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ABSTRACT
Stars and stellar remnants orbiting a supermassive black hole (SMBH) can interact with an active galactic nucleus (AGN) disc. Over time, prograde orbiters (inclination $i < 90^\circ$) decrease inclination, as well as semimajor axis ($a$) and eccentricity ($e$) until orbital alignment with the gas disc (‘disc capture’). Captured stellar-origin black holes (sBH) add to the embedded AGN population that drives sBH–sBH mergers detectable in gravitational waves using LIGO–Virgo–KAGRA or sBH–SMBH mergers detectable with Laser Interferometer Space Antenna. Captured stars can be tidally disrupted by sBH or the SMBH or rapidly grow into massive ‘immortal’ stars. Here, we investigate the behaviour of polar and retrograde orbiters ($i \geq 90^\circ$) interacting with the disc. We show that retrograde stars are captured faster than prograde stars, flip to prograde orientation ($i < 90^\circ$) during capture, and decrease $a$ dramatically towards the SMBH. For sBH, we find a critical angle $i_{\text{ret}} \sim 113^\circ$, below which retrograde sBH decay towards embedded prograde orbits ($i \rightarrow 0^\circ$), while for $i_0 > i_{\text{ret}}$ sBH decay towards embedded retrograde orbits ($i \rightarrow 180^\circ$). sBH near polar orbits ($i \approx 90^\circ$) and stars on nearly embedded retrograde orbits ($i \approx 180^\circ$) show the greatest decreases in $a$. Whether a star is captured by the disc within an AGN lifetime depends primarily on disc density, and secondarily on stellar type and initial $a$. For sBH, disc capture time is longest for polar orbits, low-mass sBH, and lower density discs. Larger mass sBH should typically spend more time in AGN discs, with implications for the spin distribution of embedded sBH.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – gravitational waves – stars: black holes – stars: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: active – galaxies: nuclei.

1 INTRODUCTION
Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are believed to be powered by supermassive black holes (SMBH) accreting from luminous gas discs. Before the AGN disc forms, orbiters in the nuclear star cluster (NSC) will span a wide range of inclinations ($i$), eccentricities ($e$), orientations (prograde: $i < 90^\circ$, or retrograde: $i > 90^\circ$), and semimajor axes ($a$). Once the AGN disc forms, some fraction of orbits will be coincident with the disc, yielding an initial embedded population, which can experience gas torques and migrate within the disc. The embedded population of stellar-origin black holes (sBH) can encounter each other and merge yielding gravitational waves (GW) detectable with Advanced LIGO, Advanced Virgo, and KAGRA (e.g. McKernan et al. 2012, 2014, 2018; Bellovsky et al. 2016; Bartos et al. 2017; Stone, Metzger & Haiman 2017; Leigh et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019; Gröbner et al. 2020; Tagawa et al. 2020; Ford & McKernan 2022; Samsing et al. 2022; Vajpeyi et al. 2022). Stars embedded in AGN discs can rapidly grow in mass without shortening their lifetimes, as long as they continue to accrete fresh gas (Cantiello, Jermyn & Lin 2021; Dittmann, Cantiello & Jermyn 2021; Jermyn et al. 2022).

Inclined orbiters not coincident with the disc ($i > H/2r$, the disc aspect ratio) experience drag when plunging through the disc, resulting in a systematic reduction of $(a, e)$, followed by inclination damping ($\dot{i} < 0$; Rauch 1995; Just et al. 2012; Kennedy et al. 2016; Panamarev et al. 2018; Fabj et al. 2020; MacLeod & Lin 2020). Thus, initially inclined orbiters will grow the embedded population in AGN discs over time, yielding larger potential sources of sBH–sBH mergers detectable with LIGO–Virgo–KAGRA (LVK), or sBH–
SMBH mergers detectable with Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA).

From Fabj et al. (2020, hereafter Paper I), ~10 per cent of prograde inclined orbiters \(i < 90°\) are captured by a Sirko & Goodman (2003)-type disc (hereafter SG) where density \(\rho > 10^{-11} \text{ g cm}^{-3}\) for a plausible range of AGN disc lifetimes (0.1–100 Myr), assuming accretion is negligible. Conversely, few prograde orbiters are captured by a lower gas density disc (e.g. Thompson, Quataert & Murray 2005, hereafter TQM). Paper I also showed that most prograde stars that are captured have small initial \(a < 10^{13} \text{ R}_\odot\), which then decreases by at most an order of magnitude during capture, where \(R_\odot = GM_{\text{SMBH}} c^2\), the SMBH gravitational radius. SMBH starting from a wide range of initial \(a \lesssim 10^6 \text{ R}_\odot\) end up at small disc radii \(a \lesssim 10^2 \text{ R}_\odot\) during capture.

