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Abstract

We present the spectroscopic confirmation of a protocluster at z = 7.88 behind the galaxy cluster Abell 2744 (hereafter A2744-z7p9OD). Using JWST NIRSpec, we find seven galaxies within a projected radius of 60 kpc. Although the galaxies reside in an overdensity around $\geq 20 \times$ greater than a random volume, they do not show strong Ly$\alpha$ emission. We place $2\sigma$ upper limits on the rest-frame equivalent width $< 16$–28 Å. Based on the tight upper limits to the Ly$\alpha$ emission, we constrain the volume-averaged neutral fraction of hydrogen in the intergalactic medium to be $x_H < 0.45$ (68% CI). Using an empirical $M_{UV}$–$M_{\text{halo}}$ relation for individual galaxies, we estimate that the total halo mass of the system is $\geq 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. Likewise, the line-of-sight velocity dispersion is estimated to be $1100 \pm 200$ km s$^{-1}$. Using an empirical relation, we estimate the present-day halo mass of A2744-z7p9OD to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$, comparable to the Coma cluster. A2744-z7p9OD is the highest redshift spectroscopically confirmed protocluster to date, demonstrating the power of JWST to investigate the connection between dark-matter halo assembly and galaxy formation at very early times with medium-deep observations at $< 20$ hr total exposure time. Follow-up spectroscopy of the remaining photometric candidates of the overdensity will further refine the features of this system and help characterize the role of such overdensities in cosmic reionization.

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.
1. Introduction

Hierarchical structure formation is one of the fundamental features of our standard cosmological model. The first overdensities to collapse and form stars and galaxies play a particularly important role in the evolution of the universe and cosmic reionization (Tegmark et al. 1997). Identifying and studying the sources associated with these first overdensities thus provides critical insights into the evolution of galaxies, the intergalactic medium, and the underlying dark-matter scaffolding (e.g., Mo & White 1996).

The clustering of sources around a luminous galaxy or quasar includes an expected excess of fainter companions, under a broad assumption that galaxy luminosity is correlated with the mass of the dark-matter host halo. Such early overdensities are thought to be the seeds of today’s galaxy clusters, and sites where galaxy formation and the evolution of the surrounding gas is progressing more rapidly compared to the mean of the universe. As such, the identification of galaxy overdensities at high redshift (z > 6) has been of particular interest in the literature (e.g., Trenti et al. 2012; Castellano et al. 2016, 2018; Harikane et al. 2019; Tilvi et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2021; Castellano et al. 2022; Endsley & Stark 2022; Larson et al. 2022). Furthermore, galaxy overdensities serve as ideal laboratories for studying the ionization of neutral hydrogen around galaxy systems; the presence of a large ionizing bubble may boost the fraction of escaping Lyα photons, which otherwise are scattered and absorbed by surrounding neutral hydrogen (Miralda-Escudé 1998; Dijkstra 2014; Mason & Gronke 2020; see also Trapp et al. 2022).

An excess of photometric z ~ 8 sources behind the massive galaxy cluster Abell 2744 was discovered in deep Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images taken as part of the Hubble Frontier Fields program (Lotz et al. 2017) and has been extensively investigated since (Zheng et al. 2014; Atek et al. 2015; Ishigaki et al. 2016). Approximately a dozen photometrically selected sources are distributed within a small region (~20′′ across), making it an extreme overdensity, with δ ~ 130−6.21 (Ishigaki et al. 2016), where δ = (n – n̄)/n̄ represents the excess of surface number density from the field average.

Spectroscopic follow-up of a number of those sources with Very Large Telescope (VLT)/X-Shooter, Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), and JWST/NIRISS has secured spectroscopic redshifts for three sources at z > 7 (Laporte et al. 2017, 2019; Carniani et al. 2020; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022a). Of particular interest is the Lyman-break galaxy, YD4, a photometrically selected candidate member of the overdensity, which revealed Lyα, [O III] 88 μm at z = 8.38 as well as the presence of dust in its proximity (Laporte et al. 2017; but see Section 4.4).

Here we report the spectroscopic follow-up and confirmation of the overdensity at z ~ 7.88 (hereafter A2744-Z7p9OD; Figure 1), through the detection of the [O III] 5007 line in seven member galaxies with JWST/NIRSpec. This result is consistent with the hypothesis that the galaxy confirmed by previous work at z = 8.38 is in the background of the protocluster identified here, highlighting the importance of spectroscopic confirmation to establish membership and overdensity, accounting for chance alignment of galaxies sharing similar photometric redshifts.

