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ABSTRACT

We present specific star formation rates (sSFRs) for 40 ultraviolet (UV)-bright galaxies at $z \sim 7–8$ observed as part of the Reionization Era Bright Emission Line Survey (REBELS) Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) large programme. The sSFRs are derived using improved star formation rate (SFR) calibrations and spectral energy distribution (SED)-based stellar masses, made possible by measurements of far-infrared (FIR) continuum emission and [C II]-based spectroscopic redshifts. The median sSFR of the sample is $18_{-10}^{+17}$ Gyr$^{-1}$, significantly larger than literature measurements lacking constraints in the FIR, reflecting the larger obscured SFRs derived from the dust continuum relative to that implied by the UV+optical SED. We suggest that such differences may reflect spatial variations in dust across these luminous galaxies, with the component dominating the FIR distinct from that dominating the UV. We demonstrate that the inferred stellar masses (and hence sSFRs) are strongly dependent on the assumed star formation history in reionization-era galaxies. When large sSFR galaxies (a population that is common at $z > 6$) are modelled with non-parametric star formation histories, the derived stellar masses can increase by an order of magnitude relative to constant star formation models, owing to the presence of a significant old stellar population that is outshined by the recent burst. The [C II] line widths in the largest sSFR systems are often very broad, suggesting dynamical masses capable of accommodating an old stellar population suggested by non-parametric models. Regardless of these systematic uncertainties among derived parameters, we find that sSFRs increase rapidly toward higher redshifts for massive galaxies ($9.6 < \log (M_{*}/M_{\odot}) < 9.8$), evolving as $(1+z)^{1.7 \pm 0.3}$, broadly consistent with expectations from the evolving baryon accretion rates.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Deep imaging surveys using large ground- and space-based telescopes in the past decade have revealed a wealth of information about galaxies in the Epoch of Reionization (see Robertson 2021 for a review). These observations have revealed an abundant population of relatively low-luminosity star-forming systems that likely contribute greatly to the ionizing budget required for reionization (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Robertson et al. 2015; Ishigaki et al. 2018; Oesch et al. 2018; Naidu et al. 2022). Much has been learned about the properties of early galaxies from the rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) and optical spectral energy distributions (SEDs) constructed from the combination of Hubble and Spitzer photometry. The star formation rates (SFRs) and stellar masses implied by these SEDs allow for a variety of constraints on measures of galaxy growth through the reionization era (e.g. Smit et al. 2016; Song et al. 2016; Stefanon et al. 2021).

The specific star formation rate (sSFR $\equiv$ SFR/$M_*$) is one of the most useful measures of galaxy stellar mass build-up. When considering galaxies of fixed mass, the sSFR is generally predicted to increase with redshift, driven by the rise in baryon accretion rates at earlier times (Dekel, Sari & Ceverino 2009; Fakhouri, Ma & Boylan-Kolchin 2010; Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2011; Weinmann, Neistein & Dekel 2011; Dayal et al. 2013; Krumholz 2013; Correa et al. 2015; Sparre et al. 2015). These theoretical expectations suggest the redshift evolution of the sSFR should follow a power law roughly of the form sSFR $\propto (1+z)^{2.25}$ (e.g. Dekel et al. 2009). Deviations from this evolutionary form could arise for a variety of reasons if the SFRs of early galaxies are unable to keep up with the rapidly inflowing rate of baryons (e.g. Gabor & Bournaud 2014).

Efforts to observationally constrain the redshift evolution of the sSFR into the reionization era began over a decade ago following the first Hubble and Spitzer Deep Fields. Early results revealed similar sSFRs in galaxies of fixed mass at $2 < z < 7$. This suggested little
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evolution at redshifts higher than $z = 2$ (e.g. Stark et al. 2009; González et al. 2010, 2011; Labbé et al. 2010; Bouwens et al. 2012), in conflict with the simple predictions from the evolving baryon accretion rates (e.g. Weinmann et al. 2011). As data and models improved, it became clear that the stellar masses at $z > 5$ needed to be revised downward owing to a significant contribution from nebular emission lines in the Spitzer/InfraRed Array Camera (IRAC) bandpasses (Schaerer & de Barros 2009). Once accounted for, the sSFRs in the reionization era were found to be significantly larger than initial estimates suggested (Stark et al. 2013; Duncan et al. 2014; González et al. 2014; Smit et al. 2014; Tasca et al. 2015), easing tension with the redshift evolution predicted from rising baryon accretion rates.

The most recent updates to the $z > 4$ sSFRs have come from the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) measurements of the thermal dust continuum in the far-infrared (FIR), providing a more direct constraint on obscured star formation in early galaxies. The ALMA Large Program to Investigate C+ at Early Times (ALPINE) survey (Béthermin et al. 2020; Faisst et al. 2020; Le Fèvre et al. 2020) presented the first statistical view of the dust continuum emission in $z = 4.5-5.9$ UV-selected galaxies. This enables much improved measurement of the total SFRs, through the combination of UV (unobscured) and FIR (obscured) calibrations. Using the derived UV+infrared (IR) SFRs and stellar masses from ALPINE, Khusanova et al. (2021) characterized the average sSFR evolution. The results suggested a very slow evolution at $z > 4$, potentially again suggesting a rapid rise in SFR predicted from the rising baryon accretion rates.

Here we extend this work into the reionization era using the sample of 40 UV-bright ($M_{UV} \leq -21.5$) galaxies at $z \sim 7-9$ observed as part of the ALMA Reionization Era Bright Emission Line Survey (REBELS; Bouwens et al. 2022). This sample marks a significant increase in the number of spectroscopic redshifts (via [CII] emission) and dust continuum detections in the reionization era. We use these data to characterize the sSFRs of UV-bright galaxies at this crucial epoch, for which our goals are twofold. First, we aim to explore the redshift evolution of the SFR, using the improved constraints on the obscured SFR made possible by the ALMA continuum measurements. Second, we explore what the ALMA measurements reveal about the nature of the largest sSFR galaxies, a population of recent bursts that may contribute significantly to reionization (e.g. Izotov et al. 2018, 2021; Tang et al. 2019; Endsley et al. 2021; Naidu et al. 2022; Vanzella et al. 2022). In Section 2, we provide an overview of the survey and observations. Section 3 describes the derivation of galaxy properties and calculation of the sSFRs. Section 4 presents our main results with further discussion in Section 5. Finally, we provide a summary in Section 6. Throughout this paper we assume a cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.30$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.70$.

2 DATA AND METHODS

2.1 The REBELS survey

The REBELS survey was designed to construct the first measurements of interstellar medium (ISM) cooling lines and dust continua for a statistical sample of UV-bright galaxies photometrically selected at $z > 6.5$. A detailed description of the sample selection is provided in Bouwens et al. (2022), however we provide a brief description here. Candidate objects were selected in a number of fields with coverage in the optical, near-infrared (NIR), and Spitzer/IRAC bands including Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS)/UltraVISTA, VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO)/XMM-Large Scale Structure (LSS)/UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS)/UltraDeep Survey (UDS), and Hubble Space Telescope (HST) legacy fields, in addition to the Brightest of Reionizing Galaxies (BoRG)/Hubble Infrared Pure Parallel Imaging Extragalactic Survey (HIPPIES) pure parallel fields (Lawrence et al. 2007; Grogan et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011; Trenti et al. 2011; Yan et al. 2011; Bradley et al. 2012; McCracken et al. 2012; Mauduit et al. 2012; Postman et al. 2012; Jarvis et al. 2013; Schmidt et al. 2014; Steinhardt et al. 2014; Ashby et al. 2018; Roe et al. 2019; Morishita et al. 2020; Salmon et al. 2020; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022). Briefly, the photometric coverage for a majority of this sample comprises BgVriz measurements from Subaru Suprime-Cam and ugriz measurements from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) and Subaru/Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC) in the rest-frame UV, with $y$, $J$, $H$, and $K$, bands from the UltraVISTA program (McCracken et al. 2012), in addition to Spitzer/IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm covering the rest-frame optical. A detailed breakdown of the available photometry is provided in Bouwens et al. (2022).

The candidate sample was narrowed down to a collection of UV-bright galaxies with constrained photometric redshifts selected from the source catalogues of Bowler et al. (2014, 2017, 2020), Stefanon et al. (2017, 2019), Endsley et al. (2021), Schouws et al. (2022), Bouwens et al. (2022), and Stefanon et al. (in preparation). The final targeted sample was then constructed of galaxies for which an ISM cooling line would likely be detected, which was determined using the measured UV luminosity converted to line flux using the calibration of De Looze et al. (2014). This observed sample comprises 40 galaxies targeted within the redshift range $z = 6.5-9.4$.

Fig. 1 shows the redshift, $M_{UV}$, and stellar mass distributions for the final targeted sample. The redshift distribution, which is characterized by a median of $z = 6.96$, comprises redshifts measured spectroscopically for 23 objects and the best-fitting photometric redshift if no emission line could be measured. The REBELS sample spans absolute UV magnitudes in the range $-21.3$ to $-23.0$ with a median value of $M_{UV} = -22.0$. This distribution is comparable to the ALPINE sample, which probes $M_{UV} = -20.2$ to $-22.7$ (Faisst et al. 2020). Finally, Fig. 1(c) shows the stellar mass distribution derived using SED fitting described below. This stellar mass distribution spans a similar range of stellar masses to that of the ALPINE survey (Faisst et al. 2020). The similarity in $M_{UV}$ and stellar masses between the REBELS and ALPINE galaxies makes it possible to compare the two samples with the goal of understanding evolution of properties from $z \sim 4.5$ to $z \sim 7$.

