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Abstract

In virus–host interactions, nucleic acid-directed first lines of defense that allow viral clearance without compromising growth are of paramount importance. Plants use the RNA interference pathway as a basal antiviral immune system, but additional RNA-based mechanisms of defense also exist. The infectivity of a plant positive-strand RNA virus, alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV), relies on the demethylation of viral RNA by the recruitment of the cellular RNA methylase ALKBH9B, but how demethylation of viral RNA promotes AMV infection remains unknown. Here, we show that inactivation of the Arabidopsis cytoplasmic YT homology domain (YTH)-containing m^6A-binding proteins ECT2, ECT3, and ECT5 is sufficient to restore AMV infectivity in partially resistant alkbh9b mutants. We further show that the antiviral function of ECT2 is distinct from its previously demonstrated function in the promotion of primordial cell proliferation; an ect2 mutant carrying a small deletion in its intrinsically disordered region is partially compromised for antiviral defense but not for developmental functions. These results indicate that the m^6A-YTHDF axis constitutes a novel branch of basal antiviral immunity in plants.
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Introduction

Viruses are intracellular pathogens that use their genetic code to turn cells into their multiplication factories. To defend against hostile takeover by viral genetic material, host organisms have evolved mechanisms to recognize foreign nucleic acids. For example, bacteria use a combination of restriction-modification and CRISPR-Cas systems to eliminate viral nucleic acids (Barrangou et al., 2007; Loenen et al., 2014; Egido et al., 2022), and a combination of cyclic nucleotide signaling and NAD^ depletion systems coupled to nucleic acid sensors to eliminate infected cells from a population (Tal et al., 2021; Koopal et al., 2022). Similar basal antiviral immune systems operating directly on viral nucleic acids have been found in animals, where at least four distinct cytoplasmic systems recognize double-stranded features of viral RNA to activate signaling pathways that lead to the induction of innate antiviral immune responses (Wu & Chen, 2014; Rehwinkel & Gack, 2020; Holleuer et al., 2021; Slavik et al., 2021). In plants, the double-strandedness of cytoplasmic viral RNA is also used to distinguish it from endogenous RNA, since plants use RNA interference (RNAi) as a potent basal antiviral defense mechanism (Lindbo, 2012). In addition, extracellular double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) can be sensed by as yet unidentified receptors to effect antiviral pattern-triggered immunity PTI (Meier et al., 2019; Niehl & Heinlein, 2019). The effectiveness of RNAi as a basal antiviral defense mechanism is illustrated by the fact that nearly all plant viruses use anti-RNAi effectors as virulence factors (Voinnet et al., 1999; Csorba et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2021). In plants, RNAi remains the most generally employed basal antiviral defense mechanism to date, but features of viral genetic material other than its double-stranded regions may be used for distinction between cellular and viral RNA, and hence, for antiviral defense. For example, in both mammals and plants, the non-sense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway may detect and repress long viral RNAs with stop codons of reading frames located towards the 5'-end of the viral transcript (Balistreri et al., 2014; Garcia et al., 2014), a feature that viruses may circumvent either through the use of anti-NMD factors or by organizing their genomes into a long poly-protein-encoding mRNA containing only a single downstream stop codon (Balistreri et al., 2014; Popp et al., 2020). Furthermore, in the
nematode Caenorhabditis elegans that also relies on RNAi for basal antiviral defense (Gammon, 2017), a terminal uridylyltransferase exerts antiviral immunity independently of RNAi, although the feature of viral RNA recognized in this case remains unclear (Le Pen et al., 2018).

Covalent modification of mRNA is now understood to play important roles in the regulation of gene expression, and many viruses contain modified nucleotides of importance for the outcome of the host–virus interaction (Gokhale & Horner, 2017; Courtney, 2021). The most widespread, and probably most important, modification of eukaryotic cellular mRNA is N6-methyladenosine (m6A) (Arribas-Hernández & Brodersen, 2020; Wiener & Schwartz, 2021). m6A is installed at DRACH (D = A/G/U, R = G/A, H = A/C/U) or GGAU motifs in pre-mRNA by a dedicated, nuclear multi-subunit methyltransferase, or “writer,” complex (Arribas-Hernández & Brodersen, 2020; Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021a). This highly conserved complex consists of a heterodimeric catalytic core of methyltransferase-like proteins known as MAC, and a large MAC-associated complex (MACOM) required for activity in vivo (Bokar et al., 1994, 1997). In Arabidopsis, MAC consists of MTA (AT4G10760, orthologous to metazoan Mettl3) and MTB (AT4G09980, orthologous to metazoan Mettl14), while MACOM is composed of several factors, including FKBP12 INTERACTING PROTEIN 37 (FIP37, AT3G54170, orthologous to metazoan WTAP), VIRILIZER (VIR, AT3G05680), the ubiquitin ligase HAKAI (AT5G01160), and the Zn-finger protein HAKAI-INTERACTING Zn-FINGER2 (HIZ2; AT5G53440, equivalent to metazoan ZC3H13/Flacc; Zhong et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2016; Rüčička et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2022a). mA can be demethylated, or “erased,” by a set of enzymes belonging to the AlkB family of Fe(II)- and α-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases (Van den Born et al., 2009) that comprises 14 members in Arabidopsis (Mielecki et al., 2012; Kawai et al., 2014). Demethylation activity has been demonstrated experimentally for ALKBH9B and ALKBH10B (Mielecki et al., 2012; Kawai et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2017; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017), and recent evidence suggests its presence also in ALKBH9C (Amara et al., 2022).

