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The oceanic dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) pool is mainly composed of P-esters and, to a lesser extent, equally abundant phosphonate and P-anhydride molecules. In phosphate-limited ocean regions, diazotrophs are thought to rely on DOP compounds as an alternative source of phosphorus (P). While both P-esters and phosphonates effectively promote dinitrogen (N₂) fixation, the role of P-anhydrides for diazotrophs is unknown. Here we explore the effect of P-anhydrides on N₂ fixation at two stations with contrasting biogeochemical conditions: one located in the Tonga trench volcanic arc region (“volcano,” with low phosphate and high iron concentrations), and the other in the South Pacific Gyre (“gyre,” with moderate phosphate and low iron). We incubated surface seawater with AMP (P-ester), ATP (P-ester and P-anhydride), or 3polyP (P-anhydride) and determined cell-specific N₂ fixation rates, nifH gene abundance, and transcription in Crocosphaera and Trichodesmium. Trichodesmium did not respond to any DOP compounds added, suggesting that they were not P-limited at the volcano station and were outcompeted by the low iron conditions at the gyre station. Conversely, Crocosphaera were numerous at both stations and their specific N₂ fixation rates were stimulated by AMP at the volcano station and slightly by 3polyP at both stations. Heterotrophic bacteria responded to ATP and 3polyP additions similarly at both stations, despite the contrasting phosphate and iron availability. The use of 3polyP by Crocosphaera and heterotrophic bacteria at both low and moderate phosphate concentrations suggests that this compound, in addition to being a source of P, can be used to acquire energy for which both groups compete. P-anhydrides may thus leverage energy restrictions to diazotrophs in the future stratified and nutrient-impoverished ocean.
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INTRODUCTION

Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient used in cellular components such as nucleic acids, sugars, and lipids (Karl, 2000, 2014; Falkowski, 2001). Long thought to only operate on geological timescales, P is now recognized as a dynamic and microbially-driven cycle with a significant impact on biological productivity and biogeochemical cycling in the ocean (Duhamel et al., 2021). Dinitrogen (N₂)-fixing
microbes (so-called diazotrophs) are virtually not nitrogen-limited, which can cause P depletion in the waters where they occur if the required iron levels are met (Wu et al., 2000). Consequently, diazotrophs remain highly dependent on P availability (Wu et al., 2000; Sañudo-Wilhelmy et al., 2001; Mills et al., 2004; Webb et al., 2007). However, measurable N₂ fixation in P-limited regions suggests that the more abundant P-reducing P compounds (operationally defined as dissolved organic phosphorus, DOP) may be used by diazotrophs as an alternative source of P (Dyhrman et al., 2007; Palter et al., 2011). Several strategies for exploiting DOP by diazotrophs have been identified, such as the use of specific hydrolytic enzymes (Karl and Björkman, 2015). Marine DOP is a complex and heterogeneous pool, including inorganic, polymeric, and organic compounds grouped according to their P-bonds into three main classes: P-esters, phosphonates, and P-anhydrides (Young and Ingall, 2010; Diaz et al., 2018). The globally important diazotrophs Trichodesmium and Crocosphaera can grow on P-esters as a sole P source (Dyhrman et al., 2002; Orchard et al., 2003; Dyhrman and Haley, 2006), but only Trichodesmium has the genetic machinery needed to leverage P from phosphonates (Dyhrman et al., 2006). Currently, it is not known whether P-anhydrides can serve as a source of P for diazotrophs.

The P-anhydride polyphosphate (polyP) provides microbial cells with stress protection, nutrient deficiency acclimation, and cell signaling properties, among many other functions (Sanz-Luque et al., 2020). Several phytoplankton groups can obtain P from polyP (Björkman and Karl, 1994; Moore et al., 2005; Diaz et al., 2016), and some marine diatoms may even prefer polyP over the more abundant P-esters (Diaz et al., 2019). However, the role of P-anhydrides in marine microbial productivity and biogeochemical cycling remains uncertain (Martin et al., 2014; Li and Dittrich, 2019), particularly for diazotrophs, which thrive primarily in P-limited regions of the global ocean. Key diazotrophs such as Trichodesmium and Crocosphaera are genetically equipped to produce and degrade polyP (ppK and ppX genes; Dyhrman and Haley, 2006; Orchard et al., 2010b). To our knowledge, no studies have examined the role of polyP in N₂ fixation.

