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ABSTRACT—The Quaternary Period is characterized by dramatic global climatic changes. Quaternary fossil deposits, which can offer excellent stratigraphic resolution, provide a unique opportunity to understand how fauna respond to past environmental change. Here, we test if the herpetofauna of McEachern’s Deathtrap Cave, a late Pleistocene to Holocene pitfall trap deposit from Victoria, Australia, shows climate-related shifts in taxonomic relative abundance through time. During the last 14,000 years, southeastern Australia experienced pronounced periods of aridity, while temperatures remained relatively stable. We show that the stratigraphic layers of this deposit are characterized by different relative abundances of reptile subfamilies, and that changes in subfamily abundance between layers correlate with known shifts to aridity, based on the percentage of C4 grasses present in the region. We further identify 13 lizard morphotypes from the fossil deposit and compare this diversity with the present-day lizard fauna. Our analyses indicate that gradual changes in community structure, which are typically observed in southeastern Australian vertebrate communities during the Pleistocene–Holocene transition, can partly be explained by changing aridity. These findings represent an important contribution to understanding Quaternary community change in Australia, particularly because evidence of faunal succession of reptile and amphibian communities in Victoria is lacking. Our results further demonstrate the utility of the Australian herpetofaunal fossil record for detecting community responses to past climate change on relatively shallow timescales and at higher levels of taxonomic identification.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA—Supplemental materials are available for this article for free at www.tandfonline.com/UJVP.


INTRODUCTION

The Quaternary Period (2.58 million years ago (Ma) – present) is of particular importance for understanding climate-related faunal dynamics (Palombo, 2018). During the Quaternary, the Earth underwent dramatic changes in climate, caused primarily by the amplification of temperature and precipitation shifts that occurred during glacial–interglacial cycles (Jouzel et al., 2007). These glacial–interglacial cycles were characterized by extremely cold glacial phases and rapidly increasing temperatures at the beginning of the subsequent interglacial phases (Hesse et al., 2004). In Australia, the Quaternary Period was significantly marked by intensifying aridity, whereby each peak glacial period was progressively drier than the one previous (Fujioka and Chappell, 2010).

Quaternary fossil deposits often provide a high temporal resolution of paleontological evidence (Palombo, 2018), thus potentially rendering fine-scale information on how faunal communities reacted to changing climatic regimes at the time of deposition (see, e.g., Lundelius, 1960; Faunmap Working Group, 1996; Bell et al., 2010; Prideaux et al., 2010; Thorn et al., 2017). Observing faunal dynamics and records of extant species outside of their current distribution provides insights into the underlying causes and consequences of local extinctions or range shifts, which may be related to climate change and/or human impacts (e.g., Kemp and Hadly, 2016a; Fusco et al. 2016). This information provides a baseline through which modern species distributions and communities can be evaluated from a conservational perspective (Kemp and Hadly, 2016b), allowing predictions on their dynamics into the future.

Ectothermic vertebrates such as reptiles and amphibians, collectively known as herpetofauna, are thought to react more strongly...
to climate change than endotherms (e.g., McMenamin et al., 2008; Böhm et al., 2016), thus representing a potential model system for investigating Quaternary faunal succession. Yet, their utilization for this purpose remains rare (e.g., Bell et al., 2004, 2010; Jass and Gardner, 2013; Kemp and Hadly, 2015, 2016a). The paucity of research in this field is partly due to challenges of working on herpetofaunal fossil deposits, such as the lack of osteological apomorphies that allow species-level identification of isolated fossil remains of many reptile and frog lineages (e.g., Bell et al., 2010). Instead, Pleistocene and Holocene herpetofaunal remains are commonly identified via resemblance, often by comparison to a geographic subset of extant species that may lead to circular hypotheses about faunal stability (see Bell et al., 2010). Additionally, species identification using comparative approaches is often hampered by a lack of skeletal material for extant species in many museum collections (Bell and Mead, 2014). Recently, however, this is improving with the application of non-destructive imaging techniques such as X-ray computed tomography for visualizing osteological features of e.g., alcohol specimens to complement skeletal comparative collections (e.g., Chaplin et al., 2019; Melville et al., 2019; Hipsey et al., 2020).

Despite these challenges, the Quaternary herpetofaunal fossil record remains an important source of global information for identifying climate-related faunal succession, since reptiles and amphibians represent a substantial part of terrestrial vertebrate biodiversity (see, e.g., Kemp and Hadly, 2016b). Australia is characterized by an exceptional herpetofaunal diversity (e.g., Flanka, 1989) and Pleistocene and Holocene fossil deposits, comprising herpetofaunal remains, are numerous throughout the continent (e.g., Lundelius, 1983; Reed and Bourne, 2000, 2009). However, the potential of Australian reptile and amphibian fossils for examining faunal change during this period has widely been neglected, presumably for the same reasons mentioned above (for exceptions see Smith, 1976, 1982; Hope et al., 1977; Pledge, 1990; Price and Sobbe, 2005; Fraser and Wells, 2006; Hocknull et al., 2007; Hollenshead et al., 2011). Additionally, Australian paleoherpetologists are dealing with higher species diversity within fewer subfamilies in any single deposit; when family- or subfamily-level osteology-based identifications are more likely than genus or species level (Villa et al., 2017).

During the Quaternary, Australia experienced drastic changes in climate that are thought to have had major impacts on native biota (e.g., Byrne et al., 2008, 2011). The continent was subject to a gradual progressive aridification, which began about 700,000 years ago (Prescott et al., 2012), and intensified over the last 40–50 ka (e.g., Kershaw et al., 2003; Hope et al., 2004; Fujioka and Chappell, 2010). Little is known about changes in species composition or relative abundances of Australian herpetofaunal communities during this period (e.g., Hocknull, 2005; Hocknull et al., 2007) and statistical evidence of community change is almost entirely lacking. Hocknull et al. (2007) describe a mesic-adapted vertebrate fauna from tropical Queensland including reptiles and frogs, which was replaced about 280–205 ka (thousands of years ago) by an arid-adapted fauna. By the Holocene around 7 ka, mesic-adapted species were present again (Hocknull et al., 2007). This change was interpreted as a reaction to Quaternary climatic phase shifts and intensifying aridity (Hocknull et al., 2007) and suggests that complete community turnover occurred multiple times throughout the Pleistocene to Holocene in eastern Australia. In temperate southeastern Australia, evidence for Pleistocene–Holocene faunal change comes predominantly from analyses of mammalian remains. Several studies indicate that gradual changes of community composition correspond to changes from colder climatic conditions around the Last Glacial Maximum (~20 ka) towards a warmer climate during the Holocene Climatic Optimum about 6 ka (Wakefield, 1967, 1969, 1972; Hope, 1973; Baird, 1992). This scenario of climatic changes is also supported by sea surface temperatures reconstructed from organic proxies and foraminiferal assemblages (Lopez dos Santos et al., 2013) and evidence from speleothem and alluvial records, suggesting also large flood events due to increased rainfall during the Holocene (Quigley et al., 2010).

In this study, we analyze relative abundances of fossil squamates and anurans from McEachern’s Deathtrap Cave (MDC; G-49/50), a fossil site from western Victoria (Fig. 1), to test if compositional changes related to late Pleistocene–Holocene climatic fluctuations are detectable in the herpetofaunal fossil record during the last ~14,000 years. In the absence of osteological apomorphies for lower taxonomic groups, we specifically focus on higher levels of taxonomic identification, commonly achieved in studies of fossil Australian squamates. Macroevolutionary patterns such as relationships of e.g., species richness (e.g., Powney et al., 2010), body size (Ashton and Feldman, 2003) or community structure (Ramm et al., 2018) with climate were shown to exist for many higher clades of squamates, yet, it remains unknown if similar patterns can also be observed across temporal climatic gradients covered by Quaternary fossil deposits.

MDC is located in the Lower Glenelg National Park, with extensive documentation of stratigraphy (Kos, 2001), taphonomy (Kos, 2003a), and mammalian remains (Kos, 2003b). The cave is connected to the surface by two cylindrical entrance shafts of ~2 m in diameter and ~10 m in length (Kos, 1998) (Fig. 1). Kos (2003b) established age and body size frequencies of some of the recovered mammal species based on tooth wear, molar/premolar eruption stages and sizes of the cranial elements found in the cave. These analyses suggested that the accumulation of mammals in MDC was not a selective process, as the mammal assemblage showed a large mean body weight distribution and a representation of all age classes of the examined species, which is uncommon for assemblages which were primarily accumulated by predators (Kos, 2003b). Based on these analyses, the morphology of the cave, as well as based on the documentation of modern pitfall victims such as a living koala, a short-beaked echidna and several live snakes, which were unable to exit the cave (Kos, 2003b, pers. comm.), MDC is hypothesized to have been a pitfall trap from late Pleistocene to present (Kos, 2003a). A previous study further produced several dates for some sedimentary beds of the deposit, which were in correct stratigraphic order and which cover an age range from ~11.7 to ~1.82 ka (uncalibrated ages, see Fig. 1; Kos, 2001). The excavation by Kos (2001) further produced a fine-scale stratigraphic resolution, which makes this deposit especially suitable for analyzing relative abundances through time. Using evidence from sedimentation, Kos (2001) found signatures of a wetter period between 7 and 5 ka, correlating with an increase in precipitation at Lake Leake (southeast South Australia, ∼60 km northwest from MDC) as suggested by Dodson (1974).