Paper I considered prograde orbits \(i < 90°\) only. However, we expect that around half of all NSC orbiters will have retrograde orientations \(i > 90°\) with respect to the gas disc, and most of these will not be coincident with the disc. So, here we model the forces on inclined retrograde orbiters to determine the evolution of their orbital parameters over the lifetime of the disc, for a variety of orbiters and disc models. This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the methods and models from Paper I. We describe our results in Section 3 and explore the wider impacts, notably on expected extreme mass ratio inspiral (EMRI) parameters and rates in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we summarize our conclusions.

2 MODELS AND METHODS

In this section, we define drag torques at work for disc-crossing stellar and remnant orbiters (Section 2.1), discuss the accretion disc models used in this study (Section 2.2), and outline our assumptions concerning NSC populations (Section 2.3). In Section 2.4, we define analytical approximations and find the results of numerical integration for capture time, the time taken for a disc to capture an inclined orbiter.

2.1 Forces on disc-crossing orbiters

Fig. 1 shows the intersection of a retrograde orbit plane intersecting with a prograde gas disc. Orbital inclination \(i > 90°\) is retrograde and will yield a high relative velocity between the gas and the orbiter (the source of drag). Each orbital period consists of two distinct orbiter-disc interactions, where arc length \(s = 2a \arcsin \left( \frac{H}{a} \right) \) denotes the path through the disc for each interaction. \(F_y\) and \(F_x\) represent the vertical and angular components of the appropriate drag torque, respectively, and it is along path \(s\) where the drag torques do work on the orbiter, yielding \(\frac{\partial F_y}{\partial s} < 0\) through repeated disc passages. Though orbital decay actively occurs during disc passage, we enforce circularity for each half orbit such that the net change in inclination \(\frac{\partial i}{\partial \ln a} \) and semimajor axis \(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \ln a} \) per passage takes effect after completion of each passage through the disc. Enforcing circularity means that we also neglect the radial component of the drag torque, \(F_r\). Note also, following Paper I, we assume negligible eccentricity \((e \sim 0)\) for inclined orbits, where the apocentre is outside of the disc, due to the eccentricity dampening and circularizing of elliptical orbits prior to the onset of inclination decay (MacLeod & Lin 2020). Note that it is possible that the eccentricity of retrograde orbiters is pumped as inclination is driven towards prograde. We ignore this effect for now, given that circularization happens for prograde inclinations.

\(^{1}\)Note that we adjust the definition of scale height \(H\) by a factor of 2.

![Figure 1. Orbital plane (blue) of a retrograde orbiter intersecting with the schematic disc of an AGN; the orange line represents the intersection of the disc mid-plane with the orbital plane. Semimajor axis \(a\) is the distance from the central SMBH, scale height \(H\) is half the total disc thickness, \(a\) length \(s\) represents the fraction of the orbit path crossing through the disc, \(v_r\) and \(v_{\text{gas}}\) are the Keplerian velocities of the orbiter and gaseous disc, respectively, and \(F_x\) and \(F_y\) are the vertical and angular components of the appropriate drag force, respectively.]

Nevertheless, we should bear in mind that eccentricity pumping of retrograde orbiters that are ‘flipping’ orientation may drive them to smaller disc radii and therefore drive faster disc capture.

Following Paper I, we apply geometric drag \((F_{\text{GEO}})\) to disc-crossing stars as

\[
F_{\text{GEO}} = \frac{1}{2} C_d (4\pi r_s^2) \rho_{\text{disc}} v_{\text{rel}}^2,
\]

where drag coefficient \(C_d \approx 1\), \(r_s\) is the stellar radius, \(\rho_{\text{disc}}\) is the local disc density, and \(v_{\text{rel}}\) is the relative velocity of the orbiter with respect to the disc. We apply Bondi Hoyle Lyttleton (BHL) drag \((F_{\text{BHL}})\) to disc-crossing SMBH as

\[
F_{\text{BHL}} = 4\pi G^2 M_{\text{BH}}^2 \rho_{\text{disc}} v_{\text{rel}}^2,
\]

where \(M_{\text{BH}}\) is the mass of the SMBH. By taking into account the nature of the Mach cone trailing the orbiter, we can estimate the dynamical drag as (Ostriker 1999)

\[
F_{\text{DYN}} = 4\pi G^2 M_{\text{BH}}^2 \rho_{\text{disc}} v_{\text{rel}}^2 \ln \left( \frac{s}{r_s} \right).
\]