2.2 Observations and data reductions

Observations of [CII]158 μm, [OIII]88 μm, and dust continua for the REBELS sources were obtained using ALMA. These observations consist of scans of spectral windows that cover the allowed observed frequency range of targeted ISM cooling lines determined by the photometric redshift likelihood distribution. The scans for emission lines in REBELS targets achieved the sensitivity required to detect [CII] of $2 \times 10^4$ $L_{ve}$ at 5σ for a galaxy at $z = 7$, and assuming a typical line width of 250 km s$^{-1}$ (Bouwens et al. 2022). For greatest sensitivity, the lowest spatial resolution configuration was used, resulting in typical beam full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 1.2–1.6 arcsec. The sensitivity required to detect dust continuum compared to emission lines has been established in previous works at high redshift (Capak et al. 2015; Maiolino et al. 2015; Inoue et al. 2016; Matthee et al. 2017; Béthermin et al. 2020). In these studies, it is often found that the spectral scans are slightly more likely to detect
an emission line than they are the dust continuum. The observational strategy of REBELS briefly described here resulted in $3\sigma$ limits in the dust continuum luminosity of $L_{\text{IR}} > 3 \times 10^{11} \text{L}_{\odot}$ at $z = 7$ (Bouwens et al. 2022). Observations of REBELS targets were obtained from 2019 November to 2020 January, with 34 targets having completed their observations, and the remaining targets to be observed in the future. Of these 34 targets, 18 have $>\sigma$ detections of [C II]$_{\lambda\lambda 157,158}$ (described in Bouwens et al. 2022; Schouws et al., in preparation) and 13 have a $>3\sigma$ measurement in the dust continuum corresponding to IR luminosities from $L_{\text{IR}} = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{L}_{\odot}$ to $L_{\text{IR}} = 1 \times 10^{13} \text{L}_{\odot}$ (described in Inami et al. 2022). Three of the dust continuum detections are in objects with incomplete spectral scans and thus do not have spectroscopic redshift measurements. The calculation of these IR luminosities is described in Section 3.2.2. Observations were reduced and calibrated using the standard ALMA calibration pipeline in CASA. A full description of the observation strategy and data processing techniques is described in Bouwens et al. (2022), Inami et al. (2022), and Schouws et al. (in preparation).

3 CALCULATION OF THE SSFRs

In this section, we describe the methods used to derive the sSFR for objects in the REBELS sample. This computation includes the estimation of the stellar mass, and derivation of the total SFR. For the stellar mass we describe several different approaches and describe the systematics included. The total SFR is derived from the sum of both unobscured (UV) and obscured (FIR) components. We describe the methods and uncertainties of both calculations. Finally, we compute the resulting sSFRs for the REBELS galaxies and compare our derived values to those obtained from SED fitting of rest-frame UV and optical photometry. In order to quantify these systematics, we derive galaxy properties using SED models with a variety of assumptions. Briefly, we test the impact of the assumed dust law in the SED fitting using the BayesEsian Analysis of GaLaxy sEds (BEAGLE) and comparing the results with Calzetti, Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), or Milky Way dust is imposed. Additionally, we analyse how the inferred properties derived from SEDs vary for different stellar templates and nebular emission recipes by comparing the output from BEAGLE and PROSPECTOR that have identical model set-ups and constant star formation histories (CSFHs). Finally, we use PROSPECTOR and assume a non-parametric star formation history (SFH) to assess how the assumed SFH impacts the inferred properties. For consistency across all SED models, we adopt lognormal priors for metallicity and ionization parameter that are centred at $0.2 \text{Z}_{\odot}$ and log ($U$) $= -2.5$, with widths of 0.15 and 0.25 dex, respectively, consistent with properties implied by the small sample of rest-frame UV spectroscopic detections of highly ionized lines at these redshifts (e.g. Stark et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019). Table 1 provides a summary of SED model assumptions tested throughout this analysis.

3.1 Stellar mass

A comprehensive analysis of the methods used to derive stellar masses is presented in Stefanon et al. (in preparation), but we provide a brief description here. Stellar masses were derived using the SED-fitting code BEAGLE (Chevallard & Charlot 2016) and PROSPECTOR (Johnson et al. 2021). For ease of comparison to previous works, we will adopt the BEAGLE SED models that assume a CSF as our fiducial set of properties. We also discuss how the adoption of non-parametric SFHs would influence our conclusions. The BEAGLE tool utilizes the most recent version of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models and includes a self-consistent treatment of nebular emission based on the photoionization modelling of Gutkin, Charlot & Bruzual (2016). These models use a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF) with stellar masses ranging from 0.1 to 300 M$_{\odot}$. We adopt an SMC dust attenuation law as fiducial but also consider the effects of alternatively assuming a Calzetti, Kinney & Storchi-Bergmann (1994) or Milky Way law.

For each galaxy, the models were fixed at the spectroscopic redshift if available, and otherwise the redshift was allowed to vary. We fit all available photometry from the optical to mid-IR (see Bouwens et al. 2022 for a full description), and we also fit narrow-band NIR photometry where available (e.g. Endslsey et al. 2021). We provide model output values based on the median of the posterior probability distribution, with uncertainties defined as the 16th and
84th percentiles. Based on this fiducial model set-up, we obtain the distribution of stellar masses presented in Fig. 1(c). This distribution has a median stellar mass of log(M∗/M⊙) = 9.5 with the full range of stellar masses spanning log(M∗/M⊙) = 8.56–10.14. The median uncertainty on the inferred stellar mass is 0.4 dex.

To explore the impact that different codes and model templates can have, we compare results derived from BEAGLE with those from PROSPECTOR with identical initial assumptions. For our PROSPECTOR fits, we adopt the Flexible Stellar Population Synthesis (FSPS) templates (Conroy, Gunn & White 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010) that utilize the MESA Isochrones and Stellar Tracks (MIST) isochrones (Choi et al. 2016). We assume a CSFH with a Chabrier (2003) IMF with a high-mass limit of 300 M⊙, and an SMC dust law. We find broadly consistent results for stellar masses estimated from BEAGLE and PROSPECTOR when assuming the same SFH. Specifically, we calculate log(M∗/M⊙)BEAGLE − log(M∗/M⊙)PROSPECTOR for each object in our sample, and find a median value of this difference between the two stellar mass estimates of 0.04 dex. The measured differences scatter about this median with a width of 0.2 dex, which is within the typical uncertainty determined on the stellar mass. This consistency between the masses inferred using the two codes suggests that the stellar masses are in most cases not strongly sensitive to the assumed model templates (see Whitler et al. 2022 for a more detailed discussion). We find that the choice of the attenuation law also does not strongly impact the derived stellar masses. The median offset between the stellar mass derived assuming the Calzetti and SMC dust laws is just 0.09 dex, with the SMC law returning modestly smaller masses, on average. We find a similar difference when comparing stellar masses inferred assuming SMC and Milky Way dust, with models assuming a Milky Way law yielding stellar masses 0.08 dex larger than those assuming SMC dust on average. In what follows, we will use the SMC dust law as fiducial, but the main results would not vary significantly if we had instead adopted a Milky Way or Calzetti et al. (1994) law.

The assumed SFH plays a more significant role in the derived mass (e.g. Lower et al. 2020). Most analyses at very high redshifts have used simple parametric SFHs, such as the CSFH models we described previously. It is becoming increasingly clear that non-parametric SFHs can lead to very different solutions (e.g. Leja et al. 2017). This is particularly important in the reionization era, where a significant fraction of the population appears to be in the midst of a burst (i.e. a recent upturn in star formation; Vallini et al. 2020, 2021; Legrand et al. 2022; Pallotti et al. 2022). This population containing recent bursts faces the classic outshining problem (e.g. Leja et al. 2017, 2019), whereby the light from the recent burst overwhelms that of the older stars that may dominate the stellar mass. Simple parametric models that assume constant star formation return very young ages (i.e. ≃3–5 Myr) for these systems when fitting the rest-frame UV and optical SED (e.g. Smit et al. 2014; Endslay et al. 2021) alone. Non-parametric models provide the flexibility to allow star formation at earlier times (i.e. before the burst; Fig. 2), often leading to significantly higher stellar masses (e.g. Leja et al. 2019). These models thus tend to drive down the sSFRs relative to the parametric CSFH values, with the biggest changes likely to occur in the systems experiencing a recent burst.