The cluster field Abell 2744 is the primary target of the GLASS-JWST Early Release Science program (JWST-GO-1324; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022a; Treu et al. 2022, P.I. Treu), and also part of the JWST Director Discretionary Time program (JWST-GO-2756; P.I. Chen; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022b) to follow up the discovery of a magnified supernova at z = 3.47 (Chen et al. 2022). The wavelength coverage 0.6–5.3 μm afforded by the NIRSpec observations not only allows for redshift confirmations of the candidate members via a large suite of emission lines but also provides insight into the visibility of Lyα from galaxies in an overdense region. The unique data set is complemented by deep JWST/NIRCam and ancillary HST images, allowing us to characterize the physical properties of the confirmed members and infer the early evolution of galaxies in such an extreme environment. Furthermore, a first estimate of the velocity dispersion of the protocluster can be derived based on the high-precision redshift measurements for individual members.

The paper is structured as follows: We present the data set in Section 2, followed by our spectroscopic and photometric analyses of the cluster members in Section 3. We characterize the system and infer the neutral fraction around the system in Section 4, and summarize our key conclusions in Section 5. Where relevant, we adopt the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983; Fukugita et al. 1996), cosmological parameters of Ωm = 0.3, ΩΛ = 0.7, H0 = 70 km s−1 Mpc−1, and the Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. Distances are in proper units unless otherwise stated.

2. Data

2.1. JWST/NIRSpec MSA Observations

We base our primary analysis on data acquired through NIRSpec micro-shutter assembly (MSA) observations in two programs, the GLASS-JWST Early Release Science Program (PID 1324, PI Treu; Treu et al. 2022) and a JWST Director’s Discretionary Time (DDT) program (PID 2756, PI. W. Chen; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022b). The GLASS-JWST observations were executed on 2022 November 10 with three spectral resolution configurations with three high-resolution gratings, G140H/F100LP, G235H/F170LP, and G395H/F290LP, which also provide total wavelength coverage of 0.81–5.14 μm, at R ~ 1000–3000. The on-source exposure time was 4.9 hr in each spectral configuration. The DDT NIRSpec observations were executed on 2022 October 23, with the CLEAR filter+prism configuration, which provides continuous wavelength coverage of 0.6–5.3 μm at R ~ 30–300 spectral resolution. The on-source exposure time was 1.23 hr.

For the MSA target selection, we started with the same source catalog for both programs. Specifically for the z ~ 8 protocluster sources, z’-y’-dropout galaxies (hereafter ZDs and YDs, respectively) were included in (4 in the DDT and 4 in GLASS-JWST), all within the vicinity of the overdensity (Zheng et al. 2014; Ishigaki et al. 2016) including the spectroscopically confirmed galaxies YD4, GLASSZ8-1 (ZD2), and GLASSZ8-2 from Laporte et al. (2017), Roberts-Borsani et al. (2022a), respectively. Considering the overlap...
between the two programs, a total of seven distinct protocluster targets were observed, but data was corrupted for one target due a nonnominal operation of a microshutter, leaving seven targets suitable for analysis. The choice of protocluster targets in each MSA was based on three primary factors, namely (i) the central pointing of the MSA, (ii) the position of the MSA ensuring no spectral overlap in the detector, and (iii) the preferential selection of brighter objects to maximize the probability of emission line or continuum detections.

The data were reduced using the official STScI JWST pipeline (ver.1.8.2) for Level 1 data products, and the msaexp code for levels (2) and (3) data products, the latter of which is built on the STScI pipeline routines but also includes custom routines for additional corrections. Briefly, we begin our data reduction on the uncalibrated files with the Detector1Pipeline routine and the latest set of reference files (jwst_1014.pmap) to correct for detector-level artifacts and convert to count-rate images. We then utilize custom preprocessing routines from msaexp to correct for 1/f noise, identify and remove snowballs, and remove bias on an exposure-by-exposure basis, before running a number of STScI routines from the Spec2Pipeline to produce the final 2D cutout images. These include the AssignWcs, Extract2dStep, FlatFieldStep, PathLossStep, and PhotomStep routines to perform World Coordinate System registration, flat-fielding, pathloss corrections, and flux calibration. Background subtraction is performed locally using a three-shutter nod pattern before drizzling the resulting images onto a common grid. From there, we optimally extract the spectra via an inverse-variance-weighted kernel, derived by summing the 2D spectrum along the dispersion axis and fitting the resulting signal along the spatial axis with a Gaussian profile by following the recipe of Horne (1986). We visually inspect all kernels to ensure spurious events are not included (or limited) where possible. The kernel then extracts the 1D spectrum along the dispersion axis.

