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Abstract

Parasites are able to evolve rapidly and overcome host defense mechanisms, but the molecular basis of this adaptation is poorly understood. Powdery mildew fungi (Erysiphales, Ascomycota) are obligate biotrophic parasites infecting nearly 10,000 plant genera. They obtain their nutrients from host plants through specialized feeding structures known as haustoria. We previously identified the AVRk1, powdery mildew-specific gene family encoding effectors that contribute to the successful establishment of haustoria. Here, we report the extensive proliferation of the AVRk1 gene family throughout the genome of B. graminis, with sequences diverging in formae speciales adapted to infect different hosts. Also, importantly, we have discovered that the effectors have coevolved with a particular family of LINE-1 retrotransposons, named T€1a. The coevolution of these two entities indicates a mutual benefit to the association, which could ultimately contribute to parasite adaptation and success. We propose that the association would benefit 1) the powdery mildew fungus, by providing a mechanism for amplifying and diversifying effectors and 2) the associated retrotransposons, by providing a basis for their maintenance through selection in the fungal genome.

Introduction

There is strong selection pressure on parasites to develop strategies to successfully infect whilst evading host detection and defense mechanisms [1]. Important components of the pathogenicity arsenal of parasites are effectors, usually secreted proteins that influence host metabolism or defense mechanisms to provide an environment for successful infection [2]. Resistance (R) genes are part of the plant defense system, and are widely used in agriculture to control parasites. Most of the known R genes encode nucleotide binding site leucine rich repeat (NBS-LRR) receptors [1]. When an NBS-LRR protein recognizes specific parasite avirulence (AVR) molecules, plant defense responses that prevent further infection are induced in accordance with the gene-for-gene (GFG) model [3]. Some bacterial and oomycete AVR proteins are known to be effectors, but little is known about the function of most fungal AVR molecules [2,4]. Parasites may evolve to overcome host resistance by altering their AVR genes to avoid R-dependent recognition [1,5,6].

GFG resistance has been extensively investigated in the interaction between barley and barley powdery mildew (Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei, Bgh), an obligate fungal parasite. More than 85 barley R genes, including 28 alleles at the Mla locus, have been described, each conferring resistance to Bgh isolates with matching AVR genes [7]. Mla proteins are nucleotide binding site leucine rich repeat (NBS-LRR) receptors. They share >90% amino acid sequence identity but recognise isolate-specific Bgh AVR gene products [8]. More than 25 independent AVR gene loci have been described in Bgh isolates [9,10], and genetic crosses have shown that genes for up to eight linked AVR specificities are clustered at a complex set of loci [11,12]. B. graminis exhibited a high level of host specialization and eight formae speciales (f.f. spp) infecting cereals and forage grasses are known [13,14]. The genetic basis for such host specialization is as yet unknown, but several genes are likely to be involved [15].

We previously isolated AVRk1 (Q09QS2) and AVRm10 (Q09QS3) genes which, when present in Bgh isolates, induce resistance in barley lines containing Mbl1 and Mla10 genes, respectively [16]. We also provided the first evidence that these fungal AVR genes encode effectors that contribute to the establishment of haustoria, the essential feeding structures of Bgh [16]. The predicted amino acid sequences of AVRk1 and AVRm10 do not contain signal peptides, indicating that they are not secreted from the parasite in the same way as the majority of known fungal and oomycete AVR...
proteins [17,18]. When expressed in barley cells, AVR$_{a10}$ induces an association between Mla10 and a WRKY-2 transcription factor in the nucleus, which may initiate defense gene activation [19]. AVR$_{k1}$ and AVR$_{a10}$ belong to a family of closely-related paralogs (hereafter called AVR$_{k1}$ family or AVR$_{a10}$ paralogs) which encode proteins with a core domain of conserved amino acids [16].

