Everything that glitters is not gold
V1315 Cas is not a dormant black hole

Zak, J; Jones, D; Boffin, H M J; Beck, P G; Klencki, J; Bodensteiner, J; Shenar, T; Van winckel, H; Skarka, M; Arellano-córdova, K; Viuho, J; Sowicka, P; Guenther, E W; Hatzes, A

Published in:
Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society

DOI:
10.1093/mnras/stad2137

Publication date:
2023

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):
Everything that glitters is not gold: V1315 Cas is not a dormant black hole


ABSTRACT
The quest for quiet or dormant black holes has been ongoing since several decades. Ellipsoidal variables possibly indicate the existence of a very high-mass invisible companion and are thought to be one of the best ways to find such dormant black holes. This, however, is not a panacea as we show here with one example. We indeed report the discovery of a new semidetached interacting binary, V1315 Cas, discovered as an ellipsoidal variable. Using data from photometric surveys (ASAS-SN, TESS) and high-resolution spectroscopy, we derived a nearly circular orbit with an orbital period of $P_{\text{orb}} = 34.54$ d. The binary system consists of an evolved F-type star primary that is likely still filling its Roche lobe and a B-type star secondary. Using PHOEBE2, we derived the following masses and radii: for the primary, $M_p = 0.84 \pm 0.03 \, M_\odot$ and $R_p = 1.85^{+0.02}_{-0.03} \, R_\odot$; for the secondary, $M_s = 7.3 \pm 0.3 \, M_\odot$ and $R_s = 4.02^{+0.02}_{-0.02} \, R_\odot$. Modelling the evolution of the system with MESA, we found an age of $\sim 7.7 \times 10^7$ yr. The system is at the end of a period of rapid non-conservative mass transfer that reversed its mass ratio, while significantly widening its orbit. The primary shows carbon depletion and nitrogen overabundance, indicative of CNO-processed material being exposed due to mass transfer. An infrared excess and stationary Hα emission suggest the presence of a circumstellar or circumbinary disc. V1315 Cas will likely become a detached stripped star binary.
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1 DORMANT BLACK HOLES
The demographics of black holes (BHs) is severely limited as we currently know (to varying degrees of confidence) only around 100 BH systems. The vast majority of these are found in binary systems and were discovered in X-ray surveys. Only a handful of objects are known to be strong candidates to contain X-ray-quiet BHs (or ‘dormant’; Giesers et al. 2018; Khokhlov et al. 2018; Mahy et al. 2022; Shenar et al. 2022; El-Badry et al. 2023). Assuming that only a fraction of BHs are actively accreting (Kalogera, King & Rasio 2004), dormant BHs should be populous in our Galaxy – for example, McClintock & Remillard (2006) estimated the population of BHs in our Galaxy to be around $3 \times 10^8$ – and their absence has been discussed for several decades (Trimble & Thorne 1969). Recently, several studies claimed the discovery of a quiescent stellar-mass BH. However, subsequent studies (Bodensteiner et al. 2020; Irrgang et al. 2020; Shenar et al. 2020; El-Badry & Quataert 2021; El-Badry & Burdge 2022) have shown that such systems do not actually contain a stellar-mass BH, but are the outcome of binary evolution that produced a stripped star with a Be star companion. A summary of recent developments can be found in Bodensteiner et al. (2022).

A proper description of the BH population and stellar evolution understanding requires a dramatic increase of the number of known BHs. Gomez, Faigler & Mazeh (2021) suggested that ellipsoidal variables with large photometric amplitude could be used to detect dormant BHs. This triggered our interest to study in detail the system V1315 Cas.

V1315 Cas is a bright object ($V = 10.3$), originally classified as a Cepheid variable star in the Variable Stars Index catalogue.
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(VSX, Watson 2006). We observed this target during our study of low-amplitude Cepheids but we quickly realized that this star was misclassified. Our preliminary analysis indicated large ellipsoidal variations and a low contribution to the optical flux from the secondary, making it a perfect candidate for hosting a compact object. This was our hypothesis for a long time and the reason why we embarked on a detailed study of this object. Only a combination of thorough spectroscopic and photometric analysis as presented in this paper revealed the true nature of the system – a stripped star binary system.