**Anatomical Abbreviations—** F, frontal; I, ilium; LD, left dentary; L, left maxilla; LPL, left palatine; LPM, left premaxilla; LPT, left pterygoid; P, parietal; RD, right dentary; RM, right maxilla; RPL, right palatine; RPM, right premaxilla; RPT, right pterygoid; RU, radiolina; T, tooth; TB, tibialobula.

**METHODS**

Bulk material from Trench A (southeast section) of MDC (see Kos, 2001 for a more detailed description of the deposit) was sorted for herpetofaunal remains. Isolated cranial elements of...
squamates (lizards and snakes), as well as cranial and postcranial material of frogs were selected for further analyses (Table S1). The fossils were first identified to subfamily level, based on apomorphic characters in the published literature. Second, a set of unique combinations of osteological characters was used to assign fossils to different morphotypes. Since our comparative dataset was not sufficient to establish apomorphies and no osteological apomorphies are described for most Australian lizard taxa, we rely on these unique combinations of characters to distinguish fossils into morphotypes. However, we acknowledge that we did not employ the concept of crown-stem distinctions and that consequently these characters might represent synapomorphies. Therefore, the morphotypes described herein do not necessarily correspond to different (extant) species. We then compared the established morphotypes with recent specimens and described respective similarities. For these lower-level taxonomic identifications (morphotypes), we focused on lizard dentaries. The subfamily-level of identification was chosen for our analyses, because easily identifiable, osteological apomorphies for scincids were described for the 'Eugongylus,' 'Egernia,' and 'Sphenomorphus' groups, which now constitute subfamilies (following Uetz et al., 2020). To compare the fauna of the deposit with contemporary species composition of the area we obtained spatially valid records of lizards via the spatial portal of the Atlas of Living Australia (ALA, https://www.ala.org.au/) as well as species distributions from Robertson and Coventry (2019) within a ~5 km radius around the location of MDC.

We calculated the minimum number of individuals (MNI) based on the largest number of a given fossil element (e.g., left or right dentaries) attributed to each taxon in each stratigraphic sample (the sediments of the type section were excavated and
stored in batches of several cm depth; see Kos, 1998). We also repeated our analyses using the number of identified specimens (NISP) and compared the respective results. The fossils were assigned to six sedimentary beds of MDC defined by Kos (1998, 2001), based on their differing bedding, textural composition, and depth. A few individuals (n = 9) were found in sedimentary bed 5 of Kos (2001), for which no age information was present. We therefore excluded these from the analyses. The ages of four of the sedimentary beds were reported by Kos (2001) from $^{14}$C accelerator mass spectrometry dating of charcoal, covering an age range from 11.7 to 1.82 ka (uncalibrated ages, see Fig. 1D). We calibrated these dates in OxCal 4.4 using the SH20 calibration curve (Hogg et al., 2020) to increase comparability with the calibrated ages of climate proxies (see below). Some stratigraphic samples (n = 135; Table S1) crossed the boundaries of sedimentary beds and were therefore excluded from the analyses. The snake family Elapidae does not contain any subfamilies, so it was included as a whole in the subfamily analyses. Rarefaction curves were plotted using the smallest sample size (7) for rarifying communities with the R package ‘vegan’ (Oksanen et al., 2019). These plots were used to determine if a greater sample size would likely yield additional taxa.

We then applied correspondence analysis using the ca function in the R package ‘ca’ (Nenadic and Greenacre, 2007) to visualize affinities of the different sedimentary beds and respective subfamilies. Correspondence analysis is a factorial reduction method which produces a graphical representation by transforming counted data into points on an axis or plane (Freudenthal et al., 2009). Correspondence analysis is considered an exploratory approach (Greenacre, 1992), that enables easy visualization of the distribution of the data on the first axes, which can then be used to formulate hypotheses (Freudenthal et al., 2009). This technique is especially useful to detect ecological turnover and possible relationships with environmental gradients in paleontological datasets (Hammer et al., 2001). Because of the low number of amphibolurine specimens (n = 6), we repeated the correspondence analysis on subfamily-level with and without Amphibolurinae. We also repeated our analysis without sedimentary beds 6–9 as they contained only a small number of specimens.

As a proxy for temperature change, we extracted Quaternary sea-level surface temperatures from offshore southeastern Australia based on organic proxies and foraminiferal assemblages from Lopes dos Santos et al. (2013). A reconstruction of the proportion of C3/C4 grasses near Tower Hill Northwest Crater in southwestern Victoria throughout the late Pleistocene and Holocene (Nelson et al., 2016) was used as a proxy for aridity. High abundances of C4 grasses in this area were found to correlate with periods of increased aridity (Nelson et al., 2016). We used LOESS (local regression fitting) in R (R Core Team, 2019) on data points of the above-mentioned datasets within the last 14 ka to compare trends in environmental changes to changes in faunal composition of the sedimentary beds in the deposit. The optimal smoothing parameters of the LOESS fits were determined using a generalized cross-validation method. Temperature and aridity values were predicted for the median calibrated ages of the sedimentary beds of MDC from the respective LOESS fits in R. Ages of the two beds without $^{14}$C dates (11 and 14) were defined as the midpoint between the median calibrated ages of the two surrounding beds. We then used linear models to assess correlations of the different dimensions of the correspondence analyses as well as relative abundances of subfamilies with climate. Relative abundances of morphotypes were not tested against climate because of their low numbers, whereas relative abundances of frogs versus squamates were tested separately. Since we included postcranial bones only for anurans, we repeated these analyses with and without postcranial material. Taxonomic nomenclature follows Uetz et al. (2020). Terminology follows Evans (2008).

### SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

**Order ANURA Duméril, 1806**

**Diagnosis**—Anuran ilia are elongated into a shaft located horizontally and parallel to the urostyle (Ročková and Roček, 2005). Maxillae and premaxillae were assigned to Anura based on the presence of pedicellate teeth (synapomorphy of Lissamphibia, however, only Anura occur in Australia; Parsons and Williams 1962). Anura show a characteristic fusion of tibia and fibula as well as radius and ulna (Ford and Cannatella, 1993).

**Comparative Specimens**—These comprise CAS 121263 (Limnodynastes convexicuspis, CT scan); UF 109124 (Litoria aurea, CT scan); UF 100826 (Pseudophryne bibronii, CT scan).

**ANURA indet.**

**Referred Specimens**—These include NMV P254359 (2 RM), NMV P254363 (LPM), NMV P254369 (RM), NMV P254376 (RM), NMV P254380 (RM), NMV P254386 (LM), NMV P254396 (RM), NMV P254399 (RM), NMV P254403 (LM), NMV P254409 (2RM), NMV P254414 (RM), NMV P254417 (RM), NMV P254424 (2LM), NMV P254445 (RM), NMV P254450 (LM), NMV P254451 (2RU, TB), NMV P254469 (LM), NMV P254489 (LM), NMV P254586 (LM), NMV P254589 (RM), NMV P254631 (2RM), NMV P254641 (LM), NMV P254647 (LM), NMV P254650 (RM), NMV P254659 (RPM), NMV P254668 (LM), NMV P254684 (LM), NMV P254687 (I), NMV P254688 (I), NMV P254689 (I), NMV P254690 (I), NMV P254691 (I), NMV P254692 (2 I), NMV P254693 (I), NMV P254694 (I), NMV P254695 (I), NMV P254696 (I), NMV P254697 (I), NMV P254698 (3 I), NMV P254699 (3I), NMV P254700 (I), NMV P254701 (I), NMV P254702 (I), NMV P254703 (2 I), NMV P254704 (I), NMV P254705 (I), NMV P254706 (I), NMV P254707 (LPM, TB), NMV P254708 (I, TB), NMV P254709 (2 I), NMV P254710 (I), NMV P254711 (2 I), NMV P254712 (2 I), NMV P254713 (2 I), NMV P254714 (3 I), NMV P254715 (I), NMV P254696 (RM, RPM), NMV P256070 (LM), NMV P256071 (LM), NMV P256072 (RPM), NMV P256073 (LM, RM), NMV P256074 (RM), NMV P256075 (RM), NMV P256076 (RM), NMV P256077 (RM), NMV P256078 (LM), NMV P256079 (RM), NMV P256080 (LM), NMV P256081 (LM), NMV P256082 (RM), NMV P256083 (LM), NMV P256084 (LM), NMV P256085 (RM), NMV P256086 (RM), NMV P256087 (RM), NMV P256088 (LM), NMV P256089 (RM), NMV P256090 (RM), NMV P256091 (RM), NMV P256092 (LM), NMV P256093 (LM), NMV P256095 (2 LM), NMV P256096 (LM, 2 RM), NMV P256097 (3 RM), NMV P256098 (LM), NMV P256099 (LM), NMV P256100 (LPD), NMV P256101 (LM), NMV P256102 (RM), NMV P256103 (RM), NMV P256104 (2LM), NMV P256105 (LPD), NMV P256106 (RM), NMV P256107 (RPM), NMV P256108 (RM), NMV P256109 (LPM), NMV P256110 (RM, RPM), NMV P256111 (LM).