It is an important function of m6A to generate a binding site for RNA-binding proteins specialized for the mA recognition via a so-called YT521-B homology (YTH) domain (Imai et al., 1998; Stoilov et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2010; Dominissini et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2018). The YTH domain contains an aromatic cage that forms a hydrophobic pocket for the methyl group in mA, thus resulting in 10–20-fold higher affinity for mA-containing RNA than for unmethylated RNA (Li et al., 2014; Luo & Tong, 2014; Theler et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2014, 2015; Zhu et al., 2014; Patil et al., 2018). For that reason, YTH domain proteins are sometimes referred to as mA readers. In the cytoplasm, a family of so-called YTHDF proteins binds to mA-containing RNA, and while genetics shows these YTHDF proteins to be of key importance for plant and animal development (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020; Kontur et al., 2020; Lasman et al., 2020), the exact reasons for this biological importance remain undefined in plants, and heavily debated in mammals (Tsutsui & Higashiyama, 2017; Lasman et al., 2020; Murakami & Jaffrey, 2022). In the flowering plant Arabidopsis, there are 11 YTHDF proteins, named EVOLUTIONARILY CONSERVED C-TERMINAL REGION (ECT) because of the presence of the conserved YTH domain in the C-terminal part, after an N-terminal intrinsically disordered region (IDR) as in YTHDF proteins of other organisms. ECT2 and ECT3 act redundantly as mA readers to stimulate cellular proliferation in organ primordia, such that ect2/ect3 double mutants show delayed leaf and flower formation, slow root and stem growth, and aberrant leaf, flower, siliquae, and trichome morphology (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020, 2021b). In nearly all cases, these phenotypes are exacerbated by additional mutation of ECT4 (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020), and five additional Arabidopsis YTHDF proteins (ECT5, ECT6, ECT7, ECT8, ECT10) share the molecular functions of ECT2/3 required for primordial cell proliferation, as seen by complementation of ect2/ect3 ect4 phenotypes upon ectopic expression in the ECT2/3 expression domain (preprint: Flores-Téllez et al., 2023).

Stimulation of growth is a recurrent function of plant YTHDF proteins because similar roles have been found for rice and tobacco YTHDF proteins (Ma et al., 2022; Yin et al., 2022), and because the sole YTHDF protein of the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha can replace ECT2/3 function when expressed in Arabidopsis (preprint: Flores-Téllez et al., 2023).

mA is also found in viral mRNAs, first seen as early as 1975 in simian virus 40 mRNAs (Lavi & Shatkin, 1975). Subsequent studies have shown the presence of mA in viral RNAs from several mammalian RNA and DNA viruses (Williams et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020; Baquero-Perez et al., 2021), and direct binding of YTH proteins to RNAs from some of these viruses, such as hepatitis C virus (HCV), Zika virus (ZIKV) or human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) has been demonstrated (Gokhale et al., 2016; Kennedy et al., 2016; Lichinchi et al., 2016). In plant viruses, fewer examples of the involvement of mA in infection cycles have been described (van den Born et al., 2008; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017, 2021; Tian et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021, 2022b; He et al., 2023). We previously showed that viral RNA of several positive-strand RNA viruses contains mA (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017), among them alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV), which consists of three genomic RNA molecules (RNA1, RNA2, and RNA3) and a subgenomic RNA (RNA4; Bujarski et al., 2019). Importantly, AMV infectivity of wild-type Arabidopsis plants depends on the activity of a cellular mA demethylase, ALKBH9B (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017; Alvarado-Marchena et al., 2021), since local and systemic AMV infections are attenuated in alkbbh9b knockout plants, including a nearly complete block of viral invasion of the floral stems that is possibly explained by the severely reduced ability of AMV to enter into the vascular tissue of alkbbh9b mutants (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017, 2021). Thus, methylation of the viral RNA is part of an important defense mechanism that the infectious virus adapts to by manipulation of ALKBH9B to act on the viral RNA (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017, 2021). This defense mechanism seems to affect some of the steps of the viral replication cycle, because, in addition to local and systemic movement, clear differences in AMV levels were also detected upon infection of protoplasts isolated from wild-type and alkbbh9b mutant plants (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2021). It is at present unclear whether reduced AMV titers in infected cells underlie all effects on systemic infection in alkbbh9b mutants, or whether the hypermethylation of AMV RNA in alkbbh9b mutants affects additional steps specifically linked to systemic movement. Most importantly, the molecular basis of mA-dependent antiviral defense remains undefined. It may, for example, involve mA-binding reader proteins or RNA structure properties related to altered base pairing properties of mA-U compared with A-U, the latter perhaps suggested by the observation that
adenosines in a DRACH context within the 3’UTR of alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) RNA 3 represent a key structural requirement for viral replication (Alvarado-Marchena et al., 2022).

Here, we show that mutation of the ECT2/ECT3/ECT4/ECT5 module in Arabidopsis reduces AMV resistance, and that the increased AMV resistance of alkbh9b mutants can be reverted by mutation of ECT2/ECT3/ECT5. We also show that the functions of ECT2 in the stimulation of cellular proliferation and antiviral defense can be separated by a small deletion in its N-terminal IDR. These results establish the m^A-YTH axis as a novel basal antiviral defense layer.

Results

AMV infection induces components of the m^A-YTH axis

To guide the genetic dissection of m^A-mediated defense against AMV, we first analyzed transcriptome changes upon AMV infection with particular attention to transcripts encoding components of the m^A pathway. For this experiment, we selected young, emerging rosette leaves of infected plants, since m^A-binding proteins and methyltransferase subunits were shown to be mostly expressed in tissues with high cell division rates (Zhong et al., 2008; Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020). Differential expression analysis showed that 2,611 genes were significantly upregulated (log_2FC ≥ 1; FC, fold change), whereas only 194 genes were downregulated (log_2FC ≤ −1) during AMV infection (Fig 1A). Molecular functions among differentially expressed genes were enriched in protein–protein interaction and DNA- or RNA-binding activities (Fig 1B). This set also included some components of the m^A machinery (Fig 1C). The levels of mRNAs encoding three components of the methylation complex—MTA, MTB, and VIR—were upregulated by the infection, whereas the levels of mRNAs encoding potential m^A erasers did not substantially change (Fig 1C). Among YTHDF-encoding genes, only ECT2 showed a greater than 2-fold upregulation (Fig 1C). We verified the induction of ECT5, along with that of MTA, MTB, and VIR, using quantitative RT–PCR analysis (Fig 1D). The previously described m^A readers ECT2 and ECT3 (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018) were also significantly upregulated, albeit with smaller effect size (log_2FC values 0.6 and 0.4 for ECT2 and ECT3, respectively; Fig 1C).

