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ABSTRACT Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is the current standard of care for patients with a total renal stone burden > 20 mm. Gaining access to the kidney is a crucial step, as the position of the percutaneous tract can affect the ability to manipulate a nephroscope during the procedure. However, gaining percutaneous access using fluoroscopic guidance has a challenging learning curve, with only a minority of urologists can successfully establish the access. In addition to difficult access, the PCNL carries a risk of bleeding and the need for blood transfusion. Robotic assistance may be a key towards accurate and reliable access. Beyond assisting with renal access, a robotic platform can record data of importance related to the user’s activities via sensor-equipped instruments. The analysis of these activities is crucial for understanding what constitutes a successful and safe procedure. In this paper, we harness the powers of machine learning to automatically analyze physicians’ activities during robotic-assisted renal access using the Monarch® Platform, Urology. A machine learning framework based on a combination of a 1-dimensional U-net and random forests was developed to find consistent patterns in the sensor data characteristic of needle insertions. This framework retrospectively analyzed data previously obtained from 248 percutaneous renal access procedures. These procedures were performed on 18 human cadaveric models by 17 practicing urologists and one urologist proxy. The framework automatically recognized 94% of all first needle insertions in each procedure and labeled them with an accuracy of 0.81 in terms of the Dice coefficient. The recognition accuracy for secondary insertions was 66%. The automatically detected needle insertions were used to calculate clinical metrics such as tract length, anterior-posterior and cranial-caudal angles of the insertion site, as well as user skills such as trajectory deviation and targeting accuracy.

INDEX TERMS Percutaneous nephrolithotomy, machine learning, robotic surgery, human performance analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The urinary stone disease is among the most common acute urinary pathologies and is estimated to affect 10%-15% of US adults [1]. If the disease is discovered at its early stage, small stones could be treated conservatively using a combination of medical expulsive therapy, alpha-blockers, and pain control. The treatment of larger or symptomatic stones is usually performed with Shock Wave Lithotripsy (SWL), Flexible Ureteroscopy (FU), and PCNL. PCNL is one of the treatments of choice for the removal of stones through small incisions on the patient’s skin. The number of PCNLs has been growing by 6% per year, with the fastest growth rates in the US Midwest [2]. The PCNL growth is, however, accompanied by increased complication rates after up to 19% of treatments [2]. Infectious complications and bleeding are the most common intra- and post-operative complications. The predictive factors for such post-treatment complications include large stone size, lengthy PCNL procedure, and high body-mass index (BMI) [3], [4].

Gaining percutaneous access is a delicate task and may be challenging, even for experts. The kidney papillae, the optimal targets for needle insertion, are only a few millimeters in diameter. The presence of arteries and veins surrounding the
renal pelvis and major calyces in the renal hilum makes any puncture outside the collecting system a potential cause of severe bleeding [5]. Since its introduction in 1976, PCNL in the prone position has been the gold standard for the treatment of large stones [6]. The prone positioning was favored due to the posterior location of the kidneys, the short percutaneous tract to the calyces located at the avascular line of Brodel, and a large puncture area [7]. These advantages are, however, accompanied by the need to reposition the patient after the ureteral catheter placement and complicated anesthesiologic management. As an alternative to prone, supine positioning can overcome the abovementioned challenges with the tradeoff of longer percutaneous tract lengths and potentially increased kidney mobility [8]. Supine patient positioning has been rapidly gaining popularity among physicians, with 20% of procedures done in supine positioning worldwide [9]. There is, therefore, a clinical need for safe and reliable renal access.

There is currently no means to plan a needle insertion with guaranteed success. Physicians primarily rely on fluoroscopy and ultrasound (US) to intra-operatively visualize the anatomy and the percutaneous needle during insertion. Fluoroscopy is the most widely used imaging modality due to the high resolution of the resulting images and low dose exposure [10]. However, both modalities are limited to two-dimensional space, forcing the operator to rely on their professional experience for understanding the true anatomy from the 2D fluoroscopic or US view. To overcome this limitation, physicians who utilize fluoroscopic imaging will often obtain multiple fluoroscopy images or videos at different C-arm angles, which enables confirmation of the alignment of the target calyx and needle insertion trajectory [11]. Although needle alignment at the insertion start is paramount, it does not guarantee overall success, as the needle trajectory needs to be actively corrected to compensate for anatomical motion.