Order SQUAMATA Oppel, 1811
Family SCINCIDAE Gray, 1825

**Diagnosis**—Isolated cranial elements were assigned to Scincidae based on the following synapomorphic features: (1), maxillae: the posterior processes bifurcate into superior and inferior processes (Gill 1985), large labial foramina (Estes, 1983; Greer, 1989; Evans, 2008), chisel-shaped tooth crowns (see Daza et al., 2015); (2), premaxillae: paired (Hutchinson, 1993) with chisel-shaped tooth crowns (see Daza et al., 2015); (3), frontal: *crista cranii* do not meet ventrally (Conrad, 2008; Evans, 2008; Gauthier et al., 2012); (4), parietal: fused parietals with a parietal...
foramen in combination with a well-developed parietal fossa (Gill, 1985; Estes et al., 1988); (5), dentary: upwardly directed coronoid process (contrast with geckos, which also have a labial shelf for the anterolateral process of the coronoid; Daza et al., 2015), curved sublingual shelf (contrast with a straight sublingual shelf in geckos; Lee et al., 2009), dentaries are more straight in comparison to gecko dentaries, which are more strongly curved mediolaterally (Gill, 1985).

We used several characters described by Greer (1979), Hutchinson (1992), Hollenshead et al. (2011), Shea (1990) and Gelaw (2011) as well as other morphological features to distinguish scincid dentaries (and one maxilla of T. nigrolutea) into different morphotypes as described below. All morphotypes can be identified based on a unique combination of characters, and similarities to extant specimens are described. The size and nature of our comparative dataset, however, did not allow for a thorough examination of intraspecific or ontological variation.

Characters used to identify different morphotypes (see also Table 1):

1. Meckel’s groove open (1)/open but narrow (2)/closed with v-shaped splenial notch (3)/closed with u-shaped splenial notch (4).
2. Splenial notch reaches up to ventral of which tooth position (counted from posterior end of the toothrow).
3. Number of tooth positions.
4. Dorsal margin of the coronoid process convex (1)/straight (2)/concave (3).
5. Dorsal margin of the angular process convex (1)/straight (2)/concave (3).
6. Anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and angular process positioned higher (1)/midway (2)/lower than midway (3) of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process.
7. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next is wider (1)/about the same (2)/narrower (3) than gaps between the other foramina.
8. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures more (1)/about the same (2)/less (3) than 90°.
9. The coronoid facet shows a distinct step (1)/about the same (2)/less (3) than 90°.
10. A surangular process is present (1)/absent (2).
11. Number of mental foramina.
12. Inferior alveolar foramen (IAF) is positioned anterior (1)/midway (2)/posterior (3) of the midway point along the dentary.

SCINCIDAE indet.


Subfamily EGERNIINAE Welch, 1982

**Diagnosis**—Dentary, with closed Meckelian groove (Greer, 1979) with splenial notch possessing a large elongate IAF positioned relatively low and anteriorly (Hutchinson, 1992). This contrasts with members of the Eugongylinae, which show a smaller splenial notch (Martin et al., 2004).

**Comparative Specimens**—Specimens SAMA R3433H (Egernia depressa); SAMA R30528 (Egernia stokesii); SAMA R30528 (Egernia stokesii);
R26891 (Egernia striolata); SAMA R10301D, SAMA R12873A, SAMA R37707, SAMA R25245 SAMA R23195 (Liopholis multisculata); SAMA R23195, SAMA 23746, SAMA R35691, NMV D66485, SAMA R34886 (Liopholis whitii); SAMA R47694 (Lissoplepis conventry); SAMA R67504, SAMA R67631 (Tiliqua nigrolutea); SAMA R27024 (Tiliqua rugosa); NMV D72278 (Tiliqua scincoides).


EGERNIINAE indet.

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254448 (RD), NMV P254678 (RD), NMV P254597 (RD), NMV P254657 (LD), NMV P256190 (LD), NMV P256208 (LD), NMV P256209 (RD), NMV P256191 (LD).

Comment—The specimens listed above could be assigned to Egerniinae, based on showing a closed Meckelian groove and large splenial notch, and resemble the size of those specimens assigned to the genus Liopholis. However, the specimens are weathered, so that the position of the IAF along the dentary could not be determined.

Genus TILIQUA Gray, 1825

Diagnosis—Tiliqua can be identified based on the following synapomorphic characters:

1. Dentary: within Egerniinae and possesses more than one enlarged durophagous tooth posterior to position 10 as well as an enlarged and flattened coronoid process (Shea, 1990). In contrast, Cyclodomorphus shows a single, massively enlarged cheek tooth (see Shea and Hutchinson, 1992; Hocknull, 2009).
2. Maxilla: heterodont dentition with markedly enlarged posterior durophagous tooth at position 7 or 8 (Shea, 1990). While Cyclodomorphus also shows a single, massively enlarged and rounded posterior tooth, the size of this tooth is much greater in Cyclodomorphus (see Hocknull, 2009).

Specimens in our comparative dataset are consistent with these characters.

TILIQUA NIGROLUTEA Quoy and Gaimard, 1824

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254354 (LD), NMV P254725 (RD), NMV P254713 (RD).

Diagnosis—Tiliqua nigrolutea (Fig. 2A) possesses anterior-posteriorly compressed teeth, all other Tiliqua species do not (see, e.g., Hollenshead et al., 2011). Specimens in our comparative dataset are consistent with this character.

Genus LIOPHOLIS Fitzinger, 1843

Diagnosis—Liopholis can be distinguished from other members of the Egerniinae based on features described by Hollenshead et al. (2011). All Liopholis species show narrow pillar-like teeth, a v-shaped splenial notch and the IAF is positioned posterior of the midway point along the dentary (Hollenshead et al., 2011). In contrast, Lissoplepis has robust pillar-like teeth, a v-shaped splenial notch and the IAF is positioned midway along the dentary (Hollenshead et al., 2011). Egernia species possess flared tooth crowns a v-shaped splenial notch and the IAF is positioned anterior of the midway point along the dentary (Hollenshead et al., 2011). Specimens included in our comparative sample are consistent with these characters.

LIOPHOLIS sp. (MORPH 1)

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254587 (LD), NMV P254593 (RD), NMV P254655 (LD), NMV P256183 (RD), NMV P256182 (LD), NMV P256188 (LD, RD), NMV P256118 (LD).

Description—Dentaries of this Liopholis morph (Fig. 2B) possess a v-shaped splenial notch and 21 tooth positions. The splenial notch reaches to ventral of the 4th–5th tooth position. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is straight and the dorsal margin of the angular process is concave. The subdental shelf is thick. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned higher than midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next is wider than the gap between the other foramina. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures about 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step.

Discussion—Within our comparative sample the specimens most closely resemble comparative specimens of Liopholis multisculata regarding the shape of the splenial notch, a concave dorsal margin of the angular process, the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next being wide, a thick subdental shelf and a large overall dentary height. It differs from the second Liopholis morph by an overall more robust appearance (thicker subdental shelf, larger dentary height), shape of the dorsal margin of the angular process and the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next (Table 1).

LIOPHOLIS sp. (MORPH 2)

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254405 (LD, RD), NMV P256186 (LD), NMV P256184 (LD), NMV P256193 (RD), NMV P256192 (LD, RD), NMV P256117 (LD, RD).

Description—This Liopholis morph (Fig. 2C) shows 20–21 tooth positions. The splenial notch is v-shaped and reaches to ventral of the 6th–7th tooth position. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is concave, while the dorsal margin of the angular process is straight. The coronoid process is steeper and longer compared with the Liopholis morph 1. The dentaries show seven mental foramina and in some of the fossils the 4th and 5th foramen appear to be fused. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned approximately midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next is about the same length as the gaps between the other foramina. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures about 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step.

Discussion—Within our comparative sample the specimens most closely resemble comparative specimens of Liopholis multisculata regarding the shape of the splenial notch, a straight dorsal margin of the angular process, the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next, a steep coronoid process, a thinner subdental shelf and an overall less robust appearance compared with Liopholis morph 1 (Table 1). Since our comparative sample included only two (out of 11) Liopholis species and did not allow for a thorough examination of intraspecific variation, it remains unknown if the two morphs observed in the deposit represent distinct species.

