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Abstract

Pathogen perception by the plant innate immune system is of central importance to plant survival and productivity. The *Arabidopsis* protein RIN4 is a negative regulator of plant immunity. In order to identify additional proteins involved in RIN4-mediated immune signal transduction, we purified components of the RIN4 protein complex. We identified six novel proteins that had not previously been implicated in RIN4 signaling, including the plasma membrane (PM) H\(^+\)-ATPases AHA1 and/or AHA2. RIN4 interacts with AHA1 and AHA2 both in vitro and in vivo. *RIN4* overexpression and knockout lines exhibit differential PM H\(^+\)-ATPase activity. PM H\(^+\)-ATPase activation induces stomatal opening, enabling bacteria to gain entry into the plant leaf; inactivation induces stomatal closure thus restricting bacterial invasion. The *rin4* knockout line exhibited reduced PM H\(^+\)-ATPase activity and, importantly, its stomata could not be re-opened by virulent *Pseudomonas syringae*. We also demonstrate that RIN4 is expressed in guard cells, highlighting the importance of this cell type in innate immunity. These results indicate that the *Arabidopsis* protein RIN4 functions with the PM H\(^+\)-ATPase to regulate stomatal apertures, inhibiting the entry of bacterial pathogens into the plant leaf during infection.

Introduction

Plants are continuously exposed to a variety of microorganisms. In order to successfully avoid infection, they have evolved a series of defense mechanisms that work in concert to limit pathogen invasion and multiplication [1]. Unlike vertebrates, plants lack an adaptive immune system and rely on their innate immune system to recognize and restrict pathogenic microbes. Conceptually, there are two primary branches of plant innate immune system. One branch employs extracellular receptors to recognize conserved microbial features termed pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), resulting in PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). The second branch uses intracellular plant resistance (R) proteins to recognize pathogen effectors delivered inside host cells during infection, resulting in effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Despite the importance of plant innate immunity, how pathogen perception activates immune responses and signaling overlap between PTI and ETI remain elusive.

PAMPs are conserved microbial features, such as bacterial flagellin or fungal chitin, which fulfill a function crucial to the lifestyle of the organism. PAMPs are perceived by pattern-recognition receptors resulting in PTI. The activation of PTI leads to the induction of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, transcriptional reprogramming, production of reactive oxygen species, and callose deposition, which serves as a physical barrier at infection sites (reviewed in [2]).

In order to colonize plants, virulent microorganisms need to overcome PTI. Plant pathogenic bacteria use the type III secretion system to deliver 20–30 effector proteins into the plant cell during pathogenesis. Collectively, these effectors are required for virulence and individual effectors have been shown to inhibit PTI through a variety of mechanisms [3]. The most well-studied bacterial effectors come from *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pst*), the causal agent of bacterial speck on *Arabidopsis* and tomato. In susceptible plant genotypes effectors enhance plant pathogen virulence and can inhibit PTI and ETI; in resistant plant genotypes effectors are recognized, culminating in an inhibition of pathogen growth [4,5]. Despite the wide range of pathogens recognized, the majority of R genes can be grouped into one large family encoding proteins with a nucleotide-binding site (NB) and C-terminal leucine rich repeat (LRR) domains [6]. Several plant R proteins can detect effectors indirectly by monitoring for effector-induced perturbations of key host proteins.

To date, RIN4 (*At3g25070*) is the only known protein that can regulate both branches of the plant immune system. *RIN4*
Plants are continuously exposed to microorganisms. In order to resist infection, plants rely on their innate immune system to inhibit both pathogen entry and multiplication. We investigated the function of the Arabidopsis protein RIN4, which acts as a negative regulator of plant innate immunity. We biochemically identified six novel RIN4-associated proteins and characterized the association between RIN4 and the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase pump. Our results indicate that RIN4 functions in concert with this pump to regulate leaf stomata during the innate immune response, when stomata close to block the entry of bacterial pathogens into the leaf interior.

overexpression lines exhibit decreased callose deposition after PAMP treatment as well as enhanced growth of virulent and type III secretion-deficient Pst, indicating a reduction in PTI [7]. rin4 knockout lines exhibit increased callose deposition after PAMP treatment and decreased Pst growth, consistent with enhanced PTI signaling [7]. These data indicate that RIN4 is a negative regulator of PTI. In addition, two R proteins, RPM1 (At3g07040) and RPS2 (At4g26090), monitor RIN4. RPM1, RPS2, and RIN4 are all localized to the plasma membrane [8–10]. In the absence of pathogen perception, RIN4 acts as a negative regulator of RPM1 and RPS2. When the P. syringae effector AvrRpm1 or AvrB are delivered to the plant cell, RIN4 is hyper-phosphorylated, which in turn leads to the activation of RPM1-mediated resistance [8]. Another P. syringae effector, AvrRpt2, is a protease that directly targets RIN4, leading to the activation of RPS2-mediated resistance [11–14]. Investigation of the Arabidopsis–P. syringae interaction has identified RIN4 is a point of convergence for the regulation of both PTI and ETI. However, a mechanistic understanding of how RIN4 negatively regulates PTI remains elusive.

Many pathogenic bacteria can proliferate as epiphytes on the plant leaf surface, but in order to infect a plant they must colonize host tissues. Bacterial pathogens gain entry inside plant leaves through wounds or natural openings like stomata. Stomatal pores, located on the aerial epidermis, permit gas exchange between plants and the atmosphere. A pair of guard cells surrounds the leaf stomata in response to PAMPs. Plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity, while the rin4 knockout line exhibited decreased PM H⁺-ATPase activity. Importantly, we demonstrate that the rin4 knockout cannot re-open its stomata in response to virulent Pst. We also show that RIN4 is expressed in guard cells along with other PTI and ETI signaling components. Our findings are consistent with a model in which RIN4 associates with the C-terminal autoinhibitory domain of the PM H⁺-ATPase to regulate leaf stomata in response to PAMPs.

Results

Purification and Identification of the RIN4 Protein Complex

In order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the proteins involved in plant immune signaling, we investigated the components of the RIN4 protein complex in Arabidopsis thaliana. We used affinity-purified antibody recognizing RIN4 to purify associated proteins by immunoaffinity chromatography (Figure S1). The rps2-101c mutant complemented with the RPS2 transgene containing a C-terminal fusion to the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope was used for RIN4 purifications. This line is biologically relevant because RPS2:HA is expressed from its native promoter, can complement the rps2-101c mutation, and confers resistance to Pst expressing AvrRpt2 [11]. RPS2 associates with RIN4 in planta, and we used this association to troubleshoot purification conditions. Because the rin4 knockout is lethal in the presence of RPS2, we used the rps2/rin4 double mutant line to control for nonspecific protein binding [13]. Multiple purification protocols were tested in order to identify conditions that would enable us to detect the presence of both RIN4 and RPS2 by mass spectrometry. We found that wash conditions containing more than 150 mM NaCl eliminated most nonspecific protein binding, but also eliminated our ability to copurify RPS2 in the positive controls. Protein complex purifications were also conducted after plasma membrane fractionation, but this eliminated our ability to copurify RPS2 (unpublished data). Therefore, we used whole leaf protein extracts and mild wash conditions to purify RIN4 associated proteins across three biological replicates. Proteins from each sample were analyzed directly using high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (MS; Figure S1). Proteins were identified using the MASCOT algorithm to search the Arabidopsis genome. All experiments captured native, biologically relevant levels of RIN4 and associated proteins.