Some parasite effector genes are found in the proximity of transposable elements (TEs), which have been postulated to provide a mechanism for their expansion and movement within and among genomes [5,6]. Some transposon insertions into AVR gene loci have resulted in the loss of avirulence (i.e., gain of virulence on hosts with specific resistance genes) of bacterial and fungal parasites [16,20–22]. We previously demonstrated that members of the AVR$_{k1}$ family lie close to TE1a LINE-1 retrotransposons (RTs), and both sequences can be expressed as a single transcript [12,16]. Here, we report the extensive proliferation of the AVR$_{k1}$ gene family throughout the genome of _B. graminis_, with sequences diverging in _ff_. spp. adapted to infect different hosts. Furthermore we show that the AVR$_{k1}$ family has coevolved with the lineage of TE1a RTs, suggesting a mutual advantage from the association which may ultimately benefit parasite adaptation and success.

**Results**

The AVR$_{a10}$ effector gene family is unique to powdery mildew fungi

An initial BLAST [23] of the draft _Bgh_ genome sequence (http://www.blugen.org/), resulted in 1145 homologs to AVR$_{k1}$ with Expect (E) values ranging from $1e^{-6}$ to $1e^{-3}$. To investigate the phylogenetic diversity of these paralogs, we created an nrdb90 database (non-redundant set of the predicted open reading frames with 90% sequence identity threshold). Proteins shorter than 100 residues were discarded. This search resulted in 260 sequences which were clearly paralogous to AVR$_{k1}$ (including 94 paralogs of AVR$_{a10}$) with Expect (E) values ranging from $1e^{-152}$ to $1e^{-10}$. Homologous sequences were also found in the genomes of the powdery mildew fungi _Erysiphe_ ( _Golovinomyces_ orontii (six homologs, $1e^{-3}$<$E<$1e$^{-1}$)), which infects _Arabidopsis thaliana_, and _Erysiphe pisi_ (six homologs, $1e^{-1}$<$E<$1e$^{-15}$), which infects pea. None of the _Erysiphe_ sequences grouped in the clades containing AVR$_{k1}$ or AVR$_{a10}$ (Fig. 1). AVR$_{i1}$ or AVR$_{a10}$ homologs were not found in BLAST searches (E value <$1e^{-5}$) against the EMBL/GenBank [24], COGEME phytopathogen EST database [25], Broad Institute (Fungal Genome Initiative fungi) and Uniprot [26] databases, indicating that this gene family is specific to powdery mildew fungi.

The AVR$_{k1}$ gene family has diverged in accordance with _B. graminis_ _ff_. spp. specialized on different hosts

On the basis of the known role of AVR$_{k1}$ and AVR$_{a10}$ proteins in pathogenicity, we predicted that sequences of AVR$_{k1}$ paralogs might have diverged from each other in _B. graminis_ isolates adapted to infect different host genera. To test this hypothesis, degenerate PCR primers designed from the conserved core of the AVR$_{k1}$ and AVR$_{a10}$ protein sequences were used to amplify genomic DNA and clone the corresponding gene regions from _ff_. _spp._ infecting cereal crops and the grasses _Elytrigia repens_ (synonym _Agropyron repens_) and _Lolium perenne_. The sequences obtained were classified into two subfamilies: the AVR$_{k1}$-like clade and the AVR$_{a10}$-like clade (Fig. 2A). Nucleotide identity within subfamilies was very high, around 80%. The number of sequences in the sub-family which grouped with AVR$_{a10}$ was four times higher than the number of AVR$_{k1}$-like sequences. Moreover, the relative number of
sequences of each type differed significantly depending on the host of each f. sp. ($\chi^2 = 34.1, P<10^{-5}$; Fig. 2B). None of the sequences amplified from powdery mildew isolates of oats (f. sp. avenae) or L. perenne grouped with the $AVR_{k1}$-like clade (Fig. 2A), indicating the absence or low abundance of this subfamily in these f. spp.

