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Figure 1: Affective relevance accounts for subjective measurement of emotional responses. Here, a user is experiencing an image of skiing, which evokes a positive exciting emotion, or a high-arousal high-valence response. This can be measured via fNIRS neuroimaging, then predicted and mapped to the valence/arousal affective space. Images that are similar in the affective space, such as extreme sports and the roller coaster ride in this example, can be retrieved based on their affective similarity despite the fact that they have different visual and topical appearance.

ABSTRACT
Information retrieval (IR) relies on a general notion of relevance, which is used as the principal foundation for ranking and evaluation methods. However, IR does not account for more a nuanced affective experience. Here, we consider the emotional response decoded directly from the human brain as an alternative dimension of relevance. We report an experiment covering seven different scenarios in which we measure and predict how users emotionally respond to visual image contents by using functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) neuroimaging on two commonly used affective dimensions: valence (negativity and positivity) and arousal (boredness and excitedness). Our results show that affective states can be successfully decoded using fNIRS, and utilized to complement the present notion of relevance in IR studies. For example, we achieved 0.39 Balanced accuracy and 0.61 AUC in 4-class classification of affective states (vs. 0.25 Balanced accuracy and 0.5 AUC of a random classifier). Likewise, we achieved 0.684 Precision@20 when retrieving high-arousal images. Our work opens new avenues for incorporating emotional states in IR evaluation, affective feedback, and information filtering.

1 INTRODUCTION
The present information retrieval (IR) paradigm relies on matching information with representations reflecting the user’s perception of relevance, typically estimated from textual or visual features [47], click-through data [29], or other content-based and behavioral data [1, 10, 32, 48]. However, previous approaches do not account for what we call affective relevance. That is, the affective states of users when they experience content. For example, consider a user who receives content from a search engine, media feed, or recommender system. After examining the content, the user might feel positive, negative, excited, or bored about the content. However, the present signals that are used for predicting whether the content is relevant for a user would rely on dwell time or click-through rate, which are not predictive for such affective experiences of users.

ACM Reference Format:
The lack of affective features in IR is often referred to as the ‘affective gap’ [52]. It challenges models that rely only on content-based or behavioral features, as opposed to predictions on how users emotionally experience content. While researchers have proposed feature engineering methods that would account for affective content [34, 49–51], as well as deep learning to learn efficient representations [4, 13, 25, 41], the affective gap has been turned out to be challenging to address.

This observation has fundamental implications for user modeling and information retrieval: we build ranking models, user models, and user profiles by utilizing user signals, but our understanding of whether and how they reflect the users’ emotional experiences toward content remain elusive. As a result, the models may predict user interest on information that users click or spend time on, while users may still experience the information negatively or find it appearing outrageous or even false.

Here, we propose a novel methodology using brain signals recorded with fNIRS to predict arousal (interesting or boring) and valence (positivity or negativity) of information [5, 42]. Arousal indicates a form of excitability and valence reflects the degree an emotion has a pleasant or unpleasant quality [23].

Our work complements previous studies [7, 8, 24] that focused on emotion decoding. For example, previous research has revealed associations between emotional experiences or affective states of users and behavioral and physiological correlates [3, 9, 30, 35–39, 43] and utilized physiological data for various interactive tasks [15, 18, 20, 21, 28, 31]. Nevertheless, the practical utilization of affective states, as measured from neural activity related to how content is emotionally or affectively experienced, has not been previously utilized in IR methodologies.

The use of fNIRS mitigates reliance on any explicit user interaction as a probe for affect and can directly measure the affective processing as it occurs in the brain. Therefore, our methodology only requires users to perceive stimuli information, and their subjective mental processes can be continuously recorded. fNIRS relies on the measurement of blood oxygenation using the dissociable levels of light-absorption for oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin [6, 11]. Thus, by measuring absorption at different wavelengths of light, fNIRS may quantify cortical activity. While fNIRS has previously been used to quantify mainly motor activity [26] and cognitive load [45], fNIRS has recently shown success in measuring emotion-related activity in frontal areas as well [8, 27]. Other approaches such as electroencephalography (EEG) and peripheral wearable sensors have been extensively studied, but their use in realistic IR applications is still limited [16, 17].

We report initial results using data collected from participants viewing images according to two predictive tasks: affect prediction and affective ranking. For the former, we show that machine learning models can predict the affective class with a reasonably high accuracy in four-class and several two-class setups. For the latter, we show that rankers utilizing the predictions for affective similarity lead to a reasonably high precision rankings when retrieving affectively similar images. This result holds particularly for high-arousal images, that can be understood as high-attention grasping content. In summary, our contributions can be summarized as follows:

1. We present a novel affective relevance estimation methodology from brain signals using fNIRS brain imaging.
2. We show that affective states can be predicted from fNIRS in a single-trial scenario.
3. We show that the predicted affective states can be successfully used in ranking information according to their affective relevance.

## 2 NEUROIMAGING DATA

### 2.1 Participants, stimuli, and procedure

Data were recorded from thirty-one volunteers (18 female, 12 male, 1 non-binary) aged M=31.2 years (SD=7.4). Participants were fully informed about the nature of the experiment, and their rights as participants, including the right to withdraw at any time without fear of negative consequences. They signed informed consent prior to the experiment’s commencement and were compensated with a voucher to the local cinema. The study was approved by Ethical Review Board of the University of Helsinki.

A sample of 120 images from the international affective pictures system (IAPS) [33] were used as stimuli. The images represent the entire range of emotional reactions associated with valence and arousal scores. Each participant was presented a random sample of 40 images from the range of high/low valence and high/low arousal. The experiment took about 45 minutes to complete, excluding participant preparation and device setup.

