tested conditions compared with YSy142 (Figure 4B). Thus, these two strains were chosen for further transcriptome analysis with a focus on ESR-related genes. As expected, our results revealed a reversed trend of the transcriptional signature in both strains under three selected representative conditions, in which most of the iESR/rESR genes no longer showed significant up-/downregulation compared with YSy142 (Figure 4C). These results demonstrate that the degree of the ESR correlates well with the cell proliferation rate, and the SCRaMbL process is efficient and effective to recover aneuploidy-specific fitness.

The deletion of a 20-kb region on synVII is linked to upregulation of translation and leads to improved growth

In contrast with SCRaMbLEd strains with circular synVII, the SCRaMbLEd strains bearing linear synVII maintained the majority of chromosome content (chromosome retention rate ranging from 7.2% to 100%) and showed a relatively minor fitness
recovery rate. We aimed to disclose whether a distinct mechanism other than the “mass action of genes” hypothesis was utilized by these yeast cells to cope with the aneuploidy-induced stress. Thus, we performed comparative proteomics analysis on five disomic strains with linear SCRaMbLEd synVII that exhibited varying recovery rates grown under YPD and stress conditions containing cycloheximide and hydroxyurea (Figure 5A). In addition, we observed that protein synthesis and ribosome biogenesis processes were significantly upregulated in all selected strains under various conditions, suggesting that the increased activity of protein biosynthesis was linked to the improved growth of disomic strains.

Next, we wanted to determine the correlation among the observed rearrangement events on linear synVII, enhanced expression of the translation machinery, and improved phenotype. Based on the observed deletion hotspot on synVII and distribution of genes involved in translation and ribosome biogenesis, we looked for the key rearrangements that were potentially related to fitness improvement of disomic yeast strains after SCRaMbLE. We also performed a chromosome-wide association analysis to

Figure 5. The improvement of synVII aneuploidy phenotypes by the deletion of a 20-kb region (del20K) on synVIIR is associated with the upregulation of protein biosynthesis

(A) The proteomics analysis of five disomic strains with linear SCRaMbLEd synVII exhibited varying recovery rates grown on YPD and two stress conditions. (B) The chromosome-wide association analysis against the five disomic strains reveals a 20-kb region that might play an essential role in the observed fitness improvement. Each red dot represents the statistical significance of each gene for the association between its deletion pattern and relative colony size. The five selected strains are ranked by their corresponding growth recovery rate. The regions deleted and reserved after SCRaMbLE are presented in gray and blue, respectively. (C) Doubling time measurement of YSy142, syn-del20K, wt-del20K, and YSy142 carrying the single-gene knockout strains under the Cyc condition. The error bar indicates standard error of the mean (SEM) in seven biological replicates. The p value was calculated using single-tailed Student’s t test. ***p < 10E–3. YPD + Cyc (0.01 mg/mL) at 30°C; YPD + HU (100 mM) at 30°C. See also Figures S10 and S11.
study the recombination effect of every single gene on cell fitness and found that the deletion of several genes might be involved in fitness improvement (Figure 5B). In particular, a ~20-kb deletion (del20K) in synVII showed the strongest association with growth recovery ($p = 4.20 \times 10^{-11}$). We speculate that genes located in the del20K are likely responsible for the observed recovered phenotype of selected SCRaMbLEed strains. To further verify the causality of the del20K on growth recovery, we re-created the del20K deletion on synVII or WT chromosomes in YSy142 for further phenotypic analysis. By deleting one copy of either WT or synthetic del20K, we observed a notable improvement in cell growth (Figure 5C). This finding highlights the key role of del20K, a relatively modest structural variant, in rescuing synVII aneuploidy phenotypes. We further identified a ~12-kb sub-region of the del20K, containing seven genes, as responsible for growth recovery (Figure S11). To further dissect the key genes, we individually removed the additional copy of these genes and found the deletion of five genes played positive roles in accelerating growth under both normal and stress conditions (Figures 5C and S11). Many of these effective genes encode known or putative regulators, including ribosomal protein Rps23a, nuclear pore component Nup57, Golgi complex component Cog2, potential PH regulation protein Ygr122w, and Ygr121w-a with uncharacterized function. Taken together, our results showed that the five genes in del20K might play a combinatorial role in alleviating synVII aneuploidy phenotypes. It will be of great interest to further dissect the mechanism of these genes involved in the del20K-dependent aneuploidy phenotypes.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we describe a novel system for studying the consequences of varying aneuploidy states in a controllable manner by SCRaMbLEing constructed aneuploid Sc2.0 yeast strain. In general, it is well-known that different aneuploid states can lead to distinct patterns of phenotypic and gene expression profiles and aneuploid cells bearing large chromosomal lesions often affecting hundreds of genes. Following traditional approaches that are incapable of micro-manipulating the target chromosome content, it is extremely challenging, if not impossible, to systematically characterize the consequences and explore the causality of these phenotypes. In our study, we have demonstrated that this technical bottleneck was overcome by the developed inducible aneuploidy system, which enables controlled perturbation(s) of the specific chromosomal region(s) and has two advantages. Except for synthetic chrVII, the aneuploid strain YSy142 maintains the same genetic background as its parental haploid strains BY4741 and YSy105, thereby enabling the analysis of phenotypic consequences of aneuploidy in a consistent genetic background. In addition, the unique built-in SCRaMbLE design limits perturbation of the chromosomal structure and content to the synthetic chromosome, and consequently the investigation of the potential causal regions for aneuploid-specific phenotypes in a large chromosome of a defined karyotype is readily achieved.

