Plant autoimmunity - fresh insights into an old phenomenon

Freh, Matthias; Gao, Jinlan; Petersen, Morten; Panstruga, Ralph

Published in:
Plant Physiology

DOI:
10.1093/plphys/kiab590

Publication date:
2022

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for published version (APA):
Plant immunity at a glance

The plant immune system comprises two major realms: constitutive defense components and induced immune responses. The former encompasses preformed mechanical barriers like the waxy cuticle as well as chemical components such as constitutively produced antimicrobials (Wittstock and Gershenzon, 2002; Yeats and Rose, 2013). The latter rests on multiple interconnected cellular reactions in response to the perception of specific pathogen-linked molecules, which ultimately slows down or fully impedes pathogen proliferation, sometimes in association with localized host cell death (Zhou and Zhang, 2020). The induced immune responses can be further subdivided into two major branches: those triggered by extracellularly occurring molecules, both from the pathogen (pathogen-associated molecular patterns [PAMPs], Box 1) and the plant itself (damage-associated molecular patterns [DAMPs], Box 1), and those triggered by intracellularly delivered pathogen effector proteins (Box 1). Accordingly, these branches are referred to as PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI), respectively (Box 1; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Zhou and Zhang, 2020). PTI mainly relies on membrane-resident receptor-like kinases (RLKs) as immune sensors, whereas ETI is primarily based on nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat (NLR) receptors that according to their N-terminal domain can be further...
subdivided into Toll/interleukin receptor type NLRs (TNLs) and coiled-coil type NLRs (CNLs) (Box 1). Apart from “full-length” TNLs, C-terminally truncated versions without leucine-rich repeats exist. These TN proteins are thought to co-operate with TNLs as complexes (Zhang and Gassmann, 2003; Liang et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). While some NLRs perceive pathogen effectors by direct binding, others monitor (“guard”) the status of host proteins (the “guardees”) including their possible biochemical manipulation by pathogen effectors (Figure 1, A and B). In case of manipulation or even degradation of the guardee by pathogen effectors, the respective NLR gets activated and induces downstream signaling, which ultimately leads to ETI-associated plant defense responses (Khan et al., 2016; Ngou et al., 2021). NLR activation often leads to a hypersensitive response (HR), frequently culminating in cell death at the site of pathogen ingress, to inhibit the growth of (hemi-)biotrophic pathogens that rely on living host cells (Balint-Kurti, 2019).

Although it was originally assumed that individual NLR proteins are sufficient for the induction of resistance via ETI (Flor, 1971; Hammond-Kosack and Jones, 1997; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Rafiqi et al., 2009), it was recently discovered that the situation can be more complex. While indeed some “singleton” NLRs operate autonomously, such as the CNLs Mildew locus a 10 (Mla10) from barley (Hordeum vulgare) and HOPZ-ACTIVATED RESISTANCE 1 (ZAR1) from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Maekawa et al., 2011; Seto et al., 2017), many NLRs seem to work either in pairs or as components of complex networks (Adachi et al., 2019). In the latter case, “sensor” NLRs either directly or indirectly recognize pathogen effectors, while their associated “helper” NLRs (such as members of the ACTIVATED DISEASE RESISTANCE 1 [ADR1] and N REQUIREMENT GENE 1 [NRG1] families in Arabidopsis) mediate signaling downstream of “sensor” NLRs, thereby inducing strong defense responses and HR, probably by forming Ca2+-permeable cation channels (Saile et al., 2020; Bi et al., 2021; Jacob et al., 2021).

Autoimmunity/lesion mimic phenotypes
Plant autoimmunity can generally be described as the genetically conditioned inappropriate (over-)activation of plant immune responses, leading to severe disadvantages of the affected plants (Bruggeman et al., 2015; van Wersch et al., 2016). Characteristic phenotypes associated with autoimmunity are (sometimes severely) stunted growth (dwarfism), leaf chlorosis and necrosis, runaway cell death, reduced reproductive fitness, and occasionally even plant lethality (Table 1). An overactivation of immune responses may be caused by mutations or downregulation of negative regulators of plant immunity or the misactivation or overactivation of immune sensors. The latter might be

**ADVANCES**

- Lesion mimic and autoimmune mutants exhibit activation of immunity in the absence of infection.
- Leading causes of autoimmunity include hybrid necrosis seen in interspecies crosses involving incompatible alleles of immune genes, mutations in NLR genes leading to increased expression or gain-of-function that trigger ETI, mutations in effector targets sensed by specific NLRs that trigger ETI, and mutations in negative regulators of immunity (e.g. transcriptional repressors and proteasomal components).
- Fine-tuning of NLR expression at all levels is crucial to avoid autoimmunity.
- Advanced tools to study NLR-mediated autoimmunity have recently emerged.

