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Creating nonclassical states of light from simple quantum systems together with classical resources is a challenging problem. We show how chiral emitters under a coherent drive can generate nonclassical photon states. For our analysis, we select a specific temporal mode in the transmitted light field, resulting in a coupled master equation for the relevant mode and the chiral emitters. We characterize the mode’s state by its Wigner function and show that the emission from the system predominantly produces mixtures of few-photon-added states. For our analysis, we select a specific temporal mode in the transmitted light field exhibit highly nonclassical character, as has been demonstrated in the steady-state emission of a two-level emitter [36]. In order to study such temporal modes, we describe the chiral waveguide as a quantum input-output network coupled to a virtual photonic cavity [33,37], tuned to capture only photons in a specifically selected mode. For example, this formalism was previously used to explain experimental results for the steady-state emission of a superconducting qubit [25] and the emission of a Rydberg superatom inside an optical cavity [38]. First, we analyze the output for a single emitter, which is nonclassical, as indicated by negativity in its Wigner function, and we link the temporal evolution of the selected light mode to the Rabi dynamics of the emitter. Subsequently, we investigate how decoherence and dephasing of the emitter decrease the negativity in the Wigner function. We then extend our investigation to the generation of nonclassical light by scattering on a chain of emitters, where waveguide-mediated emitter-emitter interactions come into play and the formation of bound states of photons influences the number statistics of the observed light mode. Finally, we outline how the resulting nonclassical state becomes accessible in quantum experiments and, as an example, show its application in quantum metrology, where a combination of the nonclassical state and a coherent state becomes accessible in quantum experiments and, as an example, show its application in quantum metrology.
In this description, the emitter-cavity system evolves according to the master equation
\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{\text{drive}} + H_{\text{sys}} + H_{\text{exc}}, \rho] + D_L[\rho] + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} D_{\sigma_i}^{\dagger} \rho D_{\sigma_i}\right) + \gamma_D \sum_{i=1}^{M} D_{D_i}^{\dagger} D_i[\rho].
\] (3)

The coherent background drives both the emitters and cavity,
\[
H_{\text{drive}} = \hbar \alpha^* 0 \Gamma L - \alpha^* t L^\dagger
\]
with \(L = \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_{\text{chain}} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i^x b_i\), while the emitters interact via chiral exchange of virtual photons,
\[
H_{\text{sys}} = -\hbar \kappa \sum_{i>j}^{M} \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- - \text{H.c.}.
\] (4)
The Hamiltonian
\[
H_{\text{exc}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sqrt{\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i^+ b_i - \text{H.c.}
\] (5)
describes the coherent exchange interaction between the emitters and the virtual photon cavity where \(b_i^\dagger\) destroys (creates) a photon in the cavity.

The emitter-cavity system is subject to the collective decay \(D_L[\rho] = \hbar \rho L^\dagger L - \frac{1}{2} L^\dagger L - \rho\). In addition, we consider photon losses out of the waveguide, described by a decay \(\Gamma\) of the excited states into the respective ground state, and a decay \(\gamma_D\) of the excited states \(|W_i\rangle\) into the nonradiating dark states \(|D_i\rangle\).

III. RESULTS

A. Creation of nonclassical light

In the following, we investigate whether the state of light in the output mode is nonclassical. We base this classification on the Wigner-phase-space distribution \(W(\beta = x + i p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int dy \langle x + y | \rho_v | x - y \rangle e^{-2ipy} \). (6)

While \(W\) is normalized, it is generally not positive everywhere and thus cannot be regarded as a classical phase-space probability distribution. The existence of phase-space domains with a negative Wigner function indicates nonclassical properties [42,43]. As an example, processes involving only positive Wigner functions can be simulated efficiently on a classical computer, while this is assumed not to be the case for negative Wigner functions [44,45]. We thus take a negative Wigner function as a benchmark for nonclassical states and quantify nonclassicality by the total negative part of the Wigner function \(W^- = \int d^2\beta \text{min}(0, W)\). It should be noted, however, that this measure does not capture every nonclassical state: squeezed states, for example, have Gaussian Wigner functions but are typically considered nonclassical [46].