To assess the importance of the assumed SFH for our sample, we have fit each of the REBELS galaxies with non-parametric SFHs using PROSPECTOR. The approach follows that developed (and described in more detail) in Whitler et al. (2022). Similar to our approach to the parametric models, we adopt a Chabrier (2003) IMF with an upper mass limit of 300 M⊙ and assume an SMC dust law, with identical priors on ionization parameter and metallicity to those imposed in our fiducial BEAGLE models. The non-parametric SFHs are composed of eight time bins, with the most recent two bins fixed over the ages of 0–3 and 3–10 Myr. The remaining time bins are distributed logarithmically out to z = 20. As described in Whitler et al. (2022), the division of the youngest two age bins is required to fit the strongest IRAC excesses seen in the most extreme bursts as is the case in our sample. We additionally adopt the continuity

Table 1. Summary of different SED-fitting runs. Lognormal priors on both log(Z/Z⊙) and log(U) are each characterized by a mean (μ) and width (δ), given in dex. The boundaries of age prior for constant star formation history (CSFH) models are fixed to 1 Myr and the age of the universe at the redshift of that object (tuniv).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>SFH</th>
<th>Dust law</th>
<th>log(Z/Z⊙)</th>
<th>log(U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAGLE</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Calzetti</td>
<td>μ = −0.7; δ = 0.15</td>
<td>μ = −2.5; δ = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>μ = −0.7; δ = 0.15</td>
<td>μ = −2.5; δ = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Milky Way</td>
<td>μ = −0.7; δ = 0.15</td>
<td>μ = −2.5; δ = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPECTOR</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>μ = −0.7; δ = 0.15</td>
<td>μ = −2.5; δ = 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-parametric</td>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>μ = −0.7; δ = 0.15</td>
<td>μ = −2.5; δ = 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Section 3.1 for details.
prior built into PROSPECTOR that weights against sharp variations in SFR between adjacent time bins (see Tacchella et al. 2022 for an extensive discussion of the influence of different priors in non-parametric models).

An example of the non-parametric model fits is presented in Fig. 2. The source shown in this figure, REBELS-12, is among the youngest in the sample, with a best-fitting age from BEAGLE CSFH fits of 8 Myr and a stellar mass of \(\log(M_* / M_\odot) = 8.93^{+0.55}_{-0.46}\). The non-parametric SFH model gives a similarly acceptable fit to the SED, but it suggests a very different past SFH, with significant low-level star formation at early times and a recent burst. The early star formation in the non-parametric model leads to a stellar mass of \(\log(M_* / M_\odot) = 9.93^{+0.42}_{-0.32}\), an order of magnitude increase over the BEAGLE CSFH value. The same picture holds if we compare to the PROSPECTOR parametric CSFH model, in which the non-parametric stellar mass is 10.5 times larger than the parametric CSFH version. One feature that applies throughout the full REBELS sample is that models with non-parametric SFHs are able to supply SEDs that fit the observed data with comparable \(\chi^2\) to that of models assuming a CSFH. This illustrates the possibility that these galaxies may be host to more stellar mass than implied by the CSFH models. However, key assumptions in the non-parametric models, such as when the onset of star formation occurred, will require deeper observations to fully constrain. Fig. 3 illustrates the difference in inferred stellar mass from the PROSPECTOR non-parametric SFH and BEAGLE CSFH models. Across the full sample, we find that the stellar masses inferred from the PROSPECTOR non-parametric SFH models are on average 0.43 dex larger than those derived from the BEAGLE CSFH models. And as we expected, the increase in stellar mass is found to be largest in systems where the CSFH fits lead to low masses and young ages (i.e. \(< \log(M_* / M_\odot) = 9\) and \(< 10\) Myr, respectively).

Fig. 4 compares stellar masses inferred using different SFHs as a function of CSFH age for our sample. The priors underlying the assumed SFHs may play a role in the stellar mass difference inferred from comparing the CSFH and non-parametric model results. That is, while galaxies with young ages cannot contain an older stellar population in the context of CSFH models by construction, the continuity prior imposed on the non-parametric models promotes a non-zero amount of star formation at earlier times. Furthermore, there is additional complexity in comparing ages and stellar masses for cases where the CSFH age probability distribution is bimodal, resulting in larger apparent uncertainties in the inferred ages (Fig. 4b). For example, this is the case for the two galaxies in Fig. 4 with the highest inferred mass difference. For these objects, the PROSPECTOR CSFH stellar masses used for our comparison describe the young solution, which encompasses most of the probability. However, the median of the complex probability distribution can yield moderate ages at values between the bimodal solutions. This is illustrated for the galaxy with the largest inferred age uncertainty in Fig. 5, where we display the 2D posterior probability between stellar mass and age. Future observations yielding higher signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) photometry will likely help alleviate this tension by providing more precise age, and therefore stellar mass, constraints. We note that the youngest objects, with well-constrained BEAGLE ages of a few Myr and estimated \(\chi^2 \lesssim 1.5\), have non-parametric fits that yield a 0.61 dex boost in mass compared to the PROSPECTOR CSFH models. While for these three objects, we may expect a larger mass difference given their BEAGLE CSFH ages, when their PROSPECTOR ages are considered, they lie within the scatter of mass offsets of other objects at similar ages. These variations will clearly affect the sSFRs, particularly for the youngest systems. We will come back to discuss the impact of assumed SFH in Section 4.2.

Finally, we consider how the addition of spectroscopic redshifts (a unique aspect of the REBELS sample) improves the reliability of the stellar masses, which is likely to be particularly important at \(z \approx 6.5\)–7.5. In this redshift range, emission lines contribute significantly to the IRAC bandpasses, and thus the interpretation of the Spitzer/IRAC fluxes depends sensitively on the redshift of the galaxy (e.g. Labbé et al. 2013; Smit et al. 2014). If the Spitzer fluxes are interpreted as emission lines, the ages and masses are much lower than if the light is produced by stellar continuum. Since the [C II] redshifts in REBELS give the precise position of the nebular lines with respect to the broad-band filters, they remove this degeneracy from the fitting process, improving the reliability of the masses.

To illustrate the magnitude of this effect, we show in Fig. 6 how the recovered mass changes with redshift for REBELS-23, an object with a [C II] redshift of \(z = 6.645\) (vertical blue dashed line in the figure) and a reasonably strong (0.6 mag) IRAC excess in [3.6]. The stellar mass we infer when we fix the redshift at its spectroscopic value is 0.4 dex lower than that we infer when we allow the redshift to vary as a free parameter. This change is readily understood looking at the nearly order of magnitude variation in the stellar mass over \(6.4 < z < 7.0\) (Fig. 6) that arises as emission lines pass in and out of the IRAC bandpasses. If the photometric redshift is not well constrained, there clearly is potential for substantial error in the stellar mass.

We can quantify the impact of redshift uncertainty in the 23 galaxies in REBELS with spectroscopic redshift determinations, of which 22 have robust [C II] detections (S/N > 5.2; Bouwens et al. 2022; Schouws et al., in preparation), and one object with a low [C II] S/N but has a Ly\(\alpha\) detection (Endsley et al. 2022; Schouws et al., in preparation). When we remove the fixed redshift constraint on these objects in the BEAGLE CSFH model fits, we find noticeably larger errors on the recovered stellar masses, with individual systems having uncertainties on the stellar mass that are on average 0.2 dex larger. Additionally, for five of the 23 galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts, we find that relaxing the redshift constraint yields an inferred stellar mass that is a factor of 2 discrepant in either direction compared to when the redshift is fixed at the spectroscopic value. In the most
extreme case, we find a difference of 1 dex in the mass. However, the average shift across the full sample is only 0.05 dex. Thus while the absence of redshifts in a subset of our sample clearly increases the uncertainty on the derived mass, it is not likely to significantly bias our results.

### 3.2 Star formation rates

In this section, we describe the methods used to estimate the total SFR for individual galaxies in the REBELS sample. We compute the SFRs by combining inferences of the obscured and unobscured components for each galaxy. As we detail below, unobscured SFRs are calculated using calibrations of SFR/L\textsubscript{UV} (uncorrected for dust) derived from the SED models presented in Section 3.1, and obscured SFRs are calculated using the ALMA dust continuum measurements (or upper limits) described in Section 2.2. A complete discussion of

#### 3.2.1 The unobscured SFR

We first calculate unobscured SFRs for each galaxy using the observed UV continuum luminosity and a conversion factor (SFR/L\textsubscript{UV}) derived from population synthesis models without any dust correction. As galaxies in the REBELS sample span a wide range in ages, to isolate the unobscured SFR we use a SFR/L\textsubscript{UV} derived individually for each object based on the best-fitting CSFH SED model using BEAGLE after the effects of dust have been removed. The age dependence of this calibration is primarily important for young objects, which have a growing B-star population that will not reach an equilibrium for around 100 Myr of constant star formation. As such, for a fixed SFR\textsubscript{UV}, a younger population will produce a lower UV luminosity compared to an older population (e.g. $\gtrsim100$ Myr) where the massive star population has equilibrated (e.g. Reddy et al. 2012). For our sample, 37/40 objects have a
\[ \log(SFR_{\text{UV}}/L_{\text{UV}}/(M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1})/(\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})) \] in the range \(-28.2\) to \(-27.9\), with 25 of these 37 objects having the same value to within 0.1 dex. The remaining 3/4 objects in the sample have a \[ \log(SFR_{\text{UV}}/L_{\text{UV}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})) \] = \(-27.4\), due to their young ages. These three systems thus require significantly more unobscured SFR relative to the observed UV continuum flux density.