ECT2, ECT3, ECT4, and ECT5 are implicated in AMV resistance

We next analyzed single ect2-1, ect3-1, ect4-2 mutants (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018), and an ect5 T-DNA insertion mutant (ect5-1, SALK_131549; Fig EV1A and B) for possible defects in AMV resistance (Appendix Table S1). No single mutants showed significant differences in AMV titers (Fig EV1C), perhaps due to functional redundancy as demonstrated for their growth-promoting functions (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2020). We therefore analyzed composite mutants of ECT2, ECT3, and ECT4. The viral RNA levels in inoculated leaves (local infection) were slightly higher in mutant combinations involving ect2 but reached statistical significance only in the ect2-1/ect3-1/ect4-2 (henceforth, te234) triple mutant (Fig 2A). However, these differences were much more evident in noninoculated leaves (systemic infection), in which AMV titers were clearly elevated in ect2-1/ect3-1 (henceforth, de23) and te234 mutant plants compared with wild-type (Fig 2B). Double mutants involving ect4 showed weak, if any, effects on AMV accumulation, while te234 tended to have somewhat higher AMV titers than de23, although this effect was variable in size between experiments (Fig 2B and Appendix Fig S1B). The differences in systemic viral load between wild-type and ect2/ect3 double knockouts were confirmed using an ect2/ect3 double mutant with independent knockout alleles (ect2-3/ect3-2, Appendix Fig S1 and Table S1; Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018). Next, since ECT5 is tightly linked to ECT2 (Fig EV2A), we used CRISPR-Cas9 to produce the double ect2-1/ect5-2 (de25) and triple ect2-1/ect3-1/ect5-4 (te235) mutants (Fig EV2B). Importantly, we observed increased AMV titers in noninoculated tissues of de25 compared with wild-type (Fig 2C and D), pointing to an important involvement of ECT5 in limiting systemic AMV infection. In contrast to de23 mutants, de25 mutants exhibited no obvious leaf morphology defects or delay in leaf formation (Fig 2E). As observed for the te234 mutants, te235 tended to show moderately higher AMV titers systemically than de23, although with some variability between experiments (Fig 2D and Appendix Fig S1C). Similar results were obtained with different CRISPR-induced ect5 alleles (Appendix Fig S2 and Table S1). We draw several conclusions from these initial genetic analyses. First, several ECT proteins impact the outcome of infection of Arabidopsis by AMV. Second, similar to modulation of the m^A content in AMV RNA by inactivation of ALKBH9B, effects in both inoculated and noninoculated tissues can be observed upon mutation of ECT genes, suggesting that the mechanism of m^A-dependent antiviral defense may involve ECT proteins. We note that several factors may underlie the fact that much clearer differences were observed in uninoculated young tissue compared with inoculated mature leaves, including the fact that ECT2/3/4 are mostly expressed in rapidly dividing, young tissue (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020) and their absence, therefore, has a greater effect in these tissues. Third, ECT2, ECT3, and ECT5 are required for systemic resistance to AMV, observable in ect2/ect3 and ect2/ect5 mutants, while ECT4 seems to have a minor role as its effect is mostly observable as a variable enhancement of the increased susceptibility of ect2/ect3 double knockout mutants in noninoculated aerial tissues.

Because of the nearly universal role of RNAi as a basal defense mechanism against plant RNA viruses, we next addressed the potential implication of this mechanism in the case of AMV. RNAi relies on three enzymatic activities: dsRNA synthesis by RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDRs), in particular RDR6, dsRNA processing into small RNA by DICER-LIKE (DCL) ribonucleases, and small RNA-guided target repression by ARGONAUTE (AGO) proteins. Because of pronounced genetic redundancy among AGO paralogs in their antiviral activity, and because mutation of the important antiviral protein AGO1 leads to strong developmental defects due to the implication of AGO1 in microRNA function (Vaucheret et al., 2004; Baumberger & Baulcombe, 2005; Qi et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2011; Brosseau & Moffett, 2015), we initially focused on RDR6, its cofactor SG3, and the DCLs DCL4 and DCL2 that are all known to be important for basal defense against many viruses (Lopez-Gomollón & Baulcombe, 2022). Mutants with lesions in RDR6/SG3, DCL4, or DCL2 did not show significant changes in AMV levels in noninoculated leaves at 7 days postinoculation (dpi; Fig EV3A), a point in time in which the effect of ECTs on infection is evident. We next analyzed the role of the ECTs when RNAi is attenuated. We chose the inactivation of RDR6 in the de23 background, since cucumber mosaic virus, another m^A-containing positive-strand RNA virus...
phylogenetically related to AMV, is silenced predominantly by the RDR6-dependent viral siRNAs (Dalmay et al., 2000; Mourrain et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2011; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017). Even in the rdr6 mutant background, inactivation of ECT2/ECT3 led to increased AMV titers (Fig EV3B), and, thus, we conclude that the action of m6A-ECT system is independent of RNAi. These results do not, however, rule out that RNAi may affect the outcome of AMV infection in some settings of the virus–plant interaction, for instance with different strengths of inoculum or at different times postinoculation.

The m6A-binding activity of ECT2 is required for its antiviral activity

The amino acid residues of human YTHDF proteins implicated in RNA and m6A binding are highly conserved in Arabidopsis ECT proteins (Fray & Simpson, 2015; Scutenaire et al., 2018). This includes in particular the three tryptophan residues that form the m6A-binding aromatic cage. Mutational studies of these tryptophan residues have demonstrated that integrity of the aromatic cage is required for ECT2 binding to m6A-modified RNAs (Scutenaire et al., 2018) and for ECT2, ECT3, and ECT4 function in vivo (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018, 2020). Thus, to test whether ECT-mediated antiviral resistance requires the m6A-binding activity, we used de23 mutants expressing either ECT2WT-mCherry or the m6A-binding deficient point mutant ECT2W464A-mCherry (Appendix Table S1). The ECT2W464A-mCherry-expressing lines were clearly defective in AMV resistance, while expression of ECT2WT-mCherry in de23 restored resistance to levels comparable to that of wild-type or ect3-1 (Fig 3A, upper and lower panels show two independent complementing transgenic lines).

Figure 1. Differential gene expression analysis between mock and AMV-infected Arabidopsis plants at 8 days postinoculation.
A Volcano plot depicting differential expression of nearly 18,000 unique genes (minimum expression of 1 read per kilobase per million, RPKM) in response to AMV infection. In red, upregulated genes (log2FC ≥ 1, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05); in blue, downregulated genes (log2FC ≤ −1, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05).
B Most highly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in the set of differentially expressed genes.
C Filtered volcano plot visualizing the expression of genes involved in the m6A pathway in response to AMV infection.
D RT-qPCR analysis of expression of four upregulated m6A pathway-related genes in response to AMV infection (three biological replicates). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Asterisks indicate a P < 0.05 applying the Student’s t-test for ΔCt mean values (n = 3).