1. Single emitter

First, we show that for a single emitter, the state of light in mode \(v(t), \rho_v\), possesses quantum-mechanical number statistics. At a given driving strength \(\alpha\), the Wigner function of \(\rho_v\) primarily depends on the time-bin width \(\tau\), as displayed in Fig. 2, which shows the time evolution of the excited-state.
population in Fig. 2(a). In the short-binning limit $\kappa\tau \ll 1$, we determine $\rho_v$ numerically with the help of the input-output relations $b_v \approx \sqrt{\kappa}[\sigma + \sqrt{\kappa}\sigma_{\text{chain}}(t_0)]$ [32], resulting in [47]

$$D(\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha)\rho_v D(\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha) = |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sqrt{\kappa}\tau(|\sigma_{\text{chain}}(t_0)|1\rangle\langle 0| + |\sigma_{\text{chain}}(t_0)|0\rangle\langle 1|),$$

where $D$ is the displacement operator. For short $\tau$, $\rho_v$ predominantly resembles a coherent state $|\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha\rangle$ with a small admixture of a photon-added coherent state or, equivalently, a displaced single-photon state [16] [see Fig. 2(b)]. For larger $\tau$, we can no longer find $\rho_v$ exactly, and we solve Eq. (3) numerically.

We find that bins $(t_0, t_0 + \tau)$ centered around the first peak of the Rabi oscillation are typically optimal for the generation of nonclassical light with the presented method [see the middle plot in Fig. 2(b)]. This key observation can be explained by considering the underlying dynamics in two steps. First, up to time $t = t_0$, the virtual cavity is closed, and the emitter is prepared close to its first Rabi peak, making subsequent photon emission more likely. Next, the cavity is opened for a time $\tau$ and absorbs the background photons and photons emitted by the emitter.

The degree to which the light in the cavity is nonclassical depends strongly on $\tau$. If $\tau$ is short compared to the timescale of the Rabi oscillations, the chance of storing additional photons in the cavity becomes negligible. If $\kappa\tau \gg 1$, then the cavity state will be dominated by the coherent background with some added “noise” due to the emitter signal, and $\rho_v$ generally loses its negative features in the Wigner function in this regime. The absence of nonclassical character in these cases is evident in the first and third examples displayed in Fig. 2(b). For $\tau$ on the order of the Rabi-cycle duration, however, the photon-emitter interaction has a strong influence on the character of the light in the cavity, and the Wigner function exhibits clear negative features. Below, we provide further evidence that $\rho_v$ is well described by photon-added coherent states.

Without the emitter, the output cavity is affected by only the coherent input, and the cavity density matrix becomes $\rho_v(t) = |\alpha(t)|\langle \alpha|\alpha\rangle$ for the flat mode $v(t)$, with $|\alpha(t)| = \alpha/\sqrt{\kappa t}$ for $t > t_0$ (otherwise). Factoring out the coherent contribution $\rho = \mathcal{D}(\alpha(t))\rho\mathcal{D}^\dagger(\alpha(t))$ shows that the nondispersed part $\tilde{\rho}$ evolves according to the same master equation (3), up to the replacement

$$H_{\text{drive}} \mapsto i\sqrt{\kappa}(\alpha^*\sigma_{\text{chain}} - \alpha\sigma_{\text{chain}}^+)$$

The time evolution of $\tilde{\rho}$ therefore resembles a system in which a coherently driven emitter may emit its excitations into a nondriven virtual cavity. As the emitter can produce only temporally separated photons, this explains the photon-added contribution to $\rho_v$.