2.2. Imaging Data and Photometry

Deep NIRCam images are available from DDT program (PID 2756; PI. W. Chen) and General Observers program UNCOVER (Bezanson et al. 2022), including F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, F410M, and F444W filters. The imaging data are reduced in the same way as presented by Merlin et al. (2022), using the official STScI JWST pipeline, including the most recent version of the photometric zero-
points and reference files. Images are PSF-matched to the F444W filter for the flux estimates below. To supplement our photometric wavelength coverage, we include ancillary HST data taken by several programs (Postman et al. 2012; Treu et al. 2015; Lotz et al. 2017; Steinhardt et al. 2020). The HST data have been uniformly re-reduced using Grizli (Brammer et al. 2022). The HST images are PSF-matched to the F160W filter instead of the NIRCam F444W. The choice was made because—despite their similar PSF FHWMs—there are significant differences in the PSF profile of the two different telescopes, which make it challenging to obtain a satisfying convolution kernel. The remaining systematic offset in fluxes caused by this is corrected in the following process.

A photometric catalog is constructed following Morishita & Stiavelli (2022), using borgpipe (Morishita et al. 2021). Briefly, fluxes are derived using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The flux offsets between NIRCam and HST filters are corrected with a rescaling based on the mean offset between NIRCam F150W and a pseudo F150W filter derived for the same sources using the HST F140W and F160W fluxes, whose broadband filters straddle the NIRCam F150W. The correcting factor is found to be 1.25, which is consistent with Morishita & Stiavelli (2022).

Lastly, the fluxes are scaled to a total flux by applying $C = f_{\text{auto}, F444W} / f_{\text{spec}, F444W}$, where $f_{\text{auto}, F444W}$ is FLUX_AUTO of SExtractor, measured for individual sources.

3. Analysis and Results

3.1. Spectroscopic Analysis of $z \sim 8$ Candidates

We present our spectroscopic analyses of the seven galaxies in our sample in Figure 2, which shows the 2D spectra and 1D emission lines. For continuum subtraction, we use a best-fit model, for slitloss and any remaining offset to the best-fit Gaussian. The choice was made because the redshift of interest falls in the middle of the effective wavelength range near Ly$\alpha$ falls in the detector gap, the break is still consistent with the inferred redshift.

3.2. Spectral Energy Distribution

We analyze the SED of the individual galaxies by using photometric data that covers 0.4–5 $\mu$m. We use the SED fitting code $gsf$ (Morishita et al. 2019), which allows flexible determinations of star formation histories in a nonparametric form, by finding an optimal combination of stellar and interstellar medium (ISM) templates. We generate templates of different ages, $[1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300] \text{ Myr}$, and metallicities log $Z_\odot/Z_\odot \in [-2, -0.4]$ at an increment of 0.1, by using $f$sp ($\alpha$) (Conroy et al. 2009; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014). A nebular component (emission lines and continuum) that is characterized by an ionization parameter $log U \in [-3: -1]$ is also generated by $f$sp($\alpha$) (see also Byler et al. 2017) and added to the template after multiplication by an amplitude parameter. Dust attenuation and metallicity of the stellar templates are treated as free parameters during the fit, whereas the metallicity of the nebular component is fixed to the same value of the stellar component during the fitting process.

The posterior distribution function of the parameters is sampled by using $emcee$ for $10^5$ iterations with the number of walkers set to 100. The final posterior is collected after excluding the first half of the realizations (known as burn-in). The resulting physical parameters are quoted as the median of the posterior distribution, along with the 16th–84th percentile uncertainty ranges. The star formation rate is calculated by averaging the last 100 Myr of the posterior star formation history. The inferred physical properties are presented in Table 2.

To supplement our characterization of the overdensity (Section 4.1), we include the remaining eight photometric candidates presented by Zheng et al. (2014; Figure 1). As revealed by the spectroscopy, the confirmed sample consists both of ZD and YD. The ambiguity is likely due to the fact that the redshift of interest falls in the middle of the effective redshift ranges probed by the two color selections, which define $7 < z < 8$ and $8 < z < 9$ samples, respectively.

We fit the redshifts of the remaining photometric candidates with $EAdY$ (Brammer et al. 2008). To exclude possible outliers, we only include those where the $2\sigma$ redshift uncertainty...
Figure 2. NIRSpec spectra in the observed wavelength frame (PRISM for the top four and G395H grating for the bottom three) of the confirmed protocluster members. For each galaxy, the top panel shows the 2D and 1D full spectrum, where the position of Lyman break, H$\beta$ line, and [O III] doublets are indicated (blue vertical lines); the bottom left panel shows a zoomed region around the Lyman break, along with a best-fit template by gsf (cyan), where the detector gap region in the G140H grating is masked (gray); the bottom right panel shows the continuum-subtracted spectrum in the region of the H$\beta$+[O III]-doublet lines, where lines with >5$\sigma$ detection are hatched in yellow. For YD4, where both PRISM and G395H spectra available, the latter is shown. The fitted three-component Gaussian model is also shown (blue). It is noted that the 1D full spectrum in the top panel is resampled to a coarse spectral grid for visualization purposes.
Note.

