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Gate-dependent spin–orbit coupling in multielectron carbon nanotubes

T. S. Jespersen1*,†, K. Grove-Rasmussen1,2*,†, J. Paaske1, K. Muraki2, T. Fujisawa3, J. Nygård1 and K. Flensberg1

Understanding how the orbital motion of electrons is coupled to the spin degree of freedom in nanoscale systems is central for applications in spin-based electronics and quantum computation. Here we demonstrate such spin–orbit coupling in a carbon-nanotube quantum dot in the general multielectron regime and in the presence of finite disorder. Also, we find a systematic dependence of the spin–orbit coupling on the electron occupation of the quantum dot. Such a dependence has not been seen in any other system and follows from the curvature-induced spin–orbit-split Dirac spectrum of the underlying graphene lattice. Our findings suggest that the spin–orbit coupling is a general property of carbon-nanotube quantum dots, which should provide a unique platform for the study of spin–orbit effects and their applications.

The interaction of the spin of electrons with their orbital motion has become a focus of attention in quantum-dot research. On the one hand, this spin–orbit interaction (SOI) provides a route for spin decoherence, which is unwanted for purposes of quantum computation1–3. On the other hand, if properly controlled, the SOI can be used as a means of electrically manipulating the spin degree of freedom4–7.
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Modelling spin–orbit coupling and disorder

Carrying out level spectroscopy with a magnetic field $B$ applied either parallel ($B_∥$) or perpendicular ($B_⊥$) to the nanotube axis proves to be a powerful tool to analyse the separate contributions from disorder and spin–orbit coupling. This is illustrated in Fig. 2a–d, which shows calculated single-particle energy-level spectra for four limiting combinations of $\Delta_{KK'}$ and the effective spin–orbit coupling $\Delta_{SO}$ (all limits are relevant for nanotube devices depending on the degree of disorder and CNT structure$^{13,14}$; details of the model are provided in Supplementary Information). In all cases a parallel field separates the four states into pairs of increasing ($K$-like states) and decreasing ($K'$-like states) energies. The magnitude of the split is given by the orbital $g$-factor $g_{orb}$ reflecting the coupling of $B_∥$ to the orbital magnetic moment caused by motion around the CNT (ref. 25). Further, each pair exhibits a smaller internal splitting owing to the Zeeman effect. Figure 2b shows the disorder-induced coupling of $K$ and $K'$ states resulting in an avoided crossing at $B_⊥ = 0$ and the zero-field splitting discussed above. In the opposite limit with SOI only (Fig. 2c), the zero-field spectrum is also split into two doublets, but the field dependence is markedly different and no avoided crossing appears. In the simplest picture, this behaviour originates from coupling of the electron spin to an effective magnetic field $B_{SO} = -(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E})/c^2$ experienced by the electron as it moves with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ in an electric field $\mathbf{E}$. Here the speed of light, $c$, reflects the relativistic origin of the effect. In nanotubes, the curvature of the graphene lattice generates an effective radial electric field, and as the velocity is mainly circumferential (and opposite for $K$ and $K'$), $B_{SO}$ polarizes the spins along the nanotube axis and favours parallel or antiparallel alignment of the spin and orbital magnetic momentum depending on the sign of $\Delta_{SO}$. Thus, even in the absence of disorder, the spectrum splits into two Kramers doublets ($K \downarrow$, $K' \uparrow$) and ($K \uparrow$, $K' \downarrow$) separated by $\Delta_{SO}$. Interestingly, as a perpendicular field does not couple $K$ and $K'$ the doublets do not split along $B_⊥$ (Fig. 2c). As a consequence, the $g$-factor, when measured in a perpendicular magnetic field, will vary from zero when $\Delta_{SO} \gg \Delta_{KK'}$ (Fig. 2c) to two in the opposite limit (Fig. 2b; ref. 26).