We reproducibly identified RIN4 and RPS2 as well as six novel RIN4-associated proteins across three biological replications (Tables 1, S1, and S2). In order to be classified as a RIN4-associated protein, the protein had to be identified by a minimum of two unique peptides and be present in all three replications of
which is where RIN4 resides [8]. All of these proteins are predicted to be membrane-localized, an N-terminal domain with similarity to viral movement proteins with C-terminal coiled-coil domains. Multiple remorins possess induced in response to multiple biotic and abiotic stresses, the first MS run (Table S2). There are 11 AHA2 proteins by mass spectrometry in two out of three biological unable to distinguish between the highly homologous AHA1 and responsible for energization of the plasma membrane. We were AHA1 or AHA2 At2g18960 At4g30190 IPI00526113 13 8 4
ERD4 At1g30360 IPI00526219 5 4 2
Remorin At3g61260 IPI00539947 2 3 2
MATH domain At3g28220 IPI00528031 2 6 12
Jacaklin domain At1g52000 IPI00531879 2 2 2
Jacaklin domain At3g16420 IPI00543838 2 6 2

Counts are the sum of three biological replications (except RPS2, identified in only two replications). Protein identification required p<0.05 (MOWSE algorithm), minimum two peptides. We were unable to differentiate between AHA1 and AHA2 by mass spectrometry during runs 2 and 3, two PM H\(^+\)-ATPases. The number of unique peptides identified for each protein is listed. None of these proteins were identified in the negative control (ps2-101crin4 knockout line).
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.t001

Table 1. Members of the RIN4 protein complex identified by mass spectrometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Gene Identifier</th>
<th>Accession Number (IPI)</th>
<th>rps2 RPS2:HA (1)</th>
<th>rps2 RPS2:HA (2)</th>
<th>rps2 RPS2:HA (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIN4</td>
<td>At3g25070</td>
<td>IPI00517440</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS2</td>
<td>At4g26090</td>
<td>IPI00547830</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA1 or AHA2</td>
<td>At2g18960 At4g30190</td>
<td>IPI00526113</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERD4</td>
<td>At1g30360</td>
<td>IPI00526219</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remorin</td>
<td>At3g61260</td>
<td>IPI00539947</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATH domain</td>
<td>At3g28220</td>
<td>IPI00528031</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacaklin domain</td>
<td>At1g52000</td>
<td>IPI00531879</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacaklin domain</td>
<td>At3g16420</td>
<td>IPI00543838</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The positive control, but never identified in the negative control rps2/rin4. Although we were able to identify RPS2 and RIN4 by mass spectrometry, we did not identify two additional proteins that are known to interact with RIN4: NDR1 (At3g20600) and the R protein RPM1 [8,28]. Both proteins have been demonstrated to interact by yeast two-hybrid and co-immunoprecipitation. Our inability to detect RPM1 could be because only a small percentage of RPM1 interacts with RIN4 in the plant, indicating that these two proteins may transiently interact during ETI [8]. Alternatively, our mass spectrometry analysis may have only identified the most abundant RIN4 associated proteins. In contrast to RIN4, which is easily detected by western blot, RPM1 and NDR1 are expressed at very low levels, making them difficult to identify by mass spectrometry.

A MATH domain protein, two Jacalin domain proteins, ERD4, a remorin, and the PM H\(^+\)-ATPases AHA1 and/or AHA2 were identified by mass spectrometry (Tables 1 and S1). The MATH domain is broadly represented in eukaryotes [29]. Proteins containing MATH domains, primarily the well-characterized TNF Receptor Associated Factor family, are involved in human disease resistance signaling through their regulation of inflammation and apoptosis responses [30]. MATH domains are thought to act as protein adapters, transferring signals to intracellular signaling pathways. Proteins containing MATH domains are prevalent throughout the plant kingdom, but have not been characterized or implicated in plant disease resistance. Jacalins are lectins, which have been shown to be induced in response to the hormone methyl jasmonate [31]. ERD4 (Early Responsive to Dehydration 4) was originally identified because it is rapidly induced during drought stress [32]. Microarray analysis has revealed that ERD4 is also induced in response to multiple biotic and abiotic stresses, although its function remains elusive (unpublished data). Remorins are plasma membrane associated proteins of unknown function with C-terminal coiled-coiled domains. Multiple remorins possess an N-terminal domain with similarity to viral movement proteins [33]. All of these proteins are predicted to be membrane-localized, which is where RIN4 resides [8].

We also identified the PM H\(^+\)-ATPase (AHA), the proton pump responsible for energization of the plasma membrane. We were unable to distinguish between the highly homologous AHA1 and AHA2 proteins by mass spectrometry in two out of three biological replications. We were able to identify AHA1 specific peptides in the first MS run (Table S2). There are 11 AHA genes in Arabidopsis, which pump H\(^+\) from the cytosol to the apoplast in an ATP-dependent manner. AHA1, AHA2, and AHA5 are the major transcripts found in guard cells [34]. AHA1 and AHA2 are predicted to have molecular masses of 104.2 and 104.4 kDa, respectively, and share 94% amino acid identity. In light of recent data implicating AHA1 in stomatal regulation and the role of stomatal closure in the innate immune response, we decided to analyze the association between RIN4 and AHA1/AHA2 in greater detail [15,35].

The PM H\(^+\)-ATPase Interacts with RIN4 by Yeast Two-Hybrid and In Planta

In order to validate the RIN4 AHA1/AHA2 association detected by mass spectrometry, we subjected them to BiFC and yeast two-hybrid analyses. AHA1 and AHA2, which are negatively regulated by their C termini, possess multiple transmembrane domains (reviewed in [36]). Therefore, we employed the hydrophilic C-terminal regulatory domain of AHA1 and AHA2 in our yeast two-hybrid analyses. As shown in Figure 1A, we detected an interaction between RIN4 and the C termini of both AHA1837–950 and AHA2837–949, when compared with the negative control T-antigen/Lamin-C using the Matchmaker system. We were unable to detect any interaction between RPS2, AHA1837–950, or AHA2837–949 by yeast two-hybrid (unpublished data). We verified that RIN4, AHA1817–950, and AHA2817–949 are expressed in yeast and do not autonomously activate His auxotrophy (Figures 1A and S2). We also tested beta-galactosidase activity, but could only detect a faint blue color (unpublished data). These results indicate that RIN4 can weakly interact with the C terminus of AHA1 and AHA2 by yeast two-hybrid.

To provide additional evidence for the AHA and RIN4 interaction, we investigated the association between AHA1, AHA2, and RIN4 in planta using a BiFC approach to directly visualize protein interactions in living cells. A specific interaction between either AHA1 or AHA2 and RIN4 was detected in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves (Figure 1B, a, b). The yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fluorescence was clearly localized to the plasma membrane, where RIN4, AHA1, and AHA2 have been previously shown to be located. The background fluorescence of chloroplasts in the green channel is due to the excitation at 488 nm. Meanwhile, we were unable to detect any YFP fluorescence between AHA1 or AHA2 and RPS2 (Figure 1B, d, e). As a negative control we co-expressed each protein with the auxin influx carrier AUX1 (At2g38120), an integral plasma membrane protein. None of the proteins were able to induce YFP fluorescence in the presence of the negative control, indicating a
Figure 1. RIN4 and AHA interact in planta and in yeast. (A) RIN4 interacts with the C terminus of both AHA1 and AHA2 using the Matchmaker yeast two-hybrid system (Clontech). BD, binding domain vector (pGBKT7); AD, activation domain vector (pGADT7); SD-3, synthetic dextrose media lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine; YPDA, yeast potato dextrose agar. AHA1 and AHA2 were cloned into the AD vector. (B) AHA1 and AHA2 associate with RIN4 in vivo. We were able to detect a specific interaction between AHA1 and AHA2 with RIN4 by BiFC across three replications. The experiments to detect BiFC fluorescence with AHA1 and AHA2 were conducted independently. N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing either AHA1 or AHA2 and RIN4 results in detectable GFP fluorescence on the membrane (upper panel, a–b). No interaction with RPS2 (lower panel, d–e) or AUX1 (c, f) could be detected. YFP fluorescence was excited at 488 and imaged at 518–540 nm by confocal microscopy, except for b and e (used excitation 512 and emission 525–540 nm). Chlorophyll emission was detected at 618 nm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g001
specific interaction between AHA1/AHA2 and RIN4 in planta. In order to ensure that the proteins used as negative controls indeed were expressed, expression of AUX1 was detected by western blotting employing the His tag included in the construct (unpublished data) and expression of RPS2 was tested by observation of cell death 48 h after infiltration (unpublished data).