In Fig. 2, we show \(M = \frac{\rho_{\text{gas}}}{\rho_{\text{eq}}}\), the relative velocity to sound speed ratio, as a function of \((a, i)\) for the SG and TQM disc models considered here. For most regions of the disc \((a)\) and most inclinations \((i)\), the inclined orbiters are highly supersonic \((M \gg 1)\), in grey. The only exceptions (in red) are at low prograde inclinations at relatively small \(a\), where capture time is already expected to be quite rapid, or relatively large \(a\) for SG-type discs. As a result, we neglect the effects of dynamical drag in our analysis, particularly for retrograde orbiters. We also neglect any gravitational torques on the orbits, as the disc mass enclosed is small compared to the SMBH mass, and any precessional or dynamical effects due to the gravitation of the disc will be small compared to the drag forces we consider.
2.2 AGN disc models

Following Paper I, we use SG and TQM as our fiducial AGN disc models. SG model was designed to match the spectral energy distribution of AGN in the optical/ultraviolet (dominated by the inner disc) but assumes that the outer disc is heated in an unspecified manner to prevent collapse. So, SG model is a reasonable approximation for dense inner discs, but it is probably less reliable in the inner disc. Conversely, TQM model was designed to match large-scale mass accretion rates in galactic nuclei, and represents a more plausible outer disc model but is probably less reliable in the inner disc. Fig. 3 shows the 1D radial profiles of both models, where the colour bar illustrates the higher density inner disc (orange) and lower density outer disc (black). Paper I showed that disc gas density $\rho \geq 10^{-11}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (i.e. the inner region of both disc models) was most effective at accelerating disc capture.

$\text{Note that TQM exhibit sharp changes as } a \to 5 \times 10^3 R_\odot \text{ due to their choice of opacity model; however, this discontinuity may be eliminated by a different choice of opacities (e.g. Dittmann & Miller 2020).}$

2.3 Nuclear star clusters

NSC provide the initial population of stars and stellar remnants that may be captured by AGN discs. NSC are the densest star clusters in the local Universe (Böker et al. 2002, 2004; Leigh, Böker & Knigge 2012; Antonini 2013; Scott & Graham 2013; Georgiev & Böker 2014; Antonini, Barausse & Silk 2015; Georgiev et al. 2016; Neumayer, Seth & Böker 2020). NSC are similar in size to globular clusters (few parsecs across) but are typically more massive (see e.g. Antonini et al. 2015; Leigh et al. 2015, for more details). NSC are preferentially detected around lower mass SMBH, and observed stellar light distributions indicate a decreasing fractional contribution from stars to the total nuclear luminosity with increasing SMBH mass (see e.g. Neumayer et al. 2020, and references therein). Nevertheless, stars and their remnants are still expected in galactic nuclei at all SMBH masses; the point is simply that the same mass of stars in an NSC around a $10^6 M_\odot$ SMBH is far more difficult to detect around a $10^8 M_\odot$ SMBH. Here, we assume that the processes bringing stars and their remnants into galactic nuclei (e.g. major and minor mergers, dynamical friction acting on clusters, and star formation) operate around all-mass SMBH and can produce similar quantities of stars.

As in Paper I, we assume a $10^8 M_\odot$ central SMBH in the centre of our AGN. We follow the orbital evolution of three star types (O stars, G stars, and M dwarfs) and sBH of masses 10 and 50 $M_\odot$ (listed in Table 1). We ignore changes in stellar evolution due to the interaction with (and accretion from) the disc (see e.g. Leigh et al. 2016; Cantiello et al. 2021, for modest, and AGN-like gas density accretion, respectively). However, further theoretical work to constrain these effects is highly desirable.