The final case, including both disorder and SOI, is of particular importance for the present study, and the calculated spectrum...
Spin–orbit interaction revealed by spectroscopy

With Fig. 2 in mind, we now focus on the quartet with $4N_0 \approx 180$ electrons highlighted in Fig. 1b and expanded in Fig. 3a. To investigate the level structure we carry out cotunnelling spectroscopy, as illustrated in the schematic Fig. 3b (ref. 27): in Coulomb blockade, whenever $e|V_{sd}|$ matches the energy of a transition from the ground state $\alpha$ to an excited state $(\beta, \gamma, \delta)$, inelastic cotunnel processes, which leave the quantum dot in the excited state, become available for transport. This significantly increases the current and gives rise to steps in the conductance. These appear as gate-independent features in Fig. 3a (arrows) and are clearly seen in the inset, showing a trace through the centre of the one-electron ($4N_0 + 1$) diamond along the dashed line. Thus following the magnetic-field dependence of this trace, as shown in Fig. 3c, maps out the level structure. The energies of the excitations are given by the inflection points of the curve (that is peaks/dips of $d^2I/dV_{sd}^2$; ref. 28) and the level evolution is therefore directly evident in Fig. 3d–i, which shows colour maps of the second derivative versus $V_{sd}$ and $B_{\parallel}, B_{\parallel}$ for $V_{\perp}$ positioned in the centre of the one-, two- and three-electron charge is shown in Fig. 2d for $\Delta_{\text{XX}} > \Delta_{\text{SO}}$. Importantly, the effects of SOI are not masked despite the dominating disorder: for parallel field, SOI remains responsible for an asymmetric splitting of the Kramers doublets ($\alpha, \beta$) versus $(\delta, \gamma)$, and the appearance of an extra degeneracy in the spectrum at finite field $(\delta)$ and $(\gamma)$ states. In a perpendicular field, the effect of SOI is to suppress the Zeeman splitting of the two doublets, and as the eigenstates of the SOI have spins along the nanotube axis it couples the states with spins polarized along $B_{\perp}$, resulting in the avoided crossing indicated in the figure. Note that for the present study the weak perpendicular electric field from the back-gate can be disregarded as a source of SOI as it couples different longitudinal modes, that is, states belonging to different electronic shells.

**Figure 3** | Spin–orbit interaction in a disordered multielectron nanotube quantum dot. a, Measurement of $dI/dV_{sd}$ versus $V_{sd}$ and $V_{\parallel}$ corresponding to the consecutive addition of four electrons to an empty shell (indicated in Fig. 1b). A strong tunnel coupling results in significant cotunnelling, which is evident as horizontal lines truncating the diamonds (arrows). The black trace shows a cut along the dashed line. b, Schematic illustration of the relevant inelastic cotunnel processes. c, Traces along the dashed line in a for various $B_{\parallel}$ (red, $\theta = 0$; scale bar, $0.1e^2/h$). d–f, The second derivative $d^2I/dV_{sd}^2$ along the centre of the $N_0 + 1, N_0 + 2$ and $N_0 + 3$ diamond, respectively, as a function of a parallel magnetic field. Peaks/dips appear at inflection points of the differential conductance and thus correspond to the energy difference between ground and excited states. In f the inset shows $dI/dV_{sd}$ versus $-0.3 < V_{sd} < 0.3$ mV and $B_{\parallel} = 0; 0.55; 1; 1.65$ T (arrows) illustrating the splitting and SOI-induced reappearance of a zero-bias Kondo resonance. g–i, As d–f but measured as a function of $B_{\perp}$. The effective spin–orbit coupling appears directly as the avoided crossings indicated by $\Delta_{\text{SO}}$. In d–i the black lines result from the single-particle model with parameters $\Delta_{\text{SO}} = 0.15$ meV, $\Delta_{\text{XX}} = 0.45$ meV and $g_{ab} = 5.7$. The dashed lines in e,h correspond to excitations to the two-electron singlet-like $S_2$ state, which cannot be reached by promoting a single electron from the ground state ($S_0$) and is therefore expected to be absent from the measurement.
states. As explained below, the SOI is clearly expressed in all three spectra.

Consider first the one-electron case: in a parallel field (Fig. 3d) the asymmetric splitting of the two doublets is evident (black versus green arrows), and applying the field perpendicularly (Fig. 3g) the SOI is directly expressed as the avoided crossing indicated in the figure. The measurement is in near-perfect agreement with the single-particle excitation spectrum calculated by subtracting the energies of Fig. 3b and shown by the solid lines. The calculation depends on only three parameters: $\Delta SO = 0.15$ meV set directly by the avoided crossing, $\Delta SO = 0.45$ meV determined from the zero-field splitting of the doublets (see Fig. 2d), and $g_{sub} = 5.7$ set by the slopes of the excitation lines from $\alpha$ to $\gamma$, $\delta$ in d.

Consider now the role of SOI for the doubly occupied CNT quartet. This situation is of particular importance for quantum computation as a paradigm for preparation of entangled states. As explained below, the SOI is clearly expressed in all three spectra.