Medical robots are likely to be the solution for improving the accuracy of PCNL procedures. For example, robots could simultaneously record the data from the fluoroscope, track the location of the needle and other instruments, perform automated insertions, etc. There have been several attempts to integrate robots into PCNL procedures [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20]. Early studies used kidney phantoms as the targets for needle insertion as phantoms allow precise measurement of the insertion accuracy [13], [14], [16], [18]. At the same time, phantoms are a poor substitute for the human abdominal anatomy. Cadavers and live pigs were utilized as more realistic models [12], [13], [15], [16], [17], [20]. The insertion accuracy was then measured qualitatively as the ratio of successful access to porcine kidneys in one or three needle insertion attempts [15] and quantitatively using three-dimensional computed tomography images acquired at the end of the insertion and fiducial markers placed inside porcine subjects [12], [16], [20]. Two main directions for integrating robots into PCNL procedures, namely remotely controlled and fully automated needle insertions, have been investigated. In both scenarios, the user first identifies the starting point on the subject surface and positions the needle at that point. The needle could then be inserted using the remote controller [12], [13], [16]. Such an approach increases the stability of the insertion tract in contrast to manual insertion and removes the user from the insertion site to protect them from radiation exposure during intra-operative imaging. Alternatively, a robot could automatically insert the needle after the user sets the starting point and insertion direction are set by the user. The main challenge of such an approach is to ensure that the insertion trajectory stays in agreement with the anatomy, so the researchers develop algorithms for breathing motion compensation via image-based target tracking [14], [15], [17], [18], [20]. Due to significant technical and financial requirements to build robots and conduct robotic experiments, the field of robotic percutaneous procedures remains understudied. The existing studies utilize one phantom or 1-2 pigs. To the best of our knowledge, no use of human cadavers or human patients has been reported. Finally, the studies focus on the assessment of the robotic assistance, whereas data from the robots could be used for other purposes like the assessment of users’ skills or treatment outcome prediction.

This study proposes an automated solution for analyzing procedure metrics and quantifying expert performance while establishing renal access in robotic PCNL using a novel surgical robot – Monarch® Platform, Urology (MONARCH). A deep learning-based framework was developed to automatically recognize needle insertion attempts during renal access using the sensor data recorded by the MONARCH. Quantitative features that characterize the user’s performance, such as the quality of needle alignment and targeting error, were extracted from automatically recognized insertions and compared to the manually labeled needle insertions.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. DATA COLLECTION

Data were obtained from prior recordings of a consecutive series of percutaneous access attempts on a human cadaver model using the MONARCH robotic system. The MONARCH system includes a flexible ureteroscope steered by the user with a video-game-style controller and a manually inserted percutaneous needle. Both the ureteroscope and the needle are equipped with electromagnetic (EM) sensors that report the tip position and orientation of the instruments. The ureteroscope is equipped with an integrated camera that allows the user to navigate inside the renal collecting system under direct vision.

During the simulated procedures, the cadaver was positioned in a modified supine position on the operating table. Saline irrigation was used to distend the kidney for visualization of the anatomy. The amount of fluid used mimicked the ranges used in standard ureteroscopies. The ureteroscope was inserted into the subject’s urethra through the bladder, and up the ureter into the kidney collecting system and then docked...
models with Newton’s equation of motion as the model function [21]. The linear velocity, for example, can be indicative of ureteroscope retraction and needle insertion.

Several features are computed to capture and quantify the needle alignment. The target alignment error $f_{\text{target}}$ estimates if the needle is oriented towards the target, i.e., the $0^\circ$ angle indicates the perfect alignment. This angle significantly fluctuates at the time of the initial needle alignment. The relative angle between the ureteroscope and the needle is another feature.

Finally, we recorded the depth indicator values of the GPAI and the distance from the needle to the FG. The described-above features characterize the state of the system at every timestamp.

The subject’s position on the surgery bed is predefined according to the modified supine PCNL protocol. The MONARCH cart also has a predefined location against the bed. Therefore, the coordinates and orientation of the sensors can be computed with respect to the robotic system and, consequently, the subject. The first angle of interest is the cranial-caudal that measures how a sensor is oriented against the axis passing from the subject’s legs to head (Fig. 5a). The second angle is anterior-posterior that measures how a sensor is oriented up or down (Fig. 5b). Similarly, we can represent the ureteroscope orientation with respect to the bed to estimate whether a calyx is anterior or posterior facing as shown in Fig. 5d.

