Massive Galaxy Clusters Like El Gordo Hint at Primordial Quantum Diffusion
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Introduction.—The standard cosmological model (ΛCDM) provides an excellent fit to high-precision astrophysical and cosmological observations, in particular, the cosmic microwave background (CMB), the large-scale structure (LSS) of the Universe, and the relative abundance of light elements. Its three main ingredients are (i) general relativity and the cosmological principle, (ii) a Universe made of baryonic matter, dark matter, radiation, and dark energy, and (iii) quasi-scale-invariant, Gaussian initial density fluctuations.

However, hints for a few cracks start to emerge at different stages, in the form of moderate statistical tensions in parameter inference, e.g., the local expansion rate [1,2], or via the existence of "extreme" objects or outliers, which are more frequently observed than what ΛCDM predicts. Those may be associated with either an extremely low value of the density field (such as the Eridanus supervoid [3,4], which seems to have a direct connection with the CMB cold spot [5]) or extremely large values of the density field (such as massive galaxy clusters like El Gordo [6]—see, however, Ref. [7] for a recent smaller estimate of its mass—and the presence of galaxies and quasisellar objects at extremely high redshifts, where according to standard ΛCDM there should not be any [8,9]). In addition to the early structure formation issues, there are late time mismatches at small scales such as the substructure problems [10] and the too-big-to-fail and the core-cusp problems [11], which could be alleviated by incorporating baryonic physics [12].

While most attempts to reconcile those potential issues focus on relaxing either the first or the second assumption mentioned above (i.e., modifying the laws of gravity or invoking the existence of additional components in the Universe), a natural strategy to accommodate the existence of extreme objects within the ΛCDM paradigm would be to question the third assumption, namely, the Gaussianity of the primordial density fluctuations. The reason is twofold: Experimentally, there are more extreme objects than what Gaussian tails suggest, pointing toward the existence of heavier tails; theoretically, the typical mechanisms producing primordial cosmological perturbations anyway lead to non-Gaussian tails.

In the early Universe, indeed, vacuum quantum fluctuations are amplified by gravitational instability and stretched to large distances, giving rise to classical fluctuations in the density field, that later collapse into cosmological structures [13]. At leading order in cosmological perturbation theory, it gives rise to Gaussian perturbations, in good agreement with CMB measurements [15]. However, the CMB gives access to large scales only and leaves small scales mostly unconstrained. Moreover, even at large scales, they restrict the statistics of the most likely...
fluctuations only; i.e., they reconstruct only the neighborhood of the maximum of the underlying distribution functions and say little about their tails.

Nonetheless, beyond linear order, those tails are expected to be non-Gaussian. The difficulty when characterizing the statistics of those tails is that they require nonperturbative techniques. Perturbative approaches, such as calculations of the bi- or trispectrum, and $f_{NL}$-like parametrizations, are tailored to describe small deviations from Gaussianity around the maximum, not accounting for the tails.

Quantum diffusion and non-Gaussian tails.—Recently, nonperturbative techniques have been developed to study how quantum diffusion, the presence of which is inevitable in scenarios where cosmological perturbations have a quantum origin, modifies the expansion dynamics of the Universe and, thus, affects the statistics of density fluctuations. This can be done by combining three approaches to describe the dynamics of super-Hubble degrees of freedom. First is the separate-universe picture [16–19] (which is valid beyond slow roll [20,21]), according to which spatial gradients can be neglected on super-Hubble scales, and each spatial point evolves independently along the dynamics of an unperturbed universe. Second is stochastic inflation [22,23], in which quantum fluctuations act as a stochastic noise on the classical, background evolution of each of these separate universes. Third is the $\delta N$ formalism [19,24–26], which states that, in each of these separate universes, the local fluctuation in the amount of expansion realized between an initial flat hypersurface and a final hypersurface of uniform energy density is nothing but the curvature perturbation. This gives rise to the stochastic-$\delta N$ formalism [27–32], which provides a nonperturbative scheme to compute the statistics of curvature perturbations on super-Hubble scales. These methods now extend to the calculation of the density contrast and the compaction function [33].

While these techniques recover quasi-Gaussian distributions close to their maximum, with $f_{NL}$-type corrections, they also reveal the existence of systematic exponential tails. This is why, in models but rather wish to explore generic consequences of these exponential tails is ubiquitous and arises in any model where quantum diffusion is at play. In this sense, they are already embedded in the $\Lambda$CDM scenario. Therefore, our approach does not rely on extending $\Lambda$CDM to solve the above-mentioned issues: Our goal is rather to point out that $\Lambda$CDM may already contain the ingredients needed to explain those “anomalous” observations, provided we carefully compute the primordial statistics beyond the perturbative level.

Heavy tails in the form of log-normal distributions are already known to develop on sub-Hubble scales after inflation, due to gravitational collapse [51–53]. However, the effect we are considering here is different: It leads to primordial heavy tails, which are present even before Hubble reentry.