We find further evidence that $\rho_v$ is a displaced mixture of Fock states by comparing $\rho_v$ to a generalization of the exact result (7) for $\kappa\tau \ll 1$. For larger $\tau$, it is expected that the emitter can absorb and reemit multiple photons within $\tau$, and we thus make the displaced two-photon ansatz

$$|\psi_v\rangle = \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha)|a_{i,0}\rangle + a_{i,1}|1\rangle + a_{i,2}|2\rangle$$

and approximate $\rho_v \approx \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i|\psi_v\rangle\langle \psi_v|$ by a three-state mixture of these candidate states. Note that this description provides only three free parameters, as $a_{i,j}$ may be chosen to be real, with six of them fixed by orthonormality relations, and $p_i$ are fixed by the largest three eigenvalues of $\rho_v$. For all examples presented in this section, this ansatz reproduces $\rho_v$ with a fidelity of $\approx 99\%$. Since the displacement operator is equivalent to translations in phase space, this reveals the nonclassical single-photon and two-photon contributions as the origin of the Wigner negativity.

Creating nonclassical states by binning around the first Rabi peak is possible for driving strengths up to $\alpha \approx 1.5\sqrt{\kappa}$, as can be seen in Fig. 3. For larger $\alpha$, however, binning around the first Rabi peak results again in the $\kappa\tau \ll 1$ limit, discussed above, since the Rabi frequency is $\Omega \approx 2\sqrt{\kappa\alpha}$. Hence, a bin size that encompasses only the first Rabi maximum requires...
and a coherent input with the Wigner function of the output light for a single emitter a nonradiating state \( |\sqrt{\gamma} \rangle \rho |\sqrt{\gamma} \rangle \) from Eq. (7), this suppresses the single-photon contribution of \( \gamma_D \) and becomes more and more negative for moderate noise, becoming more and more

\[ \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \]

slightly more than a similarly strong decay \( |\sqrt{\gamma} \rangle \rho |\sqrt{\gamma} \rangle \) into and we will now discuss the most relevant noise sources for a single emitter with perfect chiral emission into the waveguide. These assumptions are bound to break in any experimental realization, and we will now discuss the most relevant noise sources and their impact.

First, imperfect chirality results in backscattering and the emission of photons outside the waveguide. These two effects result in a spontaneous decay with rate \( \Gamma \) for a single emitter. On the other hand, chiral two-level emitters are commonly

\[ \tau \propto 1/\sqrt{\kappa \alpha} \]

mesoscopic, artificial atoms with complex inner structure and dynamics. For example, a Rydberg superatom consists of a collection of individual atoms, which are subject to thermal motion and intrinsic dipole-dipole interactions. These effects impact the internal dynamics of the excited state and are well described by an effective decay from the excited state \( |W\rangle \) into a nonradiating state \( |D\rangle \) with rate \( \gamma_D \) [23,31].

Figure 4 shows the effect of these two noise sources on the Wigner function of the output light for a single emitter and a coherent input with \( \alpha = 0.5\sqrt{\kappa} \). The Wigner functions remain negative for moderate noise, becoming more and more Gaussian for larger values of \( \Gamma \) and \( \gamma_D \), respectively. Most notably, however, both noise sources have qualitatively the same influence on \( \rho_e \). The only noticeable difference is that the excitation transfer \( \gamma_D \) suppresses the Wigner negativity slightly more than a similarly strong decay \( \Gamma \). This can be understood by noting that both noise sources result in Poissonian loss of the excited-state population, yet the decay into \( |D\rangle \) also prohibits absorption and reemission of subsequent photons into the mode \( v(t) \). However, as the binning interval is chosen such that approximately only one such event occurs, the difference from the spontaneous decay \( \Gamma \) is minuscule.

Eventually, as the noise becomes sufficiently strong, the emitters become transparent to the incoming light, and we find \( \rho_e = |\sqrt{\Gamma} \alpha \rangle \langle \sqrt{\Gamma} \alpha | \), consequently.