* 2σ rest-frame equivalent width of Lyα over Δ ∼ 10 Å (~2700 km s⁻¹). Lyα equivalent width measurements of ZD2 and ZD3 are not available as the Lyα wavelength falls in the detector gap. Measurements here are not corrected for magnification. For those with Hβ not detected, 2σ flux limit (assuming 100 Å for the line width) are presented.

Overdense regions are generally known as a place of accelerated evolution (e.g., Dressler 1980; Thomas et al. 2010). The median value for the mass-weighted age $t_w$ ∼ 200 Myr and massive system.

Overdense regions are generally known as a place of accelerated evolution (e.g., Dressler 1980; Thomas et al. 2010). It is thus of particular interest to investigate if there exists a luminous galaxy or quasar ($M_{UV} < -22$) in and/or near an overdense region at high redshift. The sources presented here are relatively faint in rest-frame UV, with UV absolute magnitude, $M_{UV}$, ranging from $-15.3$ to $-20.1$ mag. On the other hand, some of the sources have moderate dust attenuation ($A_v > 0.5$ mag). Among those, YD4 is the most significant case with $A_v = 1.1$, which is consistent with the $Δr > 4$σ detection in dust continuum at its position (Laporte et al. 2017; see also Section 4.2). We compare $A_v$ values for our sample to those of a reference field sample that consists of 13 photometric sources at $z ∼ 8$ from Leethochawalit et al. (2023). While the distribution of our sample is skewed toward higher $A_v$, the shift is not statistically significant. We find no significant differences in other properties.

We note that spectroscopic data are not included in the SED fitting process above; despite the predicted line fluxes of the best-fit SED model overall showing good consistency with the values measured in Section 3.1. The only exception is YD8, where the observed flux is $Δs$ × larger than what is predicted by the best-fit model for both Hβ and [O III]. While detail investigation on this discrepancy is deferred to future work, we note that the emission line templates used here are optimized for standard stellar populations and do not include extreme components such as, e.g., active galactic nucleus.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>R.A. degree</th>
<th>Decl. degree</th>
<th>$\log(M_{1500})$ mag</th>
<th>$\log(M_{444})$ mag</th>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>$f_{\text{H}\beta}$ $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{UV,1500}}$ $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{600H405+5007}}$ $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$</th>
<th>EW(Lyα) $\text{Å}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YD4</td>
<td>3.603544</td>
<td>-30.382236</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>7.8758</td>
<td>$8.6^{+0.6}_{-1.4}$</td>
<td>$20.2^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>$&lt;15.9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YD7</td>
<td>3.603590</td>
<td>-30.382289</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>7.8772</td>
<td>$&lt;76.3$</td>
<td>$40.4^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$</td>
<td>$&lt;23.9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD6</td>
<td>3.606570</td>
<td>-30.380891</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>7.8843</td>
<td>$&lt;13.6$</td>
<td>$40.4^{+3.3}_{-3.4}$</td>
<td>$&lt;26.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YD8</td>
<td>3.596084</td>
<td>-30.385805</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>7.8869</td>
<td>$109^{+14.2}_{-12.3}$</td>
<td>$275^{+5.2}_{-4.1}$</td>
<td>$&lt;16.9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD2</td>
<td>3.604518</td>
<td>-30.380432</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>7.8800</td>
<td>$19.6^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$</td>
<td>$70.1^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD3</td>
<td>3.606463</td>
<td>-30.380862</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>7.8816</td>
<td>$4.5^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>$6.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASSz8-2</td>
<td>3.6103467</td>
<td>-30.379190</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>7.8831</td>
<td>$8.2^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>$16.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$&lt;27.6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Direct Estimate of Ionizing Photon Efficiency