Exponential tails in the primordial statistics of perturbations.—The details of the stochastic distribution associated with primordial perturbations depend on the specifics of the inflationary model (the number of fields, their potential, their kinetic coupling, etc.). In order to describe the amplitude of fluctuations coarse-grained at a certain scale, one has to convolve the first-passage time distributions against backward distributions of the field value [33,38]. Moreover, one must account for the nonlinear mapping between the curvature perturbation and the density contrast [54], which further modifies distribution functions and can also introduce heavy tails [55,56]. In this Letter, we do not aim at deriving predictions for specific models but rather wish to explore generic consequences arising from the presence of heavy tails. This is why, in practice, we consider two normalized templates for the distribution function of the density contrast in comoving threading $\delta$:

$$P_2(\delta_k) = -\frac{\pi}{2\mu^2} \delta_k^2 \left( \frac{\mu \alpha_k}{2}, e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\mu^2} \delta_k^2} \right).$$

$$P_4(\delta_k) = -\frac{\pi}{2\mu^2} \delta_k^4 \left( \frac{\mu \alpha_k}{2}, e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\mu^2} \delta_k^2} \right).$$

In these expressions, $\delta_k$ denotes the Fourier mode of the density contrast, related to the positive variable $D_k$ through the relation $\delta_k = D_k - \langle D_k \rangle$, where the mean value is taken with respect to the distribution function in question.
These distributions depend on two parameters, $\alpha_k$ and $\mu$, the latter being scale independent to reflect the fact that the eigenvalues $\Lambda_n$ do not depend on the field configuration or, hence, on the scale [35]. Finally, $\theta_s'$ and $\theta_s''$ are the derivatives of the elliptic theta functions of the second and fourth kind, respectively [57]. In what follows, they are referred to as the “elliptic 2” and “elliptic 4” templates, respectively. Such functions are often found in toy models of quantum diffusion [34,35].

The two distributions are displayed in Fig. 1 as a function of $\delta/\sigma$, where hereafter $\sigma$ denotes the standard deviation of the distribution under consideration and where they are compared with a Gaussian distribution, a local $f_{\text{NL}}$ distribution, and a log-normal distribution. The free parameters of those distributions are set such that they are maximal at the same location and all share the same value of $\sigma$ around the maximum and are given by $\alpha = 0.5$, $\mu = \pi$, and $\sigma = \sqrt{2}\alpha^2$, with the same $\alpha$ and $\mu$ for both elliptic functions. See Supplemental Material [58] for how to match the shape around the maximum.

The local $f_{\text{NL}}$ parametrization is defined as

$$\delta(x) = \delta_G(x) + \frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} [\delta_G^2(x) - \sigma_G^2],$$

where $\delta_G$ has a Gaussian distribution function centered at zero and with dispersion $\sigma_G \equiv \langle \delta_G^2 \rangle^{1/2}$. From this expression, one can show that

$$P_{\text{NL}}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_G^2 \Delta}} \left[ e^{-\frac{3\langle \delta^2 \rangle}{5f_{\text{NL}} \sigma_G^2}} + e^{-\frac{25\langle \delta^2 \rangle}{36f_{\text{NL}} \sigma_G^2}} \right],$$

where $\Delta(\delta) = 1 + 12/5f_{\text{NL}} \delta + 36/25f_{\text{NL}}^2 \sigma_G^2$. As shown in Fig. 1, although $f_{\text{NL}}$ correctly describes the non-Gaussian corrections around the maximum, it fails to capture the highly non-Gaussian tails. Similarly, since $P_{\text{NL}}$ diverges when $\delta$ approaches $-3f_{\text{NL}}\sigma^2/5 - 5/(12f_{\text{NL}})$, it cannot properly describe the small-$\delta$ statistics [59]. Interestingly, the elliptic functions are more similar to a log-normal distribution than the perturbative $f_{\text{NL}}$ approximation.

**Implications for the large-scale structure.**—The simplest statistics to be extracted from the primordial density fluctuations is the one-point function, i.e., the number of collapsed objects. Following the Press-Schechter formalism [60], this is given by the probability that $\delta$ is above a given threshold $\delta_c$: $\beta = P(\delta > \delta_c) = 2 \int_{\delta_c}^{\infty} P(\delta) d\delta$. $\delta_c$ depends on the time of reentry of the fluctuations and has been extensively explored in the literature [61–64]. For our purposes it will be enough to fix it to $\delta_c = 1.68$, as predicted by linear theory of spherical collapse [65]. From Fig. 1, it is clear that, as $\nu = \delta_c/\sigma$ increases, the number of collapsed objects is larger in heavy-tailed models than in the Gaussian case.

More precisely, let us study how structures distribute across different masses. This can be achieved with the halo mass function (HMF), defined from the mass fraction $\beta$ as

$$\frac{dn}{d\ln M} = \frac{\rho_m}{M} \frac{d\beta}{d\ln \nu} = \frac{\rho_m}{M} \frac{d\ln \sigma^{-1}}{d\ln M} \nu^{\beta'(\nu)},$$

where $M$ is the mass of the halo, $\rho_m$ is the energy density of matter, and a prime denotes derivation with respect to $\nu$. Previous works have proposed to test $f_{\text{NL}}$ with the HMF; see, e.g., [66–70]. Here, we extend those results by exploring initial density perturbations with non-Gaussian tails.