Given the degeneracy between dust and age in the SED fits, the assumed dust attenuation law can also impact the unobscured SFR/L_{\text{UV}} ratios. However we find that this is not a significant effect for the REBELS sample. The unobscured SFRs derived using models that assume a Calzetti and SMC dust law are very similar, and differ by 0.03 dex on average with corresponding scatter of 0.07 dex. The largest difference in unobscured SFR is 0.4 dex among the full sample. Similarly, unobscured SFRs inferred assuming Milky Way dust are 0.04 dex larger than those from models with an SMC law.

Finally, we also must consider whether the non-parametric SFHs influence the unobscured SFR/L_{\text{UV}} ratios that the PROSPECTOR models return. When considering unobscured SFRs derived from non-parametric SFH models, we adopt a value for the SFR that is averaged over the past 10 Myr of the SFH. For the vast majority of our sample (34/40), the average offset between the PROSPECTOR CSFH and non-parametric unobscured SFR measures is minimal, such that they agree within the uncertainties with an overall average difference of 0.02 dex. The remaining subset has larger SFRs derived when assuming a CSFH, with the largest offset being a factor of 7 difference. However, the agreement on average for the sample indicates that both SFHs typically provide broadly consistent measures of the unobscured SFR.

### 3.2.2 The obscured SFR

The obscured component of the SFR is inferred from the ALMA-based constraints on the IR continuum luminosities integrated over 8–1000 \(\mu\)m, \(L_{\text{IR}}\). A detailed description of this obscured SFR derivation is provided in Inami et al. (2022), however we present a brief summary here. We then discuss our method for constraining obscured SFR in those sources lacking detections in the IR continuum.

For the 16 sources in REBELS with dust continuum detections, we scale the dust continuum luminosity at rest frame 158 or 88 \(\mu\)m to the total IR luminosity by assuming a modified blackbody with \(\beta_1 = 2.0\) and a dust temperature of \(T_d = 47\) K, obtained assuming Milky Way-like dust, which has been shown to reproduce the IR properties of REBELS objects (Ferrara et al. 2022; Inami et al. 2022; Sommovigo et al. 2022). For comparison, this dust temperature is slightly higher than what is found for ALPINE at \(z \simeq 5–6\) (\(T_d = 43\) K; Béthémin et al. 2020). We adopt this temperature based on analysis of the 13 galaxies in REBELS with [C\(\text{II}\)] and dust continuum measurements for which dust temperatures can be constrained using the method described in Sommovigo et al. (2022). The objects span a range of temperatures from 39 to 58 K with the median value of 47 K. This chosen temperature results in a scaling of \(L_{\text{IR}} = 14^{+8}_{-5} \nu L_{\nu}\) \(L_{\text{IR}} = 8^{+1}_{-1} \nu L_{\nu}\), where \(\nu\) is the frequency corresponding to the [C\(\text{II}\)] 158 \(\mu\)m (\(10 \mu\)m\(88 \mu\)m) line. The uncertainty on this conversion factor reflects the variation in dust temperatures established for this subset of REBELS sources (Sommovigo et al. 2022). We note that the median temperature is within the range of dust temperatures measured for galaxies at similar redshifts (Knudsen et al. 2017; Bowler et al. 2018; Hashimoto et al. 2019; Baxx et al. 2021). The increased stellar masses inferred from non-parametric SFHs models impact the derivation of this conversion factor, yielding a value of \(L_{\text{IR}} = 12^{+4}_{-3} \nu L_{\nu}\). While this different conversion factor results in slightly lower IR luminosities, we use this calibration when calculating IR luminosities (and therefore obscured SFRs) in the context of non-parametric SFH models.

The obscured SFRs are then calculated from this quantity using the conversion \(SFR_{\text{IR}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}\) obtained from Madau & Dickinson (2014), where here we have assumed a CSFH age of 100 Myr, corresponding to the average for the REBELS sample. As noted in Section 3.2.1, there are three galaxies in the REBELS sample with very young ages derived from UV and optical photometry. Since it is not clear that these young ages are also associated with the component of the galaxies dominating the FIR, we do not alter the conversion factor for these three systems. Doing so would modestly increase the obscured SFR in these systems but would not significantly impact the overall results of the full sample. The methodology of computing total IR luminosities and SFR_{\text{IR}} described above is comparable to that taken in other analyses of galaxies at high redshift and theoretical models (e.g. Béthémín et al. 2020; Sommovigo et al. 2021).

For the REBELS objects with continuum detections, this procedure results in measured total IR luminosities that span \(2.8–15 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}\). Based on our assumed calibration, these IR luminosities yield obscured SFRs ranging from 34 to 180 \(M_\odot\text{ yr}^{-1}\). For these objects, the obscured fraction is typically high, with SFR_{\text{IR}}/SFR_{\text{sh}} ranging from 0.58 up to 0.92, with a median obscured fraction of 0.72, consistent with those found in Stefanon et al. (2022). An additional complication is that while measurements in the FIR dust continuum provide a direct probe of the obscured star formation, the translation between these two quantities is potentially subject to uncertainties. For example, assuming a dust temperature that is 10 K lower than our assumed value (47 K) would affect the scaling between \(L_{\text{IR}}\) and \(L_{\nu}\), resulting in lower estimates of SFR_{\text{IR}} by 0.3 dex (e.g.; Bowler et al. 2018). Based on the temperature distributions independently derived in Sommovigo et al. (2022) and Ferrara et al. (2022), which are consistent with our chosen median value of 47 K, it is unlikely that the entire sample has such low dust temperatures. Additionally, such low temperatures would increase the tension with measurements of dust production at \(z \sim 7\) (e.g. Sommovigo et al. 2020; Dayal et al. 2022). None the less, deviations from this median dust temperature in individual systems can potentially lead to some scatter around the true obscured SFRs.

Finally, we discuss our procedure for constraining the level of obscured SFR in the REBELS that do not have a dust continuum detections. For these sources, the upper limits on the dust continuum can be translated into an upper limit on the obscured SFR. To obtain these constraints, we first median combine the non-detections, splitting the sample into two equal bins based on their UV slope. We choose UV slope bins because of the relation between UV slope and \(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\text{UV}}\) (infrared excess – IRX; e.g. Meurer, Heckman & Calzetti 1999; Casey, Narayanan & Cooray 2014), which has been evaluated in high-redshift samples (e.g. Capak et al. 2015; Bouwens et al. 2016; Reddy et al. 2018; Fudamoto et al. 2020) and will be presented in Bowler et al. (in preparation) for the REBELS sample. We split the bins by the sample median value of \(\beta = -2.04\). The bluer bin comprising 13 galaxies with a median \(\beta = -2.2\), and the redder bin with a median \(\beta = -1.7\) containing 11 galaxies. This stacking procedure potentially introduces some bias such that the objects with redder UV slopes contribute more to the stacked FIR luminosity. Additionally, uncertainties in UV-slope measurements may result in significant scatter between these two bins (Bowler et al., in preparation). However, this presents an improvement over stacking the full sample of non-detections. For the 11 galaxies in the redder bin, we measure a peak flux of \(24 \pm 6 \mu\text{Jy}\). For the stack of 13
The as discussed in Section 3.1, non-parametric SFHs can significantly alter the sSFRs. The differences arise primarily due to changes in the stellar masses (see Fig. 4), as the average SFRs vary much less significantly (see Section 3.2). For simplicity, we thus calculate non-parametric sSFRs for the REBELS sample by combining the total UV+IR SFRs (see Section 3.2) with the non-parametric stellar masses (see Section 3.1).

As expected from our discussion in Section 3.1, the changes when non-parametric models are invoked are most significant for the lowest mass (and youngest) sources in the sample (see Fig. 4). Considering the entire REBELS sample, the median SFR inferred using non-parametric SFHs ranges between $6.2^{+2.4}_{-1.8}$ and $7.1^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$ Gyr$^{-1}$, where this range is determined using the same assumptions on the non-detections described above. These values are, respectively, 0.38 and 0.36 dex lower than the CSFH values derived using our fiducial assumptions. We will discuss how the lower SFRs implied by non-
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**Figure 7.** Specific star formation rates (sSFRs) of the REBELS sample (a) sSFR$_{CSFH}$ as a function of absolute UV magnitude. The large points represent objects in our sample for which the obscured SFR has been measured from the FIR dust continuum. The squares show values calculated based on a stacked detection of the dust continuum. For the sample of galaxies without dust continuum detections and blue UV slopes, we show the two limiting cases for the sSFR, where the amount of obscured star formation is set to the upper limit (blue triangles), and those where the obscured star formation is set to zero (white triangles). (b) Same as panel (a) but with sSFRs calculated assuming a non-parametric SFH. (c) Distribution of sSFR for the REBELS sample calculated assuming a constant SFH (blue) and non-parametric SFH (red).
parametric models may impact our conclusions in the following sections.