2 DATA SETS

2.1 Photometric data

V1315 Cas was observed as part of the ASAS-SN survey (Kochanek et al. 2017; Jayasinghe et al. 2018), providing V- and g-band photometry. Observations span four observing seasons in the V filter and four additional seasons in the g filter. The unfolded light curve is shown in Fig. A.1 in the Appendix, while the phase-folded V-band light curve is presented in Fig. 2.

These data were complemented by photometric data from NASA’s Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015) in the wavelength range 600 to 1000 nm. V1315 Cas was observed in sector 18 with a 30 min cadence – the Target Pixel File shown in Fig. 1 proves that there are only faint nearby stars that do not impact the light curve. In addition, these stars are not in the aperture which is highlighted by the white squares. The differential magnitude of the source, with respect to several nearby and isolated comparison stars of similar brightness, was extracted from the full frame images via simple aperture photometry with an aperture radius of 2 pixels.

2.2 Spectroscopic data

Spectroscopic observations were first carried out using the echelle spectrograph (R = 35 000) at the 2-m Alfred Jensch Telescope in Tautenburg, Germany, covering a spectral range from 465 to 759 nm (Hatzes, Guenther & Küster 2003). An RV monitoring campaign was then started using the HERMES (Raskin et al. 2011) 377–900 nm echelle spectrograph (R = 85 000) at the 1.2-m Mercator telescope located at La Palma, Spain.

Figure 1. TESS Target Pixel File plot of V1315 Cas (TIC54271341) created using tpfplotter.

Figure 2. V-band light curve with data from ASAS-SN, phased with the orbital period, P = 34.5352 d. Data points are repeated for the second cycle for better visualization.

The Tautenburg data were reduced using standard procedures in IRAF. The HERMES data were reduced using the dedicated automated data reduction pipeline (HermesDRS) version 7.0. The signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) of the acquired spectra range from 20 to 45 for the HERMES spectra and are about 50 for the Tautenburg spectra. The dates of the individual observations can be found in Table A1. The exposure times varied between 600 and 1200 s.

Additionally, a low-resolution (R = 350) flux-calibrated spectrum was obtained with the ALFOSC instrument mounted at the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT; Djupvik & Andersen 2010). The spectrum was taken on 2020 September 5, with a 1 arcsec wide slit and the grism #3 centred at 432 nm, allowing a wavelength coverage from 330 to 705 nm. The exposure time was 240 s. The spectrum was reduced using standard STARLINK routines (Shortridge 2004).

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Astrometry

V1315 Cas is listed in the Gaia DR3 catalogue (ID:523184053818900224) with a parallax σ = 0.6967 ± 0.0148 mas, which when correcting for the zero-point discussed in Lindegren et al. (2021) leads to a distance of d = 1.382 ± 0.029 kpc.

In addition, the Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2021; Lindegren et al. 2021) proper motions and parallaxes suggests that V1315 Cas is not part of any stellar cluster.

The Renormalised Unit Weight Error (RUWE) coefficient returned in Gaia EDR3 is q = 1.219, smaller than the canonical value of 1.4, which is usually meant to suggest binarity and/or unreliable astrometric solutions. This is likely no surprise given the very short orbital period.

3.2 Light curves

The photometric data of V1315 Cas show a roughly sinusoidal variability with an amplitude of approximately 0.2 mag in all bands (Fig. 2).