Source data are available online for this figure.
RNA bound by ECT2 can be identified by virtue of its higher A-G editing proportions compared with control lines expressing unfused ADAR (McMahon et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018; preprint: Rennie et al., 2021). Using an experimental set-up similar to our previous HyperTRIBE analysis, which faithfully identified direct endogenous mRNA targets of ECT2 and ECT3 (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021a, 2021b), we employed five independent transgenic lines expressing either the ECT2-FLAG-ADAR fusion or the free FLAG-ADAR merase introduces random mutations, and since free ADAR has a nonzero background editing activity, we sought to formally test whether significantly higher editing proportions were observed in ECT2-FLAG-ADAR lines compared with FLAG-ADAR controls. For this purpose, we employed our recently published method for the analysis of HyperTRIBE data (preprint: Rennie et al., 2021). This statistical analysis identified two sites in the positive strand of RNA2 (RNA2_701 and RNA2_2271) showing consistent A-G changes across FLAG-ADAR fusion lines, with modeled editing changes across FLAG-ADAR fusion lines, with modeled editing

We note that the loss of AMV resistance in both knockout and ECT2<sup>W464A</sup> mCherry-expressing lines could in principle be an indirect consequence of the developmental defect of ect mutants that may render the plant more amenable to viral replication, rather than a direct effect of missing or defective association with m<sup>A</sup>-containing viral RNA. We disfavor this possibility for two reasons. First, in contrast to de23, de25 mutants display no obvious rosette phenotype (Fig 2E), yet the increase in AMV titers compared with wild-type is robust (Fig 2D). Second, the mutation of the nuclear m<sup>A</sup> writer component VIR causes a rosette phenotype similar to, but slightly stronger than ect2/ect3/ect4 (Růžička et al., 2017), yet does not lead to the same degree of loss of antiviral resistance (Fig 3B).

**ECT2 associates with AMV RNA in vivo**

We next sought to establish whether ECTs associate with AMV RNA, focusing specifically on ECT2 due to its higher expression levels compared with ECT3 and ECT5 (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021b). We used the proximity-labeling method HyperTRIBE (Targets of RNA-binding proteins Identified by Editing; McMahon et al., 2016), in which the catalytic domain of the fly Adenosine Deaminase Acting on RNA (ADAR) is fused to ECT2 and expressed under the control of the ECT2 promoter. Because adenosine-to-inosine editing by ADAR is detected as A-to-G transitions by RNA-Seq, RNA bound by ECT2 can be identified by virtue of its higher A-G

---

**Figure 2. Combined inactivation of ECT2/3/4/5 enhances titers of AMV in systemic tissues.**

A-D RNA blots were hybridized to AMV-specific probes to reveal accumulation of viral RNA in double (de) and triple (te) ect2/3/4 (A, B) or ect2/3/5 (C, D) mutants compared with wild-type (WT) plants. A and C show local infection at 3 days postinoculation (dpi); B and D show systemic infection in aerial tissue at 7 dpi. Each panel shows a representative RNA blot displaying AMV RNAs 1–4 (left) and its quantification histogram (right). Dashed lines indicate noncontiguous samples analyzed on the same membrane. Ethidium bromide staining of ribosomal RNAs (EB) was used as RNA loading control. Error bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the WT (*: P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01) using the Student’s t-test (n = 4). AU, arbitrary units.

E Phenotypes of rosettes of the indicated genotypes at 19 days after germination. Scale bars: 1 cm.

Source data are available online for this figure.
proportions significantly higher than in FLAG-ADAR control lines (FDR < 0.05, Fig 4D). We further noted an additional likely *bona fide* site on RNA1 (RNA1_2482), which did not make it under the FDR threshold, likely due to a lack of power resulting from low editing proportions. In all three cases, editing proportions in the ECT2-FLAG-ADAR fusion lines correlated strongly with corresponding ECT2-FLAG-ADAR expression levels across the five individual transgenic lines used as replicates (Figs 4E and EV4), supporting the conclusion that these A-G changes are a result of editing by the ECT2-FLAG-ADAR fusion. Finally, we noted that, while the fused ADAR to ECT2 is not expected to act on the exact binding site, the two high-confidence sites in RNA2 did appear in the vicinity of DRACH motifs, which may be indicative of the methylated position to which ECT2 was recruited (Fig 4F and G). Taken together, our HyperTRIBE data indicate that ECT2 associates with AMV RNA in *vivo*.

### Figure 3. ECT-mediated defense against AMV requires intact m^6^A-binding pockets and is not an indirect effect of a dysfunctional m^6^A-ECT axis.

The m^6^A-ECT axis constitutes a basal layer of antiviral defense

The observations that inactivation of ECT2/3/4/5 leads to higher AMV infectivity, that ECT2 associates with AMV RNA in *vivo*, and that its antiviral effect requires an intact m^6^A-binding site are consistent with a model in which binding of ECTs to methylated viral RNA causes inhibition of viral replication. However, the results reported thus far suffer from the limitation that they do not analyze the true effect of ECT association with AMV RNA, because they are carried out with a wild-type virus in an otherwise wild-type Arabidopsis genetic background. Since AMV requires the endogenous demethylase ALKBH9B, probably recruited via its capsid protein (CP) to AMV RNA, for infectivity (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017), these experiments address the influence of ECTs on infection in a setting in which a virulence mechanism is diminishing the impact of adenine methylation of viral RNA. Because the CP has multiple essential functions in the infection cycle, including RNA encapsidation and initial translational control (Herranz et al., 2012), informative genetic manipulation of the CP is not straightforward. By contrast, our previous study showed that the systemic infection in the floral stems is nearly fully blocked upon disruption of the host ALKBH9B gene. We therefore constructed *alkbh9b*/*te235* mutants (Appendix Table S1) to test the impact of ECT inactivation in a setting in which AMV infectivity is strongly incapacitated due to hypermethylation. The AMV titers in *alkbh9b*/*te235* were intermediate between *alkbh9b* and *te235* at early stages of infection (7 dpi; Fig 5A) but were identical to *te235* (and Col-0) at late stages (20 dpi; Fig SB), where little to no AMV was detectable in *alkbh9b*. We interpret these results to mean that m^6^A-ECTs efficiently limit the speed of systemic spread of AMV to the point where nearly no virus accumulates in stems upon leaf inoculation in *alkbh9b* (Fig SB; Martínez-Pérez et al., 2017, 2021), even at late stages of infection. At such late points, additional aspects of the host–AMV interaction are likely to limit AMV titers to a maximal value reached by both Col-0 and *te235*. Although spreading and systemic accumulation is lower in *alkbh9b*/*te235* than in *te235*, the virus eventually reaches these same maximal titers systemically, in marked contrast to what is observed in *alkbh9b*. Importantly, therefore, the inactivation of ECT2/3/5 is sufficient to break the strong m^6^A-based protection against systemic infection in the absence of ALKBH9B, clearly showing that ECT2/3/5 are requisite effectors of the m^6^A-based defense against AMV. These results argue that for AMV, and perhaps other viruses whose RNA is N6-adenosine methylated, the m^6^A-ECT axis constitutes a basal layer of antiviral defense that must be overcome for the virus to be infectious. Indeed, the results conceptually parallel the restoration of infectivity of RNA virus strains with defective anti-RNAi effectors by inactivation of the RNAi machinery (Deleris et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011).