Subfamily EUGONGYLINAE Welch, 1982

Diagnosis—Members of the Eugongylinae show a closed Meckelian groove, while the splenial notch is smaller compared with members of the Egerniinae (Martin et al., 2004).

Comparative Specimens—Specimens SAMA R35624 (Acris toscinus duperreyi); SAMA R35610 (Anepischetosia maccocyi);
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Liopholis T. nigrolutea sp. morph 1</th>
<th>Liopholis sp. morph 2</th>
<th>Eugongylinae indet. 1</th>
<th>Eugongylinae indet. 2</th>
<th>Eugongylinae indet. 3</th>
<th>Eugongylinae indet. 4</th>
<th>Eugongylinae indet. 5</th>
<th>Sphenomorphinae indet. 1</th>
<th>Sphenomorphinae indet. 2</th>
<th>Sphenomorphinae indet. 3</th>
<th>Sphenomorphinae indet. 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meckel's groove open</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenial notch reaches up to ventral of tooth position</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tooth positions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20-21</td>
<td>26-27</td>
<td>24-25</td>
<td>24-25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21-22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal margin of the coronoid process convex (1)/straight (2)/concave (3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal margin of the angular process convex (1)/straight (2)/concave (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anteriormost inflection between the coronoid process and angular process positioned higher (1)/midway (2)/lower than midway (3) of the dentary height</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The gap of the anteriormost mental foramen and the next is wider (1)/about the same (2)/narrower (3) than gaps between the other foramina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures more (1)/about the same (2)/less (3) than 90°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3?</td>
<td>3?</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The coronoid facet shows a distinct step (1)does not show a distinct step (2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surangular process is present (1)/absent (2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mental foramina</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior alveolar foramen (IAF) is positioned anterior (1)/midway (2)/posterior (3) of the midway point along the dentary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ramm et al. — Herpetofauna of McEachern's Deathtrap Cave (e2009844-9)

SAMA R35623 (Carinascinus coventryi); SAMA R35612 (Lampropholis guichenoti); SAMA R44356 (Lampropholis delicata); SAMA R35620 (Morethia obscura); NMV D67407; SAMA R35589; SAMA R 35590 (Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii); SAMA R35594, SAMA R47695 (Pseudemoia pagenstecheri); SAMA R35596, SAMA R35597 (Pseudemoia rawlinsoni).

**EUGONGYLINAE indet.**

**Referred Specimens** — Specimens NMV P254382 (LD), NMV P254397 (RD), NMV P254477 (LD), NMV P254580 (RD), NMV P254633 (LD), NMV P254652 (RD), NMV P254662 (LD), NMV P256180 (2 LD), NMV P256181 (LD), NMV P256185 (RD), NMV P256187 (RD), NMV P256189 (LD), NMV P256301 (LD), NMV P256311 (LD), NMV P256315 (RD), NMV P256320 (LD), NMV P256322 (LD), NMV P256323 (LD), NMV P256324 (RD), NMV P256328 (LD), NMV P256329 (LD), NMV P256332 (LD), NMV P256333 (RD), NMV P256342 (RD), NMV P256343 (LD), NMV P256344 (RD), NMV P256350 (LD), NMV P256351 (LD), NMV P256353 (RD), NMV P256361 (LD), NMV P256362 (LD), NMV P256363 (LD).

**Description** — The specimens listed above could be assigned to Eugongylinae based on showing a closed Meckelian groove and a small splenial notch but are too weathered for further taxonomic assignment.

**EUGONGYLINAE indet. (sp. MORPH 1)**

**Referred Specimens** — Specimens NMV P256304 (RD), NMV P256347 (LD), NMV P256348 (RD).

**Description** — The small dentaries of this member of the Eugongylinae (Fig. 2D) show a rounded anterior margin of the splenial notch (u-shaped). The splenial notch reaches up to ventral of the 4th tooth position. The homodont tooth row bears 26–27 tooth positions. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is straight, while the dorsal margin of the angular process appears slightly convex. The dentaries show four mental foramina, with the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next being narrower than the gaps between the other foramina. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures less than 90°. The coronoid facet shows a distinct step ventral of the anterior-most tooth. The surangular process is present.

**Discussion** — This morphotype could not be matched exactly to any of the comparative specimens. Tooth count matches those of the examined Lampropholis spp., Pseudemoia rawlinsoni, P. pagenstecheri, and Morethia obscura. However, homodont dentition, the presence of a distinct step on the coronoid facet and tooth shape most closely resemble those of the examined Lampropholis species. All other examined eugongyline species do not show a distinct step on the coronoid facet. However, this character is hard to code in articulated comparative specimens and needs further investigation. This morph differs from all other morphs in the deposit by showing a larger number of teeth (Table 1).

**EUGONGYLINAE indet. (sp. MORPH 2)**

**Referred Specimens** — Specimens NMV P254426 (RD), NMV P254442 (LD), NMV P254446 (RD), NMV P254490 (RD), NMV P254635 (LD), NMV P254657 (RD), NMV P254538 (LD), NMV P254632 (RD), NMV P254440 (RD, LD), NMV P254639 (LD), NMV P254384 (RD), NMV P256356 (RD), NMV P256339 (LD), NMV P256357 (LD), NMV P256337 (LD), NMV P256210 (RD), NMV P256068 (LD).

**Description** — The splenial notch of this morph (Fig. 2E) is u-shaped and reaches up to ventral of the 5th–6th tooth position. The toothrow bears 24–25 tooth positions and the tooth crowns are sharp and pointed. The dorsal margins of the coronoid and angular processes are straight. The dentaries show 4–5 mental foramina, with the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next being narrower than gaps between the other foramina. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned lower than midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures less than 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step. A surangular process is present.

**Discussion** — Within our comparative sample, the specimens most closely match comparative specimens of *Pseudemoia* spp. and *Carinascinus coventryi*, regarding tooth count. The pointed tooth shape and the shape of the coronoid and angular processes match those of the examined *Pseudemoia* spp., and in addition, the position of the anterior-most inflection between the coronoid and angular process matches *P. entrecasteauxii*. In contrast, *C. coventryi* shows concave dorsal margins of coronoid and angular processes. The size of the splenial notch (reaching to ventral of the 6th tooth position) is in the range of *C. coventryi* and *P. pagenstecheri* and *P. entrecasteauxii*. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next does not match either *Pseudemoia* spp. or *C. coventryi*. Within the deposit, this morph shows similar tooth count to the unidentified Eugongylinae morph 3; however, the two morphs can be distinguished by the shape of the dorsal margin of the angular and coronoid processes and the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next (Table 1).

**EUGONGYLINAE indet. (sp. MORPH 3)**

**Referred Specimens** — Specimens NMV P254378 (LD), NMV P254400 (RD), NMV P254406 (RD), NMV P254420 (RD), NMV P254653 (LD, RD), NMV P254488 (LD), NMV P256067 (RD), NMV P256326 (LD), NMV P256359 (RD), NMV P256338 (LD), NMV P256303 (LD), NMV P256360 (LD), NMV P256330 (LD), NMV P256318 (LD).

**Description** — The splenial notch of this morph (Fig. 2F) is u-shaped and reaches up to the 5th–6th tooth position. The dentaries bear 24–25 tooth positions. The bone beneath the dental sulcus narrows anteriorly. The dorsal margin of the angular process is convex, while the dorsal margin of the coronoid process is concave. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next is about the same length than gaps between the other foramina. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned lower than midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures less than 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step. A surangular process is present.

**Discussion** — This morph could not be matched to any of the comparative specimens (of the comparative eugongyline specimens none showed the distinct combination of a concave dorsal margin of the coronoid process and a convex dorsal margin of the angular process). Within the deposit, the morph differs from Eugongylinae morph 2 by the shape of the dorsal margin of the angular and coronoid processes, the shape of the surangular process, which is wider in this morph, and the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next. Tooth count, tooth shape and overall size are similar to Eugongylinae morph 2 (Table 1).
Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254379 (LD), NMV P254425 (2 LD, RD), NMV P256308 (RD), NMV P256346 (RD), NMV P256355 (RD), NMV P256325 (RD), NMV P256319 (LD).

Description—This morph represents a very small member of the Eugongylinae (Fig. 2G). The splenial notch is v-shaped and reaches up to the 3rd-4th tooth position. The tooththrow bears 21 tooth positions, the teeth are wider posteriorly and the tooth shape is pointed at the crown. The height of the dentary appears similar along the tooththrow. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is straight, while the dorsal margin of the angular process seems to measure less than 90°, but this character is difficult to determine due to the broken angular processes. A surangular process is absent. The coronoid facet shows a distinct step.

Discussion—This morph could not be matched to any of the comparative specimens but differs from all other eugongyline morphs by showing fewer teeth in combination with a distinct coronoid facet step (Table 1).

EUGONGYLINAE indet. (sp. MORPH 5)

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254379 (LD, NMV P254425 (2 LD, RD), NMV P256308 (RD), NMV P256346 (RD), NMV P256355 (RD), NMV P256325 (RD), NMV P256319 (LD).