**RIN4 Overexpression and Knockout Lines Exhibit Differential H\(^{+}\)-ATPase Activities**

RIN4 can interact with the C-terminal regulatory domains of AHA1 and AHA2. Therefore, we investigated the hypothesis that RIN4 can regulate H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity. Because it is not possible to measure the biochemical activity of single PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase isoforms in planta, we analyzed PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity as a whole, even though RIN4 may only affect a subset of ATPases. Plasma membrane vesicles were purified from Col 0, dexamethasone (Dex) inducible RIN4 overexpression [7], rpm1/tsp2, and rpm1/tsp2/rin4 leaf tissue by aqueous two-phase partitioning. We have used the rpm1/tsp2/rin4 triple mutant for experiments to avoid the weak activation of RPM1 that occurs in the absence of RIN4 [37]. PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity was subsequently measured on inside-out plasma membrane vesicles as described by Palmgren and colleagues [38]. In this assay, the PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase hydrolyzes ATP and pumps H\(^{+}\) into vesicles, which creates a pH gradient across the membrane. The pumping activity was measured by quenching of the ΔpH probe acridine orange at an absorbance of 495 nm. H\(^{+}\) transport measured from plasma membrane vesicles purified from wild-type Col 0 leaves demonstrated that these vesicles were both transport competent and highly enriched for plasma membrane (Figure S3). In rpm1/tsp2/rin4 leaves, H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity was 30% lower than Col 0 (p<0.001, Figure 2A and 2C). In Rin4 overexpression lines, H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity was 65% higher than Col 0 (Figure 2B and 2D). We also noticed that the rpm1/tsp2 double mutant exhibited slightly higher H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity than Col 0 (7%–13%) across independent plasma membrane isolations (p<0.05, Figure 2A and 2C). Because both RPS2 and RPM1 interact with RIN4, this line may possess more Rin4 protein that can interact with the H\(^{+}\)-ATPase, thus increasing its activity. Rin4 overexpression was induced by spraying the Dex::Rin4 line with 20 μM Dex and harvesting tissue 48 h later (Figure 2E). We also found that Dex treatment itself slightly inhibited the H\(^{+}\)-ATPase enzymatic activity in Col 0. This is not surprising, because previous studies have revealed that Dex treatment alone can lead to significant changes in gene expression [39]. Nevertheless, when comparing to Col 0 and Dex::Rin4 lines after treating with Dex, it is clear that Rin4 overexpression leads to enhanced PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that Rin4 can act to regulate H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity at the plasma membrane. On the basis of these results, Rin4 acts as a positive regulator of AHA1/AHA2, as Rin4 overexpression lines exhibit enhanced AHA activity and the rin4 knockout exhibits decreased AHA activity.

To test if in vitro effect of Rin4 on H\(^{+}\) pumping, recombinant Rin4 protein was purified from *E. coli* and added directly to H\(^{+}\) transport assays. H\(^{+}\) transport activity in vesicles isolated from the rpm1/tsp2/rin4 knockout was increased in the presence of 3 μg of Rin4 (Figure 3). No effect on H\(^{+}\) transport was observed when recombinant Rin4 protein was added to vesicles isolated from wild-type Col 0 plants (Figure 3).

**Constitutively Active PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase Mutants Exhibit Enhanced Sensitivity to *Pst* Spray Inoculation**

In order to determine if altering the activity of AHA1 or AHA2 could lead to changes in PTI or ETI, we first analyzed aba1 (salk_118350) and aba2 (salk_022010) knockout lines. We were unable to detect any obvious morphological or altered disease phenotypes in either knockout line (unpublished data). We were unable to generate an aba1/aba2 double mutant by crossing salk_118350 and salk_022010, a result that has been reported previously [40]. These results suggest that knocking out both AHA1 and AHA2 is a lethal combination, indicating that AHA1 and AHA2 may be functionally redundant in *Arabidopsis*.

Therefore, we analyzed ost2-1D and ost2-2D, which possess point mutations of P_{g5}S and L_{g5}/G_{g5}S in AHA1, respectively, and act as dominant activation mutations [35]. The ost2-1D mutant background is in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) ecotype and the ost2-2D is in the Col 0 ecotype. The ost2-1D and ost2-2D mutants were originally identified based on their open stomata phenotype [35]. Because stomata can serve as ports of entry for microbial pathogens, we hypothesized that these mutants may facilitate enhanced bacterial entry inside leaves. We were unable to detect a difference between Col 0, Ler, and ost2-1D or ost2-2D after spray infiltration with virulent *Pst* DC3000 or avirulent *Pst* DC3000 expressing the effector AvrRpt2, which induces ETI (Figure 4A and 4B). Col 0 and Ler exhibited clear bacterial speck symptoms by 4–5 d after spray inoculation. However, the leaves of ost2-2D lines were completely collapsed by 4 d after spray inoculation. Therefore, all growth curves were performed at 3 d post-inoculation, when disease symptoms were clearly visible on ost2-1D and ost2-2D (Figure 4D). When we spray-inoculated with *Pst* DC3000 or *Pst* DC3000 (AvrRpt2), the bacteria were able to grow 5- to 10-fold more in the ost2-1D and ost2-2D mutant lines compared to Ler and Col 0 and displayed enhanced disease symptoms (Figure 4A, 4B, and 4D). These results show that AHA1 activation can facilitate *Pst* entry into the plant leaf interior.