The depths of successive minima are different by approximately 0.03 mag, which, in combination with constant maximum

https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dr2-known-issues
brightness, strongly indicate ellipsoidal variability and two minima per orbital period. Such variations can also be obtained by spots (see e.g. fig. 7 in Skarka et al. 2022). However, the binary nature of the variations is obvious from our findings presented in Section 3.3. Previous analyses did not identify the different minima (e.g. Sokolovsky & Korotkiy 2014) and, as such, concluded that the period was roughly half the true period. The HJD ephemeris of the deepest minimum as determined from the ASAS-SN V curve, which has the longest temporal coverage, \( \approx 1400 \) d, is
\[
2457037.0204(0.0184) + 34.5352(0.0006)E. \tag{1}
\]

The data from ASAS-SN appear to show systematically underestimated uncertainties of the observed magnitudes compared to the scatter in the data. This may be due to the fact that the ASAS-SN data are in the saturation regime below \( V < 11 \) mag, even if they do not show any systematics typical of saturation (private communication).

### 3.3 Spectral analysis

#### 3.3.1 Stellar parameters

We determined the stellar parameters of the primary star\(^2\) using iSPECF (Blanco-Cuaresma et al. 2014; Blanco-Cuaresma 2019), specifically the spectral synthesis method with the MARCS atmosphere models and the SPECTRUM code. We considered the spectral region from 660 to 760 nm where the light contribution from the hot companion is minimal. We selected only Fe I and Fe II lines for the analysis. The obtained stellar parameters are presented in Table 1. We compared the determined temperature to the temperature provided by Gaia DR2 of \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4376 \) K which is significantly lower than our value of \( T_{\text{eff}} = 7000 \) K. A possible explanation for this disagreement could be dust, large interstellar extinction, and the binary nature of the system. We show in Fig. A.3 a comparison of our best-fitting derived spectrum to one using the temperature derived by Gaia DR2, clearly demonstrating that the \( Gaia \) temperature is not reliable. To assess how the light contamination from the unaccounted light of the secondary affects the spectroscopic parameters, we generated synthetic spectrum with the above derived parameters of the primary, matched the S/N of the observed spectra, polluted the spectrum, and obtained the spectroscopic parameters again. We found additional uncertainties might affect the derived parameters up to 200 K, 0.2 in surface gravity and 0.1 for metallicity. Furthermore, we detect several prominent diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) at 578.02, 579.68, and 661.32 nm. By measuring their equivalent widths and using the relation of Herbig (1995), we obtain \( A_{\text{V}} \approx 2.7 \). This is slightly higher than the value \( A_{\text{V}} \approx 2 \) suggested by the Pan-STARRS 1 dust map (Green et al. 2019), but not surprising given the large distance of V1315 Cas. By contrast, Gaia DR2 quotes a much lower value of \( A_{\text{V}} = 0.49 \), corresponding to \( A_{\text{V}} = 0.57 \). In the analysis,

\(^2\)In this paper, we refer to the F-type evolved star as the primary as it is the more luminous in the visible region, even if we will show later that it is the less massive.

### 3.3.2 Radial velocities

The observed spectra show a number of features, including clear Doppler-shifted narrow metal lines as a function of the orbital phase. Moreover, the H\( \alpha \) line presents a complex structure. Using Gaussian fitting, we were able to distinguish three distinct components: two absorption lines and one static emission component (Figs 3 and A.2). Furthermore, broad neutral helium lines at 587.56 and 667.81 nm are present alongside the narrow metal lines (Fig. 3). As neutral helium absorption lines become visible at higher temperatures compared to the observed metal lines, they are unlikely to originate from the same source, confirming the binary nature of the system and the absence of a BH.

The RVs of the primary star were determined via cross-correlation with a template spectrum with parameters from Table 1 in iSPECF, excluding regions with Balmer, telluric, and other spectral lines that likely do not originate from the primary star. In addition, we used a Gaussian fit of the 587.5 He I line to derive the RVs. The obtained RV curves, folded on the orbital period derived in Section 3.2, are shown in Fig. 4. One absorption component of the H\( \alpha \) line has the same RVs as the primary star. The second component of the H\( \alpha \) line has RVs similar to the helium lines. The individual RV measurements of the object are listed in Table A1.
3.3.3 Helium lines