Description—This morph (Fig. 2H) shows 22 tooth positions with posterior teeth being slightly wider. The tooth crowns are pointed. The splenial notch is somewhat v-shaped and reaches up to the 5th tooth position. The bone beneath the dental sulcus is narrow anteriorly. The symphysis shows a distinct process ventrally, while the dentary is overall straight. The dorsal margins of coronoid and angular processes are broken in all specimens. Four mental foramina are present, with the 4th and 5th mental foramen being positioned midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. Six mental foramina are present, with the 4th and 5th mental foramen being positioned closely together. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measure to less than 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step.

Discussion—This morph could not be matched to any of the comparative specimens (Acritoscincus duperreyi showed a similar tooth count, but no ventral process on the symphysis and different shape and size of the splenial notch). It differs from all other morphotypes in the deposit by showing a process ventral of the symphysis and by having a lower tooth count in combination with the absence of a distinct step of the coronoid facet (Table 1).

Subfamily SPHENOMORPHINAE Welch, 1982

Diagnosis—Most members of the Sphenomorphinae retain an open Meckelian groove of the dentary although convergent losses of this plesiomorphic condition occurred several times within this group (Martin et al., 2004).

Comparative Specimens—Specimens SAMA R35649, SAMA R67539 (Ctenotus robustus); UMMZ 242324 (Ctenotus schomburgki, CT scan); SAMA R47696 (Eulamprus heatwolei); SAMA R51079 (Eulamprus quoyii); SAMA R35673, NMV D66293, NMV D66271 (Eulamprus tympanum; SAMA R35658 (Hemiergis perronii); SAMA R03240, SAMA R35662 (Lerista bougainvillii).

SPHENOMORPHINAE indet.

Referred Specimens—Specimens NMV P254374 (LD, NMV P254385 (RD), NMV P254387 (RD), NMV P254416 (RD), NMV P254443 (RD), NMV P254444 (LD), NMV P254471 (RD), NMV P254491 (LD), NMV P254582 (2 RD), NMV P254625 (LD), NMV P254644 (RD), NMV P256112 (RD), NMV P256120 (RD), NMV P256194 (RD), NMV P256364 (2 LD), NMV P256365 (LD), NMV P256366 (LD), NMV P256367 (LD, RD), NMV P256368 (RD), NMV P256369 (RD), NMV P256370 (RD), NMV P256371 (RD), NMV P256372 (RD), NMV P256373 (RD), NMV P256374 (RD), NMV P256375 (LD), NMV P256376 (2 RD), NMV P256377 (LD, RD), NMV P256378 (LD, RD), NMV P256380 (RD), NMV P256381 (3 LD), NMV P256382 (RD), NMV P256383 (2 LD), NMV P256384 (2 LD), NMV P256385 (RD), NMV P256386 (2 RD), NMV P256387 (LD), NMV P256388 (RD), NMV P256389 (LD), NMV P256390 (RD, LD), NMV P256391 (RD), NMV P256392 (RD), NMV P256393 (3 LD), NMV P256394 (2 RD), NMV P256395 (LD), NMV P256396 (LD), NMV P256397 (LD), NMV P256398 (LD), NMV P256399 (RD), NMV P256400 (2 RD), NMV P256401 (RD), NMV P256402 (LD), NMV P256403 (LD), NMV P256417 (RD), NMV P256423 (RD), NMV P256429 (RD), NMV P256430 (LD), NMV P256431 (LD), NMV P256432 (RD).
Description—The dentaries bear 21 tooth positions (Fig. 3B). The subdental shelf is symmetrically curved. Posterior teeth show an anterior-posterior compression and are slightly thicker. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is straight, while the dorsal margin of the angular process is concave. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular
process is positioned approximately midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. There are six mental foramina present, with the 4th and 5th mental foramina being positioned closely together. The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures about 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step. The surangular process is very small and round (absent in one of our comparative specimens, Table 1).

**Discussion**—Within our comparative sample the specimens most closely resemble comparative specimens of *Eulamprus heatwolei* regarding shape of the coronoid and surangular processes, close proximity of the 4th and 5th mental foramen, a very reduced, almost absent surangular process, tooth count and symmetrical curving of the subdental shelf. The fossils differ from *Ctenotus robustus* by the shape of the dorsal margin of the angular process, the number of mental foramina, and from *C. robustus* and *S. schomburgkii* by the height of the coronoid process and the angular process and symmetrical curving of the subdental shelf.

**SPHENOMORPHINAE** indet. (sp. MORPH 3)

**Referred Specimens**—Specimens NMV P254411 (RD), NMV P254419 (LD, RD), NMV P254421 (LD), NMV P254430 (LD), NMV P254434 (2 RD), NMV P254435 (RD), NMV P254447 (LD, RD), NMV P254460 (2 RD), NMV P254462 (LD), NMV P254476 (2 LD), NMV P254482 (LD), NMV P254577 (RD), NMV P254628 (LD), NMV P254634 (LD, RD, LD), NMV P254579 (2 RD), NMV P254562 (RD), NMV P254381 (LD, RD), NMV P254394 (RD), NMV P256406 (RD), NMV P256404 (LD, LM), NMV P256412 (LD), NMV P256409 (LD), NMV P256411 (LD, LM), NMV P256408 (RD), NMV P256413 (LD, LM), NMV P256407 (LD, RD), NMV P256418 (RD), NMV P256419 (LD), NMV P256420 (LD, NMV P256414 (LD), NMV P256405 (RD), NMV P256415 (LD), NMV P256422 (RD), NMV P256421 (LD).

**Description**—In this morphotype (Fig. 3C) the Meckelian groove almost closes in the middle of the dentary. The teeth show a clear size difference (being wider at the posterior part of dentary). Eighteen tooth positions are present. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process is slightly concave, while the dorsal margin of the angular process is straight to slightly concave. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned higher than midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next (Table 1). The angle formed by the intersection of the posterior margins of the dorsal and angular processes measures less than 90°. The coronoid facet does not show a distinct step.

**Discussion**—Within our comparative sample, the specimens most closely resemble comparative specimens of *Hermiergis peroni* regarding tooth count, shape of the coronoid and angular processes, a narrow Meckelian groove, the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next being narrow and the anterior-most inflection between coronoid process and angular process positioned higher than midway. This morph can be distinguished from most sphenomorphine morphs of the deposit by showing a lower tooth count (Table 1). In our comparative sample only *Lerista bougainvillii* shows fewer tooth positions (14–17) but possesses diphagous teeth and shows a distinct step on the coronoid facet.

**SPHENOMORPHINAE** indet. (sp. MORPH 4)

**Referred Specimens**—Specimens NMV P256119 (2 LD), NMV P256121 (LD), NMV P256379 (LD).

**Description**—This morph (Fig. 3D) is somewhat indistinctive due to poor preservation of all four dentaries. It shows 18 tooth positions and is slightly larger than the Sphenomorphinae morph 3. In contrast to Sphenomorphinae morph 3 the Meckelian groove is more open and seven mental foramina are present. The dorsal margin of the coronoid process appears to be straight. The anterior-most inflection between the coronoid process and the angular process is positioned higher than midway of the dentary height measured from the tip of the coronoid process. The gap of the posterior-most mental foramen and the next is about the same length as between the other foramina.

**Discussion**—This morph could not be matched to specimens within our comparative sample and differs from Sphenomorphinae morph 3 by the shape of the coronoid process, the number of mental foramina, size and shape of the Meckelian groove and the gap of the posterior-most mental foramen to the next (Table 1).

Family AGAMIDAE Hardwicke and Gray, 1827

**Diagnosis**—Acrodontans can be identified based on acrodont dentition on their maxillae and dentaries with anterior-most pleurodont dentition (Cooper et al., 1970; Hocknull, 2002). All Australian agamid species belong to the subfamily Amphibolurinae (Uetz et al., 2020).

**Comparative Specimens**—Specimens SAMA R152464, SAMA R 154972 (*Amphibolurus muricatus*, CT scan); SAMA R60767 *Amphibolurus norrisi*, CT scan); SAMA R66958, NMV NMV P254690 (*Pogona viiticeps*); D73576 (*Pogona barbata*); NMV D8991 (*Rankinia diemensis*, CT scan).

Subfamily AMPHIBOLURINAE Wagler, 1830

**AMPHIBOLURINAE** indet. (sp. MORPH 1)

**Referred Specimens**—Specimens NMV P254681 (RD), NMV P254686 (LD), NMV P254370 (RD).

**Comment**—The specimens listed above could be assigned to Amphibolurinae based on showing acrodont dentition but are too weathered for further taxonomic assignment.