Our genetic analysis suggests that AHA1 and AHA2 are functionally redundant. Therefore, we hypothesized that AHA2 overexpression lines would also enable enhanced bacterial entry into the leaf interior. AHA2 regulation has been well-studied in vitro, and the C terminus acts as a negative regulator of the PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase [36,41,42]. Removing the C terminus induces strong auto-activation in vitro and in plants [41,43]. We generated an AHA2 overexpression line in Col 0 by transforming a truncated version of AHA2 (amino acids 1–837) without its C-terminal inhibitory domain under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. Because of the small leaf size of the 35S:AHA2\(_{1–837}\) line, we were unable to spray inoculate or harvest large quantities of leaf tissue necessary for PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase enzymatic analysis. The resulting transgenic plants were dwarf with pronounced leaf chlorosis, decreased germination rates, and possessed enhanced AHA2 expression (Figure S4). *Pst* DC3000 was able to grow 20-fold more in this line compared to Col 0 after spray inoculation (Figure 4C). However, the 35S:AHA2\(_{1–837}\) line did not have a constitutively open stomata phenotype like ost2-1D and ost2-2D mutants (unpublished data). The pleotropic phenotypes generated by overexpressing AHA2\(_{1–837}\) in Col 0 are not surprising because strong constitutive activation of plasma membrane H\(^{+}\)-ATPase(s) can result in a nonspecific expression in different cell types, profound changes in plasma membrane potential, and will affect multiple biological processes [43]. For these reasons, we did not investigate the 35S:AHA2\(_{1–837}\) line further and concentrated our analyses on the AHA1 activation mutants. The ost2-1D and ost2-2D mutants were previously reported as lesion-mimic mutants and displayed salicylic acid-induced necrosis on leaflets [35]. Under standard growth conditions for pathogen inoculation, we did not observe this phenotype on any of the lines exhibiting enhanced PM H\(^{+}\)-ATPase activity (Figure 4D). However, we were able to visualize leaflet necrosis on both lines when
they were grown under conditions to promote flowering (140 μmol/sec/m², 16-h days, 23°C). The phenotypes of lesion-mimic mutants can be variable and sensitive to variations in growth conditions [44]. Lesion-mimic mutants are often associated with mutations in ion channels [44,45]. As the AHA family is an important regulator of multiple cellular processes, spatial and temporal regulation of PM H⁺-ATPases inside mesophyll cells may also be an important component of plant immune signaling.

In order to test the hypothesis that enhanced bacterial growth on ost2 mutant leaves is due to their increased ability to gain entry into the leaf interior via open stomata, we inoculated wild-type Arabidopsis and ost2 mutant lines with the nonmotile Pst flagellin mutant flaA [46]. The flaA mutant grew to similar levels as wild-type Pst when syringe infiltrated in Col 0 leaves (Figure 5A). We were unable to detect enhanced growth of the flaA mutant after spray inoculation onto ost2-D1 and ost2-D2, indicating that these mutant plants promote bacterial colonization of the leaf by allowing bacteria to gain entry by swimming through their stomatal apertures (Figure 5B). Interestingly, we noticed that growth of the flaA mutant was decreased in ost2 mutants after spray inoculation, but not syringe infiltration (Figure 5B). This may be due to an inability of the flaA mutant to swim away from

Figure 2. Plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase enzymatic activity is altered in RIN4 overexpression and knockout lines. Plant leaf plasma membranes were purified by an aqueous polymer two-phase system. The H⁺-pumping activity assay was conducted on inside-out plasma membrane vesicles as described in the Materials and Methods. In this assay, the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase hydrolyzes ATP and pumps H⁺ into vesicles, which creates the pH gradient across the membrane. The pumping activity was measured by the pH probe acridine orange quenching at an absorbance of 495 nm. (A) and (C) H⁺-pumping activity decreased in the RIN4 mutant line rpm1/tps2/rin4, but not in rpm1/tps2 plants. (B) and (D) RIN4 overexpression results in an increase in H⁺-pumping activity in comparison to Col 0. (C) and (D) The initial slope of acridine orange absorbance quenching was graphed from (A) and (B) respectively. H⁺-pumping activity is reported as ΔA₄₉₅nm/mg protein/min. Dexamethasone (Dex) inducible RIN4 lines and Col 0 were sprayed with water and 0.025% silwett or 20 μM Dex in 0.025% silwett. Leaf tissue was harvested after 48 h, and plasma membranes were immediately purified. (E) RIN4 immunoblot showing RIN4 expression levels in Col 0 (1), Dex:RIN4 (2), and rpm1/rps2/rin4 mutant lines (3) 48 h after Dex treatment. Each experiment was repeated two times with independent plasma membrane isolations. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD [74] alpha = 0.05 for (C) and a two-tailed t-test for (D).

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g002
upon perception of PAMPs, Col 0 stomata will close within 1 h [15]. Virulent *Pst* can re-open stomata after 3 h through the production of coronatine, facilitating pathogen entry. Because RIN4 can interact with the C-terminal regulatory domain of AHA1 and AHA2 (Figure 1), we investigated the stomatal response in the rin4 knockout line after pathogen inoculation. Leaf epidermal peels from Col 0, rpm1/tps2, and rpm1/tps2/rin4 were floated on $1 \times 10^6$ colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml *Pst* DC3000 and their stomatal apertures were measured in response to pathogen inoculation. Stomatal apertures from all genotypes closed after 1 h (Figure 6A). Importantly, we observed that *Pst* DC3000 could not re-open the stomata in rpm1/tps2/rin4 after 3 h (Figure 6B). The stomata of rpm1/tps2 lines were open after 3 h, indicating that this phenotype is solely due to the lack of RIN4 (Figure 6B). We also tested ndr1-1 mutant plants for a defect in stomatal response to PAMPs, but ndr1-1 lines were still able to re-open their stomata 3 h after exposure to *Pst* DC3000 (unpublished data), indicating that *NDR1* is not required for the RIN4-mediated stomatal phenotype. These observations are consistent with RIN4 being a negative regulator of plant innate immunity. These results also support the hypothesis that RIN4 and AHA1/AHA2 work together to regulate stomatal apertures in response to PTI.

Previously, the *rpm1/tps2/rin4* triple mutant was shown to be more resistant than *rpm1/tps2* after spray inoculation with *Pst* DC3000 [7]. In addition, *rin4* knockout lines exhibit enhanced callose deposition in response to PTI, whereas RIN4 overexpression lines display the opposite phenotype [7]. Therefore, RIN4 may play a role in PTI signaling in both guard cells and mesophyll cells. In order to test this hypothesis, we inoculated *rpm1/tps2* and *rpm1/tps2/rin4* plants grown under the same conditions by both spray inoculation and syringe infiltration. Spray inoculation always resulted in a significant decrease of 4- to 9-fold in bacterial growth on the *rpm1/tps2/rin4* mutant when compared to *rpm1/tps2* (Figure 6C). We were also able to detect a slight decrease (2- to 4-fold) in bacterial growth on the *rpm1/tps2/rin4* mutant after syringe infiltration. These results indicate that RIN4 contributes significantly to PTI signaling in guard cells and has a subtle phenotype with respect to PTI in mesophyll cells. Because *rin4* knockout lines do not re-open their stomata after inoculation with *Pst*, this may be the reason why lines lacking RIN4 exhibit increased resistance to virulent bacteria after spray inoculation.

**RIN4 Is Expressed in Both Guard Cells and Mesophyll Cells**

Our observation that virulent *Pst* cannot re-open stomata in *rin4* knockout lines led us to investigate what cell types express RIN4. We investigated RIN4’s expression pattern in intact leaves and guard cells. Guard cell protoplasts were isolated from Col 0, visually inspected for purity, and analyzed for the presence of RIN4 (Figure 7A). We used the expression of phosphophylyruvate carboxylase 2 (*ATPPC2*, At2g412600), which has low-level expression in guard cells and high-level expression in mesophyll cells, as a control to verify guard cell protoplast purity [47]. Each batch of purified guard cell protoplasts was divided in two for extraction of RNA and protein. Our reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR analysis showed that RIN4 was expressed in both Col 0 guard cells as well as intact leaves (Figure 7A). Guard cells make up less than 2% of the leaf epidermal cells, which highlights the expression of RIN4 within guard cells. Next, we performed immunoblot analysis on leaf and guard cell protoplast protein extracts (30 μg) with the anti-RIN4 antibody. RIN4 protein was detected in Col 0 guard cells as well as in the intact leaf (Figure 7B). Given the abundance of mesophyll cells in the leaf sample, this result indicates that RIN4 is strongly expressed in guard cells.