As mentioned above, the observed spectra reveal the presence of neutral helium lines at 587, 667 and 706 nm. Furthermore, we see hints of He I lines at bluer wavelength at 501.5 and 447.1 nm, but those lines are severely blended and weak hence not useful for further study. We attempted to derive stellar parameters from fitting or comparing synthetic spectra to the observed helium lines. However, the observed spectra do not have sufficient signal-to-noise ratio and are hindered by variability described below to produce meaningful results from the helium lines. We can only rule out synchronous rotation of the secondary (rotation velocity corresponding to \( \sim 5 \) km s\(^{-1} \)) as our analysis yields projected rotation velocity between 60 and 300 km s\(^{-1} \) in various epochs. Such discrepancy is likely the results of the variability of the helium lines. Further analysis was performed on the 587 nm He I line as the contamination from the primary is presumably negligible. Our spectra cover three observing seasons and we observe long (\( \rightarrow P_{\text{orb}} \)) and short (\( \rightarrow P_{\text{orb}} \)) variability in the observed He I lines (Fig. 5). This includes equivalent width changes and appearance of line asymmetries. These asymmetries can be of various origins. Barria et al. (2013) attributed similar asymmetries to a filling emission from a higher local temperature regions such as hot or bright spots.

3.4 Chemical abundances

We have determined the chemical abundances of V1315 Cas via spectral synthesis in iSPECF, using MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008). To increase the S/N, we have stacked three spectra that were obtained near the same orbital phase to minimize line profile distortions. We present the obtained results in Table 2. We report strong enhancement of nitrogen in the primary star alongside with carbon depletion most likely due to the CNO cycle. This process converts carbon to nitrogen in the interior of intermediate massive stars. Given the large variations of the line profiles of the secondary over various time-scales (Section 3.3.3) we do not try to derive abundances of the secondary.

3.5 Stellar parameters from simultaneous light and RV curve modelling

The parameters of the binary components were estimated via simultaneous modelling of the TESS, ASAS-SN V and g light and RV curves using the PHOEBE2 code (Prša et al. 2016; Horvat et al. 2018; Jones et al. 2020). Based on the spectroscopic analysis presented in Section 3.3.1, the temperature of the primary star was fixed to 7000 K, while its mass and radius were allowed to vary. The binary inclination and the mass of the secondary were allowed to vary freely.

In our model set-up we did not use any constraints on the system architecture (the system was modelled as a detached one). Atmospheres of both stars were modelled with Castelli & Kurucz atmospheres and PHOEBE2’s interpolated limb-darkening. The primary had a fixed albedo of 0.6, and the secondary 1.0. Furthermore, the gravity darkening of the primary was fixed to be 0.32 and 1.0 for the secondary. The parameter space was explored using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method as described in Boffin et al. (2018) and Jones et al. (2019). The parameters of the system determined by this analysis are shown in Table 3, while the interdependencies of the various parameters are shown in the form of a corner plot of the MCMC posteriors in Fig. A.4. The best-fitting model light and RV curves are shown overlaid on the data in Fig. 6. In our model we also fitted for a dilution (third light) that was allowed to vary between 0
Table 3. Binary and stellar parameters determined from simultaneous light and radial velocity curve modelling in PHOEBE. See Fig. A.4 for a corner plot of the fit posteriors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the primary (K)</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of the primary (M⊙)</td>
<td>0.84 ±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the primary (R⊙)</td>
<td>18.51 ±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface gravity of the primary, log g</td>
<td>1.83 ±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of the secondary (M⊙)</td>
<td>7.3 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the secondary (R⊙)</td>
<td>4.02 ±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface gravity of the secondary (log g)</td>
<td>4.09 ±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the secondary (K)</td>
<td>17.290 ±6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binary inclination (degrees)</td>
<td>75.54 ±1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV-semi-amplitude of the primary (km s⁻¹)</td>
<td>108.4 ±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass function (M⊙)</td>
<td>4.6 ±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic velocity, γ (km s⁻¹)</td>
<td>−46.26 ±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass ratio</td>
<td>0.1148 ±0.0016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 20 per cent of the total light. The final fit has 9 per cent ± 1 per cent third light.