The internal branches of both $AVR_{k1}$-like and $AVR_{a10}$-like clades were not supported statistically, possibly due to a phase of rapid divergence during expansion of the gene family [27]. Therefore, we used a likelihood mapping test [28] to examine if there was a relationship between the groups of sequences within each clade and the f.sp. from which they originated. There was no statistical support for any such grouping within the $AVR_{k1}$-like clade. By contrast, an association between the $AVR_{a10}$ sequences and f.spp. tritici, secalis and agropyri separately from the sequences from f.spp. avenae, hordei and the isolate from L. perenne (Fig. 2A, Fig. S1). Therefore the $AVR_{a10}$ sequences have diverged with the powdery mildew formae speciales infecting different Poaceae host genera.

Figure 2. Analysis of sequences of the $AVR_{k1}$ family from formae speciales of B. graminis. A. Neighbor Joining tree of the sequences obtained by degenerate primers from isolates of B. graminis from grass hosts: rye (f. sp. secalis, S, in red), wheat (f. sp. tritici, T, in orange), Agropyron spp. (f. sp. agropyri, Ag, in magenta), barley (f. sp. hordei, H, in green), oat (f. sp. avenae, Av, in blue) and Lolium perenne (L, in cyan). The sequences of the genes $AVR_{k1}$ and $AVR_{a10}$ are in a larger font. Bootstrap support (1,000 replicates) is shown if higher than 90%. Only sequences with a maximum identity to other sequences in the family less than 90% were used in the analysis. B. Number and type of sequences homologous to $AVR_{k1}$ and $AVR_{a10}$ obtained by degenerate PCR from B. graminis from different hosts.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.g002
AVR₉₁ paralogs contain conserved and diversified regions

The very large number of AVR₉₁ paralogs detected in the B. graminis genome may not reflect the actual number of expressed genes. Indeed, many gene duplications can be subject to gene inactivation through mutation or deletion/insertion events as well as DNA methylation. To study the expressed AVR₉₁ paralogs, we analyzed the B. graminis transcriptome amplified by 5’ and 3’RACE RT-PCR. In total, 49 5’ RACE sequences and 84 3’RACE sequences were obtained from four isolates of f. sp. hordei and one isolate of f. sp. tritici, revealing considerable divergence in their length and degree of homology with AVR₉₁ (Table 1). The 3’RACE sequences were significantly less conserved than those obtained by 5’RACE (t-test for comparison of average nucleotide identities with AVR₉₁, P<10⁻⁴).

Several parasite effectors are under diversifying selection (DS), evolving rapidly to avoid immune detection systems within the host [2]. We tested for DS in a set of 113 AVR₉₁ paralogs obtained by RACE RT-PCR. We used a maximum likelihood method to identify specific amino acid residues that are under positive selection (with a nonsynonymous/synonymous rate ratio higher than one, ω = dₘ/dₛ > 1) [29]. Most analyzed residues in the core region of the expressed AVR₉₁ paralogs are under purifying selection. This indicates a high level of sequence conservation, possibly due to protein functional or structural constraints. DS was evident in a region immediately 5’ to the core. This indicates that this region is evolving rapidly, so it could be involved in adaptation to avoid R gene recognition, as proposed for Phytophthora effectors [30] (Fig. S2A). By comparing complete cDNAs, breakpoints of nucleotide divergence could be identified shortly after the sequence homologous to the AVR₉₁ protein (Fig. S2B and S3A, B). This suggests that AVR₉₁ sequence proliferation has occurred through gene duplication and insertion at several distinct sites within the Bgh genome.

