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Introduction

In efficient visual search, priming of pop-out (PoP; Majkovic & Nakayama, 1994, 1996) is usually reported as a speeded response when a target feature is repeated on consecutive trials.

Feature facilitation accounts: Sensitization to features via short-term memory. Priming at perceptual level.

Post-perceptual accounts: PoP affects response times, not accuracy, via response repetition benefits, decision bias or other "late" effects.

Questions:

1. Do color and/or position repetitions increase accuracy at brief exposure durations?

2. If so, is a category weighting account a viable explanation of the PoP when applied within a TVA-framework (Bundesen, 1990)?

Accounting for repetition priming within TVA (Bundesen, 1990)

A Theory of Visual Attention (TVA) is a combined theory of selection and recognition. It has been mathematically formalised in a fixed capacity independent race model (FRIRM). The central assumptions of the theory are described by the rate and weight equations (figure 2). *

In TVA selectivity is obtained by adjusting attentional weights for perceptual categories by differentiating their relative values (r_i). Pertinence can be adjusted voluntarily by current goals or instructions, but involuntary factors can also affect it.

Here we treat r_i as a parameter that can be differentially affected from trial to trial by varying target identity during a task. The assumption is that m-calculations are ongoing and the current importance of a target category is affected by its importance on the previous trial.

Results

- A 2x2 within subjects analysis revealed significant main effects of position and color repetition (p < 0.001 and 0.003, respectively). No interaction was found between the two (p=0.619).
- Position priming effects ranged from 2.5-11.4 pp, between subjects.
- Color priming effects ranged from 1.7-11.8 pp, between subjects.
- All subjects showed the same pattern of lowest accuracy under the "no-repetition" condition and highest accuracy under the "both repeated" condition. These within-subject differences ranged from 10-23 pp.

The experiment

We tried to replicate perceptual priming effects in an accuracy based design (Yashar & Lamy, 2010) while generating to alphanumeric stimuli. Our design also has the advantage of multiple responses (15 consonants), which minimizes any effects of response repetition and visuomotor effects, leaving the results more readily interpreted as perceptual effects.

We presented subjects with a 3x3 consonant matrix where a target would always occupy one of the four corner positions. The displays where present for from 10-180 msec.

The subjects’ task was to report the odd-one-out letter by pressing the appropriate key on a keyboard. The target identity was determined by color and varied randomly (Figure 1).

Methods

Participants were 6 students at the University of Iceland (3 male), ages 22-28. Each subject participated in at least 16 blocks of 100 trials. Trials following incorrect trials are excluded from the analysis. Poses were repeated 15 times to monitor the test assignment and accuracy of stimuli presentation. All stimuli were presented on a standard PureVision monitor with a resolution of 1024x768. Color and capitalisation were represented as red or blue capital letters.

Eye movements were collected by a Dataconic eye tracker to monitor the start of each trial dependent on a variable fixation between 0.1 and 1.0 sec. Eye movements were recorded by a Cambridge Research Systems eye tracker. Stimulus presentation and eye-movement control was examined by EyeView, using the PST and the Psychophysics toolbox.

Results

- PoP affects accuracy at very brief exposures.
- The effects cannot be explained by reference to response related mechanisms.
- The results suggest a perceptual component in PoP. This does in not exclude response related PoP.
- A simple additive TVA model can be fitted quite well to experimental data.
- Recent literature suggests that repetition are the result of two or multiple mechanisms (see Lamy & Yashar, in press; Kristjánsson & Campana, 2010).

Conclusions

- Visuomotor effects, leaving the results more readily interpreted as perceptual effects.
- The results suggest a perceptual component in PoP. This does in not exclude response related PoP.
- A simple additive TVA model can be fitted quite well to experimental data.
- Recent literature suggests that repetition are the result of two or multiple mechanisms (see Lamy & Yashar, in press; Kristjánsson & Campana, 2010).
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