3.6 Spectral disentangling

We disentangle the observed composite spectra using the shift-and-add technique (Marchenko, Moffat & Eenens 1998; González & Levato 2006; Shenar et al. 2017). In short, the shift-and-add technique is an iterative procedure that uses all the observed spectra to compute the component spectra that best reproduce the observations. For a given set of orbital parameters, the RVs of the components at each epoch are known. Provided, say, the disentangled spectrum of the primary in the ith iteration, the disentangled spectrum of the secondary in this iteration is computed by subtracting the primary spectrum from all observations (using the known RVs) and co-adding the residual observations in the frame-of-reference of the secondary. In the first iteration, a flat spectrum is assumed for the secondary star. The procedure typically converges within 50–100 iterations. The light ratio of the components needs to be adopted, and only impacts the final scaling of the disentangled spectra. As all orbital parameters except of K₂ are known, we fix the orbital parameters, but let K₂ vary, performing a grid disentangling. We hereby focus on different wavelength regions, namely the Balmer lines and the main He I lines, and compute the χ² of the match between observations and disentangled spectra for each K₂. For more information, we refer the reader to Shenar et al. (2020).

Fig. 7 shows the χ²(K₂) map obtained for the H β region, implying K₂ = 18 ± 6 km s⁻¹. Fig. 8 shows a similar plot for the four He I lines at λ433.8, 447.1, 587.6, 667.8, with a formal
solution of \( K_2 = 21 \pm 18 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). A weighted mean yields \( K_2 = 18 \pm 6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (dominated by H\( \beta \)). Figs 9 and 10 show a comparison between the observations at RV extremes and the disentangled spectra obtained for the H\( \beta \) and He\( I\)\( \lambda 667.8 \) lines, respectively, when using \( K_2 = 18 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). A light contribution of 30 percent was adopted for the secondary. The H\( \beta \) region is well reproduced, though discrepancies are apparent close to the core of the H\( \beta \) line. Disentangling implies that the spectrum of the secondary is dominated by a double-peaked emission, reminiscent of a disc.

The match between observations and disentangled spectra for the He\( I\)\( \lambda 667.8 \) line (Fig. 10) is relatively poor and the reason is clear. The line shows very strong line-profile and equivalent-width variability, with a strong red-shifted absorption seen as the narrow-lined primary is receding from the observer. A similar behaviour is apparent in the other He\( I \) lines such as \( \lambda 587.5 \).

Disentangling of the H\( \alpha \) line results in a good match (comparable to Fig. 3), but interestingly, the \( \chi^2 \) analysis implies \( K_2 \) values smaller than \( \approx 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This may suggest that the H\( \alpha \) emission is dominated by a stationary disc (e.g. a circumbinary disc). However, it is possible that non-Doppler variability impacts these results, and such a conclusion should be taken with caution.

In general, the disentangled spectrum of the secondary is dominated by broad Balmer and He\( I \) absorption lines, which clearly originate in a stellar source.

### 3.7 Spectral energy distribution

We performed a spectral energy distribution (SED) analysis using two data sets. The first one is the low-resolution flux-calibrated spectrum described in Section 2.2. The second data set is broadband photometry covering a region from 0.43 to 22 \( \mu \)m. We used the B\( T \), V\( T \), magnitudes from Tycho-2, B\( V \)gri magnitudes from APASS, the \( JHKs \) magnitudes from 2MASS, the W1-W4 magnitudes from WISE, and the \( G \) magnitude from Gaia.

