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**Abstract**

Charged-particle jet yields have been measured in semicentral Pb–Pb collisions at center-of-mass energy \( \sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV} \) with the ALICE detector at the LHC. These yields are reported as a function of the jet transverse momentum, and further classified by their angle with respect to the event plane and the event shape, characterized by ellipticity, in an effort to study the path-length dependence of jet quenching. Jets were reconstructed at midrapidity from charged-particle tracks using the anti-\( k_T \) algorithm with resolution parameters \( R = 0.2 \) and 0.4, with event-plane angle and event-shape values determined using information from forward scintillating detectors. The results presented in this letter show that, in semicentral Pb–Pb collisions, there is no significant difference between jet yields in predominantly isotropic and elliptical events. However, out-of-plane jets are observed to be more suppressed than in-plane jets. Further, this relative suppression is greater for low transverse momentum \((< 50 \text{ GeV}/c)\) \( R = 0.2 \) jets produced in elliptical events, with out-of-plane to in-plane jet-yield ratios varying up to 5.2\( e \) between different event-shape classes. These results agree with previous studies indicating that jets experience azimuthal anisotropic suppression when traversing the QGP medium, and can provide additional constraints on the path-length dependence of jet energy loss.

1. Introduction

At very high energy densities, ordinary hadronic matter undergoes a transition to become a strongly interacting state of deconfined quarks and gluons. This new state of matter is referred to as the quark–gluon plasma (QGP) [1]. Calculations using quantum chromodynamics (QCD) on the lattice predict a crossover transition between these phases at a temperature of about 150 MeV that can be reached in the laboratory via ultrarelativistic collisions of heavy ions [2,3]. Experimental studies of heavy-ion collisions thus offer a compelling opportunity to explore the properties of the strongly interacting medium, and form the main physics program of the ALICE experiment at the LHC [4].

Jets, sprays of hadrons resulting from high-transverse-momentum \((p_T)\) partons produced in hard-scattering processes, are sensitive to a variety of QGP properties [5–7]. Because jets are produced early in a collision, indeed much earlier than the formation of the QGP at \( T_{\text{QGP}} \approx 0.5 \text{ fm}/c\), they experience its whole evolution. Jets interact with and are modified by this medium as they traverse it, resulting in a collection of effects known as jet quenching. The observation of jet quenching at both RHIC and LHC energies is therefore considered to be a main signature of QGP formation [8–11], and the microscopic mechanism by which jet quenching occurs has been the subject of significant theoretical and experimental investigation. Models predict that partons can lose energy collisionally and/or radiatively in the weakly-coupled limit, with radiative contributions expected to dominate in the high-\( p_T \) regime [12,13]. Moreover, it is predicted that there is a direct relationship between the path-length dependence of parton energy loss and the relative contributions of the different mechanisms. In a static medium, collisional and radiative energy loss are expected to have a linear and quadratic dependence on the length of plasma traversed, respectively [14,15]. Measuring this dependence would therefore offer a direct way to probe the underlying mechanisms of jet–medium interactions, but doing so has so far proven to be challenging. Fast measurements, e.g. the dijet asymmetry [16–18], are heavily influenced by fluctuations in jet-medium interactions, making it difficult to extract an underlying path-length dependence [19]. Another such measurement, the jet \( R_2 \) (the second Fourier coefficient in the azimuthal distribution of jet momenta in the transverse plane), shows a significant azimuthal anisotropy in jet yields in Pb–Pb collisions [20–22]. However, medium fluctuations limit the ability to constrain the underlying physics mechanisms that drive this behavior.

Event-shape engineering (ESE) [23], a technique that classifies events according to their anisotropies using the magnitude of the reduced flow vector, offers a new experimental approach to overcome these difficulties and constrain the path-length dependence of jet energy loss [24]. This approach is advantageous in that it allows for the
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The angle and the vector autocorrelations for Pb–Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{S_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \), the charged-particle \( \nu_{2} \) ratio for the 30% most elliptical events compared to the 30% most isotropic events is \( \approx 1.3 \) [26]. This ratio was approximated by considering the average of the charged-particle \( \nu_{2} \) values reported in differentiated centrality and ellipticity windows. With this in consideration, comparing in- and out-of-plane jet spectra for events with different ellipticities can reduce the contribution of medium size fluctuations and increase understanding of the path-length dependence of jet energy loss.