3.4 Comparison of UV+IR and SED-based sSFRs

The majority of sSFR determinations at $z > 7$ have been derived from SED fitting of UV and optical photometry. In the next several years, the *James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)* will deliver many more UV+optical sSFRs in this redshift range. The REBELS sample allows us to investigate how these UV+optical SED-based determinations compare to those derived when FIR constraints are available. For each source in REBELS, we measure the SED-based UV+optical sSFR using our fiducial BEAGLE models and compare to the UV+IR measurements. We find that SFRs inferred directly from the UV+IR are elevated relative to estimates from the UV+optical SED. This in turn leads to larger SFR values when the dust continuum constraints are utilized. In particular, we find that the median sSFR based on BEAGLE UV+optical SED fits for the REBELS sample is sSFR = $9.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8}$ Gyr$^{-1}$, which is 0.28 dex lower than the values we derive in Section 3.3 making use of the FIR continuum constraints. The SED-based median sSFR decreases to sSFR = $8.5^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$ Gyr$^{-1}$ when a Calzetti law is adopted instead of SMC. This implies a significant offset between the SFR we derive from the traditional UV+optical SED fitting techniques and what we derive when the dust continuum is available. We note that this offset is not sensitive to the form of the SFH, as we find similar results using the non-parametric models. The assumed dust temperature does play a role. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, lower dust temperatures would bring down the obscured SFRs. However for the two estimates to match, we would require an average dust temperature below 40 K (see Section 3.2.2), lower than the range predicted for the REBELS sample (Sommovigo et al. 2022). Future observations are required to confirm and investigate this offset. We will discuss possible physical effects that may contribute in Section 5.2.

4 RESULTS

In this section, we use the UV+IR-based SFRs and stellar masses to constrain the $z \simeq 7$ star-forming main sequence and the distribution of sSFRs in the REBELS sample. We close by exploring the relationship between the sSFR and the UV luminosity, as well as [O iii]+Hβ equivalent width (EW).

4.1 Star-forming main sequence at $z \sim 7$

In Fig. 8, we present the REBELS star-forming main sequence derived using stellar masses inferred from three different SED-fitting prescriptions described above (BEAGLE CSFH, PROSPECTOR CSFH, and PROSPECTOR non-parametric). The SFRs are calculated from the UV+IR measurements that we described in Section 3.2. We compare the REBELS galaxies to the star-forming main sequences presented in Duncan et al. (2014), Speagle et al. (2014), and Schreiber et al. (2015). In the case of Speagle et al. (2014) and Schreiber et al. (2015), we extrapolate their relations to $z = 7$. Crucially, these two references utilized direct constraints on the obscured SFR from measurements in the FIR, providing an appropriate comparison to our sample. When we assume a CSFH, the low-mass REBELS galaxies are well above the predicted main sequence, with nearly the same SFRs as those in the sample with larger masses. Specifically, galaxies with CSFH masses inferred using BEAGLE that are log$(M_*/M_\odot) < 9$ have, on average, SFRs that are elevated above the main sequence defined by Speagle et al. (2014) by a factor of 11, and that of Schreiber et al. (2015) and Duncan et al. (2014) by a factor of 14. At stellar masses log $(M_*/M_\odot)_\text{BEAGLE} > 9.5$, the galaxies show better consistency with the comparison main sequences, however some objects still have SFRs that lie above by up to 0.5 dex. At the median stellar mass of our sample log$(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.5$ we establish an average SFR of 47 M_\odot yr^{-1}. We find nearly identical results for the main sequence derived using CSFH stellar masses from PROSPECTOR. As with BEAGLE, galaxies at log$(M_*/M_\odot) < 9$ are elevated above the main sequence of Speagle et al. (2014) by a factor of 11, and that of Schreiber et al. (2015) and Duncan et al. (2014) by a factor of 16.

The star-forming main sequence derived when a non-parametric SFH is assumed looks distinctly different to that described above (see Fig. 8). As described in Section 3.1, models that assume a non-parametric SFH allow for the inclusion of older stellar components in cases where the light is dominated by a recent burst. The result is an overall increase in stellar mass compared to the CSFH models, which more strongly affects objects at the young and low-mass end of the CSFH distribution (Fig. 4). With the non-parametric masses, we find improved consistency between the REBELS galaxies and the extrapolated z = 7 main sequences of Speagle et al. (2014) and Schreiber et al. (2015).

The limited dynamic range in the stellar mass makes it challenging to derive precise fitting functions for the star-forming main sequence in the REBELS sample. In particular, it is difficult to establish the
Table 2. Summary of sample median SFR determinations. Median SFRs derived assuming no obscured star formation in objects without dust continuum measurements are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SED model</th>
<th>Stellar mass range</th>
<th>$M_{UV}$ range</th>
<th>SFR method</th>
<th>$L_{IR}$ sample</th>
<th>Median SFR (Gyr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEAGLE CSFH</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$18^{+2}_{-3}\times10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>SED</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$9^{+2}_{-2}\times10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>Detections only</td>
<td>$27^{+2}_{-2}\times10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M_{UV} &lt; -22.0$</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>Non-detections only</td>
<td>$13^{+2}_{-2}\times10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$M_{UV} \geq -22.0$</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$33^{+5}_{-5}\times10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9.6 &lt; \log(M_*/M_\odot) &lt; 9.8$</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$15^{+2}_{-3}\times10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9.6 &lt; \log(M_*/M_\odot) &lt; 9.8$</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$8.0^{+1}_{-2}\times10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPECTOR non-parametric</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$7.1^{+2}_{-2}\times10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9.6 &lt; \log(M_*/M_\odot) &lt; 9.8$</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>UV+IR</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$6.2^{+2}_{-1}\times10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The slope of the main sequence at $z \sim 7$ with only REBELS galaxies. However, we can estimate the normalization of the REBELS main sequence by fixing the slope to that determined by Speagle et al. (2014) and Schreiber et al. (2015) or Duncan et al. (2014) at $z = 7$ of log(SFR)/log($M_\odot$) = 0.82 and 1.0, respectively. Using this method, for masses derived assuming a CSFH, we find a main sequence normalization that is 0.46, 0.50, and 0.54 dex higher SFR at fixed stellar mass compared to Speagle et al. (2014), Schreiber et al. (2015), and Duncan et al. (2014), respectively. In contrast, we find much better agreement when comparing to our non-parametric stellar masses. Using these stellar masses, we find normalization offsets are only 0.06 and 0.02 dex higher in SFR at fixed stellar mass, corresponding to main sequences of log(SFR/$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) = 0.82 log($M_*/M_\odot$) - 6.36 and log(SFR/$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) = log($M_*/M_\odot$) - 8.12.

4.2 The SFR distribution

Here we consider the range of SFRs in the REBELS sample. Fig. 7(c) shows the distribution of SFRs obtained using the fiducial BEAGLE CSFH models. As described in Section 3, we derived obscured SFRs for objects without individual dust continuum detections through a stacking analysis of the IR continuum in two bins separated by UV continuum slope. The blue bin did not yield a detection in the stack, so we considered two limiting cases that bracket the range of obscured SFR in these systems (see Section 3.2.2 for more information). The two corresponding SFR distributions are shown in Fig. 7(c) in blue and as a red dashed line, respectively. As described in Section 3.3, the two distributions have similar medians of sSFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot} = 18^{+3}_{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the upper limiting case and sSFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot} = 16^{+3}_{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the lower limiting case. The adoption of non-parametric SFHs increases the stellar masses (mostly at the low-mass end), which in turn reduces the SFRs. For our non-parametric masses, we similarly determine the SFR distribution for the two scenarios describing objects in the blue FIR stack, and find a median SFR$^{Nonp}_{\odot} = 7.1^{+1}_{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the upper limiting case, and SFR$^{Nonp}_{\odot} = 6.2^{+1}_{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the lower limiting case. A summary of median SFRs derived for the several samples and assumptions is provided in Table 2.

We additionally consider the scatter in the SFR distribution, which is sensitive to variations in the SFHs of galaxies at a fixed mass. For our fiducial CSFH models, we measure a scatter, defined as the biweight scale of the distribution, of 0.49 dex for both of the limiting cases considered for the IR non-detections. We note that the posterior on the sSFR in individual REBELS systems implies uncertainties that are comparable to the scatter quoted above. As such, we cannot robustly estimate the intrinsic scatter of SFRs for this sample. We also consider the scatter in sSFR for the non-parametric SFHs. As the changes in the SFR distribution are typically more significant for galaxies with high SFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot}$ (i.e. the young and low-mass galaxies in the CSFH modelling), we expect the use of non-parametric models to also affect the width of the resulting SFR distribution. Indeed this is the case for our sample. We find that adopting non-parametric SFHs results in a scatter of 0.37 dex, reducing the width of the SFR distribution relative to CSFH models by 0.12 dex.

4.3 Dependence of SFR on $M_{UV}$ and [O III]+H$\beta$ EW

The REBELS sample allows us to investigate how the SFR at $z \sim 7$ depends on various galaxy properties and observables. Here we consider whether there are any trends between SFR and the absolute UV magnitude and the [O III]+H$\beta$ EW. We first consider the relationship between sSFR and $M_{UV}$. Fig. 7(a) shows the sSFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot}$ as a function of absolute UV magnitude over the range spanned by REBELS galaxies of $-23.0 \leq M_{UV} \leq -21.3$. The uncertainties in the individual sSFR measurements include errors in the obscured SFR and unobscured SFR but are dominated by uncertainties in the stellar mass. Objects without individual detections in the dust continuum are shown as smaller points. As described above, we are not able to directly measure the obscured SFR for a subset of our sample lacking individual and stacked dust continuum detections. We therefore provide SFRs in the limiting cases, where we set the obscured SFR to its upper limit (blue triangles) and lower limit within these objects (white triangles). We note that the objects without individual dust continuum measurements span roughly the same range of $M_{UV}$ as the objects with detections.