### The growth-promoting and antiviral activities of ECT2 are genetically separable

The model of basal antiviral defense mediated by m^6^A-ECT2/3/4/5 may be at odds with the described endogenous function of these factors in promoting cellular proliferation (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2020), as a highly metabolically active state favors viral replication. Thus, it is difficult to imagine how the same biochemical activity would promote both antiviral defense and cellular proliferation. We
Therefore reasoned that ECTs may use different biochemical and/or biophysical properties to achieve both effects. To analyze this possibility, we made use of a series of small deletions engineered in the long N-terminal intrinsically disordered region (IDR). These deletions were originally designed to identify regions of importance for the developmental function of ECT2. Of six 50–80 aa deletions, four had no effect on leaf formation (preprint: Tankmar et al., 2023). Since Tyr residues may promote IDR-driven phase separation four had no effect on leaf formation (preprint: Tankmar et al., 2023). We therefore reasoned that ECTs may use different biochemical and/or biophysical properties to achieve both effects. To analyze this possibility, we made use of a series of small deletions engineered in the long N-terminal intrinsically disordered region (IDR). These deletions were originally designed to identify regions of importance for the developmental function of ECT2. Of six 50–80 aa deletions, four had no effect on leaf formation (preprint: Tankmar et al., 2023). Since Tyr residues may promote IDR-driven phase separation (Wang et al., 2018; Martin et al., 2020; Bremer et al., 2022), we selected the ECT2<sup>ANS</sup> mutant carrying a deletion in a Tyr-rich region present in many ECTs for analysis of AMV resistance (Figs 6A and B). We observed a reduction in AMV resistance in transgenic lines expressing ECT2<sup>ANS</sup>-mCherry compared with ECT2<sup>WT</sup>-mCherry in the te234 mutant background (Fig 6B). This effect was reproducible, albeit somewhat variable, across several transgenic lines with similar levels of ECT2-mCherry or ECT2<sup>ANS</sup>-mCherry (Fig 6C). Because the rate of leaf formation and leaf morphology in te234 lines expressing ECT2<sup>ANS</sup>-mCherry were indistinguishable from those expressing ECT2<sup>WT</sup>-mCherry (Figs 6D and E, and EVSC), yet AMV resistance was measurably compromised, these results suggest that the IDR of ECT2 harbors two separable activities employed to achieve different goals: one that stimulates cellular proliferation by binding to endogenous m<sup>5</sup>U-containing mRNA, and one that effects basal antiviral resistance when ECT2 binds to hypermethylated viral RNA. We note that since the antiviral activity was not fully abolished in te234 expressing ECT2<sup>ANS</sup>-mCherry, we cannot formally exclude the possibility that the different impacts of this mutation on antiviral defense and developmental phenotypes are caused by a threshold effect, such that the same reduction in antiviral activity and developmental defects caused by dysfunction of the m<sup>5</sup>U-ECT axis.
The region deleted in ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS} contributes to the propensity of ECT2 to phase separate

We next investigated the possibility that the relevance of the region deleted in ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS} for AMV resistance may be linked to the propensity to engage in higher-order assemblies for two reasons. First, ECT2 clearly has a propensity to form condensate-like structures in vitro and in vivo (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018), with localization to cytoplasmic stress granules upon abiotic stress (ScuTenaire et al., 2018). Second, as noted above, the region deleted in ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS} is enriched in Tyr residues that may be drivers of phase separation (Wang et al., 2018; Martin et al., 2020; Bremer et al., 2022). Thus, we carried out molecular simulations to probe and quantify the propensity of the IDR of ECT2 and ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS} to self-associate in a phase-separated state using a recently published coarse-grained model that accurately captures the phase-separation behavior of IDRs (Tesei et al., 2021). These simulations confirmed that the IDR of ECT2 has a clear propensity to phase separate, similar to, albeit less pronounced than, the well-studied IDRs of the human RNA-binding proteins hnRNPA1 and FUS (Fig 7). Importantly, the IDR of ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS} showed substantially reduced propensity to phase separate compared with that of ECT2, with clear signs of dispersion over time in the simulations (Fig 7A and B), and a thermodynamically less favorable transition to the condensed phase (Fig 7C). A simulation of the behavior of an IDR of ECT2 in which all Tyr residues in the ΔNS region had been substituted by Ala (ECT2\textsuperscript{ΔNS→Ala}) further indicated the essential contribution of the Tyr residues in this region for phase separation (Fig 7), potentially explaining their conservation in ECT2 orthologs and ECT paralogs more broadly. Taken together, our genetic dissection of the IDR of ECT2, and our simulation of its biophysical properties suggest that the ability to phase separate or form other higher-order structures may be of particular importance for the antiviral function of ECT2.

Discussion

A new basal antiviral defense mechanism

Our analysis of the AMV–Arabidopsis interaction provides a clear case of the m\textsuperscript{6}A–YTHDF axis acting as a basal antiviral defense layer; when the infection mechanism targeting the m\textsuperscript{6}A–YTHDF axis is hampered by host ALKBH9B mutation, AMV systemic infection is severely disrupted and practically blocked in floral stems. However, systemic infectivity is restored upon additional mutation of ECT2, ECT3, and ECT5. These genetic data resemble the key arguments for

Figure 5. The ECT2/3/5 module constitutes a basal defense layer against AMV infection, since knockout of ECT2/3/5 in the \textit{alkbh9b} background recovers susceptibility.

A, B Systemic infection of rosettes at 7 days postinoculation (dpi) (A) or rosettes and floral stems at 20 dpi (B). Each panel shows a representative RNA blot displaying AMV RNAs 1–4 (left) and its quantification histogram (right). Ethidium bromide staining of rRNAs (EB) was used as RNA loading control. Genotypes are indicated on the top of each northern blot. #1 and #2 correspond to the progeny of two different quadruple homozygous F2 siblings derived from the \textit{alkbh9b x te235} cross. Error bars show standard deviations. AU, arbitrary units. Different letters indicate statistical differences according to Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD; \( P < 0.05 \)).