We demonstrated the feasibility of using the disomic synVII strain YSy142 for studying aneuploidy, and we identified over 200 SCRaMbLEed aneuploid strains for in-depth analysis. Our result shows that losing the majority content of synVII chromosome can relieve the cell from growth defects under stress conditions, suggesting that “mass action” of genes across the entire chrVII is mainly responsible for the proliferation defect associated with aneuploidy. In addition, a 20-kb deletion hotspot on the middle half of the right arm of linear synVII chromosomes of SCRaMbLEed strains was associated with growth recovery. We further reconstructed this structural variant in disomic yeast strain YSy142 and demonstrated that the del20K suffices as responsible for the observed growth recovery. Our result suggests that in addition to gene dosage, cell growth rate can be accelerated by specific gene contents (only 2% of chrVII content in our case). By individually removing the additional copy of seven genes in the del20K region, we showed the genes of RPS23A, NUP57, COG2, YGR121W-A, and YGR122W could significantly alleviate the synVII aneuploidy phenotypes at levels of effect very close to del20K. It will be of great interest to further explore the mechanism of each gene’s role or their combinatorial role involved in del20K-dependent aneuploidy phenotypes. Interestingly, we also found that the significant growth recovery for three SCRaMbLEed aneuploid strains was due to spontaneous WGD, followed by loss of one copy of synVII. With flow cytometry, we confirmed that these three SCRaMbLEed aneuploid strains that present near WT growth recovery have experienced a homozygous increase in the DNA content from 2$n+1$ to 2$n+1$. It is known that yeast cells can undergo spontaneous alterations of cell ploidy to gain a growth advantage under stressful conditions. Thus, we speculate that the observed spontaneous WGD was a compensatory response to the increased fitness burden triggered by aneuploidy; furthermore, it suggests that “dialing down” relative expression of the offending genes by half suffices to greatly reduce the fitness defect.

To summarize, the inducible aneuploidy system developed in this study holds great potential to be further applied to systematically construct a full 16-chromosome collection of aneuploid yeast strains heterozygous for synthetic chromosomes and to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying how aneuploidy impacts cell physiology and disease states. In light of the recent completion of all Sc2.0 yeast chromosomes and the prospect of *de novo* assembly of chromosomes from other species, including humans, our strategy can potentially be applied to study aneuploidy in diverse chromosomal, cellular, and species contexts. With the technical feasibility of *de novo* designing and constructing megabase-scale chromosomes combined with the flexibility of genomic rearrangements conferred by SCRaMbLE, we envision our strategy will revolutionize synthetic genomics and aneuploidy studies.