Having direct measurements of optical emission lines brings us not only solid redshift confirmation but also direct insight into ionizing properties of the ISM. One of such measurements is the ionizing photon production per unit UV luminosity, or ionizing photon efficiency,

$$\xi_{\text{ion}} = N_{\text{LyC}}/L_{\text{UV,1500}} \text{ [Hz erg}^{-1}]$$

where $N_{\text{LyC}}$ is the total ionizing photons of Lyman continuum and $L_{\text{UV,1500}}$ intrinsic UV luminosity density measured at rest-frame 1500 Å (e.g., Schaerer et al. 2016; Prieto-Lyon et al. 2022). The production efficiency is an important parameter, as the total ionizing output of galaxies can be simply parameterized (e.g., Madau et al. 1999; Robertson et al. 2013) as the product of $\xi_{\text{ion}}$ and the fraction of ionizing photons that escape the interstellar medium into the intergalactic medium, $f_{\text{esc}}$. The direct measurement of $N_{\text{LyC}}$ is not available for the redshift range of interest because the luminosity in the optical hydrogen recombination lines is proportional to the number of Lyman continuum photons absorbed in the galaxy. As a proxy, we adopt the following formula:

$$N_{\text{LyC}} = 2.1 \times 10^{42} (1 - f_{\text{esc}})^{-1} L(\text{H}\beta)_{\text{unatt}} \text{ [Hz erg}^{-1}]$$

The intrinsic UV luminosity density is inferred from the best-fit SED. We correct the measured Hβ flux for attenuation by using the dust attenuation from the same SED modeling and assuming $E(B - V)_{\text{att}}/E(B - V)_{\text{abs}} = 2.27$ (Shivaei et al. 2020). Using the two equations above, we derive the production rate of ionizing photons that did not escape the galaxy, $\xi_{\text{ion}}(1 - f_{\text{esc}})$. The median value for the five objects available for the measurement is $\langle \xi_{\text{ion}}(1 - f_{\text{esc}}) \rangle = 4.6 \times 10^{25}$ erg Hz⁻¹. The measurements for individual galaxies are reported in Table 2.

4. Discussion

4.1. Characterizing A2744-z7p9OD: Estimate of Size, Overdensity, Mass, and Velocity Dispersion

We first investigate the spatial distribution of the member galaxies in A2744-z7p9OD. We use an updated version of the lens model presented by Bergamini et al. (2023), which includes the recent spectroscopic confirmation of a triply imaged $z ∼ 10$ Lyman break galaxies (Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022b) in the field (P. Bergami et al. 2023, in preparation), to correct for the magnification by the foreground cluster. The 2D distribution of our sources in physical units is shown in Figure 4. After correcting for the lens magnification, we find that the confirmed sources are located within a circle of radius...
Table 2
Physical Properties of the Final Candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>(\mu^i)</th>
<th>(M_{UV}) mag</th>
<th>(\beta_i)</th>
<th>log (M_h)</th>
<th>SFR</th>
<th>log (t_\odot)</th>
<th>log (Z_\odot)</th>
<th>(A_v)</th>
<th>(R_h^i)</th>
<th>(\xi_{cor}(1-f_{corr}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YD4</td>
<td>2.01 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-19.69 (\pm 0.08)</td>
<td>-1.28 (\pm 0.07)</td>
<td>9.17 (\pm 0.27)</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>1.94 (\pm 0.04)</td>
<td>-1.44 (\pm 0.09)</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>(\cdot 10^{-0.31})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YD7</td>
<td>2.02 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-19.96 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-1.86 (\pm 0.04)</td>
<td>9.39 (\pm 0.15)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.51 (\pm 0.26)</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD6</td>
<td>1.94 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-19.59 (\pm 0.09)</td>
<td>-1.53 (\pm 0.08)</td>
<td>8.86 (\pm 0.19)</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.98 (\pm 0.18)</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD8</td>
<td>2.63 (\pm 0.07)</td>
<td>-19.28 (\pm 0.04)</td>
<td>-2.25 (\pm 0.02)</td>
<td>8.36 (\pm 0.09)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.30 (\pm 0.10)</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD2</td>
<td>1.96 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-20.13 (\pm 0.02)</td>
<td>-2.24 (\pm 0.01)</td>
<td>8.73 (\pm 0.03)</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.24 (\pm 0.16)</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZD3</td>
<td>1.94 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>-19.67 (\pm 0.06)</td>
<td>-2.07 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>8.33 (\pm 0.32)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.59 (\pm 0.01)</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASSZ8-2</td>
<td>2.15 (\pm 0.06)</td>
<td>-19.75 (\pm 0.03)</td>
<td>-2.18 (\pm 0.02)</td>
<td>8.56 (\pm 0.05)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.25 (\pm 0.02)</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

\(^a\) Median magnification of the lens model by P. Bergamini (2023, in preparation), calculated at the position of the source. Measurements are associated with 1σ uncertainties.

\(^b\) H II bubble size, calculated with Equation (1).

\(^c\) Ionizing photon production efficiency (Section 3.3).