**Box 1 IMPORTANT ACRONYMS IN PLANT IMMUNITY**

- CNL: NLR with a coiled-coiled type N-terminal (head-) domain
- DAMP: molecule derived from plant—endogenous structures (e.g. the cell wall) that is released upon attack by pathogens or herbivores; can be recognized by specific plant cell surface receptors
- Effector protein: protein delivered by a pathogen; often interferes with the host defense response or metabolism
- ETI: plant immune response triggered upon recognition of pathogen effectors by intracellular NLR receptors
- NLR: Member of a plant protein family of intracellular immune receptors that either directly or indirectly recognizes pathogen effectors and subsequently induces immune reactions
- PAMP: pathogen-derived molecule, often from the cell wall or outer structures of pathogens, such as bacterial flagellin or fungal chitin. Can be recognized by specific plant cell surface receptors. Sometimes also referred to as microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP)
- PTI: plant immune response triggered upon recognition of extracellular PAMPs or DAMPs by cell surface receptors
- TNL: plant NLR with an N-terminal (head-) domain that resembles the intracellular domain of animal Toll and interleukin receptors
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caused by the absence or mutational modification of sensor NLR-monitored guards (Chakraborty et al., 2018). In crop plants, autoimmune phenotypes have been classically described as “lesion mimic” phenotypes as they often resemble the lesions that plants develop in interactions with biotrophic pathogens (Walbot et al., 1983; Table 2). Later the term was also applied to mutants in Arabidopsis, and several of these were shown to be affected in the control of phytohormone and cell death pathways (Lorrain et al., 2003; Moeder and Yoshioka, 2008; Bruggeman et al., 2015).

Hallmarks of autoimmunity comprise the spontaneous yet sometimes developmentally regulated accumulation of defense-related phytohormones such as salicylic acid (SA) and the constitutive expression of defense marker genes (Disch et al., 2016; Radojičić et al., 2018; Castel et al., 2019). Accordingly, second-site mutations in key regulators of plant immunity often relieve the autoimmune phenotypes due to a block in downstream immune signaling (Wang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015; Rodríguez et al., 2016). Typical examples of the latter are components that play a key role in SA-dependent amplification of immunity such as ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY 1 (EDS1) and PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT 4 (PAD4; Vogelmann et al., 2012; Disch et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2021).