The Stomata of rin4 Mutant Plants Cannot Be Re-Opened by Virulent *Pst* DC3000

Lines exhibiting increased AHA1 activity are more susceptible to bacterial inoculation due to their open stomata phenotype (Figures 4 and 5). Previously, Melotto and colleagues showed that
AHA1 expression in guard cells was previously demonstrated [35]. On the basis of our interaction studies we therefore tested if AHA2 is also expressed in guard cells. Transgenic plants expressing an AHA2 promoter:GUS construct clearly demonstrated AHA2 expression in guard cells (Figure S4C) supporting the hypothesis that both AHA1 and AHA2 interact with RIN4 and that this interaction is physiologically relevant.

Given the importance of guard cells in regulating bacterial invasion, we investigated if additional immune signaling components were present in guard cells. Like Melotto and colleagues [15], we were able to detect the flagellin PAMP receptor FLS2 (unpublished data). We detected expression of the EF-Tu PAMP receptor EFR and the chitin PAMP receptor CERK1 in Col 0 guard cell protoplasts by RT-PCR (Figure 7C). We were also able to detect the expression of EDS1, PAD4, NDR1, RPS2, RPM1, and RIN4. With the exception of CERK1, all genes were expressed at a detectable level in both guard cells and mesophyll cells (unpublished data).

The Stomata of ost2-1D and ost2-2D Do Not Respond to PTI-Mediated Stomatal Closure

The stomata of ost2 mutants are ABA insensitive, but do respond to other stimuli such as CO2 and blue light, indicating that individual PM H+-ATPases may exhibit defined biological roles [35]. Therefore, we investigated the ability of ost2 mutant lines to respond to PTI-mediated stomatal closure. We floated epidermal peels of Ler, Col 0, and ost2 mutant lines on 1\times10^8 CFU/ml Pst DC3000 and measured their stomatal apertures in response to pathogen inoculation. Pst could not induce stomatal closure in ost2-1D or ost2-2D, while 80% of the stomata from Col 0 and Ler were closed after 1 h (Figure 8A, 8B). Epidermal peels from ost2 mutants were also incubated with the flg22 peptide of flagellin and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which are recognized as bacterial PAMPs. We clearly observed that incubation with 10 nM/ml flg22 or 100 mM LPS can induce stomatal closure in either Ler or Col 0 plants, but not in ost2-1D or ost2-2D (Figure 8C), suggesting that AHA1 inactivation contributes to stomatal closure during PTI signaling.

PTI induces an oxidative burst within minutes after pathogen perception, and treatment with reactive oxygen species, such as H2O2 and nitric oxide (NO) results in stomatal closure [49]. We

Figure 4. Constitutively active AHA1 lines display enhanced susceptibility to spray but not syringe inoculation with P. syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000. (A) 4-wk-old Arabidopsis plants were inoculated by syringe infiltration or spray inoculation with Pst DC3000 and subjected to growth curve analysis 3 d post-inoculation. (B) Arabidopsis plants were inoculated by syringe infiltration or spray inoculation with Pst DC3000 (AvrRpt2) and subjected to growth curve analysis 3 d post-inoculation. (C) The 35S:AHAl-837 overexpression line displays enhanced susceptibility to spray inoculation with Pst DC3000. (D) Disease symptoms in ost2-2D, ost2-1D, Col 0, and Landsberg (Ler) 3 d after spray inoculation with Pst DC3000 (bottom panel). Experimental control plants were sprayed with water (top panel). All spray inoculations were performed at a concentration of 0.5\times10^9 CFU/ml; spray inoculations were performed at a concentration of 1\times10^8 CFU/ml. Results are shown as the mean (n = 6), including standard deviation. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g004
were interested in determining if stomata from plants with enhanced AHA1 activity would respond to the presence of reactive oxygen species. In Figure S5, we treated plants with 0.2 mM H2O2 and 100 μM sodium nitroprusside (SNP, an NO donor). Neither H2O2 nor SNP could induce closure in ost2-1D and ost2-2D, but could rapidly induce stomatal closure in wild-type Arabidopsis. These results demonstrate that the stomata of ost2 mutants, which exhibit enhanced AHA1 activity, do not close in response to PTI, therefore enabling virulent bacteria to gain entry into the plant apoplast. Melotto and colleagues also demonstrated that PAMP-induced stomatal closure required the OST1 protein kinase, a key component of the ABA signaling pathway [15].

Discussion

Recognition of pathogens by the host innate immune system is a critical component controlling survival and fitness of both animals and plants. We investigated the function of RIN4, an Arabidopsis protein that acts as a negative regulator of both PTI and ETI [7,8,11,13]. Here, we have identified six novel RIN4 associated proteins. We have investigated the association between RIN4 and PM H⁺-ATPases AHA1 and AHA2 in detail. These data are consistent with the model of RIN4 acting in concert with the PM H⁺-ATPases AHA1 and AHA2 to regulate stomatal apertures in response to pathogen attack in resistant genotypes (Figure 9).

Stomata are surrounded by a pair of two guard cells, whose turgor controls opening and closure of the aperture. Changes in the turgor of guard cells are strongly influenced by the activity of PM H⁺-ATPase. Activation of PM H⁺-ATPase can lead to hyperpolarization of the plasma membrane and subsequent induction of inward K⁺ channels resulting in an increase in turgor due to concomitant entry of water and stomatal opening. In contrast, inhibiting the PM H⁺-ATPase and anion channel activation initiate plasma membrane depolarization, resulting in the activation of

Figure 5. Constitutively active AHA1 lines do not exhibit enhanced susceptibility to nonmotile P. syringae pv. tomato. (A) Col 0 plants were inoculated by syringe infiltration with 0.5×10⁵ CFU/ml Pst DC3000 and the nonmotile flagellin mutant Pst DC3000 flaA. Bacterial growth was measured 3 d post-inoculation. (B) Constitutively active AHA1 mutants and corresponding wild-type Arabidopsis ecotypes were inoculated by syringe infiltration and spray inoculation with Pst DC3000 flaA and subjected to growth curve analysis 3 d post-inoculation. All syringe inoculations were performed at a concentration of 0.5×10⁵ CFU/ml; spray inoculations were performed at a concentration of 1×10⁶ CFU/ml. Results are shown as the mean (n=6), including standard deviation. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g005

Figure 6. rin4 knockout lines do not re-open their stomata after exposure to virulent Pst DC3000. Pst DC3000 induces stomatal closure at 1 h (A) and re-opening after 3 h (B) on Col 0 but not rin4 knockout lines. (C) The rpm1/rps2/rin4 mutant displays enhanced resistance to spray inoculation with Pst DC3000. 4-wk-old rpm1/rps2 and rpm1/rps2/rin4 plants were syringe infiltrated or spray inoculated with Pst DC3000 and leaves were subjected to growth curve analyses 4 d post-inoculation. Bacterial growth curve results are shown as the mean (n=6), including standard deviation. These experiments are representative of at least three independent replicates. In this and all other figures, results are shown as the mean (n=50–80) stomata ±SEM and statistical differences were detected with a two-tailed t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g006
outward rectifying K⁺ channels [50,51]. These ion effluxes result in a loss of guard cell turgor and stomatal closure. A number of secondary messengers are important for initiating membrane depolarization, including reactive oxygen species and Ca²⁺.