In this letter, results of event-shape engineered jet yields in 30–50% Pb–Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{S_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV} \) are presented. Jets were reconstructed from charged-particle tracks for resolution parameters \( R = 0.2 \) and \( R = 0.4 \), within a jet transverse-momentum range of \( 35 < p_{T,\text{ch jet}} < 120 \text{ GeV}/c \) and \( 40 < p_{T,\text{ch jet}} < 120 \text{ GeV}/c \), respectively. The in-plane and out-of-plane jet yields are presented according to the ellipticity of the collision system quantified event-by-event, which allows for the exploitation of average differences in jet path length.

2. Experimental setup

The ALICE experiment is a general-purpose detector located at the LHC. It is optimized to provide high momentum resolution and excellent particle identification over a broad momentum range, up to the highest multiplicities [31]. The primary ALICE sub-detectors used in this analysis are the Inner Tracking System (ITS), Time Projection Chamber (TPC), and V0 detectors. For more information on the ALICE apparatus and its performance, see Refs. [32,33].

The ITS is a silicon-based tracking detector used for reconstruction of charged tracks and primary vertex identification [34]. It consists of six layers having increasing radii around the nominal collision point. The first two layers are Silicon Pixel Detectors (SPD), followed by two layers of Silicon Drift Detectors (SDD), and two layers of Silicon Strip Detectors (SSD). The TPC is a large cylindrical gaseous detector, covering a pseudorapidity range of \( \eta < 0.9 \) over the full azimuthal angle [35] and providing excellent tracking performance up to high particle multiplicities and momenta. The tracks used for jet reconstruction in this analysis were measured by both the ITS and the TPC, and were accepted for \( p_{T} > 0.15 \text{ GeV}/c \) and pseudorapidities of \( \eta < 0.9 \). The tracks have a momentum resolution of \( \sigma_{p_{T}}/p_{T} \approx 0.8\% \) at \( p_{T} = 1 \text{ GeV}/c \), which increases to \( \sigma_{p_{T}}/p_{T} \approx 2\% \) at \( p_{T} = 10 \text{ GeV}/c \) [33]. In central Pb–Pb collisions, the tracking efficiency ranges from approximately 65% to 82% for increasing \( p_{T} \) [8].

The VOA and V0C, scintillation detectors located at pseudorapidities 2.8 < \( \eta \) < 5.1 and -3.7 < \( \eta \) < -1.7, respectively, were used to select the Pb–Pb minimum-bias and semicentral events according to their summed amplitudes [36,37]. In this analysis, the V0C was used for calculating the reduced flow vector \( q_{1} \) defined in Eq. (1), as it is closer to midrapidity than the V0A. It can therefore produce a wider \( q_{1} \) distribution, thus accessing the best separation between different event shapes. The VOA was used for calculating event-plane angles. Using these forward detectors to measure the event shape and event-plane angle minimizes the autocorrelations between these quantities and the charged-particle jets at midrapidity. Details of the \( q_{1} \) and event-plane angle measurements are given in the next section.
The reconstruction efficiency accounts for truth-level jets that were not found at detector-level. Corrections were also applied to account for the event-plane resolution when the event-plane angle was considered. The reported $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ ranges are 35 – 120 GeV/c and 40 – 120 GeV/c for $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.4$ jets, respectively. These ranges were chosen to satisfy the requirement of having a kinematic efficiency above 75% for each generator-level $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ bin, as well as to ensure stability when varying the lower limit of the $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ range considered in the unfolding procedure.