2. Multiple emitters

Many of the single-emitter results can be directly generalized to chains of multiple emitters. However, in a chain of chirally coupled emitters the interaction (4) between them and their collective decay through \( D_L \) substantially impacts the dynamics of each individual emitter, making it impossible for them to emit simultaneously into the cavity at peak rates. Consequently, \( \rho_e \) becomes even more sensitive to the choice of the binning interval as some of the competing effects become enhanced compared to the single-emitter case. This section elucidates the key differences between the single-emitter setup and the emitter chain and discusses the impact of chiral waveguide-mediated emitter interactions. We explicitly focus here on dissipation-free emitters \( \gamma_D = 0 = \Gamma \), as dissipation again just drives \( \rho_e \) towards a Gaussian state.

In the short-binning limit \( \kappa \tau \ll 1 \), the cavity state \( \rho_v \) generated by \( M \) emitters is an \( (M + 1) \)-state mixture of \( M \)-photon-added coherent states [47],

\[ D^\dagger (\sqrt{\gamma} \alpha) \rho_v D (\sqrt{\gamma} \alpha) \]

\[ = \sum_{n=0}^{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \langle (\sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_{\text{chain}})^n (\sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_{\text{chain}})^m \rangle \times \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,n)} (-1)^k \frac{|m-k|(n-k)}{k!(n-k)!(m-k)!} \],

as each emitter contributes up to one photon to the cavity. Yet the Wigner function for the short-bin density matrix (10) will again be positive as the \( n \)-photon components are suppressed by at least \( \sqrt{\kappa} \tau^n \). Hence, sufficiently broad time bins \( \kappa \tau \sim 1 \) are required to obtain nonclassical states \( \rho_v \) like in the single-emitter case.

Numerically, we find that a chain of emitters provides the largest Wigner negativities when \( \tau \) is of the order of one Rabi cycle \( 1/\sqrt{\kappa} \alpha \) and for moderate driving strengths \( \alpha \lesssim \sqrt{\kappa} \). Due to the emitter interactions \( H_{\text{sys}} \), the excited state dynamics for emitters at the chain’s end differ significantly from those of the first emitter. Consequently, we can no longer choose the interval \( (t_0, t_0 + \tau) \) such that it includes centers on Rabi peaks for all emitters’ populations. On the other hand, we again find that binning in the steady state inhibits Wigner negativity, and \( \rho_v \) even reduces to a simple coherent state \( |\sqrt{\Gamma} \alpha \rangle \langle \sqrt{\Gamma} \alpha | \) when the number of emitters is even, as we will explain in the following sections. Hence, we find the largest Wigner negativities when the bin \( (t_0, t_0 + \tau) \) starts at the onset of the excited-state dynamics of the last emitter in the chain. These results are exemplified in Fig. 5, where we show the dynamics of the emitters’ excited-state populations [Fig. 5(a)] and the Wigner functions of \( \rho_v \) [Fig. 5(b)] for chains of up to six emitters.

The Wigner functions exhibit alternating features depending on whether the number of emitters is even or odd, which becomes more prominent the farther the binning interval reaches into the steady-state region of the excited-state dynamics [Fig. 5(b), top]. This behavior is well explained by
FIG. 5. (a) Excited-state population of the $i$th emitter in a six-emitter chain at $\alpha = 0.5\sqrt{k}$. The gray shaded region indicates the region which generally provides good bins for large Wigner negativities. The vertical dashed lines indicate the bin for the top row of (b), which shows the alternating pattern in the Wigner function, when binning in the emitters’ steady state. (b) The bottom row shows Wigner functions for one to six emitters. The binning interval was numerically optimized for each set of emitters and lies within the gray shaded region in (a). Black contour lines indicate the negative areas.