2.4 Constraining capture time

Through repeated passage, orbit parameters $(a, i)$ evolve over capture time ($T_{\text{cap}}$) until the orbiter either ends up at the inner edge of the disc model $(a = a_{\text{min}})$, or $i \geq i_{\text{crit}}$ such that the entire path of its orbit is embedded within the disc. Dotted lines in Fig. 2 show $i_{\text{crit}}(a)$ for SG and TQM models, respectively.

We use two different methods for estimating $T_{\text{cap}}$. First, we assume that the change in semimajor axis $a$ is negligible ($\frac{da}{dt} \approx 0$) and calculate $T_{\text{cap}}$ only at $a$, an easily calculable upper limit to $T_{\text{cap}}$. Secondly, we calculate $T_{\text{cap}}$ by numerically integrating over many orbits, iterating $\frac{da}{dt}$ per disc interaction due to drag torques. Paper I shows that the analytical approximations given by

$$T_{\text{GEO}} \lesssim \frac{8 r_e \rho_e}{3 \pi s \rho_{\text{disc}} \sin i} T_{\text{orb}},$$  

$$T_{\text{BHL}} \lesssim \frac{v_{\text{th},i} \sin^2 i}{4 \pi^2 \rho_{\text{disc}} G^2 M_{\text{BH}}} T_{\text{orb}}$$

are useful upper limits to $T_{\text{cap}}$ for geometric drag and BHL drag, respectively. We take the same approach to numerical integration as in Paper I, accounting for the work done twice per orbit (i.e. at each
For stars (top), since \( F_{\text{GEO}} \propto v_{\text{GEO}}^3 \), retrograde stars experience a stronger headwind and therefore shorter \( T_{\text{cap}} \) and larger \( \Delta a \) than prograde stars. For SG discs (top left), as \( i_c \) increases from \( i \sim 0^\circ \), \( T_{\text{cap}} \) decreases rapidly from \( \sim 10 \) Myr, stabilizes around \( \sim 1 \) Myr for polar \( i_c \sim 90^\circ \), and then decreases rapidly for increasingly retrograde \( i_c \). \( F_{\text{GEO}} \) also acts to push retrograde orbits towards prograde inclinations on the way to disc capture, although some initially retrograde orbiters \((i \sim 180^\circ)\) are still captured in retrograde orientation. In some initially retrograde cases, \( a_c \) decays to the innermost limits of the accretion disc model before reaching critical inclination, presumably resulting in accretion on to the central SMBH rather than being captured by the accretion disc itself. We can identify these cases in Fig. 4 as those whose final inclinations at capture are greater than the \( i_{\text{cap}} \) corresponding to their semimajor axis at capture (see dotted lines in Fig. 2).

In the case of SMBH, the main result is that for \( i_c > 113^\circ \), SMBH are driven towards retrograde capture. Disc capture time \((T_{\text{cap}})\) is longest for polar or near-polar initial orbits \((i \sim 90^\circ)\) and shortest for orbits closest to embedded prograde \((i_c \sim 0^\circ)\) or embedded retrograde \((i_c \sim 180^\circ)\). There is less change in semimajor axis \((\Delta a)\) during capture for SMBH compared to stars and \( \Delta a \) is smaller for retrograde SMBH than prograde SMBH.

Furthermore, unlike the case for stars, we find that inclined SMBH orbits always decay to be fully embedded in the disc before the SMBH reach the innermost limits of the accretion disc. As a result, SMBH always experience disc capture before SMBH capture. Hence, all EMRI generated by interactions with accretion discs should have \( i = 0^\circ \) or \( 180^\circ \).

### 3.2 Capture time for inclined orbits

Fig. 5 shows how long it takes for a disc to capture an inclined orbit \((T_{\text{cap}} [\text{Myr}])\) for a range of initial inclination angles \((i_c)\) and initial semimajor axes \((a_c)\) for O, G, and M stars and 10 and 50 M\(_\odot\) SMBH. Dotted curves indicate prograde (bottom) and retrograde (top) \( i_{\text{cap}} \) thresholds, representing critical inclinations for disc capture. For plausible AGN lifetimes \((\tau_{\text{AGN}} \sim 0.1-100 \) Myr), colours indicate \( T_{\text{cap}} < \tau_{\text{AGN}} \) (yellow), \( T_{\text{cap}} \approx \tau_{\text{AGN}} \) (grey), and \( T_{\text{cap}} > \tau_{\text{AGN}} \) (red). Diamond symbols correspond to specific numerical integration results for particular choices of \((i_c, a_c)\) and background colours correspond to upper limit to \( T_{\text{cap}} \) given by \( T_{\text{GEO,BHL}} \) for stars (SMBH), respectively. Where diamond symbols are coloured differently from the background upper limit, numerical integration is showing that \( T_{\text{cap}} < T_{\text{GEO,BHL}} \).