Finally, the spectrum of three electrons in the four-electron shell is equivalent to that of a single hole in a full shell; at low fields the $\delta$-state becomes the ground state and $\gamma$ the first excited state, whereas $\alpha$ and $\beta$ then constitute the excited doublet. As seen by comparing Fig. 2b,d SOI breaks the intra-shell electron–hole symmetry of the nanotube spectrum. This is evident in the experiment when comparing Fig. 3d,f: in 3f, increasing $B_{\perp}$, the lowest excited state $\gamma$ barely separates from the ground state $\delta$ and at $B_{\perp} = 1.1$ T they cross again, causing a ground-state transition. At the crossing point, the spin-degenerate ground state results in a zero-bias Kondo peak (see inset). Interestingly, this degeneracy also forms the qubit proposed in ref. 1. For the $B_{\perp}$ dependence the one- and three-electron cases remain identical and Fig. 3i exhibits again the SOI-induced avoided crossings.

**Gate-dependent spin–orbit coupling**

Having established the presence of SOI in the general many-electron disordered quantum dot, we now focus on the dependence of $\Delta SO$ on the quantum-dot occupation. To this end, we have repeated the spectroscopy of Fig. 3 for a large number of CNT quartets and in each case extracted $\Delta SO$ by fitting to the single-particle model (all underlying data are presented in Supplementary Information).

Interestingly, quartets in the valence band have the one- and three-electron spectra qualitatively reversed compared with Fig. 3; thus, although SOI favours parallel spin and orbital magnetic moments in the conduction band, it favours antiparallel polarization for electron states in the valence band. Thus in this sense electron–hole symmetry is preserved, corresponding to positive $\Delta SO$ for both the valence and conduction bands. This contrasts the situation of Kuemmeth et al. and is surprising, as electron–hole symmetry is broken in the conventional picture of SOI as acting equivalently to an effective parallel magnetic field. Figure 4a shows the resulting gate dependence of $\Delta SO$. A gradual decrease is observed as electrons are added to the conduction band and the values exceed those of the valence band at the same electron/hole filling. This agrees qualitatively with recent models of the curvature-induced spin–orbit splitting of the underlying graphene lattice, as we now discuss.

The magnitude of $\Delta SO$ is given by the spin–orbit splitting of the underlying graphene band structure. For flat graphene this splitting is very weak ($\Delta SO_{\text{graphene}} \sim 1$ meV; ref. 12), as it is second order in the already weak atomic SOI of carbon $\Delta SO_{\text{C}} \sim 8$ meV. In nanotubes,
however, the curvature induces a coupling between the $\pi$- and $\sigma$-bands and generates a curvature-induced spin–orbit splitting, which is first order in the atomic SOI and thus greatly enhances $\Delta_{SO}$. Around a Dirac point of the Brillouin zone (for example K), the graphene band structure appears as in Fig. 4b (refs 11–14); the spin-up and spin-down Dirac cones are split by SOI both in energy and along $k_z$, the momentum in the circumferential direction of the CNT. The schematic diagram in Fig. 4c also highlights the CNT band structure obtained by imposing periodic boundary conditions on $k_z$. In a finite-length CNT quantum dot the wavevector along the nanotube axis, $k_z$, is also quantized, and letting $\epsilon_N$ denote the energy of the $N$th longitudinal mode the effective SOI for a small-bandgap CNT becomes

$$\Delta_{SO} = E_F^\uparrow - E_F^\downarrow = 2 \left( \frac{\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow}{1 + (\epsilon_N / \Delta_{SO})^2} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow}{1 + (\epsilon_N / \Delta_{SO})^2} \right)^{-1} \quad (1)$$