We corrected all data in the SED analysis for an interstellar extinction of \( A_V \sim 2.7 \) (Section 3.3.1). We fitted the data using Castelli–Kurucz stellar atmosphere models of two templates, using the parameters derived by the PHOEBE2 model (Table 3), and let the distance \( d \) be a free parameter. We notice an IR excess, which we attribute to a circumstellar or circumbinary disc. We were not able to fit the GALEX NUV point that was showing higher flux compared to the model or would require larger radius of the secondary which
cannot be reproduced by the PHOEBE2’s model. We prefer at this stage to exclude it from our fit, but we show it in the figure. The FUV GALEX flux is not available. Apart from the NUV GALEX data point, all other values from photometry were considered. We derived a distance of 1.35 kpc, which is in good agreement with the distance provided by Gaia EDR3 \((d = 1.382 \text{ kpc})\). Fig. 11 shows the energy distribution for each component and the combined spectrum along with the observed points and the NOT flux-calibrated low-resolution spectrum.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results from the PHOEBE2 modelling described in Section 3.5 indicate that the binary system consists of two components with a mass ratio \( q = 0.115 \). The first component is an F-type evolved star, while the other component is a B-type star. We list the parameters of both components in Table 3. Given that the less massive component is the most evolved, it is reasonable to assume that the system was or is still undergoing mass transfer and thus belongs to the class of interacting binaries. As we will show in the following section, the inflated radius of the primary star is the consequence of stellar evolution and the low mass of the primary is due to the mass transfer that removed the outer (hydrogen rich) layers of the primary.

4.1 Mass ratio of the system

Interacting semidetached binaries are often in synchronous rotation. The derived radius of the primary is 18.51 R\(_\odot\), while the measured rotational velocity is 25.8 ± 1.9 km s\(^{-1}\). Assuming synchronous rotation, the estimated orbital period is 36.5 ± 2.6 d, which is in good agreement with the observed period of 34.5 d.

Taken at face values, the primary is filling over 96 per cent of its Roche lobe, but we cannot reject the hypothesis that it is completely filling it and that mass transfer is still ongoing.

The mass ratio derived from the Gaussian fitting of the He I line gives a mass ratio of 0.35 ± 0.11. This is higher compared to the spectral disentangling method and the Phoebe model, however as discussed in Section 3.3.3 the He I lines show large line profile variations. The spectral disentangling method (Section 3.6) yields a mass ratio of 0.167 ± 0.07 from H \( \beta \) line and 0.194 ± 0.13 from He I lines. These results are compatible with the mass ratio derived by PHOEBE of 0.115.

4.2 Binary evolution

V1315 Cas is likely an example of an Algol-type system (Hilditch 2001) where the primary was originally more massive but after exhausting hydrogen in its core (and leaving the main-sequence) and filling its Roche-lobe due to stellar evolution it transferred a significant amount of mass through L1 point on to a companion which was originally less massive and less evolved.

To explore the history and evolution of the system we have explored the model grids of BPASS (Eldridge et al. 2017). We were not able to find a solution for the observed properties. We have tried to primarily match the masses of both components and the temperature and radius of the primary as the temperature and radius of the secondary are constrained with higher uncertainties.

We have thus decided to use the MODULES FOR EXPERIMENTS IN STELLAR ASTROPHYSICS (MESA, Paxton et al. 2011, 2015) to construct a very simple evolutionary model. We have used the mass transfer prescription of Kolb & Ritter (1990). As a first attempt to use scenarios based on fully conservative mass transfer did not allow us to find any solution that matches the observed properties, we generated different starting models with varying initial masses of both components, initial period and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) coefficients of non-conservative mass transfer as described in section 16.4.1 of Tauris & van den Heuvel (2006). Our best-matching model starts with initial masses of 5.8 and 3.2 M\(_\odot\), a 6.95 d orbital period, and values of \( \alpha, \beta = 0, \delta = 0.2, \gamma = 1.2 \), meaning that 20 per cent of the material lost from the primary (that is, \( \approx 1 \text{ M}_\odot \)) is not accreted by the secondary and that there is a circumbinary coplanar toroid with radius \( \gamma^2 a = 1.44a \). We present the evolution of various stellar parameters in Figs 12, A.5, A.6, and A.7. The stellar
parameters from the evolutionary model are in a good agreement to the ones from our analysis. The most discrepant parameter between the evolutionary models and our analysis is the current temperature of the secondary. However, this parameter is likely affected by accretion processes.