Source data are available online for this figure.
the importance of RNAi as a basal antiviral defense mechanism in plants and insects (Deleris et al., 2006; Ding & Voinnet, 2007). Apart from obvious mechanistic questions that we touch on below, this discovery raises the important question of how widespread the use of this defense mechanism may be. AMV may be a special case, as it is one of only very few studied plant RNA viruses for which no anti-RNAi effector has been identified, and indeed prunus necrotic ring-spot virus (PNRSV), a virus genetically and functionally closely related to AMV (Pallas et al., 2013), does not induce easily detectable siRNAs, unlike nearly all other studied plant RNA viruses (Herranz et al., 2015). In addition, we could not detect a contribution of some key RNAi factors to defense against AMV systemic infection in the same time postinoculation in which ECTs play an important role. The more interesting possibility, that the m^6^A-ECT axis has widespread use as an antiviral defense mechanism should not be disregarded, however. A study comparing the Arabidopsis transcriptome in response to 11 plant viruses pointed out that differential expression of ECT3 is a common response to all viruses, while the genes encoding the methylase components MTA and HAKAI1 and the YTHDF proteins ECT5 and ECT6 were differentially expressed upon infection with at least one virus (Postnikova & Nemchinov, 2012). This suggests that the m^6^A pathway is more generally implicated in plant–virus interactions. Clearly, it will be of key importance to analyze the degree to which RNA of other plant viruses contain m^6^A, what the effect of inactivation of genes encoding the major YTHDF proteins is on their infectivity, and whether they too employ mechanisms to interfere with the m^6^A-YTHDF axis. We note in this regard that studies on mammalian viruses have shown the

---

Figure 6. A Tyr-rich region of ECT2 IDR is necessary for antiviral but not for developmental functions of the protein.

A Schematic representations of the annotated ECT2 gene (AT3G13460.1, top) or protein (bottom) showing the deletion of the Tyr-rich region (ΔN5) in ECT2 ΔmCherry lines.

B AMV systemic infection at 7 days postinoculation of three independent complementation lines (L1–3) expressing ECT2 ΔmCherry or ECT2 ΔmCherry in the te234 background. Left, a representative RNA blot displaying AMV RNAs 1–4, right, the quantification histogram showing the mean of the three ECT2 ΔmCherry wild-type (WT) or ECT2 ΔmCherry (ΔN5) lines. Ethidium bromide staining of rRNAs (EB) was used as RNA loading control. Error bars indicate standard deviations. AU, arbitrary units. Asterisk indicates significant differences from the WT (*: P < 0.05) applying the Student’s t-test (n = 3).

C Protein blot developed with mCherry antisera to show accumulation of ECT2 ΔmCherry or ECT2 ΔmCherry fusion proteins in pools of the same samples used in panel B. Proteins on the membrane were stained with Coomassie blue as loading control (lower panel).

D Fluorescence microscopy of the second pair of true leaves of plants expressing ECT2 ΔmCherry or ECT2 ΔmCherry in the te234 background as indicated. Scale bars: 1 mm.

E Phenotypes of seedlings and young rosettes of the indicated genotypes at 9 and 16 days after germination (DAG). Scale bars: black, 1 mm; white, 1 cm.

Source data are available online for this figure.
widespread implication of the m^6A-YTHDF axis in animal–virus interactions, albeit not with the rigorous genetics employed here to demonstrate function as a basal layer of defense. For example, the depletion of mammalian YTHDF proteins was found to enhance viral replication of ZIKV (Lichinchi et al., 2016) and HIV-1 (Tirumuru et al., 2016; Jurczyszak et al., 2020), and to increase stability and protein expression of hepatitis B virus (HBV; Imam et al., 2018) and endogenous retroviral mRNAs (Chelmicki et al., 2021). The m^6A-YTHDF system is not universally employed for antiviral defense in animals, however, as viruses also appear to manipulate it for their benefit. For example, mammalian YTHDF proteins stimulate HIV-1 Gag processing and viral release (Tirumuru et al., 2016), and enhance viral translation and replication via binding to m^6A sites located in the 3′UTR of the viral RNAs (Kennedy et al., 2016). The difficulty of generalizing the role of m^6A in viral RNA in host–virus interactions is well illustrated by several examples. In HBV, m^6A in the 5′-UTR of viral pregenomic RNA enhances reverse transcription, while m^6A sites located in the 3′-UTR promote RNA destabilization (Imam et al., 2018). In addition, the recent characterization of the wheat m^6A writer MTB as a susceptibility factor in the interaction with wheat yellow mosaic virus shows that, also in plants, m^6A in viral RNA cannot automatically be assumed to be antiviral (Zhang et al., 2022b).

Are all m^6A effects on AMV infectivity mediated by YTHDF proteins?

The marked restoration of AMV infectivity of alkbb9^b mutants upon inactivation of ECT2, ECT3, and ECT5 strongly argues that YTHDF proteins are the major, if not the exclusive, effectors of m^6A-mediated basal AMV defense. In general, at 7 dpi, knockout of ECT2/3/5 in the alkbb9^b background leads to intermediate viral loads compared with alkbb9^b and ect2/ect3/ect5 mutants, although the outcome shows some variability. However, the most plausible explanation for this is that, in a hypermethylation context—where ALKBH9/9B is not functional—residual contributions by some of the remaining ECT proteins become relevant. Nonetheless, YTHDF-independent effects of m^6A cannot be formally excluded at this point. For example, m^6A may act as a secondary structure switch caused by altered m^6A-U compared with A-U base pairs (Kierzek & Kierzek, 2003; Liu et al., 2015), a property that may either benefit or impede viral replication. An evaluation of this potentially important possibility will require mapping of m^6A sites in AMV to single-nucleotide resolution in alkbb9^b and wild-type backgrounds, as well as in vivo secondary structure analysis now feasible via sequencing-adapted methods (Poulsen et al., 2015).