C. U-NET FOR NEEDLE INSERTION DETECTION

A percutaneous renal access procedure starts with the target selection step and ends with either a new target selection or the user manual terminating the procedure with the GPAI. A procedure’s features define a 2D array $A$ of size $N \times M$, where $N$ corresponds to the number of timestamps, and $M$ is the number of features per timestamp. The information decoded in these features can be characteristic of specific user activities, including site selection for needle insertion, needle insertion, needle retraction, and secondary insertion. In this study, we are interested in two types of activities: the needle insertion activity, which encompasses both first and secondary insertions, and the no-insertion activity, which covers all the remaining activities. Each timestamp is labeled to belong to insertion/no-insertion resulting in a 1D array $L$ of labels of size $N$.

The aim is to automatically label percutaneous renal access procedures by learning from a training collection of arrays $A = \{A\}$ and corresponding labels $L = \{L\}$. We implemented a one-dimensional U-Net neural network for automated labeling of $A$ [22]. The encoder part of the U-Net consisted of eight blocks with the feature map sizes of $[16, 32, 64, 64, 128, 128, 256, 256]$, where each block was a sequence of group norm [23], convolution, and ReLU layers. The decoder part of the U-Net has the inverted feature map sizes $[256, 128, 128, 64, 64, 32, 16]$ and the same block composition. All convolution layers have $[3 \times 1]$ kernels.
FIGURE 1. The guided percutaneous access interface (GPAI) of the Monarch Platform Urology (Auris Health Inc., CA). The interface consists of the ureteroscope camera view (1), target and targeting dot (2) that encodes the alignment between the needle for percutaneous insertion and the target inside the kidney, and depth indicator (3) that turns green when the target is reached or red when the target is missed.

FIGURE 2. A schematic illustration of a percutaneous needle access procedure equipment. The flexible ureteroscope equipped with a camera is used to mark the target calyx for the needle insertion. Both ureteroscope and needle are equipped with sensors, which are tracked by the field generator located near the procedure site.

The network loss function is a linear combination of binary cross-entropy and Dice losses weighted with 0.1 and 0.9 coefficients, respectively. The training used an Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.001 and L2 regularization on the convolution kernels with $\lambda = 0.01$. The network was trained for 100 epochs. The input to the network first passes through average pooling with the kernel $[5 \times 1]$, while the output is upsampled to return to the original input size. This initial downscaling is needed to compensate for feature fluctuations and facilitate the U-Net convergence. The output of the U-Net is a probability vector of length $T$ indicating how likely each timepoint belongs to an insertion.

D. RANDOM FORESTS FOR PERCUTANEOUS ATTEMPT CLASSIFICATION

The U-Net labels each time point to represent insertion or no-insertion. Such labeling output is insufficient to achieve the overarching aim of estimating the clinical properties of the insertions and computing the number of needle insertion attempts per procedure. In other words, we need to identify the time points of the skin puncture and end of a needle insertion attempt from the results of U-Net predictions.

Figure 6 illustrates an example where simply thresholding the classification probabilities assigned by U-Net is insufficient. In this example, the user performs an incomplete insertion, then extracts the needle from the subject’s body and starts a new insertion attempt. This attempt is then completed. To compute characteristics of the insertion, such as tract length (Fig. 5c), insertion angles, and trajectory deviation, incomplete insertion attempts need to be filtered out (Fig. 6). To classify the result of the U-Net and filter out such scenarios, we first generate candidate insertion regions by thresholding U-Net probabilities and extracting connected regions. Each extracted region will be referred to as a candidate region to be classified as a percutaneous attempt.

In Figure 5c, we have three candidate regions where needle insertion activity is taking place.

For each candidate region, we calculate six features. One feature is the duration of the candidate region. Two features are the average target and positional targeting accuracy of the targeting dot on the GPAI, which we expect to be very stable in the middle of an insertion attempt. The distance traveled by the needle and the minimal distance to the target were also included in the feature list. Finally, a binary feature checks if the needle, on average, moves closer to or further away from the target to capture the directionality of the movement.