Projected changes in surface ocean stratification, atmospheric deposition, and ocean ventilation can reduce phosphate supply in the future (e.g., Kemen et al., 2019; Duhamel et al., 2021). Such changes may cause a shift in nutrient availability from oxidized toward more reduced organic compounds recycled through the upper ocean. Thus, the ability of diazotrophs to exploit different DOP compounds as an alternative source of P may influence their activity and distribution in the future warm and stratified ocean, potentially impacting nitrogen inputs, biological productivity, and carbon sequestration (Dyhrman et al., 2007). Here we assess the role of P-anhydrides in N₂ fixation by Trichodesmium and Crocosphaera at two stations in the Western South Pacific characterized by contrasting phosphate availability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was conducted during the TONGA cruise (GEOTRACES GPr14, doi:10.17600/1800884) between 1 November and 6 December 2019 (austral summer) onboard the R/V L’Atalante. Seawater samples were collected from two stations with contrasting biogeochemical conditions: station “volcano” (21.17°S, 175.93°E) with low phosphate and high iron concentrations; and station “gyre” (20.40°S, 166.59°W) with moderate phosphate and low iron concentrations (Figure S1).

**Experimental Setup**

Seawater samples for DOP addition experiments were obtained from Niskin bottles mounted on a General Oceanics SBE911plus CTD profiler equipped with pressure, temperature, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen probes. Dissolved iron (DFe) concentrations were measured from samples collected using GoFlo bottles mounted on a trace metal clean rosette (Model 1018 Intelligent Rosette, General Oceanics). Samples were collected from 7 to 9 m depth at the stations volcano and gyre, respectively, corresponding to a 50% photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) level. Seawater was distributed into fifteen 4.3-liter transparent polycarbonate bottles in five sets of triplicates. Three sets of triplicates were amended with adenosine monophosphate (AMP, with a P-monoester bond), adenosine triphosphate (ATP, with P-monoester and P-anhydride bonds) or sodium tripolyphosphate (3polyP, with P-anhydride bonds), respectively, to a final concentration of 20 μM P. Bottles were incubated for 48 h in on-deck incubators with running surface seawater and shaded with blue screening to mimic 50% PAR conditions. The two remaining triplicate bottle sets were kept unamended and treated as ‘time zero’ (immediately sampled at the beginning of the experiment) and ‘control’ (incubated for 48 h without any DOP amendment). All incubation bottles were sealed air-free with septum caps and spiked with nitrogen (¹⁵N₂) stable isotopes for N₂ fixation measurements (see below).

Of each set of bottles, 1.5 L from two replicates were used for nucleic acid extractions, while 1.5 L of the third bottle was used for single-cell N₂ fixation measurements (see below). The remaining volume of each bottle was used to quantify dissolved nutrients, particulate organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations as well as for heterotrophic bacterial abundance and production rates (see below).

**Dissolved Nutrients and Particulate Organic Matter**

Samples for the determination of dissolved iron (DFe) concentrations were collected according to GEOTRACES guidelines (www.geotraces.org/images/Cookbook.pdf) using a trace metal clean rosette attached to a 6 mm Kevlar cable. Seawater samples were filtered onboard (0.45 μm) using a polyethersulfone filter (Supor, Pall) and acidified within 24 h of collection with ultrapure hydrochloric acid (HCl, Merck, 0.2%, final pH 1.7). DFe was analyzed by flow injection analysis with chemiluminescence detection (Bonnet and Guieu, 2006). Samples for nitrate plus nitrite (NO₃⁻) and soluble reactive P (hereafter, phosphate) concentration were collected from Niskin bottles at each station cast and from each incubation bottle as described above. Sartoban™ Cartridges were used to filter samples onboard through 0.2 μm and then stored at −20°C until analysis. Concentrations of all nutrients were determined using a segmented flow analyzer (AAIII HR, Seal Analytical).
according to Aminot and Kérouel (2009). Total dissolved P and nitrogen concentrations were analyzed using high-temperature (120°C) persulfate wet oxidation mineralization (Pujo-Pay and Raimbault, 1994). DOP and dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations were obtained by subtracting phosphate and NOx concentrations from the total dissolved P and nitrogen concentrations, respectively. The quantification limits were 0.05 μM for nitrate, 0.01 μM for nitrite, 0.5 μM for dissolved nitrogen, and 0.02 μM for phosphate and DOP.