We calculate the median sSFR of REBELS galaxies in two bins of $M_{UV}$ delineated at the median value of the sample. This calculation yields a median sSFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot} = 33^{+7}_{-7}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the bin centred at $M_{UV} = -22.4$, and a median sSFR$^{CSFH}_{\odot} = 15^{+2}_{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ for the bin at $M_{UV} = -21.7$. Furthermore, a Spearman correlation test results in a correlation coefficient of $r_s = -0.22$ and a p-value of 0.17 consistent with no correlation. Additionally, when considering the sSFRs derived assuming non-parametric SFHs (as described in Section 3.3), we also do not find evidence for a significant relationship between sSFR and $M_{UV}$. Based on these tests, and possibly due to the large uncertainties present for the individual sSFR measurements, we do not observe any significant correlation with sSFR and $M_{UV}$. However, as the REBELS sample does not span a large dynamic range in $M_{UV}$,
and is composed of the most UV-bright galaxies, this result does not preclude such a correlation toward lower UV luminosities.

The \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\) EW has been derived from Spitzer/IRAC flux excesses in many reionization-era galaxies. For REBELS systems, we constrain the line properties through our SED modelling with BEAGLE (Bouwens et al. 2022; Stefanon et al., in preparation). As the \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\) EW is the ratio of nebular emission line luminosities (powered by O stars) and the rest-frame optical continuum (sensitive to presence of A stars), we expect it to correlate with the sSFR when observed over a large enough dynamic range. We investigate the relationship between these two quantities for galaxies in the REBELS sample. The \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\) EW derived assuming a CSFH with BEAGLE is shown as a function of sSFR for objects in the REBELS sample in Fig. 9. There is a clear correlation between the two parameters, similar to that seen at low redshift (e.g. Amorín et al. 2015) and at high redshift (Smit et al. 2014; De Barros et al. 2019; Tang et al. 2019; Endsley et al. 2021).

Within the REBELS sample, this strong correlation is present among the sample for which the dust continuum is individually detected, and it remains present for the objects with obscured SFRs determined from stacks. This correlation is best fit by the relation \(\log(\text{EW}(\text{[O III]}+\text{H}\beta)/\text{Å}) = 0.17 \pm 0.09 \times \log(\text{sSFR}_{\text{CSFH}}/\text{Gyr}^{-1}) + 2.83 \pm 0.12\). Errors on these parameters were determined using a bootstrap resampling method where we randomly select 40 objects from the full REBELS sample with replacement. We then perturb each chosen object by their uncertainties in sSFR and EW. This process is repeated 1000 times, and the uncertainties in the best-fitting parameters are chosen to be the 16th and 84th percentiles. When sSFR and \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\) EW are derived using models that assume a non-parametric SFH, we achieve a similar relation of \(\log(\text{EW}(\text{[O III]}+\text{H}\beta)/\text{Å}) = 0.41 \pm 0.27 \times \log(\text{sSFR}_{\text{nonp}}/\text{Gyr}^{-1}) + 2.36 \pm 0.28\). The nature of the non-parametric SFHs allows for an additional older stellar component that contributes significantly to the continuum flux at the wavelength of \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\), which lowers the inferred EW (see Fig. 2). This additional variation leads to scattered scatter in \([\text{O} \text{III}]+\text{H}\beta\) EW and sSFR, resulting in large uncertainties in the best-fitting relation between the two quantities. The varying strength of this correlation depending on SFH may indicate that such a relation arises due to the model assumptions. To test this, we examine the correlation between EWs derived from the BEAGLE CSFH models and sSFRs estimated assuming a non-parametric SFH. Comparing these two quantities with a Spearman test yields a coefficient of \(r_s = 0.43\) and associated \(p\)-value of 0.008, indicating the correlations are correlated. Furthermore, large EWs are found for the same objects when using both the CSFH and non-parametric models. However, we find roughly a factor of 2 dispersion between the EWs derived from the two models. This suggests that the presence of a correlation between EW and sSFR is not sensitive to model assumptions within our sample.

5 DISCUSSION

In Section 4, we have presented the star-forming main sequence and sSFR distribution of the REBELS sample. Here we investigate implications for the redshift evolution of the sSFR (Section 5.1) and discuss why UV+IR-based sSFRs differ from those of SED-based measures in the REBELS sample (Section 5.2). We close by investigating what the ALMA data reveal about the nature of the highest sSFR systems, in particular discussing whether the dynamical masses are consistent with the larger masses implied by non-parametric SFHs.

5.1 Evolution of the sSFR

The average sSFR of the galaxy population provides comparison of its current stellar mass growth rate to its aggregate mass build-up. Theoretical expectations predict sSFRs rise rapidly toward higher redshifts, \(\text{sSFR} \propto (1+z)^{2.25}\), driven largely by the higher specific baryon accretion rates in galaxies at earlier times (e.g. Dekel et al. 2009; Davé et al. 2011; Sparre et al. 2015). Observations of the sSFR evolution at high redshift have been continuously refined over the past decade (e.g. Schaerer & de Barros 2009; González et al. 2011, 2014; McLure et al. 2011; Stark et al. 2013; Faissit et al. 2016; Stefanon et al. 2022) with the most recent advances coming from improved constraints on obscured star formation at high redshift from dust continuum measurements. Most recently, ALPINE used UV and stacked FIR dust continuum measurements from ALMA to constrain the total SFRs and estimate sSFRs at high redshift. From this analysis, they reported no evolution in the sSFR at \(z > 3\) to 4.5 (Khusanova et al. 2021), suggesting that sSFRs may rise much less rapidly than many theoretical models predict.

The REBELS survey allows us to extend the work of ALPINE to a broader redshift range, testing for the presence of an sSFR plateau at \(z > 4.5\). Fig. 10 compares the sSFRs of objects in REBELS, as well as the median of the sample, to measures at lower redshifts. For consistency to measurements at lower redshift, we focus here on sSFRs from REBELS that are derived assuming a CSFH but will comment on the impact of our non-parametric models below. The ALPINE sample consists of 118 galaxies observed in the FIR with \(M_{\text{UV}} < -20.2\) at \(z \sim 4.5–5.5\) (Béthermin et al. 2020; Faissit et al. 2020; Khusanova et al. 2021). Similar to in our analysis, the total SFRs derived for ALPINE comprise unobscured and obscured components derived from the rest-frame UV and IR luminosities, respectively. However, ALPINE established IR luminosities for their sample by first deriving a relation between stellar mass and \(L_{\text{IR}}\) for their sample based on stacked measurements of the dust continuum. This average relation is then used to infer the obscured SFR contribution to the total SFR, and thus sSFR, of their sample (Khusanova et al. 2021).

To compare our results to ALPINE we select objects from REBELS with stellar masses of \(9.6 < \log(M_*/M_\odot)_{\text{CSFH}} < 9.8\), which is the
mass range for which the ALPINE sSFRs are established. Galaxies with stellar masses in this range have a slightly lower median redshift of $z = 6.89$ compared to the full REBELS sample median of $z = 6.96$. We must consider how the significant stellar mass uncertainties affect the sSFR within this mass range. We achieve this using a bootstrap Monte Carlo simulation, where we perturb all of the stellar masses by their uncertainties and calculate the median sSFR within the given mass window. This process is repeated 1000 times, and the 1σ uncertainties are derived from the resulting distribution of median sSFRs. This process results in a median sSFR$_{CSFH} = 8.0^{+1.3}_{-2.3} $ Gyr$^{-1}$ within this mass range, which is lower than the value found for the full sample. Our estimates of the sSFR within this mass range nevertheless exhibit an increase of $2 \times$ compared to the measurements from ALPINE at $z \sim 4.5$–5.5, suggesting that the sSFR does increase with redshift over $4.5 < z < 7$.