the Bethe-state solutions for propagating photons in a chiral emitter chain [48,49], where the eigenstates of the full photonic-emitter system are classified as scattering states and $n$-photon bound states. When scattering on a single emitter, each Bethe state acquires an energy $E$ dependent phase,

$$I_{E,n} = \frac{E - i\kappa n^2/2}{E + i\kappa n^2/2},$$

with $n = 1$ for the scattering states. For time bins in the steady-state regime we may ignore the ramp-up process of the incoming light at $t = 0$ and approximate the incoming light by resonant plane waves. Consequently, the light field primarily overlaps with the $E = 0$ Bethe states and, after scattering at one emitter, every Bethe state obtains a phase factor of $-1$. This then alters the photon state depending on the number of Bethe states involved in the eigenstate decomposition. For example, a two-photon state is decomposed into a product of two scattering states plus a single two-photon bound state, so that only the bound state picks up the $-1$ phase. Consequently, the phases obtained by scattering at an even number of emitters $M$ in the steady state cancel each other, restoring the initial photon state, while odd $M$ change the photonic state. More precisely, chains with even $M$ produce coherent output $\rho_c = |\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha/|\sqrt{\kappa}\alpha|$, as long as the bin $t_0, t_0 + \tau$ overlaps with the steady-state region of each emitter.

The bottom row of Fig. 5(b) shows the Wigner function for different $M$ for early-time bins outside the steady-state regime, which were chosen separately for each $M$ to maximize negativity. Qualitatively, we obtain results similar to those above except that negative features now also occur for even $M$. The alternating pattern of the negative features again follows from the parity of the phase factor $(-1)^M$ obtained after scattering on $M$ emitters. The incoming light field may now be considered resonant plane waves plus a correction due to the ramp up at $t = 0$, which allows for higher Wigner negativities than in the steady-state regime. In coordinate space, however, the transfer matrix $t_{E,n}$ acts as a convolution with kernel $\delta(x) - \kappa n^2 e^{-\kappa n^2 x^2/2} \theta(x)$. Therefore, the spatial profile of the correction broadens after each subsequent emitter, reducing its overlap with the projection mode $\nu(t)$. While we overall benefit from using multiple emitters in the creation of nonclassical $\rho_c$, the general structure of the Wigner functions is already known after studying two emitters, and the Wigner negativity eventually settles to the respective steady-state value. With respect to maximizing the Wigner negativity, we find no significant benefit in using more than $M = 4$ emitters. However, this section considered only dissipation-free emitters. Next, we will discuss an application in quantum metrology where having multiple emitters is beneficial even if dissipation prohibits the creation of light with a negative Wigner function.

B. Application example: Interferometry

The creation of the nonclassical state of light $\rho_c$ relies purely on the interaction of the classical state $|\alpha\rangle$ with the emitters and is thus deterministic, making $\rho_c$ of interest for applications where no postselection is desired. However, we have to keep in mind that the scattering of the coherent input on the emitters produces light in multiple orthogonal modes. Our approach cannot describe the entire photon state at time $t_0 + \tau$, nor do we account for all photons within the time bin $t_0, t_0 + \tau$. This lack of information implies that $\rho_c$ is not directly accessible in potential applications. In this section, we remedied this shortcoming and show an example of how $\rho_c$ may be used in quantum metrology experiments.

The cavity state $\rho_c$ does not account for all photons in the time bin $t_0, t_0 + \tau$, as we considered only a single temporal mode $\nu(t)$. Nevertheless, we may use the light emitted from the emitter chain in places where we want to use $\rho_c$ as a resource, as long as mode mixing does not occur, since we can selectively measure the light in mode $\nu(t)$ via homodyne detection. This is the case in experiments consisting of linear optical devices. Under this constraint, we discuss a possible application in quantum metrology where the combination of $\rho_c$ and a coherent state as the two inputs to a Mach-Zehnder interferometer can outperform the standard quantum limit of interferometry.