Particular nitrogen and carbon concentrations were determined using an elemental analyzer coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS, INTEGRA 2, SerCon Ltd). After 48 h of incubation, 2.5 L of each set of triplicate incubation bottles was filtered onto pre-combusted (450°C, 4 h) glass fiber filters (GF/F, Whatman), dried at 60°C for 24 h, and stored at room temperature until analysis. Before analysis and after every ten samples, the instrument was calibrated using IAEA-600 reference material (caffeine), and the quantification limits were calculated as ten times the standard deviation of GF/F filter blank analyses. The quantification limit was ~5 μg for nitrogen and ~15 μg for carbon.

**Molecular Analyses**

Seawater samples for nucleic acid extractions were filtered onto 0.2 μm polysulphone membrane filters (Supor, Pall), stored in sterile 2 ml bead beater tubes containing glass beads, and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. In the lab, the filters were cut into two halves for DNA and RNA extraction, respectively. Filters were first ground and submitted to five to ten flash freezing steps with liquid nitrogen to maximize the extraction yield. DNA was then extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen Sciences, MD, USA) with a 4 h incubation for the proteinase K digestion step. RNA was extracted using the RNAasy Mini Kit (Qiagen Sciences, MD, USA) with β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma Aldrich, M6250) added to the lysis buffer RLT to enhance intracellular RNAse denaturation (Moisander et al., 2008). An extra DNAase treatment was performed using the TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by a Zymo-5 column clean up kit (Zymo Research, USA). Nucleic acid concentrations were quantified using the Picogreen dsDNA or RiboGreen RNA Quantification Kits (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for DNA and RNA, respectively. To examine the expression of the nitrogenase gene *nifH*, first-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from RNA extracts using the TaqMan reverse transcription assay (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies TM) following the procedure described by the manufacturer using the *nifH3* reverse primer (Halm et al., 2009). Before the RNA retrotranscription, an outer *nifH* PCR was performed to check for residual DNA.

The abundance of *nifH* genes from the diazotrophs *Trichodesmium* and *Crocosphaera* was determined using Taqman (Life Technologies, Invitrogen) quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays as previously described (Church et al., 2005; Moisander et al., 2008). All assays were performed in a 12.5 μl reaction volume as follows: Taqman qPCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies), 1 pmol forward and reverse primers, 1 pmol probe, 0.1 μg μL−1 BSA, and 1–3 ng DNA or cDNA template per reaction. Thermal conditions were 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 45 cycles of 30 min 95°C, 1 min 60°C. Eight 10-fold dilutions of the standard were included in each run. Standards were generated from custom-produced plasmids with the target insert of interest (GENEWIZ Co. Ltd., Suzhou, China). Plasmids were linearized by HindIII digestion (Thermo Fisher Scientific), gel purified, and quantified using Picogreen. Inhibition tests were performed for all environmental samples using a concentration standard of 800 gene copies μl−1. All standards were run in duplicates, samples were run in triplicates, and two no-template controls were included in each run. The limit of detection (LOD) and detectable but unquantifiable (DNQ) limits in these assays were 1 and 8 gene copies per reaction, respectively, corresponding to an average of 60 *nifH* gene copies L−1 (LOD) and 477 *nifH* gene copies L−1 (DNQ). Amplification below LOD was defined as 0 copies, whereas *nifH* amplification above LOD but below DNQ was assigned a conservative value of one *nifH* gene copy L−1. Thirty of 80 samples were quantified by duplicate measurements when one of the triplicates failed to amplify, and knowing that the successful samples were above the DNQ threshold.