We have highlighted and labelled important evolution points of the primary in Fig. 12. The stellar evolution starts with initial masses of 5.8 M⊙ of the primary (point 0) and 3.2 M⊙ of the secondary.

After the primary has exhausted hydrogen in its core (1), the luminosity increases due to hydrogen shell burning. Shortly after, mass transfer from the primary to the secondary begins (2), which slightly decreases the orbital period as the mass losing star is the more massive one. Point (2) is also where the binary track of the primary star deviates from a single star evolution scenario. After the inversion of the mass ratio (3), the mass transfer continues, but now the orbit is significantly widening as the mass losing star is already the less massive one. The maximum rate of mass transfer (4) is \( \sim 7.71 \times 10^{-5} \) M⊙ yr\(^{-1}\). After reaching the lowest luminosity, the primary starts to expand again and continues to the region where it is observed today, with an age of \( \sim 7.7 \times 10^7 \) yr. The mass transfer ends (5) and the system evolves further as a detached one. Our model ends (6) when the primary has depleted its helium and reached an effective temperature of \( \sim 35700 \) K. The obtained chemical abundances (Section 3.4) are in qualitative agreement with the abundances obtained from our MESA model as can be seen in Fig. A.6. Once the mass transfer started and removed the outer layers of the primary, the inner layers with CNO-processed abundances were exposed. We acknowledge that the found model is rather a representative example of a possible evolutionary channel rather than being an unique solution.

Currently, the system is in a similar evolutionary phase as HD 15 124 (El-Badry et al. 2022) and V393 Sco (Mennickent et al. 2012): systems that have already inverted their mass ratio but have had not enough time to detach. These systems may evolve into a classical Be star with a decretion disc and a stripped sdOB companion. We are unable to accurately measure the rotational velocity of the secondary and thereby infer whether the secondary might eventually form a decretion disc.

In the literature we have found several examples of systems in similar evolutionary state (e.g. Barriá et al. 2013; Harmanec et al. 2015; Rosales Guzmán et al. 2018; El-Badry et al. 2022). We found that V495 Cen (Rosales Guzmán et al. 2018) resembles the studied system the most except that it is an eclipsing one. Furthermore, data from Gaia DR3 yielded 14 similar systems with high mass function (El-Badry & Rix 2022).

The orbital period is predicted to reach 38.9 d after the detachment. The radius of the primary will decrease, while its temperature will increase and will radiate most of its energy in the UV region. The system will resemble HR 6819 (Bodensteiner et al. 2020), LB-1 (Shenar et al. 2020), NGC 1850 (Saracino et al. 2023), and other stripped star binaries systems that were initially reported to contain a dormant BH.

5 SUMMARY

This paper presents a study of a newly discovered interacting non-eclipsing binary V1315 Cas. Using photometry, high-resolution spectroscopy, and broad-band SED we show that the system is not a dormant BH, but a wide binary (\( P_{\text{orb}} = 34.53 \) d) on a nearly circular orbit that consists of an F-type evolved star that underwent mass transfer and a B-type companion star.

We derived the properties of the primary, mass \( M_p = 0.84 \) M⊙, radius \( R_p = 18.51 \) R⊙, and effective temperature \( T_p = 7050 \) K, and of the secondary \( M_s = 7.3 \) M⊙, \( R_s = 4.02 \) R⊙, \( T_s = 17290 \) K.

We detect significant IR excess alongside of strong DIBs and also UV-excess. Balmer lines show a complex character composed of several absorption and emission components.

We investigated the evolutionary history of the system with MESA. The best model that we found assumes that the system started with a primary initial mass of 5.8 M⊙, a secondary mass of 3.2 M⊙, and an initial orbital period of \( P_{\text{orb}} = 6.95 \) d. After \( \sim 7.69 \times 10^7 \) yr a rapid non-conservative mass transfer started which led to an inversion of the mass ratio and an increase of the orbital period that we observe. Furthermore, the stationary H\( \alpha \) emission component likely originates from the circumbinary torus as found by our best MESA model.