**Limitations of the study**

There are still some present limitations and potential challenges of this study to be addressed in the future. First, the screening strategy used in this study is based on isolating SCRaMbLEed cells with recovered colony size after plating. A more efficient high-throughput screening strategy would be highly desirable to identify SCRaMbLEed strains with more diverse genotypes. Second, for the selected 219 SCRaMbLEed synVII disome yeasts with varying genotypes, the underlying mechanism responsible
for the observed growth rate recovery by particular structural variation(s) needs further in-depth investigation. Third, with the completion of more synthetic Sc2.0 chromosomes, the presence of inter-chromosome rearrangement between different synthetic chromosomes by SCRaMLe will bring further challenges to the dissection of the potential correlation between genotype and aneuploidy-associated phenotypes.
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This work is part of the international Synthetic Yeast Genome (Sc2.0) consortium. The chromosome design and building consortium includes research groups worldwide: Boeke lab at Johns Hopkins University and New York University (led chromosomes I, III, IV, VI, VIII, and IX), Chandrasegaran lab at Johns Hopkins (led chromosomes III and IX), Cai lab at University of Edinburgh and University of Manchester (led chromosomes II and VI and tRNA neochromosome), Yue Shen's team at BGI-Research SHENZHEN (led chromosomes II, VII, and XIII), Y.J. Yuan's team at Tianjin University (led chromosomes V and X), Dai lab at Tsinghua University and Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, CAS (led chromosome XII), Ellis lab at Imperial College London (led chromosome XI), Sakkie Pretorius's team at Macquarie University (led chromosomes XIV and XVI), Matthew Wook Chang's team at National University of Singapore (led chromosome XV), Bader and Boeke labs at Johns Hopkins University (led design and workflow), and Build-A-Genome undergraduate teams at Johns Hopkins University and Loyola University Maryland (contributed to chromosomes I, III, IV, VIII, and IX). The Sc2.0 consortium includes numerous other participants and are acknowledged on the project website www.syntheticyeast.org.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation SC Triple: SC, Triple Drop-Out –His, –Leu, –Ura</td>
<td>FORMEDIUM</td>
<td>DSCK1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD Difco™ Yeast Nitrogen Base without Amino Acids and Ammonium Sulfate</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>233520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium acetate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>517992-100G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-(-)-Galactose</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>G5388-100G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-(-)-Glucose</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>A100188-0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>G5516-1L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast Extract</td>
<td>Sangong Biotech</td>
<td>A515245-0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptone</td>
<td>Sangong Biotech</td>
<td>A505247-0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar</td>
<td>Sangong Biotech</td>
<td>A505255-0250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium sulfate</td>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>A100191-0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssDNA</td>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>D1626-250MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FOA</td>
<td>USBiological</td>
<td>F5050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl methanesulfonate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>129925-5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Azauracil</td>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>A1757-5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benomyl</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>381586-5G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camptothecin</td>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>C9911-250MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>88597-100ML-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Sorbitol</td>
<td>BBI Life Sciences</td>
<td>A610491-0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycloheximide</td>
<td>MCE</td>
<td>HY-12320/CS-4985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyurea</td>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>H8627-25G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>BBI Life Sciences</td>
<td>A620058-0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geldanamycin</td>
<td>AdooQ® Bioscience</td>
<td>A11025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolutin</td>
<td>APExBIO</td>
<td>A4547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-estradiol</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>E8875-250MG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyticase</td>
<td>SIGMA</td>
<td>L2524-25KU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zymolyase 100T</td>
<td>USBiological</td>
<td>37340-57-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DpnII restriction enzyme</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>R0543S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA ligase</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>M0202S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igepal CA-630</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>9002-93-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin-14-dATP</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>19524016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP beads</td>
<td>MGI</td>
<td>1000005279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin T1 beads</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>65601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEB klenow exo minus</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>M0212S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical commercial assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.A.N.A.Yeast RNA E.Z.N.A.® Yeast RNA Kit</td>
<td>OMEGA</td>
<td>R6870-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qubit™ dsDNA HS Assay Kit</td>
<td>INVITROGEN</td>
<td>Q32854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB Green® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Tli RNase H Plus)</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
<td>RR820A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>K1651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up</td>
<td>MACHEREY-NAGEL</td>
<td>740609.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (250)</td>
<td>QIAGEN</td>
<td>27106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
### REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MGIEasyTM Universal DNA Library Prep Kit V1.0</td>
<td>MGI</td>
<td>1000006986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGIEasy RNA Library Prep Set (96 RXN)</td>
<td>MGI</td>
<td>1000006384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTRAQ reagent-8plex multiplex kit</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>4381663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMTpro™ 16plex Label Reagent Set</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
<td>PIA44520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Experimental models: Organisms/strains