In general, Fig. 5 shows that all stars with \( a_c \leq 10^3 \) R\(_g\) (whether retrograde or prograde) are rapidly captured within \( \tau_{\text{AGN}} \) by AGN-like AGN discs. Stars beyond this orbit are not captured. For TQM-like discs, only a small fraction of stellar orbits within \( a_c \leq 10^3 \) R\(_g\) are captured by the disc, and preferentially the more massive stars. A significant fraction of SMBH orbits within \( a_c \sim 10^5 \) R\(_g\) and \( i < 150^\circ \) and \( i \leq 30^\circ \) are captured by SMBH-like discs. For TQM-like discs, the SMBH within \( i > 165^\circ \) and \( i \leq 15^\circ \) are plausibly captured across a wide range of disc radii.

#### 3.2.1 Stellar capture times

Fig. 6(a) shows \( T_{\text{cap}} \) for a range of \( i_c \) (given \( a_c = 10^4 \) R\(_g\)) for three representative star types: O stars (teal), G stars (gold), and M dwarfs (orange) interacting with SG (left) and TQM (right) discs. Fig. 6(b) shows \( T_{\text{cap}} \) for a range of \( a_c \) (given \( i_c = 45^\circ \) and 135\(^\circ\)) for the same stars and discs. Grey shaded areas represent a plausible range of
the upper limit ($T_{\text{cap}} \sim T_{\text{GEO}}$) because of $\Omega_{\text{SG}} > 0$ and a runaway drag effect due to increased number of passes through an increasingly dense disc. For TQM-like discs, the same conclusions hold, but the time-scales are longer due to lower disc density. Thus, only retrograde massive stars are likely captured by a TQM-like disc.

From Fig. 6(b), prograde stars decrease $a$ by at most an order of magnitude during capture but retrograde stars experience much greater changes in semimajor axis during capture due to the much larger headwind. Retrograde stars with $i_0 \geq 135^\circ$ at $a_0 \leq 10^{2.5} \dim{R}_g$ end up on the SMBH within $t_{\text{AGN}}$ for SG (TQM)-like discs.

### 3.2.2 sBH capture times

Fig. 7 depicts (a) $T_{\text{cap}}$ ($i_0$) and (b) $T_{\text{cap}}$ ($a_0$) for sBH of 10 and 50 M$_\odot$ interacting with SG (left) and TQM (right) discs. As in Fig. 6, solid lines indicate upper limits, this time $T_{\text{cap}} = T_{\text{BH}}$, coloured according to (a) $a_0$ or (b) $i_0$. Triangle and star symbols indicate the initial values and x symbols indicate the end values of each numerical integration (shown by dashed lines). Triangle symbols pointing leftward (rightward) in Fig. 7(a) correspond to $\frac{\Omega_{\text{SG}}}{\Omega_{\text{BH}}} < 0$ ($>0$) as $i_0 \to 0^\circ$ (180$^\circ$). Grey shaded regions are as in Fig. 6.

From Fig. 7(a), we can see that nearly fully prograde ($i \leq 15^\circ$) or nearly fully retrograde ($i \geq 165^\circ$) sBH are generally captured fastest by both SG- and TQM-like discs. Near-polar orbits are captured last (if at all). For SG-like discs, sBH from much of the spherical component ($i_0 \geq 140^\circ$ and $i_0 \leq 60^\circ$) can actually be captured around the densest part of the disc ($a_0 \sim 10^5 \dim{R}_g$) within a fiducial AGN lifetime. TQM-like discs are lower density than SG-like discs in general with density maxima at $a_0 \sim 10^4 \dim{R}_g$, so disc capture takes longer and fewer inclined orbiters are captured overall.