The regions are classified using an implementation of a random forest classifier [24] from sklearn with standard parameters and the maximum tree depth of 9 splits before a forced leaf. We also augmented the random forest classification with several explicit rules, namely a) the insertion cannot be shorter than $r = 5$ seconds, b) two regions labeled by the
FIGURE 3. A schematic illustration of a percutaneous needle access procedure period. The procedure starts from the target selection phase, where the user drives the flexible ureteroscope of the Monarch Platform to select the target, and then retracts the ureteroscope offering a clear view to the selected target location. The next phase is site selection, where the user places the needle to the subject’s skin and finds a suitable puncture location while aligning the needle with the target. The next phase is the first needle insertion attempt that goes from the moment when the needle penetrates the skin to the moment the needle reaches or misses the target. Secondary insertions could be executed after the first one if target is missed. Each insertion is followed by a post-insertion phase where the user may perform additional clinical steps such as checking for backflow or inserting a guidewire to the renal collecting system.

FIGURE 4. An example of manually and automatically detected needle insertions and the corresponding insertions lengths $d_{ref}$ and $d_{auto}$ and angle between them $\alpha$.

U-Net as insertion that are closer than $p = 2$ seconds from each other are merged into one region, c) the minimal needle track cannot be shorter than $m = 10$ mm, d) the regions are artificially extended to ensure the needle came closer than $k = 5$ mm to the target. These rules are descriptive for both first and secondary insertions. The combination of the U-Net-based timepoint labeling and random forest region classification resulted in the fully automated calculation of needle insertion characteristics from the MONARCH-assisted percutaneous access.

III. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

A. DATABASE

The database consisted of recordings from 248 consecutive percutaneous renal access procedures performed on 18 cadavers. The cadavers were both male and female subjects with a body mass index ranging from 19.8 to 39.2. The procedures were conducted by 17 urologists and one urologist proxy. The average experience level of the participants was 3.2, computed on a scale from 1 to 5. A scale of 1 corresponds to a novice user who has not gained percutaneous access in their practice, and five corresponds to expert urologists who performed hundreds of percutaneous renal access procedures. Only three participants had experience with supine patient positioning, while the majority have been only performing percutaneous access in prone positioning.

Important to note is that within this dataset, not every procedure included a needle insertion attempt nor ended after successful insertion. If a user selected the same or a new target without inserting the needle, the time frame from the previous target selection to the new target selection still constitutes a procedure and was analyzed for potential insertion attempts. The database contains 42 procedures without insertions. At the same time, the user could finish the procedure by abandoning the instruments and the controller without explicitly sending a signal of a procedure end.

The recordings came from more procedures on the right kidneys (57%) than on the left kidneys (43%). For 171 procedures, the experts explicitly determined the target calyx as upper (36%), mid (31%), and lower (33%) pole calyx.

B. RESULTS

The procedures were analyzed using a needle insertion emulator that displays the 3D location and orientation of the instruments. The engineers inspected the procedure logs to manually label the individual needle insertions by marking their start and end time points. The accuracy of automated labeling for the first insertion in each procedure was 0.81, measured in terms of the Dice coefficient compared against the manual labeling. The framework captured 94% of all first insertions, for which the Dice coefficient was 0.86. The first insertions were used to compute the procedure metrics, which can be subdivided into the site selection metrics and needle insertion metrics. The site selection metrics are determined at moment insertion location is determined, and the insertion starts and includes a) tract length (Fig 5b) relative needle to ureteroscope angle, c) anatomical insertion angle, and d) target orientation (Fig 5). The procedure insertion metrics
FIGURE 5. Illustrations of geometrical features used in the study with the reference to the patient’s anatomy. The pink and blue objects correspond to the axial and coronal patient’s cross-section, respectively. Red object is a schematic kidney illustration. (a) Caudocranial angle illustration. (b) The anterior-lateral-posterior angle illustration. (c) Tract length for insertion from the patient’s skin to the target inside the kidney. (d) The target anterior-lateral-posterior angle illustration.

FIGURE 6. This example illustrates a common scenario when the user starts (1) inserting the needle but before reaching the target reconsiders and entirely extracts the needle from the subject’s body. Then, the user starts (2) a new insertion and completes it following the indication from the guided percutaneous access interface (GUAI) of the Monarch Platform. The initial aborted insertion attempt can be mis-labeled by the U-Net as a needle insertion. The U-Net results are corrected by random-forest-based classification that among other conditions check whether a region labeled by the U-Net as an insertion actually reach the target plane.

include a) minimal distance to the target, b) insertion duration, c) mean positional and angular need deviation during insertion, d) mean and maximal ureteroscope displacement during insertion, i.e., a proxy for anatomical motion. The complete list of metrics and the metric comparison for manually and automatically labeled insertion performed in terms of average (± standard deviation), median and 25th and 75th quantiles of the differences are given in Table 1. Outliers in Table 1 are computed using three standard deviations from the average values for each feature. After removing outliers, the average, standard deviation, and quantile values are recomputed to avoid significant skew of the standard deviation values.