Since present observations show a good agreement with the Gaussian hypothesis at galactic scales, we tune the free parameters of all considered distributions such that they peak at the same value and share the same standard deviation (see Supplemental Material [58] for further details). As a consequence, the only difference in Eq. (4) comes from the term $\beta'(\nu)$. In a Gaussian distribution, one has $\beta_G'(\nu) = -2e^{-\nu^2} / \sqrt{\pi}$, and similar expressions can be obtained for the other distributions.

Let us now study the redshift evolution of the number of halos. We can describe the HMF (4) as a function of redshift by writing $\rho_m(a) = \Omega_m(a)\rho_c$, with $\Omega_m(a) = \Omega_m / a^3$ and $\sigma(a) = \sigma(1)D(a)$, with the growth function $D(a) = \delta(a)/\delta(1)$ given by [71] $D(a) \propto a \times (2w - 1)/2w$, $-1/(3w)$, $\langle w - 1 \rangle/2w$, $-1/3w$, $1/(2w - 5)$, $1 - 1/\Omega_m(a)$, where $w$ is the equation of state of dark energy (set to) $w = -1$ and $a$ is the scale factor. By comparing the HMF at different redshifts with the abundance of massive clusters, we can estimate whether, e.g., El Gordo is a typical cluster or not, at a given redshift.

Our main results are presented in Fig. 2, where we display the HMF for the four distributions under consideration at three redshifts, $z = 0, 1, 7$. The bottom panels...
display the ratio of the HMF with respect to the Gaussian case, with the normalization fixed to the Gaussian at $M = 10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and $z = 0$, where $h$ is the dimensionless Hubble constant, $h = H_0/(100 \text{ km/s/Mpc})$.

In addition to the number of halos per unit mass, it is interesting to compute the number of clusters as a function of redshift. This can be probed directly, for example, with CMB data using the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect [73–75]. Our results are presented in Fig. 3, focusing on clusters with $M > 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ (see Supplemental Material [58] for the detailed calculation). One can clearly see that, already beyond $z \sim 1$, the number of clusters is much enhanced when initial perturbations have heavy tails. This, again, shows the potential of this method to constrain the very early-Universe physics.

Observationally, in most cases we do not have direct access to the HMF but rather to the amount of luminous matter. One, thus, needs to take into account the astrophysical systematics connecting these two. Recently, constraints on $f_{NL}$ have been derived using UV galaxy luminosity functions that marginalize over those systematics [76]. A natural extension of this work would, thus, be to constrain the heavy tails from quantum diffusion with these data. Moreover, the HMF at subgalactic scales could be probed by analyzing the strong lensing rates and magnifications [77].

FIG. 2. Halo mass function (i.e., differential number of halos per comoving volume) obtained from different distributions for the primordial density perturbations: Gaussian, elliptic 2 and 4, and local $f_{NL}$ (where $f_{NL}$ is fixed at the last scattering surface). Each column corresponds to the HMF at a different redshift. The bottom panels show the ratio between the HMF and the Gaussian result. Quantum diffusion affects both low- and high-mass ends of the HMF and become more significant at higher redshifts, making their signatures distinguishable from perturbative non-Gaussianities ($f_{NL}$). The normalization is fixed to match the Gaussian at $M = 10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and $z = 0$, where $h$ is the dimensionless Hubble constant, $h = H_0/(100 \text{ km/s/Mpc})$.

FIG. 3. Number of clusters with mass larger than $10^{15} M_{\odot}$ in redshift bins of $\Delta z = 0.1$ as a function of redshift for the Gaussian, elliptic 2 and 4, and $f_{NL}$ distributions. The normalization is fixed to the Gaussian case at $z = 0$. We highlight with a vertical dashed line the redshift of El Gordo ($z = 0.87$).
Future prospects.—$\Lambda$CDM relies on the assumption of Gaussian initial conditions. Although CMB observations tightly constrain the amount of non-Gaussianities at large scales, little is known about the primordial fluctuations at smaller scales. Several processes in the early Universe could lead to non-Gaussian distributions. Notably, an inevitable exponential tail arises due to quantum diffusion during inflation. In this Letter, we have studied the imprints these heavy tails leave in the number of halos and their mass function. We have found that they enhance the number of heavy clusters and deplete the number of subhalos and that this difference with respect to the standard Gaussian initial conditions becomes more important at high redshift, depending on the strength of quantum standard Gaussian initial conditions becomes more importantly, we have shown that, within the standard cosmological model itself, quantum diffusion is inevitable during inflation, and some of the current tensions can be alleviated thanks to the non-Gaussian nature of the tails of primordial perturbations.
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[13] This mechanism is mostly studied in the context of inflation, but it also operates in most of its alternatives [14].