Hybrid incompatibility/necrosis

A classic form of autoimmunity is the so-called hybrid incompatibility or hybrid necrosis. This type of autoimmunity, which phenotypically was first reported 100 years ago (reviewed in Li and Weigel, 2021), can occur in heterozygous progeny of different genotypes of the same plant species that carry incompatible alleles of polymorphic immune signaling components (Bomblies and Weigel, 2007; Wan et al., 2020; Figure 1C). It is thought that within-species hybrid incompatibility represents an intermediate state along the trajectory from population isolation to speciation. Such intraspecific incompatibilities can involve unsuited interactions between NLR genes (Atanasov et al., 2018; Barragan et al., 2021), NLRs with RLKs (Álcazar et al., 2010), NLRs with other genes (Barragan et al., 2019), or even rely on non-NLR components of the immune system (Chen et al., 2014). An example of hybrid necrosis that has been intensively studied involves the DANGEROUS MIX 2 (DM2 also RECOGNITION OF PERONOSPORA PARASITICA 1 [RPP1]-like) locus in Arabidopsis (Bomblies et al., 2007; Álcazar et al., 2014) (Table 1). This locus harbors seven to eight NLR genes (depending on the ecotype) whereof only one seems to be required and sufficient for the occurrence of hybrid incompatibility in several ecotype combinations, according to
recent findings (Ordon et al., 2021). An interesting case is the recently reported allele-specific incompatibility between the RPP7 NLR gene cluster and the non-NLR gene cluster encoding RESISTANCE TO POWDERY MILDEW 8/HOMOLOG OF RPW8 (RPW8/HR) proteins. For this protein combination, the activation of autoimmunity is dependent on the number of HET-S-like repeats and the identity of the C-terminal tails in the RPW8/HR proteins in combination
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Responsible Gene</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>dlm</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf speckles</td>
<td>Developing with the age of the leaf, light-dependent</td>
<td>Chung et al. (1998); Kim et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spl-1/im1 (Gm04g242300)</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf speckles</td>
<td>Copper ion binding protein, phenotype developing with the age of the leaf, light-dependent (enhanced under summer-planting conditions)</td>
<td>Al Amin et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lmm2-1 (Gm14g003200)</td>
<td>Small chlorotic lesions, subsequently turning necrotic</td>
<td>Light-dependent, gene encodes a coproporphyrinogen III oxidase that participates in tetrapyrrole biosynthesis</td>
<td>Ma et al. (2020a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>lmi</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf lesions</td>
<td>Starting from the leaf-tip, lethal before the complete spike is ripened (few seeds), light-dependent</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lmm9150/aba2 (Os03g59610)</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf lesions, preharvest sprouting, enhanced resistance to bacterial blight and rice blast, H2O2 accumulation</td>
<td>Alcohol dehydrogenase, abscisic acid biosynthesis</td>
<td>Liao et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oscul3a</td>
<td>Red/brown scattered leaf lesions, ROS burst, lipid accumulation, increased resistance against bacterial blight and rice blast, reduced growth and yield</td>
<td>Light-sensitive (delayed development in the greenhouse versus the field)</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2017); Gao et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>osvoz1/osvoz2</td>
<td>Stunted growth, necrotic leaf lesions, and seedling lethality, in RNAi knockdown lines accompanied by ROS overaccumulation and enhanced resistance to rice blast</td>
<td>Transcription factors that modulate immunity against MoO</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spl2</td>
<td>Spontaneous lesions and lesions triggered by wounding</td>
<td>Ozone hypersensitive, light-dependent</td>
<td>Kojo et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spl3-t (Os03g06630)</td>
<td>Necrotic spots, connecting over the whole leaf during development, decreased plant height and seed setting rate, increased ear length</td>
<td>Light-dependent/induced</td>
<td>YuChun et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spl11</td>
<td>Small spot-like necrotic lesions</td>
<td>E3 ubiquitin ligase protein, light-dependent</td>
<td>Zeng et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spl36</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf lesions</td>
<td>Temperature-dependent (reduced under high temperatures)</td>
<td>Yuchun et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wml1</td>
<td>Yellow to white patches and lesions, plant height, and grain weight reduced</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chen et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>bsp1</td>
<td>Necrotic lesions on the whole leaf, H2O2 accumulation</td>
<td>Light-dependent/induced</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mlo</td>
<td>Necrotic leaf spots, early leaf senescence, spontaneous formation of callose-containing call wall appositions, durable resistance to powdery mildew</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wolter et al. (1993); Peterhansel et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>Le4</td>
<td>Necrotic lesion leading to leaf shedding, abnormal thylakoid-structure, H2O2 accumulation in early leaf stages, infertile flowers</td>
<td>Hybrid necrosis, photoperiod-sensitive</td>
<td>Deng et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with specific RPP7 partners, which triggers the formation of a resistosome-like RPP7 oligomeric complex (Barragan et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). Although the occurrence of hybrid necrosis is often linked to the presence of NLR clusters, typically exhibiting copy number variation of highly polymorphic NLR genes between genotypes within a species, a recent example demonstrates that this is not necessarily the case: DM10 codes for a C-terminally truncated singleton NLR involved in hybrid incompatibility (Barragan et al., 2021). Given that hybrid necrosis and heterosis (enhanced trait performance of hybrids) could be considered as the extreme phenotypic outcomes regarding the evolutionary tradeoff between growth and immunity, breeding efforts should aim at balancing these two forces, for example, based on genomic data-driven reverse breeding (Calvo-Baltanás et al., 2021).