We do not compute procedure metrics from secondary insertions but only record their presence. Indeed, the site selection metrics cannot be estimated from the secondary insertions, while some other metrics, such as secondary insertion duration and angular deviations of the sensors, are not clinically descriptive. A secondary insertion is considered correctly recognized if there is a ≥50% overlap between manual and automated labels. In total, 70 secondary needle insertions were distributed among 42 procedures. The recognition accuracy for the secondary insertions was 66%, i.e., 46 of 70 insertions. The recognition accuracy for the procedures that have secondary insertions without necessarily correctly capturing each insertion was 79%, i.e., 33 of 42 procedures.

IV. DISCUSSION
This paper proposes a novel way of integrating machine learning into percutaneous renal access assessment. In recent
TABLE 1. The results of the proposed framework for the automated labeling of needle insertions during percutaneous renal access procedures. The results present the error estimation of quantitative metrics derived from manually and automatically labeled needle insertions. The features are calculated only for the first insertion without considering potential insertion re-attempts. The errors outside the 1.5 interquartile range are considered outliers. The outliers are removed from the reference value range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean ± st. dev.</th>
<th>25% quantile</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>75% quantile</th>
<th># outliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal distance from needle to target</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>2.86 ± 15.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle between needle and ureteroscope orientations</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>2.30 ± 6.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical insertion angle (anterior-lateral-posterior)</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>2.19 ± 6.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical insertion angle (caudocranial)</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>1.79 ± 3.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target orientation (anterior-lateral-posterior)</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target orientation (caudocranial)</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>0.37 ± 1.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion tract length</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>15.86 ± 17.7</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion duration</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>11.48 ± 14.33</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean insertion trajectory deviation</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0.78 ± 2.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean insertion angular deviation</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>2.21 ± 6.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean target displacement during insertion</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0.47 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative motion between ureteroscope and needle insertion</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>8.60 ± 26.45</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

years, machine learning has dramatically expanded its applicability and was eventually utilized in the prediction of outcomes of staghorn calculi [25]. The researchers were focused on predicting both acute outcomes such as the stone-free status [25], [26], [27], [28], laboratory measurement [26], fever [29], need for transfusion [26], [27] or stenting [27], and late outcomes such as second PCNLs [26] or urosepsis [29]. The studies collected several hundred demographics, clinical and laboratory parameters for each patient who underwent PCNL, applied dimensionality reduction algorithms to select the most information-rich features, and then trained logistic regression [25], k-nearest neighbors [27], random forests [25], support vector machines [25], [27], [28] and neural networks [26] on the resulting features to predict PCNL outcomes. Such models exhibit not only predictive powers but also pinpoint the parameters that are most contributive to the success/failure of PCNL. The authors of such studies concluded that the number of needle insertions needed, BMI, stone size, access calyx pole, kidney comorbidities, procedure duration, and supracostal insertions exhibit the strongest correlation with PCNL outcomes. Except for the stone size and kidney comorbidities, the remaining features are directly associated with or could be estimated during the needle insertion.

The number of needle insertions is predictive of stone-free status for patients with staghorn calculi [25], post-treatment urosepsis [29], [30], and severe hemorrhages [30]. In our study, 79% of all procedures requiring secondary insertions and 66% of individual secondary needle insertion attempts were automatically recognized by the presented framework. Several issues cause the misdetection of secondary insertions. First, some secondary insertions have internal idle states when the user does not move the needle. For such insertions, the framework might capture the insertion but mislabels some of its idle states and therefore does not achieve the required 50%-level overlap with the manual label. Some urologists employ the staccato motion during renal access [31], where the needle is not inserted in one continuous motion but through many small advances and relaxations. During such a motion, the needle could rapidly surpass the target, be retracted, and then continue its movement toward the target. The insertion, therefore, could be erroneously subdivided into two insertion attempts by the labeling scheme. In general, needle insertions rarely consist of monotonous movement toward the target and include small retractions due to tissue resistance, adjustments, and pauses, which makes the recognition of secondary insertions ambiguous even for a human observer. For example, how significant does a needle retraction need to be to constitute an insertion in contrast to needle adjustment? There is no ultimate answer to this question, but empirically, we observed that secondary insertions have an insertion depth of >15mm. To put this threshold into perspective, the mean tract length for the first insertions is 104 mm.