We have demonstrated that the RIN4 protein acts in concert with PM H⁺-ATPases to regulate stomatal apertures during PTI. Importantly, the rin⁴ knockout line does not re-open its stomata in response to virulent Pst (Figure 6). This result solidifies the importance of RIN4 in regulating stomatal apertures in response to pathogen attack. Previously, RIN4 was found to be a negative regulator of both PTI and ETI [7,8,11,13]. Our results were consistent with these findings and suggest that RIN4's association with AHA1 and AHA2 is an important component of RIN4 function. Autoactive AHA1 mutants display increased susceptibility to virulent Pst, because of the bacteria's enhanced ability to gain access to the plant interior via open stomata (Figures 4 and 5). RIN4 overexpression lines exhibit enhanced disease susceptibility and increased PM H⁺-ATPase activity. Conversely, rin⁴ knockout lines exhibit decreased disease susceptibility and lower PM H⁺-ATPase activity (Figures 2 and 6C). These results can now explain how RIN4 acts to regulate plant innate immunity at the level of pathogen invasion.

Despite the importance of RIN4 in plant innate immunity, the pattern of RIN4 expression remained unknown. Using a combination of RT-PCR, western blotting, and microarray analyses we were able to demonstrate that RIN4 is expressed in guard cells (Figure 7). These results highlight the importance of RIN4 in PTI-induced stomatal closure. We were also able to detect the expression of multiple PAMP receptors, R genes, and innate immune signaling components in guard cells at the RNA level, emphasizing the importance of this cell type in the innate immune response (Figure 7).

Inhibition of the PM H⁺-ATPase is one of the first steps required to induce stomatal closure. These data are consistent with a model in which RIN4 acts in concert with AHA1 and/or AHA2 to regulate stomatal apertures in response to pathogen attack during PTI (Figure 9). Perception of the flagellin flg22 peptide during PTI was found to inhibit both inward and outward rectifying K⁺ channels [52]. Therefore, flagellin perception can not only induce stomatal closure, but can inhibit stomatal opening [15,52]. Because stomata serve as points of entry for multiple bacterial, fungal, and oomycete pathogens, it is not surprising that several different classes of pathogens have evolved to manipulate stomatal apertures during pathogenesis. For example, the polyketide toxin coronatine, produced by several strains of P. syringae, can induce stomatal opening after PTI-mediated closure [15]. Coronatine can reverse the inhibition of inward rectifying K⁺ channels, leading to stomatal opening [52]. Xanthomonas campestris employs a small diffusible signal molecule, which can also induce stomatal opening on compatible hosts [19]. The most well-characterized example of stomatal manipulation by a pathogen is the toxin fusicoccin, produced by the fungal pathogen F. amygdali, the causal agent of almond and peach canker [24]. Fusicoccin is a potent activator of the PM H⁺-ATPase and strongly induces stomatal opening by binding to and stabilizing an activated H⁺-ATPase/14-3-3 complex [25,27,53]. These studies highlight the importance of stomatal regulation during plant innate immunity, as components of the signaling pathways controlling stomatal apertures can be regulated by the plant immune system as well as by virulent pathogens.

What is the mechanism RIN4 uses to regulate PM H⁺-ATPase activity? PM H⁺-ATPase regulation has been well studied over the last 20 years [reviewed in [54]]. Both crystallographic data and homology modeling of the PM H⁺-ATPase indicate that it possesses a similar structure to other P-type ATPases [55,56]. The PM H⁺-ATPase also possesses an extended C terminus [57], which is lacking in other P-type ATPases [57] and is involved in negative regulation of pump activity [58]. Activation of the PM H⁺-ATPase can be achieved by phosphorylation of the penultimate threonine residue. Phosphorylation of this residue leads to subsequent binding of regulatory 14-3-3 proteins, which displace the autoinhibitory C-terminal domain. This apparently induces

---

**Figure 7.** RIN4 is expressed in guard cells. Guard cell protoplasts (GCPs) were purified from Col 0 leaves and visually inspected for purity by light microscopy. Half of the GCP sample was used for RNA extraction and half for total protein extraction. (A) RNA was isolated from entire Arabidopsis leaves or GCPs and subjected to RT-PCR. RIN4 mRNA is highly expressed in guard cells. The expression of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 2 (AtPPC2, At2g42600), which has low-level expression in guard cells and high-level expression in mesophyll cells served a control for guard cell tissue and GCPs. Thirty μg of total protein extract was loaded per lane. (B) RNA samples from (A) were subjected to RT-PCR to detect the expression of additional innate immune signaling components. EDS1, PAD4, RPS2, NDR1, EFR, and CERK1 transcript levels were detected in GCPs and leaf tissue after a 28-cycle amplification. +, RT-PCR; −, no-RT control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g007
future, it will be important to determine the role of RIN4 as well as RIN4-associated proteins across different species. For example, stomatal closure in response to PTI occurs in multiple plants [15,18]. Does the association of RIN4 with PM H\(^+\)-ATPases act to regulate stomatal apertures in other species?

It will also be important to elucidate how innate immune complexes change in response to pathogen attack and if complex constituents are the same between different cell types. It is plausible that components of the innate immune complexes exist in distinct pools within each cell, with each pool controlling different aspects of PTI and ETI. There is evidence for RIN4 existing in different cellular pools within plant leaves based on data obtained from co-immunoprecipitation experiments [8,11,13]. In this study, we were able to elucidate members of the RIN4 complex in the absence of pathogen infection. An in-depth investigation how the RIN4 complex assembles and changes during PTI, ETI, and after pathogen-induced modification in different cell types (e.g., guard cells and mesophyll cells) and plant genotypes will greatly facilitate our understanding of innate immune signaling.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

Arabidopsis plants, Columbia (Col 0), Landsberg erecta (Ler), and the mutants derived from them as indicated in the figures were sown in soil and stratified at 4°C for 2 d. In the text, the rps2, rpm1, and rin4 mutants refer to rps2-101c, rpm1-3, and the rin4 T-DNA knockout [8,9,63]. Dex:RIN4 lines were previously described, and all figures refer to line 31 [7]. Plants were grown in controlled environment chamber at 24°C with a 10-h light/14-h dark photoperiod under a light intensity of 85 \(\mu\)E/m\(^2\)/s. For all the experiments, 4–5 wk old plants were used. 35S:AHA2(1–837) transgenic lines were generated by following the standard floral dip transformation procedure [64]. The AHA2(1–837) fragment was cloned into the BamH I/Xho I site of binary vector pMD-1 and transgenic plants were screened on 50 \(\mu\)g/ml kanamycin. Two independent T3 lines were used for bacterial inoculation.

Bacterial Strains and Inoculations

Pst DC3000, Pst DC3000 (AvrRpt2), and the flagellin deficient mutant Pst DC000 flaA\(^{-}\) were grown on NYG plates for 30 h, then cultured at 28°C in NYG media for 48 h [46]. Pst DC3000 (AvrRpt2) expressed AvrRpt2 from the broad-host range vector pDSK519 [65]. Antibiotics were used for plate selection at the following concentrations: 25 \(\mu\)g/ml kanamycin, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml rifampicin, and 35 \(\mu\)g/ml chloramphenicol. For spray inoculation, Arabidopsis leaves were sprayed until runoff with a Preval sprayer containing 1\(\times\)10\(^5\) CFU/ml bacteria in 10 mM MgCl\(_2\) with 0.025% silwett L-77. Inoculated plants were left uncovered for 30 min and then covered with a plastic dome for 2 d. For syringe infiltration, bacteria were resuspended in 10 mM MgCl\(_2\) and inoculated at a concentration of 0.5\(\times\)10\(^5\) CFU/ml with a needleless syringe. Leaves were surface sterilized for 30 s in 70% ethanol, and bacterial populations were determined by growth curve analysis as described by Kim and colleagues [7]. All experiments were repeated at least three times, with a minimum of three biological replicates per time point.