**AMPHIBOLURINAE** indet. (sp. MORPH 1)

**Referred Specimens**—Specimens NMV P254682 (LM), NMV P254683 (RM), NMV P254685 (LM).

**Description**—The fossil maxillae (Fig. 3E) show two pleurodont teeth of unequal size with the first pleurodont tooth being smaller (about 2/3 the size of the second pleurodont tooth). There are 12 acrodont teeth present. The tooth row is symmetrically rounded. The naris ridge is not well developed, and the dorsal maxillary process is relatively slim and shows a slight mid-kink. The anterior margin of the maxilla is distinctly hooked, and five maxillary foramina are present.

**Comment**—Hocknull (2002) compared agamid maxillae and dentaries and divided *Amphibolurus* in two groups based on several characters (*Amphibolurus* group 1, including *A. muricatus, A. nobbi nobbi* and *A. nobbi cogerri* and group 2, including only *A. norrisi*). However, molecular analyses showed that *A. nobbi* is nested within the genus *Diporiphora* (e.g., Schulte et al., 2003) and Edwards and Melville (2011) suggested synonymizing *D. nobbi cogerri* with *D. nobbi nobbi*.

Maxillae of the first group show two pleurodont teeth of unequal size and 13–14 (*A. nobbi cogerri*) and respectively 16–17 (*A. muricatus and A. nobbi nobbi*) acrodont teeth. The naris ridge is further not well developed, and the dorsal maxillary process is slim and shows a slight mid-kink. The anterior margin of the maxilla is distinctly hooked, and 3–4 labial maxillary foramina are present. *Amphibolurus* group 2 of Hocknull (2002) including only *A. norrisi*, however, can be distinguished.
by the presence of three pleurodont teeth, 6–7 labial maxillary foramina, 13–14 acrodont teeth and a very broad maxillary process.

**Discussion** — The maxillae partly match the description of the *Amphibolurus* group 1 of Hocknull (2002). However, the number of labial maxillary foramina as well as the number of acrodont teeth does not match either group 1 or group 2 of Hocknull (2002). Within our comparative sample the specimens most closely resemble comparative specimens of *Amphibolurus muricatus* regarding the presence of two unequally sized pleurodont teeth, the number of acrodont teeth (which varied between 11–12 for *A. muricatus* in our comparative dataset), the presence of five labial maxillary foramina, a residual naris ridge, a slight mid kink of the maxillary process, a symmetrically rounded toothrow and a distinct hook at the anterior margin of the maxilla. The fossils can be distinguished from *A. norrisi* based on the width of the maxillary process, which is very broad in *A. norrisi* and the shape of the tooth row, which is more straight in *A. norrisi*. The fossils can further be distinguished from *R. diemensis* and the examined *Pogona* spp. by the presence of two unequally sized pleurodont teeth (the pleurodont teeth are of equal size in *R. diemensis*, *P. viticeps*, and *P. barbata*; see also Hocknull, 2002). The two *Pogona* species also show a different shape of the maxillary process, being broad at the base and narrow dorsally, while the maxillary process of *Rankinia* is narrower overall and oriented more vertically in comparison to *A. muricatus* and *A. norrisi*.

**Family ELAPIDAE** Boie, 1827

**Diagnosis** — Snake maxillae and some isolated teeth found in the MDC deposit were assigned to Elapidae based on showing protoderphiny dentition (McCarthy, 1985; Scanlon et al., 2003). Palatines of ‘Hydrophiinae’ (a proposed subfamily within Elapidae containing terrestrial Australasian elapids and ‘true’ sea snakes; Fitzinger, 1843) lack maxillary and choanal processes and show a clasping articulation with pterygoids (Scanlon et al., 2003).

**Comment** — While Australian terrestrial elapids are closely related to the viviparous sea snakes, Pyron et al. (2011) argued against the existence of subfamilies within Elapidae, as the traditional distinction into the subfamilies Elapinae, Hydrophiinae, and Laticaudinae (e.g., Vitt and Caldwell, 2009) was weakly supported. The morphological variation of the skeleton of elapids overlaps widely with Colubridae (Scanlon et al., 2003) and apomorphs for most individual bones are lacking. As such, fossil pterygoids and dentaries of MDC are referred to Elapidae based on their overall similarity to those of extant species in our comparative sample. In particular, the ectopterygoid process of the pterygoid of the examined elapids is more pronounced in comparison to Colubridae and Acrorchochoridae whereas pterygoids of Boidae are much wider and show a large mediolateral notch which is extending approximately across the posterior third of the bone. Palatines and pterygoids of typhlodont lack teeth (Kley, 2001). Regarding our comparative sample, elapid dentaries can be distinguished from Boidae and Colubridae by the lack of massively enlarged anterior teeth and additionally from Boidae, Acrorchochoridae and Typhlophidae by the position of the mental foramen which is located posterior of the mid point between the anterior tip of the dentary and the anterior-most inflection between the coronoid and angular processes in elapids.

**Comparative Specimens** — (all CT scans) Specimens UMMZ 77800 (*Anachthonis antarcticus*); UMMZ 236575 (*Acrorhodes granulatus*); UMMZ 170407 (*Australaps labialis*); UMMZ 190765 (*Anateliaea childreni*); UMMZ 244028 (*Boiga irreguaria*); UMMZ 65349 (*Dendrelaphis caudolineatus*); UMMZ 132287 (*Echidnophis curta*); UMMZ 227833 (*Morelia spilota*), NMV D75415, UMMZ 65874 (*Notechis scutatus*); CAS 254647 (*Para- suta gouldii*); UMMZ 170403 (*Pseudechis porphyriacus*); NMV D77074 (*Pseudonaja textilis*); UMMZ 83512 (*Ramphotyphlops polygrammicus*); CAS 77735 (*Suta suta*).

**ELAPIDAE indet.**


**RESULTS**

**Taxonomy and Correspondence Analyses**

We identified 753 specimens with a minimum number of 677 individuals as either squamate (555) or anuran (122) across all beds of the deposit (Table 2). Of these, 345 could be identified to subfamily-level (including Elapidae), whereas morphotype-level identification of lizards was possible for 126 individuals. Using morphological features of the dentary, we identified 13 different lizard morphotypes of which nine resemble extant species (Figs. 2–3). Three eugongyline and one sphenomorphine morphotype did not resemble any extant species in our comparative sample.

Rarefaction analyses indicated that some of the sedimentary beds (6–9 and 14) could likely yield additional taxa with increased sample size (Fig. S1). However, when excluding Amphibolurinae on subfamily-level, all sedimentary beds comprise representatives of the remaining four subfamilies. We used correspondence analysis to analyze and ordinate the different sedimentary beds of the deposit based on taxonomic relative abundances on subfamily level of identification.
TABLE 2. Abundances of taxa in the different sedimentary beds based on the minimum number of individuals (MNI) and number of identified specimens (NISP). Ages of the sedimentary beds are given in calibrated thousands of years ago (cal ka).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (cal ka)</td>
<td>1.70+/−0.16</td>
<td>6.46+/−0.12</td>
<td>8.46+/−0.20</td>
<td>13.54+/−0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibulorinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibulorinae morph 1</td>
<td>3 [3]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scincidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scincidae indet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egerniinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egerniinae indet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egungylineae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egungylineae indet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elapidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correspondence analysis allows both sedimentary beds and taxa (rows and columns of a two-way contingency table) to be plotted along the same axes. Our correspondence analyses showed similar results when using MNI or NISP (Fig. 4; Table S2). Four dimensions were determined from the data for our subfamily dataset with the first two dimensions accounting for ~87% of the respective variation in both datasets (Fig. 4). In terms of taxonomic composition and relative abundance, beds 10 and 11 differ from beds 6–9, 12 and 14 in their position on dimension 2 across most datasets (Fig. 4, Fig. S2). Bed 15, the most recent sedimentary bed, differs from all other beds due to its position on dimension 1 and shows an intermediate position on dimension 2.

The main polarizing taxa are Elapidae and Sphenomorphinae when using NISP and Elapidae and Egerniinae when using MNI (Fig. 4). A high relative abundance of Elapidae is found in bed 10 (Fig. 5B), and as such, Elapidae is positioned close to this bed in the correspondence analyses (Fig. 4). In contrast, the abundance of Egerniinae is higher in beds 6–10 and 11 (Figs. 4, 5B), while the relative abundance of Eungongylinae peaks in beds 14 and 15. Sphenomorphinae are most abundant in bed 12 (Figs. 4, 5B). Amphibolurinae were only present in beds 12 and 15 in low numbers (Table 1, Fig. 5B).

**Influence of Paleoclimate on Herpetofaunal Composition**

LOESS regressions, used to reconstruct climatic trends during the past 14 ka, showed an increase in sea surface temperature from ∼16.75°C to ∼18.25°C from 14–8 ka (Fig. 6A). Temperature then remained stable and dropped slightly between 4–2 ka by about 0.5°C (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the percentage of C4 grasses at Tower Hill dropped from ∼75% at around 12 ka to almost 0% at about 8 ka, indicating a major shift from a more arid to wetter climate in this period (Fig. 6A). Between 8–4 ka aridity increased again (to ∼50–60% of C4 grasses), and then dropped between 4–2 ka to an intermediate level (∼25%; Fig. 6A). Thus, beds 6–9 and 14 may represent more arid periods, while beds 10 and 11 may instead represent fauna from a more mesic period. Beds 12 and 15 show intermediate aridity values.