| S. cerevisiae: wild-type yeast strain BY4741/2 | Jef Boeke laboratory | N/A |

### All other synthetic yeast strains used in this paper, listed Table S3 This paper | N/A |

### Deposited data

| Omics data of genome, transcriptome, and proteome | This paper | https://db.cngb.org/cnsa/; Accession number: CNP0002230 |
| Hi-C data of synVII disome yeast | This paper | https://db.cngb.org/cnsa/; Accession number: CNP0002230 |
| Sequence of design and physical synVII | This paper | https://db.cngb.org/cnsa/; Accession number: CNP0002230 |

### Software and algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOFTWARE AND ALGORITHMS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOAPnuke v2.1.1</td>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/BGI-flexlab/SOAPnuke">https://github.com/BGI-flexlab/SOAPnuke</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowtie2 v2.2.5</td>
<td>Langmead et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml">https://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATK v2.7</td>
<td>McKenna et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/broadinstitute/gatk/releases">https://github.com/broadinstitute/gatk/releases</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMtools v0.1.19</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/samtools/samtools">https://github.com/samtools/samtools</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet v1.21.08</td>
<td>Milne et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://ics.hutton.ac.uk/tablet/download-tablet/">https://ics.hutton.ac.uk/tablet/download-tablet/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TopHat v2.1.1</td>
<td>Trapnell et al.</td>
<td><a href="http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat">http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascot 2.8.0</td>
<td>Matrix Science Ltd</td>
<td><a href="https://www.matrixscience.com/">https://www.matrixscience.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iQuant 2.0.1</td>
<td>Wen et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://sourceforge.net/projects/iquant/">https://sourceforge.net/projects/iquant/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiC-Pro v3.1.0</td>
<td>Servant et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/inservant/HiC-Pro/tree/v3.1.0">https://github.com/inservant/HiC-Pro/tree/v3.1.0</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShRec3D+</td>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/jbmorlot/ShRec-Exented">https://github.com/jbmorlot/ShRec-Exented</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyMoL v2.6.0a0</td>
<td>Schrödinger, LLC</td>
<td><a href="https://pymol.org/2/">https://pymol.org/2/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R v4.2.1</td>
<td>R Core Team</td>
<td><a href="https://www.r-project.org/">https://www.r-project.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other

| Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD) | SGD community | https://yeastgenome.org/ |

### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### Lead contact

Further information and request for reagents and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact Yue Shen (shenyue@genomics.cn).

#### Materials availability

Requests for the generated plasmids and strains in this study should be directed to the lead contact Yue Shen (shenyue@genomics.cn).

#### Data and code availability

The data that support the findings of this study have been deposited into CNGB Sequence Archive (CNSA) of China National GeneBank DataBase (CNGBdb): CNP0002230.
EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is used as the experimental model in the study. The haploid yeast strain BY4741 and BY4742 and diploid yeast strain BY4743 were used as wild type control.

METHOD DETAILS

SynVII design and construction

Methods of synthetic chromosome design, synthesis and assembly described previously were used in this study. The final version of synVII is defined as yeast_chr07_3.57, with a total of ~11.89% sequence been modified. The sequence of synthetic chromosome VII was computationally segmented by BGI customized software “Segman” to 25~50 kb megachunks, then to 129~10 kb chunks and to final 485~3 kb minichunks for synthesis from scratch. More information on synVII design can be accessed on the synthetic yeast project website (www.syntheticyeast.org) and Table S1. A 2572 bp homologous region with I-Scel site was designed on both semi-synthetic synVII/L (synVII-L) and synVII-R, strain ID: YSy088, YSy089 for integrating into the full-length synVII chromosome. The overlap region shared between adjacent chunks was designed to be ~800~1200 bp long. For megachunk integration, the ligation step was skipped and all 5~6 chunks (equal to 1 megachunk) were directly co-transformed into yeast to replace the corresponding wild-type sequence using homologous recombination followed by selection. Strains generated in this study are listed in Tables S2 and S3.