From Fig. 7(a), there is a critical $i_{\text{crit}} \sim 115^\circ$ below (above) which orbiters are driven to $i \to 0^\circ$ (180$^\circ$) (in contrast to Generozov & Perets 2023). It is unclear whether $i_{\text{crit}}$ is a universal critical angle, since some physics may be missing in our treatment (e.g. we consider exclusively circular orbiters and we neglect the disc back reaction). We shall investigate this effect in future work. Fig. 7(b) confirms the general trends of Fig. 7(a), but reveals two interesting properties: First, $\Delta a$ is far larger for prograde capture than retrograde capture for both disc types. Secondly, the upper limits to $T_{\text{cap}}$ are a reasonable approximation for retrograde sBH capture, but a significant overestimate for low-inclination prograde sBH. Fig. 7(b) also shows that more massive sBH are typically captured faster, as we should expect.

As orbiters decrease $a$ during the process of capture, both stars and sBH face more intense drag torques due to (i) typically denser inner disc and (ii) higher orbital frequencies. Technically, even though $T_{\text{cap}}$ decreases, the larger number of disc passages results in longer processing times for numerical integration, as each disc passage requires processor cycles (and cannot be readily parallelized). This effect can be seen in the absence of diamond points (numerical integrations) at small values of $a_0$ ($\dim{R}_g$) in Fig. 5.

### 4 DISCUSSION

Our results have important implications for expectations of LVK GW detections of binary black hole (BBH) mergers, especially at high mass (Section 4.1), LISA detections of EMRI (Section 4.2), the presence of tidal disruption events (TDE) and other electromagnetic observables in AGN (Section 4.3), and the evolution of stars in NSC, especially for nuclei in a post-AGN state (Section 4.4).
4.1 Ground-based GW observables: BBH mergers

Our results show that denser SG-like discs are more efficient at sBH capture than TQM-like discs. More massive sBH are also generally captured more easily than less massive sBH. From Fig. 5, for a spherical distribution of 10 (50) M⊙ sBH orbiters interacting with an SG-like disc, perhaps as much as 1/4 (1/2) could be captured within τ_{AGN} ~ 100 Myr. The corresponding fraction for TQM-like discs is < 5 per cent of sBH orbits captured.

If we assume that there are O(10^6) sBH in the inner cubic parsec of an NSC, uniformly distributed in log(a), and we ignore mass segregation, or an sBH preferential plane, then for an SMBH of 10^6 M⊙ with an SG disc, we might expect 10 (30) per cent of 50 M⊙ sBH or 7 (25) per cent of 10 M⊙ sBH captured within τ_{AGN} ~ 1 (100) Myr disc lifetime. This would imply up to O(10^3) sBH embedded in pc-scale AGN accretion discs for modest τ_{AGN}. However, an SG-like disc around SgrA* extending to ~0.1 pc captures only O(10^2) sBH. Quite simply, large-scale dense AGN discs (like SG) should contain a large population of sBH and small-scale AGN discs should contain a small embedded population. The embedded population of lower density discs (like TQM) will tend to be dominated by the fraction of the NSC that have orbits coincident with the disc and only a small admixture will arrive over time from disc capture.

The higher the disc density, the higher the migration rate of embedded objects. If dense AGN discs contain migration traps (Bellovary et al. 2016), then a pile-up of migrators can occur and complex dynamics (but also mergers) should result (Secunda et al. 2019, 2020; McKernan et al. 2020a), although mergers can also occur at a high rate in the bulk disc away from the trap (McKernan, Ford & O’Shaughnessy 2020b; Tagawa et al. 2020). In general therefore, we expect that the BBH merger rate is highest in dense, large-radius AGN discs of moderate lifetime. This simple picture is complicated by the fact that sBH on retrograde orbits can be efficiently captured by dense AGN discs. Retrograde sBH embedded in the disc may act as efficient ionizers of BBH during scattering encounters. However, retrograde sBH should rapidly experience eccentricity pumping and orbital decay to very small disc radii (Secunda et al. 2021), effectively segregating this population close to the SMBH.