Linear models showed a significant correlation between correspondence dimension two of the subfamily-level dataset, which accounts for ∼16–28% of the variation in the data (depending on whether MNI or NISP is used to calculate relative abundances), and aridity (adjusted $R^2 = 0.67; p = 0.028$, Fig. 6B). Relative abundances of Egerniinae were significantly negatively correlated with temperature (adjusted $R^2 = 0.62; p = 0.039$, Fig. 6B), while relative abundances of Elapidae showed a significant negative correlation with aridity (adjusted $R^2 = 0.61; p = 0.041$, Fig. 6B). Relative abundances of frogs were not significantly correlated with either temperature or aridity (Table S3). The highest relative abundance of frogs was found in the most recent sedimentary bed, which shows intermediate levels of aridity and temperature (Fig. 5A).

**Comparison of Different Datasets**

The results of the correspondence analyses were largely similar when Amphibolurinae were included or excluded (Fig. S2, Table S2), however, there was no correlation of dimension 2 of the correspondence analyses and aridity in a dataset using MNI and including Amphibolurinae (Table S3). A larger percentage of the variation is explained by dimension 1, which separates bed 15 from all other beds, if Amphibolurinae are included (Fig. S2). This result is probably because of the low
Figure 4. Results of the correspondence analyses excluding Amphibolurinae using the number of identified specimens, NISP, A, and minimum number of individuals, MNI, B, as symmetric maps (rows and columns as principal coordinates). Points (rows) represent different taxa, and triangles (columns) represent different sedimentary beds. Sizes of the symbols correspond to relative frequencies, while the color intensity of the symbols is proportional to their absolute contribution to the planar display. Ages of the sedimentary beds are given in calibrated thousands of years ago (cal ka).
overall number of amphibolurine specimens and their clustered occurrence in bed 15 (five out of the six specimens). When beds 6–9 were subsequently excluded from the analyses due to their low sample size, most correlations remained significant, while some turned marginally non-significant (Table S3). In addition, Sphenomorphinae showed a significant positive correlation with temperature in three out of four datasets excluding beds 6–9 (Table S3).

DISCUSSION

Temporal Changes in Abundance and Climatic Impacts

Examination of MDC deposits provides the first detailed record of fossil herpetofauna in Victoria, southeastern Australia. Observed changes in temperature and aridity during the last 14 ka, derived from the LOESS fits of independent data, are in accordance with conclusions drawn from the sediments of MDC (Kos, 2001) and other studies (Jennings, 1968; Dodson, 1974; Quigley et al., 2010), indicating flood events and increased rainfall in southeastern Australia some 7 ka, followed by a warmer and drier period. Based on our results, these climatic fluctuations appear to have affected the reptile (but not amphibian) diversity of MDC, with oscillations in aridity having a greater impact than temperature changes during the investigated period and across our datasets.

The differences of squamate subfamily abundances seem to group the layers of the deposit according to a gradient of aridity along dimension two of our correspondence analyses. These results were driven mainly by higher abundances of lizard subfamilies in more arid periods as well as higher abundances of Elapidae in less arid periods. Species richness of Elapidae was shown to be positively, but weakly, correlated with actual evapotranspiration (AET) in Australia (see Terribile et al., 2009). Although we analyzed relative abundances and not species richness, the association of elapid species richness with AET might indicate a possible use of this group as a proxy for local climate. In turn, the higher abundances of Elapidae (the only group of snakes found in the deposit) in less arid periods implies a lower relative abundance of lizards in these layers, also indicated by their respective positions on dimension two in the correspondence analyses. Lizard species richness peaks in arid regions throughout the world and was further shown to be negatively correlated with AET in Australia (e.g., Pianka, 1989; Powney et al., 2010; Ramm et al., 2018). While the causes of these large-scale phenomena are not fully understood, our results suggest that similar to large-scale species richness, lizard abundances are positively correlated with aridity throughout the MDC deposit. If these changes are due to true changes in species richness or due to an increase of abundance of certain species, however, remains speculative.

The Egerniinae of MDC are mainly represented by *Liopholis*, a genus of 11 skink species which are distributed throughout the arid and temperate zones of Australia (Chapple et al., 2008). *Liopholis whitii*, nowadays distributed in temperate southeastern Australia, is the only species of this genus found in the area surrounding MDC today and is likely present also in the deposit (Table 2). *Liopholis multiscutata*, the geographically next closest contemporary species, is only found several hundred km north of the deposit (Robertson and Conventry, 2019). There is little information on the effects of Quaternary climate change on Egerniinae in Australia, but interestingly, Chapple et al. (2005) found substantial phylogeographic structuring within *L. whitii*, consistent with a greater, more continuous distribution in the past (including across the Bass Strait). This pattern was interpreted as an effect of Plio–Pleistocene glacial cycles (Chapple et al., 2005). Chapple et al. (2005) further suggested that the distribution of (extant) montane taxa in southeastern Australia could have generally been more continuous during the cold and dry glacial phases, as the habitat of these species might have shifted to lower elevations during that time (see also Knowles, 2000). A similar scenario was suggested by Smith (1982), who was able to identify fossils of *Tiliqua nigrolutea* from the upper Pleistocene (Seton Rock Shelter deposit) of Kangaroo Island, indicating that the range of this species, which is nowadays distributed in the temperate zone of southeastern Australia, might have been greater during the more arid and cool conditions of the LGM until ~10 ka, since it is nowadays absent on Kangaroo Island. These results suggest that the drier and cooler climatic periods of MDC may have provided a habitat especially suitable for temperate adapted Egerniinae, which could also explain the observed negative association of egernine abundance with temperature. Yet, since we found two *Liopholis* morphotypes in the deposit, it might also be possible that cooler conditions facilitated the presence of more than one *Liopholis* species in the habitat surrounding the cave, which could have led to a higher overall abundance of Egerniinae.
FIGURE 6. A, LOESS (local regression) fits of temperature (red) and aridity (blue) showing predicted values for the ages of the different sedimentary beds (triangles). Optimal smoothing parameters based on generalized cross-validation: $\alpha = 0.72$ (temperature), $\alpha = 0.61$ (% C4 grasses). B, correlations (linear models) of the different dimensions of the subfamily-level correspondence analyses and relative abundances of taxa (% of the minimum number of individuals belonging to a respective subfamily in the different sedimentary beds) with temperature and aridity. Darker shaded graphs indicate significance of linear models ($p < 0.05$). Shaded areas represent 0.95 level confidence intervals.

Ramm et al. — Herpetofauna of McEachern’s Deathtrap Cave (e2009844-17)
The abundances of the E琉ongylineae subfamily showed no correlation with our climate proxies but this group placed close to E琉erniinae in the correspondence analyses, indicating similarity in their respective changes of abundances over time. Nowadays, e琉ongylines in the vicinity of the cave are exclusively represented by small, temperate adapted taxa with largely similar distributions throughout southeastern Australia (Table 2; Robertson and Coventry, 2019). Like for the southeastern Australian Liopholis or Tiliqua, the climatic conditions for modern e琉ongyline taxa of this region (or taxa with similar ecologies) might have been especially suitable in the area surrounding MDC during dry and cool phases (see also Smith, 1982).

Sphenomorphines place opposite of E琉erniinae and E琉ongylineae on dimension 1 of the correspondence analysis and are most abundant in sedimentary bed 12 which shows the highest temperature values based on our climate proxies. Sphenomorphines are abundant in sedimentary bed 12 which shows the highest temperature values based on our climate proxies. Sphenomorphines are abundant in the area surrounding MDC during dry and cool phases (see also Smith, 1982).

Interestingly frog abundance was neither correlated to temperature or aridity. Studies on Australian fossil frogs are generally rare, but for example, the description of the Pleistocene fossil frog fauna of Naracoorte Caves at about 32 ka (Tyler, 1977) revealed conspicuous differences from the modern-day fauna. Tyler (1977) discusses the absence of members of the Litoria aurea complex and the presence of Geocrinia laevis, nowadays found only in the extreme southeast of South Australia, with respect to changing aridity in the late Pleistocene-Holocene. Thus, the ability in future research to confidently identify species to a lower taxonomic classification may reveal correlations with changing environmental conditions.

### Lizard Morphotypes of MDC and Comparisons with Extant Fauna

Given the low percentage of fossils identified to morphotype level, comparisons of fossil and modern species richness as well as associations of individual morphotypes with climate remain difficult. The overall number of lizard morphotypes as well as taxonomic makeup of the deposit is comparable to the modern lizard fauna in the region surrounding MDC as derived from different sources (Table 3). However, given our limited comparative sample it remains unclear if the identified morphotypes indeed represent distinct species.