**Autoimmunity based on constitutive activation or overexpression of NLRs**

Apart from hybrid necrosis/hybrid incompatibility, autoimmunity can originate from activating mutations in NLR genes or overexpression of these (Figure 1D and E), often in the context of the above-mentioned sensor and helper NLR pairs or networks. Several of these mutants originally resulted from genetic screens in Arabidopsis. A prominent example of this is a gain of function mutation in SUPPRESSOR OF NPR1-1, CONSTITUTIVE 1 (SNC1), a gene encoding a TNL protein (Zhang et al., 2003). In addition, mutations in several other genes (e.g. BONZAI 1 [BON1], BON ASSOCIATION PROTEIN 1 [BAP1], BR11-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE 1 [BAK1]-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 1 [BIR1], SUPPRESSOR OF RESISTANT TO PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE 4 [RPS4]-RLD 1 [SRFR1], CONSTITUTIVE EXPRESSER OF PR GENES 4 [CPR1], and MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN [MAP] KINASE PHOSPHATASE 1 [MKP1]) can result in SNC1-dependent autoimmunity, possibly via an SA-dependent upregulation of SNC1 transcript levels in some of these mutants (Gou and Hua, 2012). Alternatively, some of the proteins encoded by these genes might be guarded by SNC1 (Rodriguez et al., 2016). Another recent addition to the list of putative SNC1 guardees is CALMODULIN-BINDING PROTEIN 60b (CBP60b), a calmodulin-binding protein that serves a role as a transcriptional activator of immunity. Unexpectedly, loss of function of CBP60b results in autoimmunity, and this EDS1-PAD4-dependent phenotype can be partially rescued by a lack of SNC1 (Li et al., 2021). The nucleocytoplasmically distributed SNC1 protein requires the nuclear import receptor IMPORTIN-83/MODIFIER OF SNC1, 6 for nuclear translocation, and its nuclear localization is a prerequisite for autoimmunity (Lüdtke et al., 2021). Yet another nuclear transport receptor, the karyopherin KA120, seems to modulate the nucleocytoplasmic homoeostasis of SNC1 or may serve as a further guardee of the NLR (Jia et al., 2021). SNC1 appears to exert its immunity-activating activity through a complex interplay with several regulators of plant immunity, including SRFR1, a negative regulator of effector-triggered immunity, and TOPESS-RELATED 2 (TPR2) and TPR3, two antagonistically acting members of the TOPESS family of transcriptional co-repressors (Garner et al., 2021). Another example of a gain-of-function mutant is provided by the TN gene CHILLING SENSITIVE 1 (CHS1), which induces temperature-dependent autoimmunity by enhanced protein interaction with the TIR domain of the TNL encoded by the neighboring SUPPRESSOR OF CHS1-2, 3 (SOC3) gene (Zhang et al., 2017a). Similarly, autoimmunity conferred by the chs3-2D mutant, which is based on a dominant mutation in the TNL-encoding CHS3 gene, relies on CONSTITUTIVE SHADE-AVOIDANCE 1 (CSA1), another TNL-encoding gene that resides in very close (<4 kb) chromosomal proximity to CHS3 (Xu et al., 2015).

Apart from activating gain-of-function mutations, autoimmunity can be triggered by overexpression of NLR genes or truncated versions thereof (Lai and Eulgem, 2018; Figure 1E). A typical example is the temperature-dependent autoimmunity conditioned by the overexpression of full-length RPS4 (Heidrich et al., 2013). However, also overexpression of truncated NLR variants can trigger cell death and autoimmunity. For example, expression of a version of the NLR RPS5 lacking the C-terminal leucine-rich repeats results in constitutively activated programmed cell death, possibly due to a lack of sufficient autoinhibition in its truncated form (Adé et al., 2007). Notably, not only the overexpression of NLRs can result in autoimmunity but also the combined overexpression of EDS1 and PAD4 or the overexpression of the common RLK co-receptor BAK1 or its membrane-associated ectodomain, each triggering similar downstream defense responses as overactivated or overexpressed NLRs (Dominguez-Ferrerás et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2017). Since accumulating evidence points to a mutual interplay between ETI and PTI (Ngou et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2021), the constitutive activation of PTI pathways may be similar to the inadequate activation of NLRs result in autoimmunity.

**Autoimmunity based on the lack or modification of an NLR-guarded protein**

Mutations that affect a guardee can also condition autoimmunity. Because of the absence or modification of a guardee protein, the respective guarding NLR might become activated, resulting in constitutive defense responses (Figure 1F). An example of this scenario is the RESISTANCE TO PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE PV MACULICOLA 1 (RPM1)-INTERACTING PROTEIN 4 (RIN4), which is guarded by two NLRs (RPS2 and RPM1). Lack of RIN4 results in seedling lethality that can be rescued by second-site mutations in RPS2 and other immune signaling components (Mackey et al., 2003). Another case is the monitoring of the E3 ubiquitin ligase SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED E3 UBQUITIN LIGASE 1 (SAUL1) by the TNL SOC3. After SOC3 was found earlier in a suppressor screen for autoimmunity caused by the saul1-1 mutation (Tong et al., 2017), it was recently shown that SOC3 pairs with the genetically linked TN-type
proteins CHS1 and TIR-NBS2 (TN2) to monitor SAUL1 homeostasis (Liang et al., 2019). The SOC3-CHS1 and SOC3-TN2 complexes additionally require the F-Box protein SUPPRESSOR OF SAUL1, 2 (SUS2A) for downstream activation of immune responses, linking these responses to the degradation of cellular proteins via polyubiquitination by E3 ligases (Liang et al., 2020). TN2 appears to guard additionally the exocyst complex subunit EXOCYST SUBUNIT EXO70 FAMILY PROTEIN B1 (EXO70B1). Loss-of-function exo70B1 mutants exhibit hyperactivated defense responses, including spontaneous cell death. This phenotype is dependent on TN2, which also interacts with EXO70B1 in yeast and in planta (Zhao et al., 2015). A similar model was proposed for the Arabidopsis genome encodes some 150 NLRs