**Cell Specific N₂ Fixation Rates**

The 15N/14N ratio of single *Trichodesmium* filaments and *Crocosphaera* cells was analyzed by nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry using a NanoSIMS 50L (Cameca, Gennevilliers, France) at the Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research (IOW, Germany). A volume of 1.5 L from one replicate of each treatment was filtered onto a 1 μm polycarbonate filter and fixed with 1.6% paraformaldehyde prepared with 0.2 μm filtered SW to avoid cell exudation and potential losses of the tracers. After epifluorescence inspection of all samples, several sections of each filter were mounted once dried with conductive tape on 10 × 5 mm aluminum stubs (Ted Pella) and gold-coated to a thickness of ca. 30 nm (Cressington 108 auto sputter coater). A 1 pA 16 keV Cesium (Cs+) primary beam was scanned on a 512 × 512 pixel raster with a raster area of 15 × 15 μm and a counting time of 250 μs per pixel. Before analyses, samples were pre-sputtered with 600 pA Cs+ current for 2 min in a raster of 30 × 30 μm to remove the gold and surface contaminants and reach the steady state of ion formation. Negative secondary ions 12C−, 13C−, 15C−, 13C15N− and 15P− were detected with electron multiplier detectors (Hamamatsu), and secondary electrons were simultaneously imaged. Sixty serial quantitative secondary ion mass planes were generated, drift corrected, and accumulated to the final image. The mass resolving power was 8,000 (Cameca’s definition) in order to resolve isobaric interferences. Data were processed using the Look@nanoSIMS software (Polerecky et al., 2012). Isotope ratio images were generated by dividing the ion counts of 12C15N− by 12C14N− pixel by pixel. *Trichodesmium* filaments and *Crocosphaera* cells were identified in nanoSIMS secondary electron 12C, 13C15N− and 15P− images, which were used to define the regions of interest (ROIs). For each ROI, the 15N/(14N+15N) ratios were calculated based on the ion counts averaged over the ROIs. Because *Trichodesmium* and *Crocosphaera* have strikingly
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different sizes and biomasses, we preferred to compute biomass-independent cell-specific N fixation rates as follows:

\[
\text{Cell-specific } N_{\text{fixation}} = \frac{A_{\text{cell}} - A_{\text{Nat}}}{A_{\text{Nat}}} \times \frac{1}{t}
\]

where \(A_{\text{cell}}\) is the \(^{15}\)N atom % enrichment of individual *Trichodesmium* or *Crocosphaera* cells, \(A_{\text{Nat}}\) is the natural \(^{15}\)N atom % enrichment measured in cells at time zero, \(A_{\text{S2}}\) is the \(^{15}\)N atom % enrichment of dissolved N\(_2\) in seawater and \(t\) is the incubation time. The rates obtained have units of d\(^{-1}\).

**Heterotrophic Bacteria Abundance and Production Rates**

Heterotrophic bacteria (HB) were enumerated by flow cytometry. From all incubation treatments, 1.8 ml was subsampled from each triplicate 4.3 L bottle into cryotubes, fixed with paraformaldehyde (200 µl, 4% final concentration) for 5 min at room temperature, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until analysis. Back in the lab, all samples were thawed, cells stained with 2 µL SYBR Green I at 1/10× of the stock solution (10,000×, Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, France) and measured using a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) fitted with violet (405 nm), blue (488 nm), and red (638 nm) lasers. Trucount TM beads (BD Biosciences) were used to determine the volume analyzed, and fluoresbrite 2 µm latex beads (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA, United States) were also added as internal size standards to all samples before analysis. Samples were run at low speed (10–30 µl min\(^{-1}\)) and HB was identified in a plot of side scatter (SSC) versus green fluorescence (FL1).

Bacterial production was determined onboard using \(^3\)H–leucine incorporation (Kirchman, 2018) and microcentrifugation (Smith and Azam, 1992) as detailed in Van Wambeke et al. (2018). Briefly, triplicate 1.5 ml samples and a control killed with trichloroacetic acid (TCA; 5% final concentration) were incubated with a mixture of [4,5-\(^3\)H]-leucine (Perkin-Elmer, specific activity 100 Ci mmol\(^{-1}\)) and nonradioactive leucine at final concentrations of 14 and 7 nM, respectively. Samples were incubated in the dark at the respective *in situ* temperatures for 1–2 h. A factor of 1.5 kg C mol leucine\(^{-1}\) was used to convert leucine incorporation into carbon. Standard deviations from triplicate measurements averaged 7%.

**Statistical Analyses**

The integrated development environment for the statistical software R, RStudio (RRID: SCR_000432, Version 1.3.1093), was used to process and analyze data and produce graphs. All differences between treatments, species, or stations for all parameters and other statistical patterns were evaluated by one-way ANOVA, after checking data for normality and heterogeneity of variance (QQ plot, Shapiro–Wilks test, and Levene’s test). For the post hoc analysis, the Tukey HSD test was used to determine pairwise differences between groups. The Dunnett’s test was performed when comparing the average responses of all DOP treatments to the control. A paired Students’ *t*-test was applied when comparing average responses to a specific treatment between stations. Pearson correlations are used to examine the potential coupling or relationship between certain variables. Statistical significance for all tests was set for p-values lower than 0.05 (95% confidence level).