AVR₉₁ paralogs are associated with TE1a retrotransposons

Of the 17 3’RACE sequences longer than 800 nucleotides, 65% had homology with retrotransposons (RTs) at their 3’end, increasing to 90% for sequences longer than 1200 nucleotides. Most (10/11) of the predicted homologies had an amino acid identity of 70–80% with the nucleic acid binding domain of Bgh TE1a RTs that we reported previously [12,16]. Full-length sequences were also obtained by hybridization to a cDNA library, with similar results. Four of 22 full-length cDNA clones were natural antisense transcripts [NATs, 31] with a polyT tail at the 5’ end before the ATG translation start site. The genomic region containing the NATs was identified by BLAST with the draft Bgh genomic sequence. The presence of polyT at the 5’ end of the cDNA sequences confirms that the sequences are transcribed in the reverse orientation (Fig. S4).

We further investigated the association between the AVR₉₁ gene family and RTs, by testing the extent to which TE1a and AVR₉₁ predicted open reading frames occurred together in the draft Bgh genome sequence. Three categories of hits were identified: 1) ‘Common’ hits were those in which AVR₉₁ and TE1a sequences occurred in the same open reading frame. 2) ‘Adjacent’ hits were those in which AVR₉₁ and TE1a sequences occurred on the same contig but were separated by a stop codon. Pairs were not considered adjacent if one hit was on the complementary strand. Additionally, we specified that each member of a pair could only belong to a maximum of one pair. 3) ‘Unique’ hits matched a specific contig containing either AVR₉₁ or TE1a paralogs, but not both. We found that 57.8% of AVR₉₁ paralogs were either ‘common’ or ‘adjacent’ to TE1a homologs. This proportion is significantly higher than the proportion of TE1a homologs found common or adjacent to the two largest Bgh gene families other than AVR₉₁ (Table 2). Conversely, the proportion of TE1a homologs common or adjacent to AVR₉₁ paralogs was significantly higher than the proportion found with the four largest families of repetitive elements other than TE1a (Table 3, χ² test, P<10⁻⁴). These two results demonstrate that there is a significant association between AVR₉₁ and TE1a sequences.

We examined which other sequences were found in the proximity of the 483 AVR₉₁ homologs that were not situated next to TE1a sequences (Table 2). We retrieved 10 kb-long contig sequences (5 kb either side of the hit), fragmented them into 2 kb segments (each overlapping by 1 kb) and searched for sequence homology of each fragment establishing a cut-off of E=10⁻⁵. A total of 59 different proteins were found. Fifty three of them had homologs that appeared 10 times or less (31 appeared only once, which means that no homolog was found for these particular genes). The sequences most commonly found close to these AVR₉₁ sequences were TE1a sequences (284 hits), followed by another retrotransposon family, TE1b (192 hits, Table 4). Therefore, no other type of sequence is associated with the AVR₉₁ family.

We investigated if associations between retrotransposable elements and gene families are common events in the Bgh genome. We searched for cases where the most frequent repetitive element found in Bgh genome (EGH24) occurred close to other gene families. We did not find any case with a proportion of common or adjacent hits equivalent to that found with TE1a and AVR₉₁ paralogs (Table 2). To further test if other types of sequence could be associated with TE1a homologs, we examined the 1085 TE1a hits that were neither common nor adjacent to AVR₉₁ paralogs (Table 3) with the same procedure used for AVR₉₁ explained above. A total of 112 different proteins were found, of which 101 had homologs that

### Table 1. Expressed paralogs of AVR₉₁ from the different isolates of B. graminis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iso gene</th>
<th>No of different seq.</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>% Identity to AVR₉₁</th>
<th>No of different seq.</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>% Identity to AVR₉₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hordei</td>
<td>A6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>417±291</td>
<td>83±16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>724±501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC52</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>560±34</td>
<td>87±1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>205±70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC148</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>422±178</td>
<td>82±5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>695±527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DH14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>417±204</td>
<td>78±17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>578±354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tritici</td>
<td>JIW11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>466±165</td>
<td>86±7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150±65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows number and mean ± standard deviation of the lengths (bp) and percentage of nucleotide identity to AVR₉₁ of the sequences obtained by 5’ and 3’RACE PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.t001
Table 2. TE1a sequences are associated with AVRk1 paralogs, and no other gene families.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene family</th>
<th>Repetitive element</th>
<th>Number of common hits</th>
<th>Number of adjacent hits</th>
<th>Number of hits with no repeat neighbor</th>
<th>Total number of homologs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVRk1</td>
<td>TE1a</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGH24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABU354</td>
<td>TE1a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGH24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9HG6U6</td>
<td>TE1a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGH24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common, adjacent and unique hits of paralogs of three Bgh gene families with TE1a and EGH24 repetitive elements. ABU354: kinase transferase, Q9HG6U6: kinase transferase. Cut off for homologies: E=10⁻². doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.t002