We consider the setup depicted in Fig. 6(a), where an unknown phase $\varphi$ in one of the interferometer arms shall be determined. In the standard quantum limit of interferometry [50,51] coherent light is used, and $\varphi$ is estimated by the intensity difference at the output ports

$$J_\Delta = \frac{\hat{n}_{t_0} - \hat{n}_{t_0 + \tau}}{2}.$$
The best achievable precision with this estimator is
\[
\Delta \varphi = \min_{\varphi} \left( \frac{\Delta J}{\partial \varphi} \right)
\]
and yields shot-noise precision \(\Delta \varphi_{SN} = 1/\sqrt{N}\) in the standard quantum limit, where \(N\) is the total number of photons in both input ports. The precision of a given estimator, however, may be significantly improved when nonclassical states are used as input ports [32,53].

The single-emitter \(\rho_v\) achieves such an improvement in \(\Delta \varphi\) when interfering with a sufficiently strong coherent state \(|\sqrt{N}\rangle\). Figure 6(b) shows the obtained precision, which consistently beats the shot-noise limit \(\Delta \varphi_{SN} = 1/\sqrt{N_v} + N_b\) already for moderate photon numbers \(N_b > 10\). For a fair comparison, we calculate the shot noise with no emitter present, i.e., \(N_b = 0\), and all photons in the time bin \((t_0, t_0 + \tau)\) can contribute to the measurement. In the asymptotic limit with weak decay \(\gamma_D = 0.1\kappa\), we numerically find an improvement of about \(10\%\) with the estimator \(J\). The Cramér-Rao bound, which bounds the highest obtainable precision with any estimator, reveals the possibility to more than double the sensitivity improvement to \(21\%\). The auxiliary state \(\rho_{\text{aux}}\) provides an improvement of \(30\%\). While squeezed states outperform \(\rho_v\), the simplicity of creating \(\rho_v\) still renders it a promising alternative.

As we saw in the last section, we generally should not expect any major benefits from using more than two emitters to generate nonclassicality in \(\rho_v\) in absence of noise. This is verified by the results in Fig. 6(c), which shows the Cramér-Rao bound and \(\Delta \varphi\) compared to shot-noise precision for \(\rho_{\text{aux}}\) generated with two emitters. While the sensitivity improvement falls well below the single-emitter \(\rho_v\) results, it becomes far more resilient to dissipation. We explain this as follows: Once the decay rate becomes the dominant timescale, our previous bound-state analysis is no longer valid, and the light after an emitter differs only slightly from the incoming state, as already shown in Fig. 3. These small corrections, however, are amplified by scattering multiple times. Thus, adding more emitters in the high-dissipation regime can enhance the nonclassical features and thus provide a robust sensitivity improvement. As can be seen in Fig. 6(d), even for large decay rates \(\gamma_D = 2\kappa\) we can still beat shot noise with the standard estimator (12) by almost \(5\%\). At this dephasing rate, the Wigner function of \(\rho_v\) is positive for all \(M\) discussed above. This shows that the presented setup can still produce useful nonclassical states if Wigner negativity is no longer applicable as an indicator of nonclassicality.

IV. DISCUSSION

In summary, we have used the input–output theory to show that coherently driven chains of quantum emitters generate light modes with nonclassical number statistics. However, with the current setup of a constant driving field and the flat mode \(v(t)\), the obtained nonclassicality depends strongly on the chosen binning interval, especially since the emission in the steady-state regime shows weak features of nonclassicality. We saw that the nonclassicality originates from the emission of a single energy quanta after the decay of one of the emitters. Therefore, we propose that an individual emitter, periodically driven between \(|G\rangle\) and \(|W\rangle\), will produce stronger nonclassicalities while also being less sensitive to the exact binning parameters, as long as the binning width \(\tau\) is commensurate with the emitter’s period.