**RESULTS**

**Biogeochemical Conditions**

Phosphate concentrations in the upper 50 m (mixed layer depth) of the volcano station were equal to or below the quantification limit and lower than those at the gyre station (0.07 µM P; Figure S2A). In contrast, DFe concentrations within the same depth range (0.15–0.87 nM) were more than five times higher at the volcano than at the gyre station (Tilliette et al., 2022; *t*-test, *p* = 0.07, *n* = 3; Figure S2B). Temperature was similar between stations (Figure S2C) and salinity and dissolved oxygen concentrations were slightly lower at the volcano than at the gyre station (*t*-test, *p*<0.1, *n* = 3; Figures S2D, E). Conversely, chlorophyll-α in the upper 50 m showed higher concentrations at the volcano than at the gyre station (*t*-test, *p* = 0.02, *n* = 4), where a deep chlorophyll maximum was observed below 100 m (Figure S2F).

The initial (time zero) average phosphate concentrations in the incubation bottles were 3.4 lower at the volcano than at the gyre experiment (*t*-test, *p*<0.001; Table S1). Dissolved organic phosphorous and nitrogen concentrations were similar at both stations (0.18–0.21 µM P and 6.4–6.2 µM N), whereas NO\(_x\) concentrations were twice high at the volcano (0.08 µM) than at the gyre station (0.04 µM, *t*-test, *p* = 0.06; Table S1).

**Trichodesmium and Crocosphaera In Situ and in Treatments**

At the beginning of our experiments (initial conditions), *Trichodesmium* was dominant at the volcano station (6.2 × 10\(^5\) *nifH* copies L\(^{-1}\)) but less abundant at the gyre, where around three filaments were observed (2.8 × 10\(^4\) *nifH* copies L\(^{-1}\); Figures 1A, B), whereas *Crocosphaera* was abundant at both stations (1.8–2.4 × 10\(^4\) *nifH* copies L\(^{-1}\); Figures 1D, E). *Trichodesmium nifH* transcripts were approximately 4,000 times higher at the volcano than at the gyre station, but due to the variability between experimental duplicates, this difference was not significant (*t*-test, *p* = 0.243; Figure 1C). Conversely, *Crocosphaera nifH* transcripts were 28 times lower at the volcano than at the gyre station (*t*-test, *p* = 0.197; Figure 1F).

In DOP-amended incubations at the volcano station, the abundance of *Trichodesmium nifH* genes decreased by one order of magnitude relative to the control after 48 h, which was particularly pronounced in the ATP treatment (~4 times lower; Dunnett’s test, *p* = 0.065, Figure 2A). At the gyre station, *Trichodesmium nifH* genes were significantly higher in the AMP treatment than in the control (Dunnett’s test, *p*<0.001, Figure 2B) but not when compared with the time zero samples (Figure 1). The expression of *nifH* in *Trichodesmium* was similar or lower than the control in all DOP incubations for both experiments.
cell-specific $N_2$ fixation rate measurements could be done from the AMP and control treatments, which showed equally low values ($t$-test, $p = 0.81$; Figure 3B). At the volcano station, *Crocosphaera* cell-specific $N_2$ fixation was stimulated by AMP (Dunnett’s Test, $p<0.001$) and 3polyP (Figure 3C). At the gyre station, *Crocosphaera* cell-specific $N_2$ fixation rates were ~100 times lower than those at the volcano ($t$-test, $p<0.001$; compare Figures 3C, D) and doubled in the 3polyP treatment compared to the control (Dunnett’s Test, $p<0.001$, Figure 3D). No *Crocosphaera* cells were identified for cell-specific nanoSIMS analyses in ATP treatment samples at any station.

**Response of Heterotrophic Bacteria to DOP Additions**

Over the incubation period at both stations, the abundance of HB increased >five times in the ATP treatment compared to the control (Dunnett’s Test, $p<0.002$; Figures 4A, B). In the 3polyP treatment, HB abundances were also elevated compared with the controls at both stations; however, these changes were not significant (Dunnett’s Test, $p>0.8$).

HB production rates followed the same pattern as the abundance of HB, increasing in the ATP and 3polyP treatments with respect to the controls at both stations (Figures 4C, D), but was only significant at the volcano station (Dunnett’s Test, $p<0.01$). Interestingly, the increase in particulate nitrogen in the ATP treatments observed at both stations coincided with the highest HB activity (4.3–5.8 $\mu M$, Table S1, Dunnett’s Test, $p<0.01$). Additionally, particulate organic carbon reached similar concentrations at both stations in the ATP treatment (Table S1).