\(^d\) Sources selected by Zheng et al. (2014) that were not observed in our NBRSpec programs. Only those with photometric redshift consistent with \(z = 7.88\) at 2σ are included. Redshift of the photometric sample is fixed to \(z = 7.88\) in the SED analysis. \(t_c\) mass-weighted age calculated over the posterior star formation history. Star formation rate is calculated by averaging the last 100 Myr of the posterior star formation history. All measurements are corrected for magnification.

\(R \sim 60\) kpc in the source plane. The distribution on the sky is fairly elongated.

Based on the derived spatial distribution in the source plane, we estimate overdensity by including only spectroscopically confirmed members. For the field reference, we use the luminosity function at \(z = 8\) derived in Bouwens et al. (2021) and integrated it down to \(M_{UV} = -19\). Within a projected area of \(r = 60\) kpc (\(\sim 12r\)), we find \(N = 0.3\), where the associated uncertainties reflect the 16th–84th percentile ranges of the luminosity function adopted. This gives an estimate of the overdensity \(\delta = 24.8\). While our estimate is lower than the one derived by Ishigaki et al. (2016, \(\delta = 132.8\)), they included eight galaxies (including photometric candidates that are not confirmed in our study) in the central smaller region (\(r = 6\)). Therefore, given that only the spectroscopic sample is included, our estimate is likely a conservative lower limit.

Second, we attempt to estimate the mass of the structure. Following previous work (e.g., Laporte et al. 2022), we can estimate the halo mass of the individual components from the halo-mass–galaxy-luminosity relation. Using the relation derived by Mason et al. (2022), we infer that the brightest member of the overdensity (ZD2, \(M_{UV} = -20.1\)) lives in a \(M_h \approx (7 \pm 2) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) halo. Summing the halo mass of all the confirmed members, we obtain a lower limit to the total halo mass \(\geq 4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\).

Lastly, we can take advantage of the spectroscopic data to obtain for the first time an estimate of the velocity dispersion of a protocluster at such high redshift. Given the small number of measured redshifts, we adopt a simple Gaussian estimator and bootstrap method to derive the uncertainty (Beers et al. 1990), obtaining \(1100 \pm 200\) km s\(^{-1}\). We caution the reader that the estimate should be treated with a degree of caution since the system is likely not virialized, and that in computing this quantity we are assuming the spread in redshift with respect to the mean is due to motion as opposed to distance along the line of sight. Nevertheless, we report it to assist future theoretical investigations.

4.2. Estimating the Present-Day Mass of A2744-z7p9OD

With seven members being spectroscopically confirmed, it is of extreme interest to estimate the present-day halo mass of a system like A2744-z7p9OD. We attempt to estimate the total cluster mass at \(z = 0\) by following the widely used formula

\[
M_{z=0} = \rho V_{cor} (1 + \delta_m),
\]

(e.g., Steidel et al. 1998; Chiang et al. 2013), where \(V_{cor} = \frac{\delta_m}{c}\) is the redshift-space distortion-corrected comoving volume of the system, \(\rho\) is the mean matter density of the universe, and \(\delta_m\) is the mass overdensity. The correction coefficients \(C\) and \(\delta_m\) are linked as

\[
1 + b\delta_m = C(1 + \delta),
\]

where \(b\) is the bias parameter, and \(\delta\) is galaxy overdensity (Section 4.1). By adopting the linear interpolation presented in Ouchi et al. (2018), we adopt the bias parameter \(b = 6.5\), at \(z = 7.9\) of the total halo mass \(1 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\). The correction coefficient is expressed as

\[
C = 1 - f - f (1 + \delta_m)^{1/3},
\]
where $f$ is a function of the mass density parameter, $\Omega_m(z)$, at $z$

$$f = \Omega_m^{3/7}(z).$$

By solving Equations (4) and (5), we obtain $C = 0.56^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$, and $\delta_m = 2.00^{+0.55}_{-0.48}$ for the galaxy overdensity measurement estimated in Section 4.1. For the same area used in Section 4.1 and the redshift interval $\delta z = 0.011$, we estimate the comoving volume of A2744-z7p9OD to be $V_{\text{obs}} = 9920$ cMpc$^3$. By substituting this in Equation (3), we obtain $M_{z=0} = 2.2^{+0.9}_{-0.6} \times 10^{13} M_\odot$. This implies that A2744-z7p9OD would be expected on average to become a Coma-like system at $z = 0$, whereas $10^{14} M_\odot$ is typically used as the threshold for a system to be called a “cluster” (e.g., Rosati et al. 2002; Overzier 2016).