Table 3. AVRk1 paralogs are associated with TE1a, and not other classes of repetitive sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repetitive element</th>
<th>Number of common hits</th>
<th>Number of adjacent hits</th>
<th>Number of hits with no AVRk1 neighbor</th>
<th>Total number of homologs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE1a</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGH24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>4274</td>
<td>4429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5BBQ3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9ZT24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2AA50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>1793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common, adjacent and unique hits of paralogs of five Bgh repetitive elements with AVRk1, EGH24: SINE-like repetitive element, Q9ZT24: aspartyl protease hydrolase, Q2AA50: retrotransposon gag protein, Q5BBQ3; reverse transcriptase. Cut off for homologies: E=10⁻². doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.t003
Blumeria was the first genus that split from the rest of the Erysiphales 76 million years ago [39]. We found AVRk1 homologs in two Erysiphe species, so the gene family must predate the split. However, the Erysiphe sequences lie in the base of the phylogeny, not in the two large clades formed by AVRk1 or AVRk10 paralogs, so these subfamilies may have differentiated and proliferated extensively only in Blumeria. AVRk1 paralogs have evolved differentially in B. graminis f. sp. p. from different grass hosts. The AVRk10-like sequences from f. sp. tritic, secalis and agropyri group separately from those in f. sp. avenae, hordei and the isolate from Ulotum ye. This corresponds with the phylogeny of other genes [40], in which isolates from f. sp. tritic, secalis and agropyri form a distinct clade, with f. sp. hordei as a sister clade and f. sp. avenae and isolates from Ulotum ye, in more distantly related clades. Differential selection for a battery of effectors that are not recognized by the host could be the basis of host specialization of B. graminis [41]. Thus, it is possible that AVRk1 paralogs may be involved in the extreme host specialization encountered in this strictly biotrophic pathogen.

The selection pressure exerted on crops during the development of agriculture could have played an important role in promoting the proliferation and diversification of the AVRk1 family in B. graminis. After early cultivation of domesticated wheat, new powdery mildew resistance genes arose [42]. In the GFG system, mutation of the AVR genes would allow new, virulent isolates to escape recognition by these new resistance specificities. The greater abundance of AVRk1-like sequences in the f. sp. from wheat, rye and barley, compared to those from oats, suggests that the proliferation of these genes could be related to the specialization of the parasite during the evolution of cereal crops in agriculture. Wheat, rye and barley originated in the near East during the 11th–9th millennia BP [43]. Oats originated much later as a crop in Northern Europe [4th–3rd millennia BP, 44], and have been subject to less intensive breeding than wheat and barley.

These data provide the first direct evidence that a parasite effector gene family and a particular retrotransposon lineage are consistently associated and have coevolved. The frequency with which members of the AVRk1 and TE1a retrotransposon lineages occur together in the genome is highly significant, and two independent analyses show that their phylogenies are congruent. The coevolution between these two entities indicates that they move and evolve together, so their occurrence close to each other is not merely due to a retrotransposon insertion site bias. An association with transposable elements has been postulated as a mechanism for the expansion and movement of effector genes within genomes [5,6]. The coevolution of these two entities implies a mutual benefit to the association, which could ultimately contribute to parasite adaptation and success. The association would benefit 1) the powdery mildew fungi, by providing a mechanism for amplifying and diversifying effectors, which would increase the pathogen’s mean fitness in the presence of diverse plant resistance genes and 2) the associated RTs, by providing a basis for their maintenance in the fungal genome through natural selection for genomes which contain numerous effector genes and thus contribute to increased fitness.