**DISCUSSION**

*Trichodesmium* and *Crocosphaera* are widespread cyanobacterial diazotrophs that are commonly limited by P availability in the global ocean (Dyhrman et al., 2007). Under phosphate limiting conditions, *Trichodesmium* can exploit P-esters (P–O–C bond) or reduced P molecules such as phosphonates (P–C bond) (Dyhrman et al., 2002; Orchard et al., 2003), while *Crocosphaera* can only use P-esters (Dyhrman and Haley, 2006). Here we explored the potential of P-anhydrides compared to P-esters as a resource for diazotrophs under contrasting phosphate availability.

At the volcano station, *Trichodesmium* was abundant (Figures 1, 2) but did not significantly respond to any DOP treatment tested (Figures 3A, C). This suggests that *Trichodesmium* was not limited by P at this station, despite the low phosphate concentrations measured (Figure S2, Table S1). Previous research showed that phosphate turnover times in this region vary by two orders of magnitude, indicating a highly dynamic cycling of P induced by intense microbial activity and by the (sub)mesoscale variability in surface circulation (Van Wambeke et al., 2018; Rousselet et al., 2018). Such active P cycling has been observed in other subtropical gyre regions (Corno et al., 2007; Calil and Richards, 2010; Björkman et al., 2012). The success of *Trichodesmium* in these regions lies in its ability to maintain stable levels of P uptake in spite of variable conditions.
P conditions (Fu et al., 2005; Orchard et al., 2010a; Frischkorn et al., 2018). Instead, the low DFe conditions of the gyre are thought to inhibit the growth of *Trichodesmium* despite the non-limiting phosphate concentrations (Halm et al., 2011; Moisander et al., 2012; Stenegren et al., 2018), whereas *Crocosphaera* is shown to be better adapted to such conditions (Küpper et al., 2008; Saito et al., 2011; Benavides et al., 2022; Lory et al., 2022).

*Crocosphaera* N$_2$ fixation rates responded to AMP at the volcano station and to 3polyP at both stations (Figures 3C, D).

The higher phosphate concentrations measured in the 3polyP as compared to the AMP treatment at the volcano station (Table S1) suggested that 3polyP was mainly hydrolyzed by *Crocosphaera* and HB to acquire P and likely also energy. In turn, the increase in N$_2$ fixation by *Crocosphaera* in the AMP treatment at the volcano indicates they were P-limited and therefore had to hydrolize AMP to obtain P, as observed in previous studies where similar P-ester molecules served as a sole P source for *Crocosphaera* (Dyhrman and Haley, 2006). Still, despite the important release
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**FIGURE 2** | Abundance of *Trichodesmium* and *Crocosphaera* nifH copies and transcripts after incubations. Boxplots represent averaged nifH copies (DNA) and transcripts (cDNA) abundances (L$^{-1}$) from qPCR measurements in triplicate from each treatment duplicate for *Trichodesmium* at the volcano (A, C) and at the gyre station (B, D), and *Crocosphaera* at the volcano (E, G) and at the gyre station (F, H), respectively. Significant differences in nifH copies or transcripts between the control and the DOP treatments were tested with the Dunnett’s Test and represented by the horizontal lines with significant codes (***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.1).
of phosphate and the potential energy acquisition during the degradation of 3polyP. *Crocosphaera* N$_2$ fixation responses did not show an additive effect as compared to the AMP treatment. The released phosphate was in excess of the growth requirements of *Crocosphaera* (considering a P:C ratio of 0.007 (Inomura et al., 2019) and a carbon cell content of 8.77 pg C cell$^{-1}$ (Lory et al., 2022). Therefore, even if *Crocosphaera* populations from the volcano station were P-limited and benefited from phosphate either directly or indirectly released from 3polyP, we would not expect these cells to take up all the phosphate released over the incubation period. Moreover, in the 3polyP treatment, HB highly developed and presented significantly higher production rates as...
compared to the AMP and control treatments, likely increasing the competition for other resources which might have limited N₂ fixation in *Crocosphaera*. Still, in terms of growth (*nifH* copies) and *nifH* expression (*nifH* transcripts), *Crocosphaera* showed a positive effect when 3-polyP was added as compared to AMP, where the responses were more variable, possibly reflecting an alternative way they might use the energy from 3-polyP (Figures 2E, G). While P-esters are well documented as a source of P for *Crocosphaera* (Dyhrman and Haley, 2006), the use of 3-polyP has not been observed before. Previous studies have shown that key marine microbes such as *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* (Moore et al., 2005), the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, several *Thalassiostria* spp. diatoms (Diaz et al., 2016; Diaz et al., 2018; Diaz et al., 2019), and the heterotrophic bacterium *Ruergeria pomeroyi* (Adams et al., 2022) can grow on 3-polyP as the sole P source. Here we propose that 3-polyP can be utilized for both P and energy acquisition, but also that microbial interactions such as competition might control the specific exploitation of distinct DOP, reinforcing the currently accepted level of complexity of the P cycle (Duhamel et al., 2021).