We detect strong nitrogen enhancement and carbon depletion. The derived abundances can be explained by CNO-processed material reaching the surface of the primary due to mass transfer exposing the inner layers. After the mass transfer stage ends the system will resemble stripped star binaries such as HR 6819 and LB-1. Such systems consist of a stripped star that will become an sdOB star.

Future high S/N optical spectra will shed more light into the peculiar behaviour of helium lines displaying asymmetries and periodicity. Future ultraviolet data could provide necessary information to fully characterize the presence of the accretion disc.

Future missions like Polstar (Jones et al. 2022; Peters et al. 2022) and UVEX (Kulkarni et al. 2021), working in synergy with large-scale surveys such as WEAVE, LAMOST, 4MOST, and others, will allow discovering several thousands of stripped stars and for a few selected ones, we should be able to characterize their binary evolution.
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APPENDIX A: APPENDIX
Table A1. Radial velocity measurements of V1315 Cas. The columns indicate the MJD, the exposure time, the telescope used (TAU=TLS; MER = Mercator), the radial velocity of the primary star, and the radial velocity of the secondary from fitting the He I line (in a few spectra the fit was not satisfactory and this last column was left blank).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MJD (d)</th>
<th>Exp. time (s)</th>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>RV$_{\text{Prim}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RV$_{\text{Sec}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58389.14910</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>135.76 ± 0.19</td>
<td>−8.6 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58836.86788</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>120.36 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−49.7 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58851.83548</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.14</td>
<td>−29.9 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58853.84162</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−27.87 ± 0.15</td>
<td>−29.6 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58873.87366</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>144.85 ± 0.14</td>
<td>−24.4 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58876.85141</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>146.47 ± 0.14</td>
<td>23.00 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58887.83714</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−19.88 ± 0.14</td>
<td>−28.2 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58896.83510</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−39.87 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−62.5 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59045.21759</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>134.73 ± 0.12</td>
<td>−31.6 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59065.09281</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−64.45 ± 0.11</td>
<td>−64.90 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59065.24312</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−64.94 ± 0.14</td>
<td>−79.3 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59066.08154</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−64.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>−54.53 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59067.23124</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−61.61 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−54.53 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59068.23311</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−61.61 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−54.53 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59069.24078</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−43.85 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−78.3 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59070.18420</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−32.04 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−73.5 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59071.22796</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>−15.77 ± 0.15</td>
<td>−63.41 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59072.21963</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−47.3 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A1. The unfolded light curves from ASAS-SN. Data in V and g band are in the top and bottom subfigure, respectively.

Figure A2. Complex structure of the Hα line. Three spectra taken at different orbital phases (differentiated by colour). Top: observed spectra. Bottom: spectra after subtracting two absorption components. We see that the emission wings show no significant motion during the orbital phase. Large error bars on the centre position do not claim a static emission component rather limit the motion of the emission component.
Figure A3. Region of the observed Mercator spectrum is shown in black. We compare the spectrum we derived in our analysis with $T_{\text{eff}} = 7000$ K (blue) to the spectrum with the temperature from Gaia DR2 with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4376$ K (red) clearly showing the later one is not reliable. With short black vertical lines we mark the positions of the iron lines used for the analysis.
Figure A4. Corner plot of the MCMC posteriors from the PHOEBE2 fitting.
Figure A5. Physical parameters over the evolution of the binary system. The values we derived in this paper are marked with circles. Top: mass evolution of both components; bottom: radius evolution of both components.

Figure A6. Evolution of the temperature of both components (top) and of the CNO abundances of the primary, as obtained with our best-fitting MESA model. The measured N and O abundances are shown. Carbon is depleted.

Figure A7. Evolution of the orbital period (right axis) and mass-loss (left axis) of the primary. Due to angular momentum transfer, the orbit has expanded from an initial period of 6.95 d to the current 34.5 d.
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