To study the event-shape dependence, events were classified according to the magnitude of the reduced flow vector $q_2$ [51] as measured with the V0C, defined as

$$q_2 = |Q_2|/\sqrt{M},$$

where $M$ represents the charged-particle multiplicity and $Q_2$ represents the second harmonic flow vector, defined as

$$Q_2 = \left( \sum w_i \cos(2\varphi_i), \sum w_i \sin(2\varphi_i) \right).$$

Here, $\varphi_i$ and $w_i$ are the azimuthal angle and signal weight, respectively, of the $i$-th segment of the V0C detector [35,52]. Samples of events with the $30\%$ smallest and largest $q_2$ were selected for this study and will be henceforth referred to as $q_2$-small and $q_2$-large. These designations represent isotropic and elliptical event topologies, respectively. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of $q_2$ values as a function of collision centrality in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The pink lines demarcate the $30^{th}$ and $70^{th}$ $q_2$ percentiles. Note that the $q_2$-small sample contains a significant fraction of events with non-zero $q_2$, so, while this sample is characterized as comparatively isotropic, there still exists some significant anisotropy within the sample [26]. The slope of the distribution indicates that the average values of $q_2$ are slightly larger for more central collisions, which can introduce a centrality bias in the event class selection. To avoid this correlation bias, the $q_2$ classification was done within $1\%$-wide centrality intervals.

The event-plane angle $\Psi_2$, given by the direction of $Q_2$, was measured with the V0A detector. The in- and out-of-plane axes were defined as parallel and perpendicular to $\Psi_2$, respectively. Jets were considered in- and out-of-plane when they were reconstructed within $30^{\circ}$ in azimuth of these axes. This restriction from the traditional $\pm 45^{\circ}$ definition was made to enforce larger differences between in- and out-of-plane path lengths and to increase the potential differences in jet yields [30]. The use of opposed detectors for $q_2$ and $\Psi_2$ is advantageous for avoiding autocorrelations between these observables and for reducing detector-resolution corrections.

To account for the smearing of the reaction-plane angle due to the event-plane resolution, the ratios of in- and out-of-plane jet yields were corrected using a procedure analogous to that used for $v_2$ measurements [53]. First, the $v_2$ was calculated using

$$v_2 = \frac{x}{3 \sqrt{3} R_2} \frac{N_{\text{in}} - N_{\text{out}}}{N_{\text{in}} + N_{\text{out}}}$$

where $R_2$ is the second harmonic event-plane resolution, and $N_{\text{in}}$ and $N_{\text{out}}$ are the in- and out-of-plane jet yields, respectively. Note that the coefficient $x/(3\sqrt{3})$ in this formula is specific to this analysis, in which the in- and out-of-plane definitions are at $\pm 30^{\circ}$ around $\Psi_2$ and the vector perpendicular to it, as described above. The event-plane resolution $R_2$ was calculated using the three-sub-event method [53], where the particles measured by the VOA, V0C, and TPC detectors were used to construct the three separate sub-events. For $q_2$-small samples, $R_2$ is 0.55, whereas for $q_2$-large samples it is 0.68. After calculating the corrected $v_2$, the corrected ratio $R = N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{out}}$ was obtained by inverting Eq. (3) and assuming a perfect resolution $R_2 = 1$. To correct the individual spectra for the event-plane resolution, conservation of jet yields within the fiducial volume $(N_{\text{in}}^\text{measured} + N_{\text{out}}^\text{measured})/1 + R$ was additionally considered, such that

$$N_{\text{in}}^\text{corrected} = \frac{N_{\text{in}}^\text{measured} + N_{\text{out}}^\text{measured}}{1 + R}$$

For the ratio of out-of-plane to in-plane jet yields, the magnitude of this correction varies from 5 to 25%. Note that $N_{\text{mid}}$ remains unmodified, where $N_{\text{mid}}$ is the jet yield reconstructed between $\pm 30^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ of the event plane. This correction procedure is exact when assuming a negligible contribution from higher order harmonics. Additionally, the contribution of non-flow to the measured yield ratios was estimated using PYTHIA 8. Here, non-flow refers to the $v_2$ contribution from forward multi-jets that result in a biased determination of $\Psi_2$. It was found that, for cases where an intermediate $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ Jet is produced at midrapidity, a recoiling jet strikes the V0A in $<4\%$ of instances. The relative contribution from these events to the jet $v_2$ is estimated to be less than $20\%$. The presented results are not corrected for this possible effect.