Images were displayed using the E-Prime 3 (Psychology Software Tools, Inc, Sharpsburg, PA) software. Synchronization was conducted via the DCOM interface and fNIRS was recorded using the OxySoft (Artinis Medical Systems, Elst, The Netherlands) software. Raw optical density (OD) data of fNIRS were recorded using the Artinis Brite-24 device in a configuration with 10 diodes transmitting light at two wavelengths (760 and 850 nm) and 8 photodiodes. The diodes were positioned on an elastic cap and placed such that the distance between receivers and optodes was ≈30 mm. Each receiver obtained light from three different transmitters, resulting in OD signals from 12 sources, which were digitized at 50 Hz.

### 2.2 Data preprocessing

Raw OD data were exported from OxySoft and processed using MNE.\(^1\) To determine artefactual channels, the scalp coupling index (SCI) [40] was calculated for each channel and channels with poor contact (SCI < 0.8) were replaced by interpolating from neighboring channels. Artefacts in the continuous signal were corrected using temporal derivative distribution repair [22]. The OD data were then converted to hemoglobin concentrations using the modified Beer-Lambert law [19] to derive oxy-hemoglobin (HbO) and deoxy-hemoglobin (HbR) levels. A 0.1 Hz low pass filter was applied to remove physiological noise, while a 0.01 Hz high pass filter was applied to remove signals that were unrelated to evoked activity. Then, the continuous data were time-locked to the onset of experimental stimuli and segmented into epochs of 17 s, including 5 s of pre-stimulus baseline activity, which was used to normalize each epoch. The 12-second post-stimulus period of each epoch was used.

---

\(^1\)https://mne.tools/stable/auto_tutorials/preprocessing/70_fnirs_processing.html
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Table 1: fNIRS classification results using Logistic Regression (LReg) and Shrinkage Linear Discriminant Analysis (SLDA). Mean ± Std. Err.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>No. Classes</th>
<th>Balanced Accuracy</th>
<th>AUC ROC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high/low arousal and high/low valence classification of all images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.372 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.392 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low arousal classification of all images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.582 ± 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.582 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low arousal classification of all images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.533 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.535 ± 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low arousal classification of low-arousal images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.627 ± 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.625 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low arousal classification of high-arousal images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.569 ± 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.575 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low valence classification of low-arousal images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.563 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.574 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high/low valence classification of high-arousal images</td>
<td>LReg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.646 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLDA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.654 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We consider two distinct evaluation aspects: retrieval performance and ranking similarity. Retrieval performance, informed by Precision at different rank positions $K \in \{1, 5, 10, 20\}$, indicates whether the retrieved images evoke similar emotions as to a given query image. Ranking similarity, as informed by Rank-biased Overlap (RBO) at different rank positions $K$, indicates whether the provided rankings agree with the ground-truth IAPS rankings.

The ground-truth rankings are computed in a 2-dimensional vector space (arousal and valence scores) using arousal and valence scores from the original IAPS database [33] and Euclidean distance as dissimilarity metric. The fNIRS rankings are computed based on the softmax vectors provided by the classifier we trained in our previous experiments. It estimates arousal and valence scores for each image assessed by each user by deviating from the centroid that represents the predicted class. Then, the final ranking will comprise the closest images to these predicted arousal and valence values according to the Euclidean distance to the query image $x$. Finally, we also report ranking results with a random classifier, to provide an empirical lower bound for our experiments.

4.2 Ranking results

Table 2 summarizes the retrieval performance results. We can see that fNIRS outperforms the random baselines by a large margin, evidencing thus that human affective processing can be successfully incorporated in retrieval models. Differences between any of the fNIRS models and the random model are statistically significant ($p < .05$) in all scenarios. Differences between the two classifiers for fNIRS (LReg and SLDA) are not statistically significant. All significance comparisons are done with the Chi-square test of proportions, Bonferroni-Holm corrected for multiple comparisons.
We have reported the first study where affective states were images (that are generally relevant and attention grasping) and single-trial decoding experiments show that affective states can be decoded via brain-computer interfacing, results demonstrate that additional signals of human cognitive and affective processing can be decoded via brain-computer interfacing, responses), show higher classification performance. This is in-line with previous findings [12] but our results show higher accuracy than previously reported studies [44]. This finding is particularly encouraging for IR research, as search engines are already good at detecting topical matches, but less good at predicting which results are likely to be associated with positive or negative experiences.

Our results rely on visual stimuli from a standard dataset that is accepted and widely used by the scientific community for studying emotional reactions of human subjects [33]. Despite this, there is a possibility of bias related to the stimuli that cannot be fully ignored, such that ground-truth values were collected from a different participant population and that images were produced 15 years ago.

While our experiments are limited to a single data collection, our results demonstrate that additional signals of human cognitive and affective processing can be decoded via brain-computer interfacing, and they allow incorporating a new affective dimension of relevance in IR models. All in all, our work shows evidence that emotions and affective dimensions of how information is experienced by users may play a crucial role in future IR research and practice.

### 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have reported the first study where affective states were incorporated to the notion of relevance and directly decoded from human brain activity. We also incorporated affective information to ranking models for image search. The classification results from single-trial decoding experiments show that affective states can be decoded with reasonably high accuracy using fNIRS. The results suggest that, while affective classification is overall a challenging task, classification of different data splits, such as only high- arousal images (that are generally relevant and attention grasping) and low-arousal images (that is known to evoke diminutive emotional
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