On average, for similar initial conditions, larger M_{BH} correspond to shorter T_{cap}. We therefore expect higher mass sBH to spend more time in AGN discs than lower mass sBH. This implies that more massive sBH in AGN should be preferentially torqued towards alignment with the disc gas via accretion, whereas less massive
Figure 7. (a) $T_{\text{cap}}(i_0)$ for 10 M$_{\odot}$ sBH (top) and 50 M$_{\odot}$ sBH (bottom) interacting with SG discs (left) and TQM discs (right). Grey bands are as in Fig. 6. Colours indicate $a_0$ (R$_{\odot}$). Solid lines correspond to the upper limit $T_{\text{cap}} = T_{\text{BHL}}(a_0)$. Triangle symbols, x symbols, and dashed lines are as in Fig. 6(a). Left-pointing triangle symbols indicate $\frac{\Delta i}{i_0} < 0$ towards $i \sim 0^\circ$. Right-pointing triangle symbols indicate $\frac{\Delta i}{i_0} > 0$ towards $i \sim 180^\circ$. (b) $T_{\text{cap}}(a_0)$ for the same sBH as in (a). Colours now indicate $i_0$ ($^\circ$). Solid lines are as in (a). Star symbols, x symbols, and dashed lines are as defined in Fig. 6(b). For both disc models, orbiters at nearly fully prograde ($i \leq 15^\circ$) and retrograde ($i \geq 165^\circ$) initial inclinations experience the fastest capture times. Under similar initial conditions, on average, higher mass sBH experience a shorter $T_{\text{cap}}$. 
sBH, which take longer to be captured by the disc, should have a more random distribution of spin orientations. Such a distribution of spin orientation with sBH mass may account for the intriguing anticorrelation observed by LVK in BBH mass ratio and effective spin (Callister et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021; McKernan et al. 2022a).

4.2 AGN-EMRI
An EMRI occurs if an sBH \((M_{\text{BH}} < 10^{-4} M_{\odot})\) at small \(a_0\) gradually decays on to the SMBH, emitting GW. EMRI resulting from two-body scattering of sBH within the NSC into EMRI orbits are well studied (e.g. Sigurdsson & Rees 1997; Alexander 2005; Merritt 2006). However, if AGN discs can efficiently capture sBH at small disc radii, as outlined above, then there must be a new potential source of EMRI detectable with LISA (AGN-EMRI; also pointed out in Paper I).

The details of what happens to the population of sBH at small radii in AGN are complex and far beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless, in the first \(\sim 0.1\) Myr of an SG-like AGN, we expect fully embedded retrograde sBH at radii \(a < 10^3 R_s\) experience rapid eccentricity pumping and semimajor axis decay (Secunda et al. 2021). Many of these retrograde sBH never form LISA-detectable EMRI as they merge eccentrically with the SMBH. However, \(\geq 50 M_{\odot}\) retrograde sBH can circularize at small radii (Secunda et al. 2021) and this population of sBH should dominate the AGN-EMRI population early on \((\lesssim 0.1\) Myr). Fig. 7(b) shows a small admixture of disc-skimming prograde sBH also captured at small radii during this time, but we expect that large-angle scatterings (e.g. Wang et al. 2021) remove prograde sBH either to large radii or on to the SMBH. Since retrograde sBH experience far less efficient gas drag than prograde sBH, we expect that signatures of gas drag will not be discernible among this initial population of AGN-EMRI.

After this first phase of the AGN, we expect the prograde population to grow at small radii via either disc capture or migration within the disc. If migration traps (Bellovary et al. 2016) are not common to AGN discs, then fully embedded prograde sBH should eventually migrate to small disc radii and remove retrograde sBH via large-angle scatterings during dynamical encounters. Thus, the detection of the effects of gas drag among the population of LISA EMRI would imply both that AGN-EMRI can occur and that migration traps may not be common in AGN. Note that all the above applies to SG-like discs. If AGN discs are more like TQM, then the same processes apply, but the time-scales involved increase considerably due to lower gas densities.

In general, LISA should observe AGN-EMRI that are coplanar with the AGN disc, with a constant inclination. An aligned retrograde but eccentric sBH would instead merge with the SMBH on a highly eccentric orbit (resulting in a different but unique GW signal (Secunda et al. 2021)). We predict that a subset of EMRI detectable with LISA (AGN-EMRI) will show circular, planar orbits, and that these orbital signatures are a result of AGN disc capture and migration. In fact, any EMRI detected by LISA with a circular, planar orbit must be caused by capture or migration within an AGN disc. Prograde AGN-EMRI may display gas-drag effects early on in their evolution. We urge the LISA community to consider the AGN-EMRI channel when predicting EMRI waveforms and detection rates.