The systematic uncertainties of the charged-particle jet yields and their ratios are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The ranges of systematic uncertainties are listed for the measured $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ range. The systematic uncertainty on the tracking efficiency was calculated by randomly rejecting an additional $4\%$ of PYTHIA 8 tracks used in the embedding procedure, representing the uncertainty in the single-track efficiency in the Pb-Pb environment. The jet finding was then repeated and the response matrix recalculated, resulting in the largest source of uncertainty for the measured spectra. The uncertainty in the unfolding procedure was quantified by varying the number of iterations of unfolding, the shape of the prior $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ and $\Delta p_T$ spectra, and the lower limit of the measured range (referred to as the truncation). The shape variation was done by reweighting the unfolding prior according to the ratio between the PYTHIA 8 and data spectra in both $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ and event-plane angle. The number of unfolding iterations was varied by $\pm 1$. The lower $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ limit for the jets that entered into the unfolding procedure was varied by $\pm 5$ GeV/c. Finally, the systematic uncertainty of the event-plane resolution was obtained by varying $R_2$ by 2%. This 2% variation accounts for the difference in event-plane resolution observed when it is calculated using the $y$-ratio method as opposed to the three-sub-event method [26,53]. Note that this uncertainty is only considered for the measurements that are differentiated in $\Delta p_T$. For the ratios of the spectra, the systematic uncertainties in the numerator and denominator were treated as correlated, and the resulting systematic uncertainty was
obtained by making the above-described variations and calculating the deviations on the ratio itself. The total systematic uncertainties were calculated as quadratic sums of the different sources by assuming the independence of all contributions.

4. Results

The $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$-differential charged-particle jet yields for resolution parameters $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.4$ are shown in Fig. 2. Included are the results for the event classes $q_2$-small and $q_2$-large, differentiated for in-plane and out-of-plane jets. The systematic uncertainties are indicated by the boxes and are highly correlated among the different measurements.

The ratios of charged-particle jet yields for the $q_2$-large to $q_2$-small event classes are shown in Fig. 3, for both $R = 0.2$ and $R = 0.4$. Considering these results as ratios allowed for a reduction in the systematic uncertainties due to correlations of the uncertainties between the spectra, thus improving the sensitivity of this measurement. The results are consistent with unity, indicating that azimuthally-integrated jet yields are not sensitive to collision ellipticity. This stands in contrast to the yield enhancement seen in elliptical collisions at low $p_T$ [25], where particle spectra are not governed by quenching, but rather by the hydrodynamic expansion of the medium.

Fig. 4 shows the ratio of out-of-plane to in-plane jet yields for the $q_2$-small and $q_2$-large event classes, for jets with $R = 0.2$ (left) and $R = 0.4$ (right). These results were corrected for the event-plane resolution, as described in the previous section. The measured ratios are significantly below unity, indicating that jets lose more energy on average when traveling out-of-plane than when traveling in-plane. This is consistent with the idea that the magnitude of jet energy loss is driven, at least in part, by the path length traversed in the medium. For $R = 0.4$ jets, further conclusions regarding event-shape dependent azimuthal anisotropy are limited by the large experimental uncertainties. For $R = 0.2$ jets, the ratios for $q_2$-small and $q_2$-large are similar at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$. For $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}} < 50$ GeV/c, however, there is an indication that out-of-plane jets in the $q_2$-large class are more suppressed relative to in-plane jets than those in the $q_2$-small class. The significance of this separation from $35 < p_{T,\text{ch,jet}} < 50$ GeV/c is $5.2\sigma$. This result is qualitatively in agreement with observations of D mesons [29].