Here the upper (lower) sign refers to the conduction (valence) band, $\Delta_{SO}$ is the curvature-induced energy gap12,33 and the two terms $\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow$ and $\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow$ are the band-structure spin–orbit parameters due to curvature11–13. The separate contributions of the two terms are illustrated in Fig. 4d. $\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow$ was found in the work of Ando11 and accounts for the $k_z$ separation of the Dirac cones in Fig. 4b. For the CNT band structure this term acts equivalently to an Aharonov–Bohm flux from a parallel spin-dependent magnetic field, which changes the quantization conditions in the $k_z$ direction. Characteristically, its contribution to $\Delta_{SO}$ decreases with the number of electrons in the dot $|\epsilon_N|$ and reverses sign for the valence band. This contrast the $\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow$-independent contribution from the recently predicted $\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow$ term12–14, which acts as an effective valley-dependent Zeeman term and accounts for the energy splitting of the Dirac cones in Fig. 4b. For the nanotube studied in ref. 8 $\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow$ has opposite signs for electrons and holes, that is, $|\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow|$ > $|\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow|$. In our case, the positive values measured in the valence band demonstrate the opposite limit of dominating $\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow$ due to the larger filling. The measured gate dependence of $\Delta_{SO}$ thus qualitatively agrees with the spin–orbit splitting of the graphene Dirac spectrum caused by the curvature of the nanotube, and fitting to equation (1) (Fig. 4a, dashed line) yields $\Delta_{SO} = 40 \pm 15 \mu$eV and $\Delta_{SO} = -170 \pm 15 \mu$eV. Band-structure models relate these parameters to the structure of the nanotube12–14: $\Delta_{SO}^\uparrow = (\alpha / \beta) \Delta_C \Delta_D$ and $\Delta_{SO}^\downarrow = -\alpha_1 \Delta_C \Delta_D / D$, where $D$ is the nanotube diameter and $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\beta$ constants that depend on the CNT class (semiconducting, small bandgap). Typically CVD-grown single-wall CNTs have diameters in the range 1–3 nm, whereas obtaining $D$ from the measured values of $\Delta_{SO}$ gives $D \approx 10 \, \text{nm}$. Thus taking $D = 10 \, \text{nm}$ we estimate $(\alpha / \beta)^{\exp} = (0.2–6) \times 10^{-4} \, (\text{meV})^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{\exp}^{\uparrow} = (0.02–0.3) \, \text{nm}$. Although $\alpha_{\exp}^{\uparrow}$ is consistent with the value quoted in the theoretical literature (0.048 mm; ref. 14), the predicted $(\alpha / \beta)$ value – $2 \times 10^{-3} \, (\text{meV})^{-1}$ does not match the experimental value or sign. This sign reflects whether the valence or conduction band experiences the higher spin–orbit splitting: for small-bandgap nanotubes theory predicts the valence-band spin–orbit splitting to exceed the conduction-band value14,34— inconsistent with the present measurement and ref. 8. The origin of this discrepancy remains unknown, and work on SOI in nanotubes with known chirality is needed to make further progress.

**Methods**

The devices are made on a highly doped silicon wafer terminated by 500 nm of SiO$_2$. Alignment marks (70 nm, 200ANGS) are defined by electron-beam lithography before deposition of catalyst islands made of iron nitrate (Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$), molybdenum acetate and alumina support particles15. The sample is then transferred to a furnace, where single-wall carbon nanotubes are grown by chemical vapour deposition at 850–900 °C in an atmosphere of hydrogen, argon and methane gases. Panels of electrodes consisting of Au/Pd (40/10 nm) spaced by 400 nm are fabricated above the catalyst islands by standard electron-beam lithography techniques. Finally, bonding pads (Au/Cr 150/10 nm) are made by optical lithography and the devices are screened by room- and low-temperature measurements.

We measured the sample in an Oxford dilution refrigerator fitted with an Attocube ANRv51 piezo rotator, which enables high-precision in-plane rotation of the sample in large magnetic fields. The rotator provides resistive feedback of the actual position measured by lock-in techniques. For electrical filtering, room-temperature $\pi$-filters and low-temperature Thermoconox are used. The base temperature of the modified refrigerator is around 100 mK; all measurements are broadened by tunnel coupling, not temperature. The CNT measurement set-up consists of a National Instrument digital-to-analogue card, custom-made optically coupled amplifiers, a DL Instruments 1211 current-to-voltage amplifier and a Princeton Applied Research 5210 lock-in amplifier. Standard d.c. and lock-in techniques have been used to measure current and differential conductance $dI / dV_A$ whereas $d^2I / dV_A^2$ is obtained numerically.

The bandgap of the device $2\Delta_{SO} \approx 30 \, \text{meV}$ is measured directly as a large Coulomb diamond at $V \approx 1 \, \text{V}$ and in fitting the data of Fig. 4a to equation (1) we used $\exp \approx 25 \, \text{meV}/V \times V_X$ estimated from the level spacing $\Delta E \approx 3 \, \text{meV}$ and the average Coulomb peak spacing $\langle \Delta V \rangle \approx 30 \, \text{meV}$.  
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