Stress sensitivity assay

Spot dilution assays were previously described. Single colonies of BY4741, BY4742, and synVII (yeast_chr07_9.03, strain ID: YSy105) were cultured overnight in YPD medium at 30°C. Cells were 10-fold serial diluted and spotted onto various selective plates, with YPD medium plates at 30°C as control. All plates with drugs or adjusted pH (pH 4.0 and pH 9.0) were incubated at 30°C for 2~4 days. Plates were incubated at 25°C and 37°C for temperature stresses.

Omics analyses

Paired-end whole genome sequencing was performed on the synVII (yeast_chr07_9.03, strain ID: YSy105) with the BGIsseq500 platform. A 200~400bp sequencing library was prepared according to BGI’s DNA preparation protocol using the MGIEasyTM Universal DNA Library Prep Kit V1.0 (catalog number: 1000006986). The YSy105 and BY4741 strain both with 3 biological replicates were prepared using sample preparation methods established previously for transcriptome and proteome analysis. For proteomics, proteins were labeled by ITRAQ reagent-8plex multiplex kit (catalog number: 4381663; BY4741 labeled in isobaric tag: 114, 115, 117; YSy105 labeled in isobaric tag: 118, 119, 121) and the peptides were fractionated with high pH RP method and analyzed by a Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) coupled with an online HPLC.

Sequencing reads QC, data processing and analysis were performed as described previously. For genome sequencing analysis, after filtering low-quality reads with SOAPnuke, clean reads were mapped to a reference sequence of the synVII yeast genome using Bowtie2 with default parameters. Both GATK3.8 and SAMtools pipelines were used to identify the variants, which were further validated by Tablet. For transcriptomics, reads were mapped to genomes by TopHat and differentially expressed genes were analyzed by DEseq2. For proteomics, Mascot and iQuant were used for protein identification and quantification. Gene enrichment and coexpression enrichment analyses were performed using KEGG pathways and Gene Ontology annotations.

Fitness defect mapping and reversion

The well-established “synthetic genetic array” (SGA) analysis method, in which a query mutant is crossed with a pre-designed yeast gene-deletion mutant library was applied for defect mapping in synVII intermediate strain (strain ID: YSy093). In Megachunk S, 20 of the 23 genes in Megachunk S have corresponding gene-deletions in the ~5000 yeast gene-deletion mutant SGA library (the remaining 3 are essential genes) and were recovered from the library. These mutant strains were mated with the synVII strain as a control synVII/VT strain. By mating these deletion strains individually with the defective synVII strain, the resulting diploid strains with one gene copy deleted and another copy that cannot maintain proper function will pinpoint the cause of the observed growth defect namely YGR159C.

For the defect observed in megachunk W, YSy100 bearing full synthetic megachunk W was backcrossed to wild-type strain BY4742, followed by sporulation and tetrad dissection. The generated spores were then selected for further analysis by previously described method “PoPM” and this helped to pinpoint the defect to synthetic variant sequences in MTM1 and RAD2 genes. Each of the designed sequence variants were individually introduced into the BY4741 strain to further examine their effects.

Construction of aneuploid synVII strain

The construction of aneuploid synVII strain was performed based on a previously described method involving transient nondisjunction of the target chromosome. In this study, a conditional centromere construct (PGAL1-CEN7) and a ura3-HIS3-ura3 cassette were introduced on chromosome VII in the BY4742 strain (Figure 2A). The conditional centromere construct PGAL1-CEN7 was used to replace the CEN7 sequence on chromosome VII for transiently blocking disjunction of chromosome VII in the presence
of galactose. In the ura3::HIS3-ura3::J5′ cassette, a 390 bp direct repeat of a portion of ura3 was designed in both ura3::J5′ and ura3::J3′ sequences. The galactose induction activates the GAL1 promoter and blocks the function of CEN7, then the homologous recombination of the repeat of ura3 can lead to excision and loss of HIS3 and regeneration of functional URA3, which can lead to selection of aneuploid chromosome VII yeast cells YSy140 containing two copies of chromosome VII. SynVII was modified to carry the LEU2 marker near the centromere, then through mating to YSy140 strain and sporulation, selection of His+Leu+ cells can lead to the final construction of aneuploid synVII. Whole genome sequencing of YSy142 revealed an extra copy of each chromosome I and III. By applying the same approach to generate YSy140, we successfully removed the extra copies of undesired chromosomes sequentially and leading to the auxotrophic marker on chrVII switched from HIS3 to MET15. The chromosome sequence integrity of both synVII and chromosome VII was confirmed by whole genome sequencing on BGISEQ platform.