Another way of predicting the present-day mass is to find and compare with overdense systems like A2744-z7p9OD in a simulation. Here we look into the EAGLE 100 Mpc “Reference” simulation (Schaye et al. 2015). We first extract all galaxies in the simulation with $M_\star > 10^8 M_\odot$ at $z = 8$ ($N = 557$), and trace them to their $z = 0$ descendants. To limit our analysis to the likely analogs of A2744-z7p9OD, we trace overdense regions that have six or more galaxies in a spherical region of $r = 300$ pkpc, at $z = 8$ ($N = 19$). At $z = 0$, the descendants of these 19 galaxies are hosted by halos in the mass range $\log M_{200c}/M_\odot = 13.5–14.5$, which is consistent with a model overdensity identified by Ishigaki et al. (2016) in their cosmological simulation. While this indicates that A2744-z7p9OD could evolve into a system at the lower bound of typical clusters or even a group, it should be noted that the upper side of the resulting mass distribution above is likely limited by the simulation volume, as there is no object with $\log M_{200c}/M_\odot > 14.5$ in the entire simulation box. Estimating a precise mass of the structure and its future evolution would require simulations with sufficient resolution and astrophysical detail to resolve individual galaxy components matched in luminosity or stellar mass, while simultaneously probing sufficient volumes, to include multiple structures of this kind to average out the expected stochasticity (Chiang et al. 2013), or mapping to a typical dark-matter halo rarity then followed across cosmic time (Trenti et al. 2008). A dedicated study is beyond the scope of this paper and left for future work.

Our very first attempt of spectroscopic follow-up on the bright members in the core region already confirmed seven member galaxies at 100% success rate. For further characterization of the confirmed overdensity, a sample of galaxies at larger extent would be required. The progenitors of massive clusters are typically spread over several Mpc, and thus to robustly estimate the mass of the descendant, one would require a survey covering a much larger area of the sky (e.g., Overzier et al. 2009; Contini et al. 2016). In fact, we found in the simulation that the $z = 0$ mass distribution skews at a higher mass when the search region is defined by a larger radius (~2 pMpc) that contains more numerous galaxies ($N = 35$ or more).

### 4.3. On the Absence of Lyman $\alpha$ Emission Lines

The absence of strong Ly$\alpha$ emission provides insight into the intergalactic medium (IGM) properties surrounding the protocluster. None of our spectroscopically confirmed sources show a clear Ly$\alpha$ line (Figure 2). To quantify the nondetections, we estimate the upper limit on rest-frame equivalent
For simplicity, this equation assumes that the ionizing bubble is spherically symmetric and created by a single source at its center. We adopt a case (B) recombination coefficient, $\alpha_R = 2.59 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$/s$^{-1}$ (Osterbrock 1989), and ionizing photon escape fraction of $\langle f_{\alpha} \rangle = 0.2$, and an H I clumping factor $C_{\text{HI}} = 3.0$ (Shull et al. 2012; Robertson et al. 2013). For the ionizing photon production efficiency, we use those derived in Section 3.3 for those with H$\beta$ flux measurements available. We adopt the median value for the remaining sample.

By using the derived star formation history and luminosity presented in Section 3.2, we estimate the bubble size for the spectroscopically confirmed individual sources and for the photometrically selected sample identified in Section 3.2. The estimated bubble sizes are $\lesssim 1$ Mpc for most of the sample, due to the relatively low UV luminosity of the galaxies (Table 2). Given that their separation in the source plane is of order 60 pkpc, we also estimate the bubble size by considering the cumulative effect of all the confirmed sources as if they were colocated. Even in this case, we find it to be $R \sim 0.78$ Mpc, i.e., insufficient to allow Ly$\alpha$ to escape. Even the inclusion of all photometric candidates is not significantly changing the estimate, as those are mostly fainter than the confirmed members (also see their individual estimates in Table 2).

In conclusion, our bubble size estimate is consistent with the nondetection of Ly$\alpha$ in our confirmed sources. By comparison, at slightly lower redshifts, Endsley & Stark (2022) estimated bubble sizes of 0.7–1.1 Mpc for UV-bright ($M_{\text{UV}} \sim 20–22$ mag) galaxies at $z = 6.6–6.9$. Ly$\alpha$ was detected in nine out of ten galaxies, showing that both an overdense environment and sufficient ionizing photon flux are required to produce an ionized bubble large enough to allow significant transmission of Ly$\alpha$ photons (see the comparison of Ly$\alpha$ detections in UV-bright and fainter galaxies in Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022c).