A periodic evolution of the emitter state is possible only for time-dependent driving strengths \(\alpha(t)\). While our formalism allows the study of nonconstant \(\alpha(t)\) without any modification, we expect many of the observed effects to change. For example, we expect that it becomes beneficial under time-dependent driving to use more than two superatoms for the generation of nonclassical light, as the alternating pattern in the Wigner functions was observed only due to the large overlap of the driving field with the \(E = 0\) Bethe states. This will not be the case, however, when \(\alpha(t)\) changes significantly on timescales \(1/\kappa\). At the same time, we also expect that
the temporal profile of the output mode \( v(t) \) in the interval \((t_0, t_0 + \tau)\) should also change in time to better suit the non-
constant drive. The optimal profile of \( v(t) \), however, likely has to be determined by numerical optimization.

Without these more intricate driving and observation strategies, the proposed setup is currently limited to the gen-
eration of mixtures of mostly one- and two-photon-added coherent states. Additionally, while our scheme has some resilience to dissipation, the highest obtainable nonclassicality becomes diminished in noisy systems. For the case of Rydberg superatom systems mentioned above, for example, the intrinsic decay into a nonradiating state is typically comparable to the coupling strength \( \kappa \), thus requiring experimental improvements in order to become a viable platform for our proposal [23].

Furthermore, we demonstrated that the proposed setup has potential applications in quantum metrology. The observed sensitivity improvement follows from entangling our nonclas-
sical states of light with each other. In fact, this property to generate entangled states by means of a simple beam splitter is one of the most important features that make nonclassical states useful for such applications [54,55]. Hence, our setup may also benefit quantum illumination experiments [56,57] or may be used in quantum cryptography and communication [58,59].

Since chiral waveguides are implemented in many systems, such as superconducting circuits [26,27], photonic crystal waveguides [21,28,29], and Rydberg superatoms [31], and since \( \rho_v \) is directly accessible in linear quantum optical systems and via homodyne detection, we identify the proposed setup as a promising candidate for the creation of nonclassical states of light.
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APPENDIX: SHORT-BIN DENSITY MATRIX

The density matrix \( \rho_v \) of the photons in mode \( v \) can be found by explicitly calculating each matrix element as

\[
(\rho_v)_{m,n} = \langle |n\rangle |m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!m!}} \langle (b_v^\dagger)^m b_v^n |, \quad (A1)
\]

Here : \( f(b_v^\dagger, b_v) \) denotes the normal ordering of \( f(b_v^\dagger, b_v) \). Since

\[
b_v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \tau} dt [\alpha + \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_{\text{chain}}(t)], \quad (A2)
\]

the relation (A1) for \( \rho_v \) generally yields out-of-time-ordered correlation functions, and thus, determining \( \rho_v \) is impractical in most situations. However, for \( \kappa \tau \ll 1 \) we may approximate

\[
b_v \approx \sqrt{\tau} [\alpha + \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma_{\text{chain}}(t_0)] \quad \text{and thus calculate } \rho_v \text{ from the emitters’ density matrix at time } t_0.
\]

Assuming \( M \) chiral emitters, we can expand the expectation value in (A1) as

\[
\langle \sigma_{\text{chain}}(t) \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\tau)^k}{k!} \langle \sigma_{\text{chain}}(\alpha) \rangle_k \quad \text{(A3)}
\]

Due to the coherent drive it is expected that \( \rho_v \) possesses large overlap with \(|\sqrt{\tau} \alpha\rangle\). Therefore, we extract a factor \( e^{-|\alpha|^2} \) from the \( k \) summation by inserting \( 1 = e^{-|\alpha|^2} e^{|\alpha|^2} \), expanding the positive exponential and thereafter collecting all terms of equal power in \((|\alpha|^2)^k\), resulting in

\[
\langle \sigma_{\text{chain}}(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_{\text{chain}}(\alpha) \rangle \langle |\alpha|^2 \rangle \quad \text{(A4)}
\]