In addition to a role in gene mutation, RTs play an important role in genome evolution [45–47]. There is also considerable evidence that eukaryotic organisms have co-opted functions from RTs, including the epigenetic regulation of associated genes required for adaptation [48]. Such mechanisms could also apply to effectors, and be related to host adaptation [49]. We have found AVRk1 paralogs expressed as natural antisense transcripts (NATs) which can be a mechanism for epigenetic control of neighboring genes [51]. With an increasing number of genomes sequenced
Figure 3. Comparison of the phylogenies of AVR$_{k1}$ and TE1a sequences. A. Tanglegram for AVR$_{k1}$ (left) and TE1a (right) sequences, based on predicted ORFs from Bgh genome. Lines connecting sequences indicate associations. Bootstrap support (1,000 replicates) is shown below the branch if higher than 70%. B. One of the four potentially optimal reconciled trees between AVR$_{k1}$ and TE1a trees. The two trees are superimposed. Hypothetical evolutionary events are represented as black circles for codivergence events, white squares for duplication events, white circles for gene losses and arrows for gene transfers.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.g003
[50], it will be possible to establish whether coevolution between families of effectors and RTs occurs more widely, and how the association may contribute to parasitic adaptation and host specialization.

In conclusion, we show that an effector gene family required for virulence in the powdery mildew fungus has coevolved with TE1a, a class of LINE-1 retrotransposon. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the coevolution between parasite effectors and retrotransposons. An association between effectors and retrotransposons has already been postulated in many cases, but this is the first work that shows that this association is significant and has an evolutionary basis. Our discovery that effectors and retrotransposons have coevolved leads to a much deeper understanding of pathogenicity and specialization in parasites.

### Materials and Methods

#### Fungal isolates and samples

Isolates of *Blumeria graminis* from different cultivated and wild grasses were obtained from the laboratory collection at the John Innes Centre. The *Bgh* isolate Race I [51] was used for making a cDNA library.

#### RACE-PCR reactions

RNA was extracted with an RNaseasy kit (Qiagen) from leaves of barley cultivar Golden Promise, three days after inoculation with *Bgh* isolates A6, CC52, CC148, DH14 and from leaves of wheat cultivar Cerco, three days after inoculation with *B. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*) isolate JIW11. Amplification of the 5′ and 3′ cDNA was performed with the SMART™ RACE kit (BD Biosciences). Twenty genomic sequences from a *Bgh* BAC library [16] were first obtained by hybridization to *AVR* and were predicted from the draft genomes of *Bgh*, *Bgt* and a random set of 24 clones per isolate were sequenced. Only sequences that showed that this association is significant and has an evolutionary basis. Our discovery that effectors and retrotransposons have coevolved leads to a much deeper understanding of pathogenicity and specialization in parasites.

#### Sequence analyses

Nucleotide sequence analysis and contig assembly were done with the STADEN package [53]. Protein sequences were aligned with MUSCLE [54] and edited with Genedoc (distributed by Nicholas J. Last, University of Wisconsin). The alignment was used to generate a maximum likelihood tree using the program RAxML [55] and the Jukes Cantor model of evolution. The phylogenetic tree was inferred from the EMBL/GenBank [24], accession numbers are GQ470682 to GQ470736.