This effect is due to the increased path-length differences between in- and out-of-plane directions for highly elliptical collision geometries, which is supported by Trajectum calculations [30,54]. In these calculations, probes were generated in the initial state at the location of nucleon-nucleon collisions, and propagated through the hydrodynamically evolving medium while remaining unmodified. The average path lengths of these probes were calculated for events with varying $q_2$, and differentially for in- and out-of-plane emission angles. While the results of this study show that the average traversed path length of the probes does not vary significantly with event $q_2$, it does change as a function of the probe angle with respect to $\Psi_2$. This variation with $\Psi_2$ can be further augmented when considering $q_2$-large events, and suppressed when considering $q_2$-small events. The outcome of these Trajectum calculations shows that by using ESE, the ratio of out-of-plane to in-plane path lengths can be increased in semicentral collisions by ~10% with respect to the inclusive case. The results presented in this letter are consistent with these calculations, assuming that the traversed path length of jets is an important factor for determining their energy loss. These Trajectum studies do not, however, allow one to conclude anything about the $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$-dependence of this energy loss or explain the apparent convergence of ratios at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$. Despite the absence of phenomenological descriptions, a possible understanding of the experimental results at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ can be obtained by considering that the charged-particle-jet $R_{AA}$ increases and the charged-particle jet $q_2$ decreases with increasing $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ [20,55]. It is therefore expected that any path-length-dependent signal accessible to ESE measurements would decrease at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$. Moreover, the precision of the measurement presented here is statistically limited at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$. It is therefore difficult to establish if the convergence of out-of-plane to in-plane ratios for elliptical and isotropic events is a true physics phenomenon, or is rather a consequence of the limited experimental precision accessible at high $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$.

Table 1
Relative systematic uncertainties for the charged-particle jet yields as measured in 30–50% Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Values are reported as percentages. Reported ranges reflect the minimum and maximum values of the uncertainties over the measured $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ range. Here, $< 1$ indicates an uncertainty with a decimal value greater than zero but less than one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$q_2$-small</th>
<th>$q_2$-large</th>
<th>$q_2$-small</th>
<th>$q_2$-large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in-plane</td>
<td>out-of-plane</td>
<td>in-plane</td>
<td>out-of-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking efficiency</td>
<td>6–15</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>6–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding iterations</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding prior</td>
<td>&lt;1–3</td>
<td>&lt;1–2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding truncation</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event-plane determination</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6–15</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>6–16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Relative systematic uncertainties for the ratios of charged-particle jet yields as measured in 30–50% Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Values are reported as percentages. Reported ranges reflect the minimum and maximum values of the uncertainties over the measured $p_{T,\text{ch,jet}}$ range. Here, $< 1$ indicates an uncertainty with a decimal value greater than zero but less than one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$q_2$-large/$q_2$-small</th>
<th>$q_2$-small</th>
<th>$q_2$-large</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>out/in-plane</td>
<td>out/in-plane</td>
<td>out/in-plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking efficiency</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>&lt;1–2</td>
<td>&lt;1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding iterations</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding prior</td>
<td>&lt;1–3</td>
<td>&lt;1–2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolding truncation</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event-plane determination</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>1–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4
However, these jet yields are classified according to their azimuthal angle with respect to the event plane. It is indicated that jets lose more energy out-of-plane compared to in-plane, consistent with the measurement of a non-zero \( v_2 \) of jets at the LHC. Furthermore, for \( R = 0.2 \) jets in highly elliptical events, the differences between the modification of out-of-plane and in-plane jets at low \( p_T \) are found to be more significant than in more isotropic events. Model calculations employing a realistic parton shower in event-by-event hydrodynamical simulations, such as LBT [56,57], JETSCAPE [58], or JEWEL on a (2+1)D background [59,60], are needed to further understand these results and gain insight into the path-length dependence of jet quenching.
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Fig. 4. Ratios of out-of-plane to in-plane charged-particle jet yields for the $q_2$-large and $q_2$-small event classes in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The results are reported for $R = 0.2$ (left) and $R = 0.4$ (right) jets, and are corrected for the event-plane resolution.
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