Source of adenosine methylation of AMV RNA

The observation that the infectivity of AMV is not drastically enhanced in vir^1 mutants, in contrast to te234, constitutes strong evidence that the hypersusceptibility of composite ect mutants is not simply an indirect consequence of developmental defects in plants with a disabled m^6A-YTHDF pathway. This important conclusion is reinforced by the hypersusceptibility of ect2/ect5 mutants and of te234 mutants expressing ECT2 with the ΔN5 deletion, as both of these backgrounds do not show developmental delay and aberrant leaf morphology. VIR is required for adenosine methylation of endogenous mRNA (Růžička et al., 2017), but if it were also the case for AMV RNA, a hypersusceptibility phenotype would be expected, thus arguing that methylation of AMV RNA is largely VIR-independent. Such a scenario would be consistent with the cytoplasmic replication cycle of AMV, but nuclear localization of MAC/MACOM proteins in uninfected plants. It does, therefore, raise the pertinent question of what the identity of the AMV RNA methyltransferase is. We see two possible explanations. Either AMV RNA methylation is carried out by an unidentified cytoplasmic adenosine methyltransferase, or, perhaps more likely, certain MAC/MACOM subunits translocate to the cytoplasm during AMV infection, as has been reported in different infection contexts in mammalian cells (Hao et al., 2019; Srinivas et al., 2021; Sacco et al., 2022), and, more recently, also in plants (Zhang et al., 2022b).

Distinction between cellular and viral m^6A-containing RNA and the basis of ECT-mediated antiviral defense

At first glance, it is a surprising result that ECT2/ECT3 carry out functions in both antiviral defense and in the stimulation of cellular
proliferation, especially given that endogenous mRNA targets of ECT2/ECT3 are enriched in factors involved in central metabolic processes such as oxidative phosphorylation and translation (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021b). Thus, ECT2/ECT3 binding to endogenous targets presumably increases their expression, consistent with the predominant downregulation of ECT2/ECT3 mRNA targets in root meristem cells devoid of ECT2/ECT3/ECT4 activity (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021b). By contrast, AMV RNA is repressed by ECT2/ECT3/(ECT4)/ECT5. What could dictate the different outcomes of binding of these proteins to endogenous mRNA versus AMV RNAs? As a working model to explain our results, we propose that m6A site multiplicity in AMV RNA may be a key factor distinguishing it from endogenous mRNA. Closely spaced m6A sites in cis occupied by multiple ECT proteins would lead to high local concentrations of the IDRs, thus potentially driving the formation of a biomolecular condensate, and sequestration from the translation machinery. Such repression would not occur on endogenous mRNAs if they tend only to harbor single m6A sites, as multivalent interactions are key drivers of condensates. In this setting, the YTHDF proteins may use short linear motifs in their IDRs to recruit other cellular factors to enhance protein expression, but such activities would be overridden upon condensation into a separate phase. Although admittedly speculative at this point, this model is consistent with a number of observations. First, abiotic stress indeed causes ECT2, ECT3, and ECT4 to condense into separate cytoplasmic bodies (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018), identified as stress granules in the case of ECT2 in plants subjected to heat stress (Scutenaire et al., 2018), and purified ECT2 can separate into regularly shaped hydrogel-like particles in vitro (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2018). Thus, ECT2 has a marked propensity to self-associate. Second, our simulations show that the phase-separation propensity of the IDR of ECT2 is substantially reduced in the ECT2<sup>ΔN5</sup> mutant. Third, mammalian YTHDF2 has only weak phase-separation properties in vitro, but they are markedly stimulated upon binding to RNA that contains multiple, but not single, m6A sites (Gao et al., 2019; Ries et al., 2019; Fu & Zhuang, 2020). Fourth, a recent computational approach to infer RNA modifications in plant-pathogenic viruses using high-throughput annotation of modified ribonucleotides (HAMR), a software that predicts modified ribonucleotides using high-throughput RNA sequencing data, revealed a higher proportion of RNA chemical modifications in comparison with mRNAs of Arabidopsis (Marquez-Molins et al., 2022). Finally, we note that although multiple m6A sites have been found in many endogenous mRNA targets in Arabidopsis, it is unclear whether they co-exist on the very same mRNA molecules. We stress that despite the existence of evidence consistent with the proposed model for the basis of m6A-YTHDF-mediated basal AMV defense, we view this model as a conceptual framework of value in the design of future experiments to test its validity.

Materials and Methods

Plant growth conditions, virus inoculation, and northern blot analysis

The source and background of each Arabidopsis thaliana (Columbia-0) transgenic line are described in Appendix Table S1. Plants were grown in 6 cm diameter pots in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 25°C-16 h light/20°C-8 h dark. The mechanical inoculations of 15–19 days old plants were carried out using carbondum and purified virions (1 mg/ml) of AMV PV0196 isolate (Plant Virus Collection, DSMZ) in PE buffer (30 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8). Next, the detection of vRNAs was performed by northern blot analysis. Inoculated leaves and noninoculated aerial tissue were harvested at the corresponding dpi. Systemic infection was evaluated in single plants, while local viral accumulation was analyzed by pooling two plants per sample. Tissues were grounded in liquid nitrogen with mortar and pestle and total RNA was extracted, following EXTRAzol reagent protocol (Bli rt; Gdańsk, Poland), from 0.1 g leaf material. For northern blots, 500 mg of total RNA was denatured by formaldehyde treatment and after agarose gel electrophoresis in MOPS buffer, RNAs were transferred to positively charged nylon membranes (Roche; Basel, Switzerland) by capillarity in SSC buffer as previously described (Sambrook et al., 1988) and hybridized with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes to detect AMV RNA 1, RNA 2, RNA 3 and sgRNA 4. Synthesis of the digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes, hybridization, and digoxigenin-detection procedures was performed as previously described ( Pallás et al., 1998). Hybridization intensity signal was measured on files from Fujifilm LAS-3000 Imager using Fujifilm Image Gauge V4.0. Every infection experiment was repeated at least twice.

Generation of Arabidopsis CRISPR/Cas9 transgenic lines

Arabidopsis lines carrying CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout were generated using the pKAMA-ITACHI Red (pPKR1.1) vector as previously described with some modifications (Tsutsui & Higashiyama, 2017). Different oligonucleotides (Appendix Table S2) were designed inside the ORF of ECT5 (AT3G13060), searching for protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequences (NGG), to work as sgRNAs. Then, ligations of the hybridized DNA oligomers with the vector, previously digested with AarI enzyme, were performed. Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 was transformed with the resulting vectors, and cultures expressing two different sgRNAs were used to generate Arabidopsis stable transgenic lines by floral dip transformation (Clough & Bent, 1998) in eкт2-1 and de23 backgrounds. Selection of T1 transgenic plants was carried out by hygromycin resistance and a first assortment of Cas9-free T2 seeds was performed by the absence of red fluorescence. Final genotyping by PCR with specific primers (Appendix Table S2) was realized from T2 plants and confirmed in T3 transformants. Finally, an RT–PCR with specific primers (Appendix Table S2) of total RNA extraction from plants of selected lines and the sequencing of the cDNA products verified the frameshift mutations (Fig EV2C and D).