**Genome stability analysis of aneuploid synVII strain**

The aneuploid synVII strains (strain ID: YSy140 and YSy142) were streaked on SC–Leu–Ura and SC–Leu–Met plates respectively and incubated at 30 °C for 5 days. Three single colonies of each strain were selected for successive subculture in 1mL SC medium for 210 generations at 30 °C, followed by plating on the SC plates and then replica plating was performed on both SC–Leu–Ura and SC–Leu–Met plates. The loss of synthetic or wildtype chromosome VII was calculated by counting numbers of colonies present on the SC plate but not on corresponding selective plates.

**SCRaMble and screening of aneuploid synVII strain**

Aneuploid strains (strain ID: YSy142) with pSCW11-CreEBD-URA3 plasmid were cultured in SC–Ura–Leu–Met liquid medium overnight at 30 °C. Cells were inoculated into SC–Leu–Met liquid medium with 1 μM β-estradiol and cultured for 24 h at 30 °C. Then SCRaMbLed cells were plated on SC–Leu–Met+0.01 μg/mL cycloheximide plates followed by 4 days incubation at 30 °C, with unSCRaMbLed cells exposed in the same condition as phenotypic control. Single colonies with recovered phenotype compared to unSCRaMbLed cells were selected for further phenotype tests and functional analyses.

**Measurement of growth**

Single colony of each SCRaMbLed strains was grown in SC–Leu–Met liquid medium in 2.2 mL 96-deep well plate at 30 °C for overnight. ~300 colonies for each strain were plated onto YPD and YPD+0.01 μg/mL cycloheximide agar plates respectively followed by incubating at 30 °C for 2 days. Then, the colonies on the plates were automated identified, photographed, and processed using software that measures colony diameter in terms of millimeters by the Precision Colony Picker (model: PIXL, Singer Instruments). The relative colony size of each SCRaMbLed strain was determined by the colony size (diameter) of individual colonies respectively divided the mean of colony size of parental disomic strain YSy142. The growth recovery rate was defined as the recovery degree of the colony size by comparison to its parental strain (reference). It was calculated by comparing average colony size (from ≥200 single colonies) of each strain to that of the unSCRaMbLed aneuploid yeast parent YSy142. The formula is as follows:

\[
\text{Growth recovery rate} (\%) = \frac{Si - Sr}{Sr} \times 100\%
\]

- Si = Colony size of strain i;
- Sr = Colony size of corresponding parental strain r;

The growth curve was measured using a Microbiology Reader Bioscreen C system (Oy Growth Curve Ab Ltd). Overnight culture was inoculated into 300 μL of liquid medium (~0.01 OD). The medium was added as blank control. The optical density (OD) was automatically measured every 20 min at 30 °C for 48 h following the manual of protocol. The doubling time of each yeast strains was estimated in the method described previously.25,26 The OD value was transformed by log10. Then the largest slope (k) was calculated using linear regression method in R (version 3.6.3) by every 7 consecutive measurements for the whole growth curve. The doubling time (DT) was calculated according to the formula: \( DT = \log_{10} (2)/k \).

**Chromosome copy number determination by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)**

To determine the copy number of chrI and chrIII, an essential gene YBR136W on chrII was chosen as internal control, and YAR007C, YCR052W as targets on chrI, chrIII respectively. qPCR was conducted with 10ng genomic DNA in duplicate in a 20 μL reaction using the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (Tli RNase H Plus, Takara) and the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (ABI). Primer sequences: YBR136W forward primer 5′-TGAAAGCATGATGAGGCGTAC-3′, reverse primer 5′-AGTCAGCTGTCCTGGTCA-3′; YAR007C forward primer 5′-GTGGTAGCGATTTTGTGCGGC-3′, reverse primer 5′-GTAATGGTTCGTTGCCG-3′; YCR052W forward primer 5′-TTCCAACGATGCCAAGACA-3′, reverse primer 5′-ACTTCACCAATTCGGCTTT-3′.