### Table 6. Codivergence between AVR*kt* paralogs and TE1a sequences is highly significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Codivergences</th>
<th>Duplications</th>
<th>Gene transfers</th>
<th>Gene losses</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs of optimizations for co-divergence events in AVR*kt*/TE1a evolutionary reconstructions (illustrated in Fig 3A) are shown. The significance of each solution (P value) was determined by generating 99 random TE1a trees and calculating how many of the supported solutions included as many codivergence events as the observed AVR*kt* tree. P values for solution 4 could not be calculated due to computational limitations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.t006

#### Sequencing of paralogs from different *f. sp.*

DNA was extracted as described previously [16] from conidia of *B. graminis* f. sp. *hordei* isolates DH14 and CC148; *tritici* isolates JIW11 and FEL09; *secalis* isolates RyeRMasBlue and RyeRmas6W; *avenae* isolates MO892 and MOH15; *agropyri* isolate CF3a. *B. graminis* and isolate LSSB1 from *L. perenne*. PCR was performed using AmpliTaq (Applied Biosystems) and degenerate PCR primers: AVRDEGF (5′-GTGAGCCGACCCATTCGTG3), where R = A+G, M = A+C, W = A+T and AVRDEGR (5′-GTGG-CMCSWGTGCTTYYTGA3), where Y = C+T, S = G+C. Sixteen to twenty six clones per isolate were sequenced. Only sequences with identities lower than 99% to any other sequence were considered as unisequences. The sequences have been deposited in the EMBL/GenBank [24], accession numbers are GQ470867 to GQ470888.

### Isolation of cDNA clones

Full-length cDNA clones were isolated from a Lambda ZAP Express cDNA library [52], made from epidermal strips of barley leaves, cultivar Manchuria, 14–16 h after inoculation with *Bgh* isolate Race I [51]. The library was screened according to the ZAP Express manual (Stratagene) with a probe made from the conserved region of the *AVR* gene family using the primers R1 and R3 [16] and 192 positive plaques were initially picked. From these, 22 clones were purified, in vivo excised and the inserts of the plasmids were sequenced. The sequences have been deposited in the EMBL/GenBank [24], accession numbers are GQ470867 to GQ470888.

#### Neighbor-Joining (NJ) and Maximum Likelihood trees were generated using the PHYLIP 3.6 package [57] and MEGA version 4 [58]. Distance matrices of the NJ trees were calculated under the Jones-Taylor-Thornton and the Jukes Cantor models of evolution for Figure 1 and Figure 2A respectively. Bootstrapping (100 or 1,000 replicates) was used to determine the strength of support for individual nodes. Likelihood mapping analyses [28] were done using the program TREE-PUZZLE 5.3 [59]. The dataset of sequences was classified in four groups under different hypotheses:
a) depending on the host of origin (all possible combinations) and b) randomly. The posterior weights of the possible topologies of each quartet under each hypothesis were analyzed using the quartet puzzling algorithm.

The diversifying selection analyses were done using codeML from PAML 3.15 [60] with alignments of N-terminal and C-terminal regions. Two pairs of codon substitution models (M1a/M2a and M7/M8) were used to study \( \omega \) variation among amino acid sites [61]. M1a and M7 assumes no site with \( \omega > 1 \) (no positive selection, null hypothesis) while M2a and M8 assumes the presence of positively selected sites. To test for positive selection, the likelihood ratio test (LRT) between the models in each pair was compared with a \( \chi^2 \) distribution. Whenever the LRT suggested the presence of positively selected sites, an empirical Bayes approach was used to calculate the conditional (posterior) probability distribution of \( \omega \) for each site enabling the identification of positively selected residue in the alignment. Both Naive Empirical Bayes (NEB) and Bayes Empirical Bayes (BEB) methods were used [62].

In the cophylogenetic analysis, we compared AVRk1 and TE1a trees, using reconciliation analysis with Jungles [34] as implemented in the program TreeMap 2.0B. The analysis was performed with a maximum number of three host switches (or gene transfers). We used the default values for event costs: 0 for codivergence and 1 for duplication, loss and gene transfer (host switch) events. The significance of the codivergence events was determined by generating 99 random TE1a trees and determining how many of those supported solutions had as many codivergence events as the observed AVRk1 tree [63]. TreeFitter 1.0 [35] was used for parsimony-based tree fitting. The significance of the results was tested by performing 1,000 random permutations of the TE1a tree terminals.