Stomatal Aperture Measurements

Stomatal aperture measurements were conducted according to a published procedure [13]. Plants were induced to open stomata under white light for 2 h. Epidermal peels were floated on a 1\(\times\)10\(^5\) CFU/ml of Pst in water or purified PAMPs. For PAMP treatments,
Figure 9. Model of PAMP-induced stomatal closure. RIN4 acts in concert with AHA1 and/or AHA2 to regulate stomatal apertures in response to pathogen attack during PTI. (A) Virulent pathogens are able to overcome PTI and induce stomata to re-open 3 h after pathogen perception. Activation of the PM H^{+}-ATPase can lead to hyperpolarization of the plasma membrane and subsequent induction of inward K^+ channels. These events lead to an increase in guard cell turgor and stomatal opening. (B) RIN4 is a negative regulator of plant innate immunity. In resistant genotypes, pathogens are not able to overcome PTI and stomata remain closed after pathogen perception. Pathogen PAMPs are detected by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and the induction of PTI induces stomatal closure. Posttranslational modification of RIN4 (elimination or possibly phosphorylation) inhibits the association between RIN4 and AHA1/AHA2, resulting in inactivation of the PM H^{+}-ATPase.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.g009

RIN4 Regulates Stomata during Infection

epidermal peels were floated on 5 μM flg22 peptide (synthesized by GenScript) in MES buffer (10 mM KCl, 0.2 mM CaCl_2, 10 mM MES-KOH [pH 6.15]), 100 ng/μl LPS (Sigma) in MES buffer or MES buffer alone as a negative control. Stomatal apertures were analyzed by microscopy with a digital camera and measured with SPOT4.1 software (Diagnostic Instruments) at 0-h, 1-h, and 3-h timepoints. All experiments were repeated at least three times, with a minimum of three biological replicates per time point.

Plasma Membrane H^{+}-ATPase Activity Assays

Arabidopsis plants were grown as described above for 5 wk in soil at a pH of 7.5. To determine the effect of overexpressing RIN4, Dex:RIN4 and Col 0 leaves were sprayed with water and 0.025% silwett or 20 μM Dex in 0.025% silwett. Leaf tissue was harvested after 48 h. For all experiments, plasma membranes were immediately purified after harvesting leaf tissue. Arabidopsis leaves (30 g) were homogenized with a blender in 200 ml ice-cold buffer containing 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 0.33M sucrose, 5 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 1.5 mM ascorbate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 μg/ml pepstatin A. Plasma membranes were purified from the microsomal fraction (10,000 g to 50,000 g pellet) by partitioning at 4°C in an aqueous polymer two-phase system as described previously [66]. The final plasma membrane pellet was suspended in re-suspension buffer (5 mM potassium phosphate buffer [pH 7.8], 0.33 M sucrose, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 1 μg/ml leupeptin, and 1 μg/ml pepstatin A); H^{+}-pumping activity was detected by a decrease of acridine orange absorbance at 495 nm [38]. The assay buffer contained 20 mM MES-KOH (pH 7.0), 140 mM KCl, 3 mM ATPNa2, 30 μM acridine orange, 0.05% Brij 58, and 50 μg of plasma membrane protein in a total volume of 1 ml. Membranes were pre-incubated at 25°C for 5 min in assay buffer. The assay was initiated by the addition of 3 mM MgSO_4. To determine if purified RIN4 protein could alter H^{+}-pumping activity in vitro, 3 μg of purified recombinant RIN4 protein was added to the assay medium and pre-incubated at 25°C for 10 min before the addition of MgSO_4. Recombinant RIN4 protein was expressed in E. coli and purified by Ni^{2+} affinity chromatography as described previously [14].

The Bradford assay was used to calculate total plasma membrane protein content [67]. Each experiment was repeated two times with independent plasma membrane isolations.

Yeast Two-Hybrid

The yeast strain AH109, containing the HIS3 and lacZ reporter genes, was used for yeast two-hybrid analyses (Matchmaker, Clontech). The coding sequence of RIN4, AHA1(837–950), and AHA2(837–949) fragments were obtained by PCR amplification and sequenced. The RIN4 PCR product was cleaved and cloned into the BsmH I/Pst I site of the pGBK7 vector (binding domain). AHA1(837–950) and AHA2(837–949) PCR products were cloned into the EcoR I/Xho I sites of pGADT7 vector (activation domain). pGBK7-RIN4, pGADT7-AHA1(837–950), pGADT7-AHA2(837–949), the positive control pGAL4 and the negative control pGADT7 vector were all transformed into the yeast strain AH109 following the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein expression was detected in transformed strains by immunoblotting. Transformants were dilution plated onto yeast potato dextrose agar (YPDA) and synthetic dextrose lacking leucine/tryptophan/histidine (SD-3). Yeast growth was examined as previously described [28].

Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation

Constructs used for BiFC experiments. AHA1, AHA2, RIN4, RPS2, and AUX1 were amplified by PCR. The PCR products included no stop codons and a CACC overhang in the 5′-
end for directional cloning into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector. The entry clones were sequenced and cloned into gateway compatible BiFC vectors by LR reactions. RIN4 was made with an N-terminal fusion resulting in cCFP-RIN4 (pMP2869) and nYFP-RIN4 (pMP2870). RPS2, AHA1, AHA2, and AUX1 were made with C-terminal fusions resulting in the following constructs: RPS2-nYFP (pMP2672), AHA1-nYFP (pMP2317), AHA2-cCFP (pMP2256), AHA2-nYFP (pMP2457), AUX1-cCFP (pMP2679).

**Transient expression of BiFC constructs in N. benthamiana.** For introduction of constructs into N. benthamiana leaves, Agrobacterium strain C501C1 was transformed by electroporation and transformants were selected on YEP plates containing 25 µg/ml gentamycin and 50 µg/ml spectinomycin. Transient expression in tobacco epidermal cells was performed as described by Sparkes et al. [69]. YFP fluorescence was monitored 24–48 h after infiltration.

**Confocal microscopy.** A Leica TCS SP2/MP confocal laser-scanning microscope with a 20×0.7 numerical aperture water-immersion objective was used. YFP was excited at 488 nm and fluorescent emissions were measured at 518–540 nm. Chlorophyll emission was detected at 618 nm. For Figure 1B, b the settings were as follows: 10×0.7 numerical aperture water-immersion objective, YFP was excited at 512 nm, and fluorescent emissions were measured at 525 to 540 nm.

**Western Blotting**

SDS-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting were performed according to standard procedures [69]. RIN4 immunoblots were performed with affinity purified rabbit polyclonal anti-RIN4 at a concentration of 1:1,000. AHA immunoblots were performed with rabbit polyclonal anti-AHA antisera at a concentration of 1:3,000. The AHA antibody was raised against a C-terminal peptide of AHA2 (amino acids 825–949) [41]. Secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate (Biorad) was used at a concentration of 1:1,000. AHA immunoblots were performed with affinity purified rabbit polyclonal anti-RIN4 at a concentration of 1:3,000 for detection via enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce).