A major difference arising from the comparison with the extant fauna is an apparent absence of gecko and pygopod fossils in the MDC deposit. An absence of geckos and pygodops has further been reported for Victoria Cave (Naracoorte, South Australia; Smith, 1976) and the Seton Rock Shelter deposit (Kangaroo Island; Smith, 1982). Today, geckos or pygopods can be found in the vicinity of all these deposits (Owens and Graham, 2009; Cogger, 2014; Robertson and Coventry, 2019). It remains to be clarified whether this absence of fossils is related to real absence, taphonomic and/or sampling biases.

While we identified nine morphotypes that resemble taxa which are distributed in the area surrounding MDC today, four of the established morphotypes could not be matched with specimens in our comparative sample. On the other hand, several species that are present in the contemporary MDC habitat seem not represented by morphotypes in the deposit (differences are shown in Table 3). These differences might reflect range shifts or extinctions but could likely be caused by sampling bias or poor preservation of fossil remains that prevent morphotype-level identification.

Besides climatic changes, human impacts potentially influenced distributions and abundances of small vertebrates during the late Pleistocene to Holocene of Australia. The youngest sedimentary bed we analyzed (1.8 ka) provides a record pre-dating European settlement, thus differences to the modern fauna might be caused by impacts of European settlers on the environment (e.g., Fusco et al., 2016). In particular, we report several

### Table 3. Comparison of lizard taxa between the MDC deposit and contemporary habitat surrounding the cave (Robertson and Coventry 2019; ALA 2020).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotype</th>
<th>MDC</th>
<th>Robertson and Coventry</th>
<th>ALA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphibolurinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibolurinae indet. morph 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibolurus muricatus</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogona barbata</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eierniinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liopholis sp. morph 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liopholis sp. morph 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liopholis whitii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lissopelis coventryi</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiliqua nigrolutea</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiliqua rugosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acroctosicus duperreyii</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anapsispetasia maccoyi</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae indet. morph 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae indet. morph 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae indet. morph 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae indet. morph 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugongylinae indet. morph 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampropholis guichenoti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudeornia entrecastauxii</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eiulanpras tynpanum</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiergis peronii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herlista bougainvillii</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae indet. morph 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae indet. morph 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae indet. morph 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenomorphinae indet. morph 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pygopodidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aprasias striolata</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
unidentified morphotypes that occurred in both arid and wet periods, but do not resemble any extant species found in the area today. Similarly, Kos (2003b) describes the occurrence of several mammal species throughout all sedimentary beds of the deposit that are absent from the contemporary habitat. The presence of unidentified lizard morphotypes and locally extinct mammal species in both arid and wet periods might indicate that climatic changes did not affect these species’ distributions throughout the late Pleistocene/Holocene. Instead, their absence in the vicinity of the cave today suggests a potential influence of European settlement on the fauna of MDC (see also Kos, 2003b). As described by Fusco et al. (2016) for the Fleurieu Peninsula (located northwest of MDC in South Australia), European settlement drastically altered local vegetation (see also Dodson, 2001) and surface hydrology (Harding, 2005), leading to a decrease of wetland vegetation in the area. These environmental changes probably led to higher intensity fires and consequently a loss of local terrestrial non-volant mammal biodiversity of almost 50% (Fusco et al., 2016). Given the overlapping ecologies of small mammals and many herpetofauna, we hypothesize that the latter group may have also experienced similar impacts at the time.

Implications for Quaternary Community Change in Southeastern Australia

Overall, the results of our analyses suggest that changes in aridity and temperature over the last 14,000 years influenced relative abundances and distributions of reptile taxa in southeastern Australia, leading to gradual changes in community composition during this period. These findings add to the results of the few existing studies on changes of small vertebrate species diversity in southeastern Australia during the Quaternary (Wakefield, 1967, 1969, 1972; Flood, 1973; Smith, 1976, 1982; Hope et al., 1977; Baird, 1992), which we briefly discuss below.

Analyses of late Pleistocene to Holocene fossil birds and mammals of the Buchan region (Fig. 1A) indicated slight shifts in community composition with some (modern) mesic adapted species (which are nowadays absent from the region), being present in older sediments (Pyramids Cave, Baird, 1992; Clogg’s Cave, Hope, 1973; Flood, 1973).

A study on the Pleistocene reptiles of Victoria Cave at Naracoorte (Fig. 1A) found only slight shifts in relative abundances over time, while the only species recorded that is missing from the area today is the extinct giant madtsoiid *Womambi* (Smith, 1976). Similarly, the mammal fauna of Henschke Fossil Cave, also located at Naracoorte, which is believed to have been filled between 40–32 ka, does not show any major community shifts (Pledge, 1990). Slight changes in relative abundance, however, may suggest a trend from a slightly wetter period towards a drier period with more open vegetation in the time covered by Henschke Fossil Cave (Pledge, 1990).

A faunal analysis including mammals, birds, reptiles, and mol-lusks by Hope et al. (1977) on the Seton Rock Shelter deposit on Kangaroo Island (Fig. 1) suggests a reduction of open grassland areas during the late Pleistocene. The presence of semi-arid and grassland species as well as species that are nowadays restricted to Tasmania and southern Victoria is consistent with colder and drier climate between 16–10 ka compared with today (Hope et al., 1977). Two skink species, namely *Tiliqua rugosa* and *Tiliqua nigrolutea*, were found in the deposit, but are absent from Kangaroo Island nowadays (Hope et al., 1977). Smith (1982) describes the reptile fauna of the Seton Rock Shelter deposit in more detail, identifying also a large agamid possibly representing *Pogona barbata*, which is currently not found on Kangaroo Island. Since the distribution of *Tiliqua nigrolutea* is nowadays confined to cool temperate habitats, the range of this skink species might have been affected by changing aridity (Smith, 1982). However, *Pogona barbata* is considered a semi-arid species that inhabits open woodlands and shrublands, so the disappearance of this species from Kangaroo Island might be caused by the reduction of open habitats, which was possibly influenced simultaneously by the disappearance of humans from the island at ~4 ka as well as increased precipitation during the Holocene (Smith, 1982).

Several hundreds of meters from MDC lies another fossil deposit, MeEachern’s Cave. Wakefield (1967, 1969) studied the fauna of MeEachern’s Cave and described compositional changes of mammals, indicating a shift from wetter climate, at about 15 ka, towards a warm, arid period (‘mallee conditions’) at 6–5 ka, which is partly consistent with our results. His conclusions, however, were based on the presence of some arid adapted mammals in layers interpreted as post-Pleistocene, which were not found in MDC (see Wakefield, 1967; Kos, 2003b). Wakefield’s temporal and environmental interpretation of the sediments, based on the presence or absence of mammal remains and a single 14C date of 15.2 ka, was further questioned by different authors (see, e.g., Jennings, 1968, Pledge, 1990).

The general picture that emerges from reviewing data of small fossil vertebrates of southeastern Australian fossil sites during the late Pleistocene to Holocene is the occurrence of gradual changes in community composition, with changing aridity and the accompanied changes of the respective environments being considered an important factor by most authors. While changing aridity was likely responsible for complete community turnover in the Mt. Etna fossil site in Queensland during the Pleistocene (Hocknull et al., 2007), the results are not wholly comparable to those of MDC due to the different time spans covered by the deposits. However, studies on mammal remains from the Naracoorte and Nullabor fossil sites, covering a similar time span compared with Mt. Etna, found relative faunal stability throughout the middle to late Pleistocene (Prideaux et al., 2007a, 2007b). Although relative abundances and community composition of these sites changed in the course of glacial-interglacial cycles, most of the taxa found in Pleistocene deposits still occur in the area today (Prideaux et al., 2007a); a pattern observed also in the reptile fauna of Naracoorte as described earlier (Smith, 1976). It has been hypothesized that this faunal stability observed from middle/late Pleistocene to the present day might be an effect of an earlier onset of aridification in southern regions starting from 500–600 ka (e.g., Hocknull et al., 2007). Possibly southern taxa had more time to adapt to increasingly arid conditions, whereas the northern faunal community of Mt. Etna experienced a progressive aridification from about 500 ka (e.g., Hocknull et al., 2007). Although our study contributes additional evidence of greater faunal stability in southern compared with northern regions, it highlights the need for additional research across all regions to identify general patterns of Quaternary community change related to changing aridity in Australia.

CONCLUSION

Based on published osteological characters we were able to categorize ~50% of the fossils into different subfamilies. Classification of lizard fossils into different morphotypes was possible for ~30% of lizard specimens. These results mirror one of the biggest caveats in paleoherpetology, i.e., the lack of osteological apomorphies for isolated fossil remains of many reptile species. However, while identifications based on apomorphies are preferable, studies that applied this method to herpetofaunal remains often did not achieve species-level identifications (see, e.g., Bell et al., 2010). In the absence of alternative methods, for example, the use of geometric morphometrics for taxonomic or ecological identification (e.g., Lawing et al., 2012; Gray et al., 2017; Vermillion et al., 2018; Chaplin et al.,
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