Another key observation is that the U-Net accurately captures most of the needle insertion activity. However, the reference labels only include insertion activity from the start of skin puncture to the end of needle insertion, while incomplete attempts are not analyzed. The incomplete insertion attempts do not serve the end goal of segmenting data from the start of a skin puncture to the insertion end and computing procedure metrics. The incomplete insertion attempts negatively affect the Dice score but do not necessarily compromise the overall framework performance (Fig. 6).

A meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of percutaneous nephrolithotomy against patients’ BMI factor discovered that
the procedure is significantly longer for morbidly obese and obese patients in comparison to patients with normal BMI and overweight patients [32]. Machine learning analysis found BMI to predict stone-free status for patients with stag horn calculi [25] and the need for stenting to manage post-treatment urine leakage [26]. For the subjects with high BMI, the needle needs to travel a longer distance, which correlates with the tract length feature computed in our analysis. The median tract length error was 9.22 mm, which approximately corresponds to a 9% error of the mean tract length of 99.4 mm. The error is, however, skewed due to several large outliers. The median error of 9.22 mm is 1.5 times smaller than the mean error. The errors occur due to the challenges with precise estimation of the skin puncture time. The skin is thick and may be difficult to penetrate, which will require a number of pushes. After penetration, the needle could drift away from the target due to initial misalignment, so the user will decide to pull the needle and realign. In contrast to the tract length, the insertion angles remain stable during the significant part of the insertion, and they are estimated with an error of 1.64° and have very few outliers. The tract length and insertion angles estimate the distance to the kidney from a specific anatomical region on the skin and can approximate the subject’s constitution.

Procedure duration is predictive for post-treatment urosepsis and [29], [30] and severe hemorrhages [30]. For outcome prediction, the PCNLs are classified into long, which take 90.4 mm. The error is, however, skewed due to several large outliers. The median error of 9.22 mm is 1.5 times smaller than the mean error. The errors occur due to the challenges with precise estimation of the skin puncture time. The skin is thick and may be difficult to penetrate, which will require a number of pushes. After penetration, the needle could drift away from the target due to initial misalignment, so the user will decide to pull the needle and realign. In contrast to the tract length, the insertion angles remain stable during the significant part of the insertion, and they are estimated with an error of 1.64° and have very few outliers. The tract length and insertion angles estimate the distance to the kidney from a specific anatomical region on the skin and can approximate the subject’s constitution.

Procedure duration is predictive for post-treatment urosepsis and [29], [30] and severe hemorrhages [30]. For outcome prediction, the PCNLs are classified into long, which take 90.4 mm. The error is, however, skewed due to several large outliers. The median error of 9.22 mm is 1.5 times smaller than the mean error. The errors occur due to the challenges with precise estimation of the skin puncture time. The skin is thick and may be difficult to penetrate, which will require a number of pushes. After penetration, the needle could drift away from the target due to initial misalignment, so the user will decide to pull the needle and realign. In contrast to the tract length, the insertion angles remain stable during the significant part of the insertion, and they are estimated with an error of 1.64° and have very few outliers. The tract length and insertion angles estimate the distance to the kidney from a specific anatomical region on the skin and can approximate the subject’s constitution.

Procedure duration is predictive for post-treatment urosepsis and [29], [30] and severe hemorrhages [30]. For outcome prediction, the PCNLs are classified into long, which take 90.4 mm. The error is, however, skewed due to several large outliers. The median error of 9.22 mm is 1.5 times smaller than the mean error. The errors occur due to the challenges with precise estimation of the skin puncture time. The skin is thick and may be difficult to penetrate, which will require a number of pushes. After penetration, the needle could drift away from the target due to initial misalignment, so the user will decide to pull the needle and realign. In contrast to the tract length, the insertion angles remain stable during the significant part of the insertion, and they are estimated with an error of 1.64° and have very few outliers. The tract length and insertion angles estimate the distance to the kidney from a specific anatomical region on the skin and can approximate the subject’s constitution.