**Protein Complex Purification**

Protein complexes from nprORPS2:HA in yps2-101c and the yps2-101c/mn4 negative control were purified three separate times for identification by mass spectrometry. For protein complex purifications, all steps were carried out on ice or at 4°C. The samples were ground in liquid N₂ and resuspended in 15 ml IP buffer (50 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100, pH 7.5). Debris was removed from the lysate by centrifugation at 10,000g, 10 min. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-µm low-protein binding filter (Millipore) and incubated with 0.5 ml of affinity-purified RIN4 antisera coupled to Protein A beads (GE Healthcare). RIN4 antisemur was affinity purified according to standard protocols and 2 mg of antibody was coupled per ml of Protein A with dimethylimidadizate [69]. The mixture was incubated end-over-end in batch format for 3 h then poured into a 20-ml glass column. Immunocomplexes were washed twice with 20 ml of wash buffer A (50 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 7.5), then twice with wash buffer B (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 7.5). Immunocomplexes were then washed with 5 ml of phosphate buffer (10 mM Na2PO4, 50 mM NaCl [pH6.8]) and eluted in 3×1 ml of low pH buffer (50 mM Glycine-Cl [pH2.5], 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100). The eluted proteins were neutralized, concentrated to a final volume of 30 µl with StrataClean resin (Stratagene), and loaded onto a single lane on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. Proteins were run 5 mm into the separating gel and stained with colloidal coomassie blue. The resulting gel blocs were excised from the SDS-PAGE gel using a sterile blade.

**Mass Spectrometry and Protein Identification**

**Mass spectrometry.** Proteins were submitted to the Genome Center Proteomics Core at the University of California, Davis, for mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS)-based protein identification. Proteins were reduced and alkylated according to previously described procedures [70], and digested with sequencing grade trypsin per manufacturer’s recommendations (Promega). Protein identification was performed using an Eksigent Nano LC 2-D system (Eksigent) coupled to an LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo-Fisher) through a New Objectives Picoview Nano-spray source. Peptides were loaded onto an Agilent nano trap (Zorbax 300SB-C18, Agilent Technologies) at a loading flow rate of 5 µl/min. Peptides were then eluted from the trap and separated by a nano-scale 75 µm×15 cm New Objectives picoфrit column packed in house with Michrom Magic C18 AQ packing material. Peptides were eluted using a 60-min gradient of 2–80% buffer B (buffer A = 0.1% formic acid, buffer B = 95% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid). The top ten ions in each survey scan were subjected to automatic low energy CID.

**Database searching.** Tandem mass spectra were extracted by BioWorks version 3.3. Charge state deconvolution and deisotoping were not performed. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Mascot (Matrix Science, version 2.1.03) and X! Tandem (www.thegpm.org; version 2006.04.01.2). X! Tandem was set up to search a subset of the IPI_arabipodsis_20060916 database also assuming the digestion enzyme trypsin. Mascot was set up to search the IPI_arabipodsis_20061202 database (unknown version, 34,983 entries) assuming the digestion enzyme trypsin. Mascot and X! Tandem were searched with a fragmented ion mass tolerance of 0.60 Da and a parent ion tolerance of 2.0 Da. Iodoacetamide derivative of cysteine was specified in Mascot and X! Tandem as a fixed modification. Oxidation of methionine was specified in Mascot and X! Tandem as a variable modification.

**Criteria for protein identification.** Scaffold (version Scaffold_2_01_02, Proteome Software Inc.) was used to validate MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 95.0% probability as specified by the Peptide Prophet algorithm [71]. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 95.0% probability and contained at least two identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm [72]. Proteins that contained similar peptides and could not be differentiated based on MS/MS analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of parsimony.

**RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted by a QIAGEN RNeasy Plant Mini kit and subjected to Dnase I digestion (Invitrogen). The first strand cDNA was synthesized by using 5 µg of total RNA with a cDNA synthesis kit (Promega) in a 20-µl reaction, and the reaction without reverse transcriptase served as a non-RT control. The expression level of the following genes RIN4 (AT3G25070), EDS1 (AT3G48090), PAD4 (AT3G32430), NDR1 (AT3G20600), EFR (AT5G24840), and CERK1 (AT3G21630) were normalized to the expression of Actin2 (AT3G18780). RT-PCR was run for 28 cycles. The primers for all genes are listed in Table S3.

**Guard Cell Protoplast Purification**

Guard cell protoplasts were isolated enzymatically from the lower leaf epidermis according to a previously described method [73]. 100–150 rosette leaves were used. Purified guard cells were
visually inspected for purity by light microscopy. Guard cells were immediately used for RNA and protein extraction. Cellulose R-10 and Macerozyme R-10 were purchased from Yakuht Honsha Corporation. Nylon meshes were purchased from Spectrum Laboratories, Inc.

GUS Reporter Gene Analysis

The AHA2::GUS construct contained a 2,000-bp AHA2 promoter fragment cloned into pCAMBIA 1303. AHA2 localization in the roots of the plant lines are previously described [60].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Purification of the RIN4 Complex. (A) Affinity-purified RIN4 antibody was coupled to protein A and used to capture associated proteins in batch format. After 3 h, crude protein extract was loaded onto a glass column, contaminating proteins were removed with a high salt wash (150 mM NaCl), and the complex was eluted by low pH. (B) Anti-RIN4 immunoblot of the complex purification detecting RIN4 in the protein input and elution, but not in the column flowthrough (FT) or in the negative control (p2/rin4 mutant line). (C) Representative amino acid coverage of RIN4. Peptides identified in one replication are highlighted in yellow. Green indicates methionine oxidation and pPro-c-mC modifications that are frequently introduced during sample processing for mass spectrometry.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s001 (0.47 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Expression of RIN4, AHA1, and AHA2 in yeast. RIN4 expression was detected by anti-RIN4 immunoblot, while AHA1/AHA2 expression was detected by anti-HA immunoblot.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s002 (0.10 MB TIF)

Figure S3 Vesicles isolated from wild-type plants are enriched for plasma membrane. Plasma membrane vesicles were isolated by two-phase partitioning from the leaves of 4-wk-old wild-type Col 0 plants. H +-pumping activity assays were performed as described in the Materials and Methods. (A) When added at the start of the reaction, 100 μM vanadate (a plasma membrane H +-ATPase inhibitor) reduced pH formation 96%, while 5 μg/ml gramicidin D (an ionophore) caused the established pH gradient to completely collapse. (B) The H +-pumping activity was activated by 3 μM fusicoccin (FC) in the reaction solution. (C) When added after the pH formation reached steady state, 1 mM NH4Cl (an uncoupler) dissipated the existing pH gradient.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s003 (0.17 MB TIF)

Figure S4 Phenotypes of AHA2 overexpression lines. [A] The 35S:AHA2(1.837) overexpression line has a dwarf phenotype and displays leaflet chlorosis. Multiple independently transformed lines exhibited this phenotype. Plants are 4 wk old and were grown under the following conditions: light intensity 85 μMol/sec/m2, 10-h days, 24°C. (B) RT-PCR indicates that AHA2 is overexpressed. (C) AHA2 is expressed in guard cells. GUS staining of transgenic plants demonstrating expression of native promoter AHA2::GUS in Arabidopsis guard cells.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s004 (0.82 MB TIF)

Table S1 LC–MS/MS data for all biological replicates. This is a summary of all the raw data from three positive and three negative replicates. Protein identification required a minimum of two peptides. These data were exported using Scaffold Viewer. Percentage indicates protein ID probability and the number of unique peptides identified per protein are in parentheses.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s006 (0.08 MB XLS)

Table S2 Unique peptides identified from RIN4 associated proteins by LC-MS/MS across three biological replicates. Amino acids flanking the sequenced peptides are shown in parentheses. We were unable to differentiate between the plasma membrane H +-ATPases AHA1 and AHA2 in replications 2 and 3. AHA1 specific peptides are underlined in replication 1.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000139.s007 (0.02 MB XLS)
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