**Sequences of *E. pisi* and *E. orontii***

*E. pisi* (Birmingham isolate, kindly provided by Dr. Timothy Carver from The Welcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SA, UK) and *E. orontii* (isolate MPIZ) genomic DNA was extracted from vacuum-harvested conidia and purified on a CsCl gradient. DNA sequencing by pyrosequencing [454 Technology] was performed by imaGenes, formerly RZPD German Resource Center for Genome Research in Berlin, Germany (http://www.imagenes-bio.de/) using GS-20 and FLX sequencer sequences, based on predicted ORFs from the Bgh genome. Lines connecting sequences indicate associations. Bootstrap support (100 replicates) is shown below the branch if higher than 70%. The groups of associated sequences selected for further analysis are numbered 1 to 4.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Grouped likelihood mapping diagrams produced from the AVRa10 clade (Fig. 2A). A. The dataset was grouped in two clusters, a: agropyri - tritici - secalis and b: hordei - avenae - L. perenne. 91% of the quartets are (a,a) - (b,b), supporting the clusters defined. B. Sequences were randomly distributed in two clusters, a and b; any topology is favored. The analysis is consistent with the hypothesis that sequences from *f.spp. agropyri, tritici and secalis* form a distinct clade in the phylogeny shown in Fig. 2A.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.s001 (0.99 MB TIF)
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**Figure S2** A. Diversifying selection at amino acid residues in AVRk1 homologs. Consensus representation of DS analysis on an alignment of RACE3’ or RACE5’ sequences. Sites were defined as diversified (in black) whenever the probability exceeds 90%. Otherwise, sites were defined as non-diversified (in grey). A residue with undefined adaptation (dotted) signifies discrepancy of results between the alignments of RACE3’ and RACE5’ sequences. Positions that were not analyzed are shown in white. The core sequence as defined in ref 16 is marked by dots above the sequence. Arrows show boundaries for 3’ and 3’ analysis. B. Breakpoints of divergence in expressed AVRk1 homologs. Representation of three full-length cDNA sequences obtained by hybridization to AVRk1, selected to illustrate how the sequence diverges after the conserved core region of AVRk1 (horizontal dotted line above the degree of homology to AVRk1). Sudden sequence divergence typically occurs in the break point region (shaded). Length of homology obtained by BLASTN against EMBL nucleotide database is shown by an horizontal line. Homologies identified by TBLASTX to expressed sequence tag (EST) of unknown function: *EST clone SL011D12-5*, accession AU250405 from B. graminis-infected Lolium multiflorum.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.s002 (0.08 MB TIF)

**Figure S3** A. Alignment of full-length cDNA sequences of AVRk1 paralogs from Fig. S2B showing sequence divergence breakpoint at arrow. B. Alignment of the other full-length cDNA sequences from Fig. S2B showing sequence divergence breakpoint at arrow.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.s003 (1.92 MB TIF)

**Figure S4** Alignment of a natural antisense transcript (NAT) from two cDNA clones against the genomic sequence containing the AVRk1 sequence. Start of the AVRk1 coding sequence is highlighted in red. Conserved DNA sequence bases are indicated by an asterisk. The presence of poly dT at the 5’ end of the cDNA indicates polyadenylation of the transcript in the reverse orientation to that expected when compared to the AVRk1 sequence.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.s004 (1.06 MB TIF)

**Figure S5** Tanglegram for AVRk1 (left) and TE1a (right) sequences, based on predicted ORFs from the Bgh genome. Lines connecting sequences indicate associations. Bootstrap support (100 replicates) is shown below the branch if higher than 70%. The groups of associated sequences selected for further analysis are numbered 1 to 4.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007463.s005 (0.93 MB TIF)
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