A power-law fit to our REBELS measurements and the ALPINE results suggests that the sSFR increases with redshift as $sSFR \propto (1 + z)^{1.1 \pm 0.3}$ from $z \sim 4.5$–7.0 (Fig. 10). While the uncertainties in these growth rates are large, the power-law slope is consistent with the theoretical predictions described above (Dekel et al. 2009; Davé et al. 2011; Sparre et al. 2015; Graziani et al. 2020; Pallottini et al. 2022; Di Cesare et al., in preparation). We also overlay the prediction from the DELPHI semi-analytic models (Dayal et al. 2014, 2022) with total SFRs calculated with the same unobscured and obscured SFR conversion factors assumed for REBELS sources. These models give a consistent power-law evolution over the considered redshift range, although at slightly lower overall normalization. We finally show the values predicted by recent DUSTYGADGET (Graziani et al. 2020) hydrodynamical simulations of cosmic volume of 50 $h^{-1}$ cMpc cube/side. The simulations from Leja et al. (2019, 2021) are calculated assuming a non-parametric SFH, therefore making a useful comparison to our results with the same assumption.
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**Figure 10.** Observed sSFR for star-forming galaxies up to $z \sim 7$. Stellar masses for the REBELS sample were derived assuming a CSFH and using BEAGLE, and assuming a non-parametric SFH using PROSPECTOR in panels (a) and (b), respectively. At log$(M_*/M_\odot) \sim 9.7$, the REBELS sample has a typical sSFR$_{CSFH} = 8.0^{+1.3}_{-2.3}$ Gyr$^{-1}$ (sSFR$_{Nonp} = 6.2^{+2.4}_{-1.8}$ Gyr$^{-1}$), while the full REBELS sample has sSFR$_{CSFH} = 18^{+5}_{-7}$ Gyr$^{-1}$ (sSFR$_{Nonp} = 7.1^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$ Gyr$^{-1}$) when masses are derived assuming a CSFH (non-parametric SFH). This represents over a factor of 2 increase compared to estimates from ALPINE at $z \sim 4.5$–5.5, and an order of magnitude increase compared to at $z = 1$. The best-fitting power-law sSFR evolution (dashed line) is consistent with the model expectations from baryon accretion rates. The semi-analytic model, DELPHI (blue line), predicts a consistent power-law slope, however with a different overall normalization. Yellow points are taken from the median sSFR values predicted for galaxies at $z > 4.4$ by recent DUSTYGADGET (Graziani et al. 2020) simulations of cosmic volume of 50 $h^{-1}$ cMpc cube/side. The measurements from Leja et al. (2019, 2021) are calculated assuming a non-parametric SFH, therefore making a useful comparison to our results with the same assumption.
this sample, they derive a sSFR = 0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \text{ Gyr}^{-1} for galaxies centred at log (M_*/M_\odot) = 9.7. Similarly, Elbaz et al. (2011) inferred obscured SFRs in star-forming galaxies using Spitzer/MIPS and Herschel, and found typical sSFR of 0.5^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \text{ Gyr}^{-1} within the same mass range at z \approx 1. These measurements at low redshift imply close to an order of magnitude of sSFR evolution between z \approx 1 and z \approx 7. When we combine these measurements with those from REBELS, we calculate a redshift evolution of the sSFR that grows as (1 + z)^{1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.3}} over z \approx 1–7.

As previously described, the assumed SFH can significantly affect the stellar mass, and therefore the sSFR. While we investigated the evolution of sSFRs assuming a CSFH model for consistency with results at lower redshift, we additionally consider how the sSFR evolution would be impacted by our sample using sSFRs derived assuming a non-parametric SFH. Again for consistency with measures at lower redshift, we consider the sSFR evolution at a fixed stellar mass of log (M_*/M_\odot) = 9.7. This stellar mass is among the high-mass end of our sample, where the differences between constant and non-parametric SFHs are reduced. As such, the difference in sSFR at this stellar mass between the two SFHs is less than for the full sample. Assuming a non-parametric SFH, we find a value of sSFR_{Nonp} = 6.2^{+2.4}_{-1.3} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}, which is only 0.11 dex lower than the median found using a CSFH in the same mass range. As a result, we find a similar, although slightly lower redshift evolution of (1 + z)^{1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.3}} from z \approx 1–7 using this value. However we note that this is not a self-consistent comparison, as the lower redshift data have not been modelled with a similar non-parametric SFH model. Applying such models to low-redshift galaxies has been shown to yield 0.1–0.3 dex lower sSFRs (Leja et al. 2019, 2021), which would imply a more rapidly rising sSFR when compared to our higher redshift data points (see Fig. 10b).

5.2 Implications for sSFR measurements at z \approx 7

JWST will soon deliver large samples of UV+optical SEDs, allowing the star-forming main sequence to be calculated at a range of redshifts. In Section 3.4, we demonstrated that within the REBELS sample, the sSFRs derived from UV+IR-based SFR determinations are 0.43 dex lower than those derived from the dust-corrected UV and optical SED. We have shown that the offset likely has its origin in the obscured SFR calculation, with the traditional UV+optical SED-based measurements indicating significantly lower values.

We suggest that one of the key contributing factors to the offset in the derived SFRs is likely to be spatial variations in the UV and FIR emission (see also Dayal et al. 2022; Ferrara et al. 2022). The REBELS galaxies are UV-luminous systems (M_{UV} = -21.3 to -23.0), which when viewed at high spatial resolution, tend to be composed of several star-forming clumps separated by several kpc (Bowler et al. 2017, 2022; Behrens et al. 2018; Matthee et al. 2019; Sobral et al. 2019; Sommovigo et al. 2020; Ferrara et al. 2022; Inami et al. 2022; Hygate et al., in preparation). These clumps are often seen to have varying levels of dust obscuration across a given galaxy (Bowler et al. 2022), leading some clumps to be brighter in the UV and others brighter in the FIR. It is important to note that in this physical picture, we attribute the nebular emission (i.e. [O III]+H\beta) to the UV-dominating region, however one may expect that dust-rich regions may contribute to such emission as well (see e.g. Nelson et al. 2019). When these clumpy systems are not adequately resolved spatially (as is the norm in REBELS), the UV emission will be weighted more to the UV-bright clumps with minimal dust, leading to a blue UV slope that does not adequately capture the dust reddening experienced by more obscured clumps. This in turn will cause the dust-corrected SFR inferred from UV–optical SED fitting to be lower than the true SFR of the galaxy, similar to the offset we have found in this paper. While such spatial variations appear to be common in galaxies with similar UV luminosities as the REBELS sample, we currently do not have the required data to verify their presence in all of the REBELS systems. In the future, resolved maps of both UV and FIR emission will help shed light on this picture and its influence on the derived SFRs. Additional work will also be required to closely explore more of the systematics in the obscured SFR determination described in Section 3.

Not surprisingly given the above discussion, the UV+IR sSFRs of UV-luminous z \approx 7 galaxies in REBELS tend to be larger than previous estimates based only on UV+optical SED fitting. Specifically, Duncan et al. (2014) found a typical sSFR of 6.2 \pm 2.5 \text{ Gyr}^{-1} for z \approx 7 galaxies with M_{UV} \approx -20, nearly half of the REBELS sample median. Stefanon et al. (2019) measured sSFRs for a sample of Lyman-break galaxies at z \approx 8 with similar M_{UV}, to that of REBELS and found a median sSFR of 4.8^{+3.8}_{-1.5} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}, which is 0.6 dex lower than what is observed for REBELS galaxies. While further study is required to explore the origin of these differences, it seems clear that the larger obscured SFR seen in the FIR contributes significantly. Future work will be required to investigate whether such an offset is also seen in the lower luminosity galaxies that will dominate future JWST studies.

5.3 Properties of the highest sSFR objects

Recent studies have established the presence of a population of very high sSFR objects at z \gtrsim 7 (e.g. Smit et al. 2015; De Barros et al. 2019; Endlsey et al. 2021; Stefanon et al. 2022), with light dominated by a recent burst of star formation (i.e. few Myr). Such extreme objects have been seen to exhibit extreme [O III]+H\beta EWs (i.e. > 1000 \AA; Smit et al. 2014, 2015; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2016; Endlsey et al. 2021) and strong rest-frame UV emission lines such as C ii and C iv (Stark et al. 2015a,b, 2017; Laporte et al. 2017b; Maimani et al. 2017; Hutchison et al. 2019; Topping et al. 2021), suggestive of a significant population of young, massive stars that efficiently produce a hard ionizing spectrum (e.g. Tang et al. 2019), potentially contributing greatly to reionization. As we discussed in Section 3, this population of young sources is most affected by systematics of SED modelling, with non-parametric SFHs giving stellar masses that are often an order of magnitude larger than those derived from the parametric CSFH models. Here we discuss what ALMA measurements of [C II] and the FIR continuum reveal about this population.

Within REBELS, there are three galaxies (REBELS-09, REBELS-15, and REBELS-39) with extremely large sSFRs and very young ages based on their UV+optical SEDs, with two of them showing [C II] emission (REBELS-15 and REBELS-39) and one showing a detection of the FIR continuum (REBELS-39). The fiducial BEAGLE models imply light-weighted CSFH ages of 1–2 Myr, and sSFRs of 120–750 Gyr^{-1}. These young ages are driven by the presence of large IRAC excesses that imply very large [O III]+H\beta EWs (>4000 \AA). The interpretation of these galaxies varies greatly with the assumed SFH. The BEAGLE CSFH models suggest these are among the lowest stellar mass galaxies in REBELS, with derived values of log(M_*/M_\odot) = 8.65^{+0.10}_{-0.05}, log(M_*/M_\odot) = 8.92^{+0.32}_{-0.32}, and log(M_*/M_\odot) = 8.56^{+0.36}_{-0.36} for the three systems. The non-parametric SFH allows these systems to have an older stellar population on top of the burst that is dominating the light, leading to larger stellar masses in all cases, log(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.20^{+0.40}_{-0.40}, log(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.50^{+0.38}_{-0.40}, and log(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.25^{+0.37}_{-0.32}, respectively. The REBELS observations
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provide a new perspective on the gas and dust content present in these three galaxies.