**Hi-C library construction and analysis**

Hi-C libraries were generated using a protocol adapted from previous studies.27,28 1 × 10^8 cells were collected and washed from overnight cell culture, cells were cross-linked with 6 mL 3% formaldehyde in 1xPBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na2HPO4, 1.8 mM KH2PO4) for 30 min, and quenched by adding 0.66 mL 2.5 M glycine (working concentration is 250 mM) at room temperature for 5 min and then keep on ice for 15 min. Cells were washed with 10 mL 1xPBS for 3 times and, pelleted cells
were dissolved in 10 mL 1 M sorbitol with 5 mM DTT and 1 mg/mL Zymolyase 100T and incubated at 30°C for 30-60 min to remove cell wall. Cells were lysed with 3 mL 0.2% Igepal CA-630 and 10 mM Tris-HCl, followed by 500 μL 0.5% SDS treatment at 62°C for 10 min and 250 μL 10% Triton X-100 treatment at 37°C for 15 min, then cells were washed and resuspended with restriction buffer (NEB3.1). Cross-linked DNA was digested at 37°C overnight with 200 units of DpnII restriction enzyme (NEB) in a 500 μL reaction. The digestion mix was placed at 62°C for 20 min, and put on ice immediately. DNA ends were repaired with 25 μL 0.4 mM biotin-14-dATP at 23°C for 4 h, and ligated with 1000 U NEB T4 ligase at 16°C for 6 h. DNA decrosslinking was performed through an overnight incubation at 65°C with 25 μL 20 mg/mL proteinase K added to a total reaction volume of 1.2 mL. DNA was purified on XP beads, and unligated biotin ends were removed using T4 DNA polymerase. DNA was sheared and Biotin-tagged DNA were pull-down with Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin T1 beads, repaired ends with T4 DNA polymerase and tagging a tail with NEB klenow exo minus, filled in adaptors with barcode oligo mix, PCR amplified resulting DNA and collected DNA with 300–600 bp size fragments, denatured DNA at 95°C for 3 min and ssDNA cyclization with splint Oligo and T4 DNA ligase. The resulting Hi-C libraries were processed into whole genome sequencing on BGI platform. The Hi-C data’s raw and normalized contact count matrices were calculated at a resolution of 10 kb by HiC-Pro v3.1.0 using default parameters. The 3D genome architecture was constructed by ShRec3D+ using the normalized chromosomal contacts, and visualized them by PyMoL v2.6.0a0 (Schrödinger, LLC.).

**Gene expression profiling analysis of aneuploid SCRaMbLEd synVII strains**

The genome reconstruction of aneuploid SCRaMbLEd synVII was performed using the previously described method. The reference sequence of YSy142 was updated by adding the synVII sequence to the other chromosomes of the BY4741 reference genome.

For the transcriptome analysis, the environmental stress response (ESR) gene sets identified in previous studies were used for expression level analysis. The significance of GO terms in differentially expressed ESR genes was individually identified using the hyper-geometric test with false discovery rate (FDR) correction and the threshold p value < 0.001.

**Flow cytometry analysis**

Asynchronous log-phase cells were fixed with 70% ethanol for 1 h at room temperature. Then cell pellets were resuspended in 50 mM sodium citrate (pH 7.0). Samples were briefly sonicated and placed on ice, followed by Rnase A (0.25 mg/mL) treatment for 1 h at 50°C. Cells were washed with 50 mM sodium citrate (pH 7.0) and resuspended into the same solution. Propidium iodide (16 μg/mL) was added to the cells and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Samples were analyzed with BD FACSCelesta Cell Analyzer.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Various statistical tests were employed to calculate p values, as indicated in the STAR Methods section, figure legend, or text, where appropriate. In general, results were considered statistically significant when p < 0.001 or FDR <0.01, unless stated otherwise. Specifically, in transcriptome level, the genes were assessed for statistical significance if FDR <0.01 and p fell below the threshold of the 5% Family Wise Error Rate (FWER) after Bonferroni correction (threshold = 7.62E-6). Statistical analyses were conducted using R (v4.2.1).