### 4.4. Comparison with Previous Work

Our estimated lower limit of the mass of A2744-z7p9OD is comparable to those of previously known protoclusters and protocluster candidates using similar methods, including the recently reported candidate behind the SMACS0723 cluster (Laporte et al. 2022), where two of the member candidates are spectroscopically confirmed to be $z = 7.66$. The real breakthrough of our observations, however, is the sheer number of spectroscopically confirmed redshift measurements, which allow us to establish secure membership to the protocluster and get a first estimate of the its velocity dispersion. This clearly provides a glimpse of the power of JWST to add unprecedented detail to studies of the progenitors of today’s large-scale structures.

The spectroscopic redshift of A2744-z7p9OD is in agreement with previous photometric redshift estimates, but in apparent tension with the redshift reported for YD4 ($z = 8.38$) based on Ly$\alpha$ and ALMA C$\text{II}$ 158 $\mu$m and O$\text{III}$ 88 $\mu$m emission (Laporte et al. 2017, 2019; Carniani et al. 2020). A likely explanation of the apparent tension is a line-of-sight superimposition of sources at similar redshifts. This is a common occurrence in the photometric identification of overdensities and should be kept in mind when considering protocluster candidates without spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 5, YD4 is close on the sky (separation $\sim 0.5$) to a
secondary Y-dropout source (YD6; Zheng et al. 2014), which falls outside our NIRSpect spectroscopic apertures (in the GLASS and DDT observations) but lies within the VLT/X-Shooter long-slit and indistinguishable from YD4 at ALMA resolution (in the case of [C II]). We hypothesize that the source detected at $z = 8.38$ is actually in the background of the protocluster and likely associated with YD6 (estimated to be at $z_{\text{ph}} = 8.3 \pm 0.2$; Zheng et al. 2014). In fact, the $[O III] \lambda 3750$ flux appears better centered on the fainter counterpart while the detection of dust appears associated with YD4 (see Figure 2 in Laporte et al. 2019) is consistent with both the large dust quantity ($A_V = 1.1$) and red UV slope ($\beta_{\text{UV}} = -1.3$) estimated for YD4 in Section 3.2 and the discrepant spectroscopic redshifts. More extensive spectroscopic coverage is needed to confirm the hypothesis.

5. Summary

In this work, we presented a JWST NIRSpect spectroscopic follow-up analysis of seven photometrically selected members of a galaxy overdensity in the epoch of reionization at $z = 7.9$, leading to robust redshift measurements for all photometric candidates by detecting $[O III] \lambda 5007$ and other rest-frame optical lines. The spectroscopic confirmation of the member galaxies in the core region allowed us to estimate overdensity, $\delta = 24^{+8}_{-18}$, which characterizes A2744-z7p9OD as one of the most extreme overdensities in the early universe, with a lower limit on its halo mass of $\geq 4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. We also obtained a first estimate of the velocity dispersion of the system ($\sigma = 1100 \pm 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which will aid to compare the observations to similar structures identified in cosmological numerical simulations. By using an empirical relation, we estimated the present-day halo mass of A2744-z7p9OD to be $M_{\text{halo}} = 2.2^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$, comparable to the Coma cluster. Our analysis using a cosmological simulation suggests that the spectroscopic confirmation of additional member galaxies at a farther distance ($\sim 2 \text{ Mpc}$) will further secure the present-day mass estimate.

Our results clearly show the incredible potential of JWST to confirm $z > 7$ redshifts thanks to the multiplexing capabilities afforded by the NIRSpect MSA. Remarkably, our study reports a 100% success rate in identifying the redshifts of candidates at high $z$ independently of Ly$\alpha$, adding a further five confirmed $z > 7$ sources to the literature. Crucially, we refined a previously reported spectroscopic redshift for YD4, suggesting line-of-sight superposition of two distinct sources. This work showcases JWST’s potential to open a window for determining the properties of galaxies in the early universe. In particular—upon the acquisition of a sufficient sample both in A2744-z7p9OD and the field control sample at similar redshift—of particular interest for future progress will be the environmental dependence of physical properties of the sources, which we addressed in Section 3.2 for the present sample. In turn, this will help understand the role of galaxy clustering during cosmic reionization.
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Figure 5. A $5''\times 5''$ smoothed F200W NIRCam image centered on YD4, with slits from various programs overlayed. The $\sim 0''2 \times 1''2$ NIRSpect/MSA slits from GLASS and the DDT are shown in red and cyan, respectively, while the $\sim 0''9 \times 11''$ VLT/X-Shooter long-slit from (Laporte et al. 2017) is shown in yellow. The two NIRSpect slits clearly isolate YD4 from a nearby, fainter companion $\sim 0''5$ southeast of its position (YD6); however the X-Shooter long-slit includes both objects, as well as YD7 located to its west.