We first consider whether the dynamical masses derived from [C II] provide any insight into the viability of having a significant old stellar component in REBELS galaxies with large sSFR. The dynamical masses will be presented in Schouws et al. (in preparation) and are calculated using the [C II] line widths and sizes in the rotation-dominated regime following the method described in Decarli et al. (2018). While the derived dynamical masses face standard uncertainties due to the assumed velocity profile, inclination, and estimated spatial extent of [C II] emission (e.g. Neeleman et al. 2021), the typical uncertainties will not impact our primary conclusions below.

We plot the [C II] FWHMs and dynamical masses as a function of sSFR in Figs 11(a) and (b). It is immediately clear in the figure that within the REBELS sample, the galaxies with the largest sSFR tend to have the largest line widths and inferred dynamical masses. REBELS-39 (one of the three sources discussed above and highlighted in Fig. 11) provides an illustrative example. The large dynamical mass of this system ($1.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$) follows from its broad [C II] profile (FWHM = $523 \pm 64$ km s$^{-1}$). Clearly this is a much more massive system than is indicated by the stellar mass derived from the constant star formation modelling ($3.6 \times 10^8 M_\odot$). The non-parametric modelling suggests a modest increase in the stellar content of REBELS-39 ($1.7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$), but the derived stellar mass still contributes only a small percentage of the total dynamical mass within the [C II]-emitting region of the galaxy. So in the case of REBELS-39, the gravitational potential can easily accommodate the presence of an older stellar population suggested by the non-parametric SFH modelling.

A similar picture arises from the four other REBELS sources with [C II] detections and CSFH ages below 50 Myr. The dynamical masses of these systems are much greater than the stellar masses implied by non-parametric SFH modelling, with an average dynamical to stellar mass ratio of 130. The fact that the stellar mass appears to contribute such a small fraction to the dynamical mass may suggest that these large sSFR systems have substantial gas fractions (>0.8, assuming the dynamical mass is baryon dominated). These gas fractions will be discussed in more detail by Heintz et al. (2022). The presence of multiple clumps or mergers could contribute to the broad line widths and apparent large dynamical masses in these systems, due to their peculiar motions (e.g. Hashimoto et al. 2019; Kohandel et al. 2019). Indeed it is conceivable that such mergers may help contribute to the large sSFR observed in these galaxies. However, even if we adopt the dynamical masses calculated assuming the narrower line widths typical of the REBELS sample (280 km s$^{-1}$), we would still find values well in excess of the stellar masses derived from both the CSFH and non-parametric models.

Our main point in this paper is that the [C II] line widths of the galaxies with the largest sSFRs point to very large dynamical masses that can easily allow for the increase in stellar masses suggested by non-parametric SFH modelling. While this does not confirm that these stellar masses are correct, it does motivate further consideration of a range of stellar masses that are possible when the SFH is given more flexibility. Failure to consider these effects may lead to substantial errors in the future derivations of the stellar mass function and star-forming main sequence at very high redshifts where such large sSFR systems are common.

We now investigate whether the [C II] output of the largest sSFR galaxies in REBELS stands out with respect to the majority of the sample. As stated above, two of the three largest sSFR galaxies in REBELS (REBELS-15 and REBELS-39) have confident [C II] detections (Schouws et al., in preparation). While both systems have UV and optical properties indicating large sSFR activity, their [C II] luminosities appear much more typical of the full REBELS sample. The integrated line luminosities are $L_{\text{[C II]}} = 1.9 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{8}$ and $7.9 \pm 2.5 \times 10^{7} L_\odot$, respectively, both very similar to the median value of detected objects in the full REBELS sample ($L_{\text{[C II]}} = 6 \pm 3 \times 10^{7} L_\odot$). However when we normalize the [C II] values by the UV+IR SFRs, we find that the highest sSFR systems tend to show a deficit with respect to the full REBELS sample of [C II]-detected galaxies. Fig. 11(c) shows the [C II] luminosity per unit SFR relative to the predicted value from De Looze et al. (2014) as a function of sSFR. Whereas the REBELS sample mostly follows the De Looze et al. (2014) relation, we can see in Fig. 11(c) that REBELS-15 and REBELS-39 fall below the relation by 0.4–1.0 dex. Such [C II] deficits are expected in galaxies undergoing bursts of star formation (Ferrara et al. 2019; Pallottini et al. 2019), although other physical effects can also contribute (e.g. Croxall et al. 2012; Casey et al. 2014; Lagache, Cousin & Chatzikos 2018).

The dust properties of the highest sSFR galaxies are more challenging to constrain with current data. REBELS-39, the galaxy with the highest sSFR in our sample, shows a continuum detection...
with an implied $L_{IR} = 4 \times 10^{11} L_\odot$. This is a substantial IR luminosity, nearly identical to the median value of detected objects in REBELS (Inami et al. 2022). Such a large dust reservoir would be unexpected if we were to interpret this galaxy as among the lowest mass and youngest galaxies in the REBELS sample ($<3$ Myr), as implied by the constant star formation modelling. In the context of the non-parametric model, the dust continuum could have been generated partially by the older star-forming component (with age of a few 100 Myr), which dominates the stellar mass of the galaxy. We note that while the other two very high sSFR sources are not detected, their $3\sigma$ upper limits ($<4 \times 10^{11} L_\odot$) are still consistent with these systems having substantial IR luminosities. Deeper data are required to constrain the dust content of these two galaxies.

The ALMA results thus provide a new window on early galaxies with extremely large sSFR, a population of bursts that may contribute significantly to reionization. In the UV and optical, these objects stand out with young SEDs dominated by strong nebular line emission, leading to very low stellar masses if constant star formation models are adopted. The [C ii] line widths reveal that these systems are often situated in large gravitational potentials, with dynamical masses that can accommodate the larger stellar masses implied by non-parametric SFHs. The [C ii] and the dust continuum output are not clearly different from what is seen in the full REBELS galaxy sample, with luminosities occasionally reaching very large values. However, we find that the [C ii]/sSFR ratio shows a significant deficit with respect to the full REBELS sample, as may be expected in systems undergoing bursts of star formation (e.g. Ferrara et al. 2019; Pallottini et al. 2019, 2022). Collectively, these results are consistent with a picture whereby the recent burst of star formation that dominates the UV and optical is just a small component within a larger galaxy. This picture, the UV and optical are dominated by a subregion of the galaxy that has undergone a burst, while the ALMA observations provide a more global view of these systems, revealing large gas and dust reservoirs that may have not been expected from the UV and optical SED. This is consistent with what we suggested in Section 5.2 and is similar to the resolved view seen in the first handful of UV-bright galaxies that have been observed at higher resolution (e.g. Bowler et al. 2017, 2022; Faisst et al. 2017; Laporte et al. 2017a).

6 SUMMARY

In this paper, we presented sSFRs for a sample of 40 objects at $z \sim 7$–8 observed as part of the REBELS survey. REBELS provides a direct probe of the dust continuum in these sources, allowing improved determination of the obscured SFR. We calculate sSFRs for each galaxy, combining the derived stellar masses (from SED fitting) and SFRs (from calibrations of the UV and FIR luminosities).

The median sSFR in the REBELS sample is $sSFR_{CSFH} = 18^{+1}_{-3} \times 10^{-3} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ under the nominal assumptions of a CSFH. This value is in excess of previous estimates in the literature with similar $M_{UV}$ derived from SED fitting. We suggest that this offset has its origin in the obscured SFRs, with the ALMA-based measurements giving uniformly larger values than those implied by the dust-corrected UV and optical SED. This effect could be explained by spatial variations in dust across individual systems, such that the components dominating the UV and optical are not always coSpatial with that dominating the FIR continuum. While existing data for similar systems at $z \sim 7$ offer support for this picture (Bowler et al. 2017, 2022; Faisst et al. 2017; Laporte et al. 2017a), future high spatial resolution data are required to confirm this picture for the REBELS galaxies.

We show that the sSFRs of reionization-era galaxies are particularly sensitive to the assumed SFH. When non-parametric SFHs are adopted, we find that stellar masses can increase by over an order of magnitude relative to those derived from constant star formation models. The changes are most significant for the youngest galaxies (e.g. $\gtrsim 10$ Myr) that populate the low-mass end of the REBELS sample in the constant star formation models. These systems face the classic outshining problem, whereby the recent burst outshines the light from a potentially dominant earlier stellar population. We show that the dynamical masses implied by the [C ii] line widths are easily able to accommodate the order of magnitude larger stellar masses in these young systems, often suggesting these systems are capable of hosting a dominant old stellar population and very large gas fractions. While the non-parametric masses do reduce the sSFRs of the REBELS galaxies, the sample average ($sSFR_{NOSPH} = 7.1 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$) is still indicative of rapid stellar mass growth.

Finally, we characterize the redshift evolution of the sSFR for massive star-forming galaxies ($9.6 < \log(M_*/M_\odot) < 9.8$) over $1 < z < 7$, comparing to samples with both UV and FIR constraints on SFR. We find that the sSFR (for constant star formation models) increases with a power law that goes as $(1 + z)^{1.7 \pm 0.3}$. Given the high mass range sampled, these results are less sensitive to the assumed SFH, with non-parametric models at $z \sim 7$ giving a very similar power law $(1 + z)^{1.6 \pm 0.3}$. In both cases, the power law increase in sSFR is only modestly shallower than the canonical power law of $(1 + z)^{5.25}$ expected from evolving baryon accretion rates.
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