The enhanced N₂ fixation rates of *Crocosphaera* in 3-polyP treatments at the gyre station were not mirrored by an increase in their *nifH* gene copies (Figure 2F). This suggests that 3-polyP was used as a source of energy to fuel the expensive process of N₂ fixation rather than as a source of P for growth in these phosphate-rich waters. Indeed, 3-polyP has been described as the primitive energy donor in the origin of life and therefore the precursor of ATP (Kornberg, 1995; Lipmann, 1965). Cyanobacteria of the genus *Nostoc* have shown the ability to use strictly intracellular polyP as an alternative source of energy-rich phosphate to phosphorylate glucose by the action of a glucokinase enzyme (Albi and Serrano, 2015). Moreover, a mechanism that enables some mammalian cells to extracellularly transform the energy stored in polyP bonds into metabolic energy in the form of ATP has been described (Müller et al., 2019). The mechanism involves the concerted enzymatic action of the alkaline phosphatase and adenylate kinase, which consecutively work to channel the energy from polyP to a final energy-consumption step where ATP is produced (Müller et al., 2019). A similar combination of enzymes may operate in *Crocosphaera* to obtain energy from 3-polyP.

Surprisingly, *Trichodesmium* did not seem to benefit from the more readily available ATP and we could not assess the ATP usage by *Crocosphaera* as no cells were found during nanoSIMS analysis in this treatment; Figures 2, 3). This was unexpected since ATP can provide up to 25% of the total P uptake by *Trichodesmium* in the oligotrophic Sargasso Sea (Orchard et al., 2010a). The increase in HB abundance and production rates in ATP incubation (Figure 4) suggests that HB was more competitive for ATP than diazotrophs, as previously observed (Michelou et al., 2011). Along the same lines, Björkman and co-authors found that despite HB and *Prochlorococcus* being equal competitors for phosphate in the North Pacific, HB is more effective at scavenging ATP (Björkman et al., 2012). In the South Pacific, (Van Wambeke et al. 2008, 2018) found that HB were mainly limited by the availability of energy or labile carbon, as glucose additions stimulated HB production rates to a larger extent than phosphate or nitrogen (Van Wambeke et al. 2008, 2018). ATP molecules contain three atoms of P, five atoms of nitrogen, 10 atoms of carbon and several hydrogen and oxygen atoms. Hence, besides being a source of energy, we speculate that HB may hydrolyze ATP to acquire nitrogen and carbon to sustain growth (Wilkins, 1972; Wanner and McSharry, 1982; Hoppe and Ullrich, 1999). This idea is supported by the increase in non-labelled particulate nitrogen and organic carbon concentrations observed in ATP treatments (Table S1).

In contrast with previous studies indicating that 3-polyP can be a source of P for marine microbes (Moore et al., 2005; Diaz et al., 2016; Diaz et al., 2018; Diaz et al., 2019), our results indicate that diazotrophs may rely on other DOP compounds as nutritional P resources, such as P-esters and phosphonates (Dyhrman and Haley, 2006). However, the environmental conditions of our study were not severely P-limited, hindering our ability to comprehensively assess the potential of 3-polyP as an alternative P source for diazotrophs. Notwithstanding, polyP compounds represent an important fraction of the marine DOP pool (Young and Ingall, 2010) and may serve as an alternative source of energy for diazotrophs to sustain the expensive process of N₂ fixation. In the increasingly stratified and nutrient-poor future oceans, diazotroph-derived nitrogen inputs may rely on energy-rich compounds such as P-anhydrides.
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