4.3 TDE and AGN high states
From Fig. 6, stars at most inclinations within \(O(10^{-3} - 1)\) are captured by AGN discs over \(\tau_{\text{AGN}}\). Thus, we should expect a continuous supply of NSC stars to add to any initial embedded population. Retrograde stars end up captured at small disc radii and will experience scattering among the (typically more massive) sBH population growing there. A star that is scattered as a result of a close, chaotic dynamical encounter with a binary (Wang et al. 2021) or in a large-angle single–single scattering event, could be scattered into the AGN loss-cone, potentially yielding an AGN-TDE, which can be distinguished from ‘naked’ TDE by their light curves (McKernan et al. 2022b). Stars that are not tidally disrupted may experience Roche lobe overflow (RLOF) on to the SMBH, yielding a temporary AGN high state, possibly including quasi-periodicity (Metzger, Stone & Gilbaum 2022). Stars may also be tidally disrupted by sBH in ‘micro-TDE’ (Yang et al. 2022). Retrograde stars are far more efficiently captured by denser (SG-like) discs, so we expect that RLOF events or TDE should be far more common in discs with gas densities \(\geq 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) – which may correspond to the more luminous Seyferts and quasars. Among non-AGN galaxies, TDE may preferentially occur in E + A galaxies (French, Arcavi & Zabludoff 2016). If E + A galaxies are post-AGN galaxies, then the excess of TDE could correspond to dynamical scatterings during relaxation among the post-AGN nuclear population, including the population discussed here.

Embedded retrograde stars will experience orbital decay (‘star-fall’) and end up either as a TDE/RLOF event as above, or swallowed whole by the SMBH. Prograde stars that spend time in the AGN disc will accrete from the gas disc and may grow to become supermassive \((100–200 M_{\odot})\); Cantiello et al. 2021; Dittmann et al. 2021). Such stars must migrate within the disc and encounter other embedded objects, possibly merging with them (Jermyn et al. 2022). If such stars are no longer surrounded by AGN disc gas, e.g. if they end up stranded in the innermost disc, they can lose mass rapidly and drive volume-filling outflows, which may contribute to the broad-line winds and outflows observed in AGN (Jermyn et al. 2022). These ‘immortal’ prograde stars will have a significant impact on the disc locally and should be included in realistic disc models.

4.4 Red giants
Since red giants (RG) are geometrically large, we should expect that drag due to disc-crossing orbits is efficient. However, since RG are diffuse and loosely bound, we should also expect that RG lose much of their outer envelope during disc-crossing, especially in dense AGN discs. Indeed a single RG can lose up to 10 per cent of its mass for every disc-crossing (Kiefer & Bogdanović 2016). Thus, we should expect that RG do not survive the capture process. RG may evolve to become more diffuse and simply add their total mass to the accretion disc, or they may survive as a stripped core in a process that is \(<\sim\)Myr. This AGN-stripping of RG may explain the lack of RG in our own Galactic nucleus (Zajaček et al. 2020a, b).

5 CONCLUSIONS
AGN discs (particularly dense discs) can be efficient at capturing stars and sBH on disc-crossing orbits over their lifetimes. Here, we show that NSC stars on inclined retrograde orbits \((i > 90^\circ)\) are driven towards prograde disc capture \((i \rightarrow 0^\circ)\), experience a large drop in semimajor axis \((a)\), and are captured significantly faster than inclined prograde stars. This population of captured stars may prompt detectable changes in AGN including AGN-TDE (around SMBH), AGN high states due to RLOF, and micro-TDE (around sBH). Dense AGN discs are more efficient at stellar capture than less dense discs, as expected.
We find a critical angle $i_{\text{cr}} \sim 113^\circ$, below which retrograde sBH decay towards fully embedded prograde orbits $(i \to 0^\circ)$, while for $i_0 > i_{\text{cr}}$ sBH decay towards fully embedded retrograde orbits $(i \to 180^\circ)$. It is unclear whether $i_{\text{cr}}$ is a universal critical angle, since some physics may be missing in our treatment (e.g. we consider exclusively circular orbits and we neglect the disc back reaction). We shall investigate this phenomenon in future work. Prograde sBH experience the largest decrease in a during disc capture. Larger AGN discs should capture a large number of sBH, with presumably a larger associated sBH–sBH merger rate and EMRI rate. More massive sBH are captured fastest by AGN discs, implying that larger mass sBH spend more time in AGN discs. As a result, larger mass sBH should have a greater bias towards spin alignment with the gas disc due to accretion gas torques.
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