Generation of Arabidopsis ECT2<sup>ΔN5</sup>-mCherry lines

Cloning and line selection of ECT2<sup>ΔN5</sup>-mCherry was performed by USER cloning and agrobacterium-mediated transformation as described in Tankmar (preprint: Tankmar et al., 2023). In brief, USER-compatible primers (LA336, MTS, MT6, LA337) were used to amplify fragments from ECT2Pro:ECT2gDNA-mCherry:ECT2Ter; a construct previously generated by Arribas-Hernández et al. (2018). The fragments were purified and inserted into pCAMBIA3300U (pCAMBIA3300 with a double Pacl USER cassette inserted between the PstI-Xmal sites at the multiple cloning site; Nour-Eldin et al,
Analysis of ECT2–RNA<sub>AMV</sub> interaction by HyperTRIBE

Five independent lines expressing ECT2 fused to the catalytic domain of the adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR) on the <i>ect2-1</i> background and five control lines expressing only ADAR on wild-type background (Arribas-Hernández et al., 2021a) were inoculated at 16 days with AMV (McMahon et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018). Tissue from the innermost, young rosette leaves of each line (10 plants per sample) was selected at 7 dpi and grounded in liquid nitrogen with mortar and pestle. After total RNA extraction, precipitation of nucleic acids was carried out with 3.75 M LiCl (−20°C, overnight) to avoid RNA precipitation. Next, these samples were submitted to a ribosomal RNA depletion treatment and cDNA libraries were prepared with CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit. The libraries were sequenced by paired-end sequencing (150 pb) on an Illumina NEXTseq 550 platform with v2.5 flow cell (300 cycles). Libraries were first quality checked before being merged across lanes, then trimmed, duplicated, and mapped using the CORALL Total RNA-Seq integrated Data Analysis Pipeline. Quantifications of reads mapping to AMV and ADAR were done using Salmon (Patro et al., 2017) using paired-end stranded mode. Mapped .bam files were converted to reflect forward and reverse strands before processing using hyperTRIBER (preprint: Rennie et al., 2021): briefly, base counts were aggregated from reads for all positions containing at least one mismatch, which were subsequently filtered for viral positions containing putative A-G edits in at least two of five lines expressing ECT2-FLAG-ADAR. Three hundred ninety-five candidates were formally tested for the differential occurrence of the base G while adjusting for differences in both read coverage at the position for each condition and variation in the levels of the ECT2-FLAG-ADAR. The results were subsequently FDR corrected in R, and the F function cor.test was used to calculate Pearson’s correlation between ADAR and editing proportions (A/(A + G)) in ECT2-FLAG-ADAR samples. All plots were produced in R (R Core Team, 2021).

Western blot analysis

Total protein was extracted homogenizing 0.1 g of tissue from 7 dpi infected plants (same samples used for northern blot analysis in Fig 6B) with three volumes of extraction buffer (0.4 M Tris–HCl pH 8.8, 2% SDS, 15% glycerol, 0.1 M DTT), and heat at 95°C for 10 min. After centrifugation, 5 μl of Laemmli 6× (0.3 M Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% SDS, 0.05% xylene cyanol/bromophenol blue, 15% β-mercaptoethanol) were added to 25 μl of each sample and loaded into a 10% SDS–PAGE gel. The electrophoresis was run at 100 V for around 2 h, and proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane, previously activated with methanol, at 30 V and 4°C overnight. ECT2-mCherry protein was detected using the anti-mCherry antibody (ab183628, Abcam; Cambridge, UK) at dilution 1:10,000. Secondary antibody and detection procedure was carried out following the manufacturer’s instructions (ECL™ Prime Western Blotting System, Merck; Darmstadt, Germany).

Molecular simulations

We performed implicit-solvent coarse-grained simulations of IDR solutions using the CALYVADOS 2 forcefield (Tesei et al., 2021; Tesei & Lindorff-Larsen, 2023) which uses a representation of the protein...
with one bead per residue. Beads of neighboring residues were linked by harmonic bonds with an equilibrium distance of 0.38 nm and force constant of 8,033 kJ/mol/nm². Nonbonded interactions were modeled with a hydrophobic amino acid “stickiness” model, truncated at 2 nm (Tesei & Lindorff-Larsen, 2023). In addition, we used a truncated (rc = 4 nm) and shifted Debye–Hückel potential to model salt-screened electrostatic interactions. Simulations were performed using a Langevin integrator (γ = 0.01 ps⁻¹) with a 0.01 ps time step.

We used a previously described procedure (Dignon et al., 2018; Tesei & Lindorff-Larsen, 2023) to perform slab simulations of IDRs of ECT² WT, ECT² ASS, ECT² 9x Y→A, hnRNPA1, and FUS. The sequence “ECT² 9x Y→A” has nine substitutions of tyrosine residues to alanine in region N5 of ECT² IDR. All protein sequences are listed at https://github.com/KULL-Centre/_2023_martinez-perez-AMV-ECT. For each of the five different IDR sequences, we ran a slab simulation with 100 copies of the IDR, with simulation box sizes of 25 × 25 × 300 nm (ECT² WT and variants) and 15 × 15 × 150 nm (hnRNPA1 and FUS). We carried out all simulations for 7,500 ns at T = 293 K and saved configurations every 1 ns. We considered the first 2,000 ns of each simulation as equilibration which was not used for analysis. In a postprocessing step, we centered the protein condensates in the z-dimension of the simulation box. We obtained dense phase and dilute phase concentrations (Cdense and Cdilute) respectively by fitting the time-averaged concentration profiles to a hyperbolic function (Tesei et al., 2021). We calculated excess transfer free energies via ΔGtrans = RT ln (Cdense/ Cdilute) (Benayad et al., 2021).

### Data availability

RNA-Seq and HyperTRIBE RNA-Seq data: PRJEB56577 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB56577).

Code to perform and analyze the molecular simulations is available via https://github.com/KULL-Centre/_2023_martinez-perez-AMV-ECT and https://github.com/KULL-Centre/CALVADOS.

### Expanded View for this article is available online.
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