Here we introduced \( x^\Delta = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1) \), the falling factorial, and the forward difference operator \( \Delta \), defined as \( \Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x) \). For simplification in the second step we used

\[
(-1)^k \Delta^k f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f(x+i). \quad (A5)
\]
Next, we eliminate \( n \) and \( m \) from (A4) by using the number operator \( n|n\rangle = b^\dagger b|n\rangle \) and find the density matrix
\[
\rho_v = \sum_{n=0}^M \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{1}{n! m!} \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^+_{\text{chain}} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^-_{\text{chain}} \right)^m \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-\tau |\alpha|^2)^k}{k!} \Delta^k \left| b^\dagger b + x \right|^\tilde{\alpha} |\sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle \langle \sqrt{\tau} \alpha | (b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha}. \tag{A6}
\]
It is already evident that \( \rho_v \) is generated from the coherent state \( |\sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle \langle \sqrt{\tau} \alpha | \) by application of an operator which is a function in \( b^\dagger b \). Next, we show that this operator adds only up to \( M \) photons to \( \rho_v \) and find \( \rho_v \) in a Fock-state basis.

The algebra of finite differences with falling factorials possesses many similarities to the derivatives of monomials, e.g., \( \Delta x^2 = nx^{n-2} \), and one finds the generalized product rule \( \Delta f g = (\Delta f) g + f (\Delta g) + (\Delta f) (\Delta g) \). Thus, it is evident that (A6) will consist of only falling factorials of the number operator, which directly translate into normal ordered powers \((b^\dagger b) \tilde{\alpha} = (b^\dagger b)^\tilde{\alpha} :\). Therefore, \( \rho_v \) in (A6) is invariant under the set of replacements
\[
\Delta |_{x=0} \mapsto (\delta_\alpha + \delta_\gamma + \delta_\beta \gamma)_|_{x=0=0}, \tag{A7}
\]
\[
(b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha} \mapsto (b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha} : | \sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle = (\sqrt{\tau} \alpha b^\dagger + x)^\tilde{\alpha} | \sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle = D(\sqrt{\tau} \alpha)(\sqrt{\tau} \alpha b^\dagger + \tau |\alpha|^2 + x)^\tilde{\alpha} |0\rangle, \tag{A8}
\]
\[
| \sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle (b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha} \mapsto | \sqrt{\tau} \alpha \rangle : (b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha} : = (\sqrt{\tau} \alpha b^\dagger + \tau |\alpha|^2 + x)^\tilde{\alpha} |0\rangle = D(\sqrt{\tau} \alpha) \tag{A9}
\]
We now perform the \( k \) summation, which yields two translation operators \( \mathcal{T}_{\alpha y} (\tau |\alpha|^2) \) for \( x \) and \( y \) and the operator \( \exp(-\tau |\alpha|^2 \beta \gamma) \). The translation operators cancel the \( \tau |\alpha|^2 \) terms in (A8) and (A9). After rescaling \( x \) and \( y \), we end up with the density matrix
\[
D(\sqrt{\tau} \alpha) \rho_v D(\sqrt{\tau} \alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^M \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{1}{n! m!} \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^+_{\text{chain}} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^-_{\text{chain}} \right)^m e^{-\tilde{\alpha}^2} \Delta^k \left| b^\dagger b + x \right|^\tilde{\alpha} |0\rangle \langle 0 |(b^\dagger b + x)^\tilde{\alpha} \tag{A10}
= \sum_{n=0}^M \sum_{m=0}^M \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^+_{\text{chain}} \right)^n \left( \sqrt{\kappa} \sigma^-_{\text{chain}} \right)^m \min(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \sum_{k=0}^{\min(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})} (-1)^k \frac{|n-k\rangle \langle n-k|}{k! \sqrt{(n-k)!}} \right|^\tilde{\alpha} \right|^\tilde{\alpha} \).
\]
Here, the right-hand side is spanned by the truncated Fock space \( \{|0\rangle, \ldots, |M\rangle\} \), which is to say that \( \rho_v \) generally is an \( (M+1) \)-state mixture of \( M \)-photon-added coherent states in the short-blin limit \( \kappa \tau \ll 1 \).

[47] See the Appendix for the derivation.