In addition to these well-studied cases, there are some recent reports of additional mutants that exhibit EDS1-dependent autoimmune immunity, suggesting that also in these instances a (guarding?) NLR protein might be involved. These comprise a recessive gain-of-function mutation in the CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE-GATED CHANNEL 20 (CNCG20) gene (Zhao et al., 2021) and a missense mutation in the CONSTITUTIVE ACTIVE DEFENSE 1 (CAD1) gene (Holmes et al., 2021). Interestingly, double mutants of the genes coding for the common ETI-associated microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP) co-receptor BAK1 and its paralog BAK1-LIKE 1 (BKK1) exhibit spontaneous cell death reminiscent of autoimmune immunity. This phenotype is dependent on the ADR1 family of helper NLRs, suggesting that BAK1 and BKK1 might also be guarded by a yet unrecognized sensor NLR (Wu et al., 2020a). Another example is the double mutant of PENETRATION 1 (PEN1) and its paralog SYNTAXIN OF PLANTS 122 (SYT122), encoding plasma membrane-resident syntaxins, which also exhibits a severe autoimmune phenotype (Zhang et al., 2008). This requires the deubiquitinase-ASSOCIATED MOLECULE WITH THE SH3 DOMAIN PENETRATION 1 mRNA decay pathways, suggesting that these pathways are likely targets of phytopathogen effectors. We note that mutations in other decay components also lead to autoimmunity (Rayson et al., 2012; Chantarachot et al., 2020), raising the possibility that they may also be guarded by NLRs.

A complex situation is provided by the monitoring of CALMODULIN-BINDING RECEPTOR-LIKE CYTOPLASMIC KINASE 3 (CRCK3) via the NLRs SUPPRESSOR OF MKK1 MKK2 2 (SUMM2) and RP56. CRCK3 is a constitutively phosphorylated substrate of the MAPK/ERK KINASE KINASE 1 (MEKK1)-MAP KINASE (MPK)/ERK KINASE 1/2 (MKK1/2)-MAP KINASE 4 (MPK4) MAP kinase cascade. Functional disruption of this cascade results in SUMM2-dependent autoimmune immunity (Zhang et al., 2017b). The activation of SUMM2 by yet another MPK kinase kinase, MEKK2, requires a trimeric complex of two RLKs and a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein (Huang et al., 2020). In addition to SUMM2, RP56 also monitors the same MPK cascade comprised of MEKK1, MKK1/2, and MPK4 (Takagi et al., 2019). Proper RP56 transcript accumulation requires the RNA helicase HUA ENHANCER 2 (HEN2), and, accordingly, hen2 mutants partially rescue the MPK cascade-associated autoimmune phenotype (Takagi et al., 2020). Interestingly, mutations in the mRNA decay genes PAT1 (a gene with homology to yeast PAT1) and SMG7 (a gene with homology to human SMG7) lead to autoimmune phenotypes, which in smg7 depend on a specific allele of RP56 while autoimmunity in pat1 is suppressed by mutations in SUMM2 (Gloggnitzer et al., 2014; Roux et al., 2015). Thus, RP56 and SUMM2 apparently also monitor the integrity of mRNA decay pathways, suggesting that these pathways are likely targets of phytopathogen effectors. We note that mutations in other decay components also lead to autoimmunity (Rayson et al., 2012; Chantarachot et al., 2020), raising the possibility that they may also be guarded by NLRs.
(Meyers et al., 2003) while around 500 are found in rice (Oryza sativa; Zhou et al., 2004b; Li et al., 2010). NLRs tend to pair or appear in genomic clusters, which can expand or shrink rapidly probably as a consequence of adaptation to evolving pathogens. While singleton NLRs usually form homo-oligomers, paired and clustered NLRs are likely to co-function by hetero-dimerization or oligomerization (van Wersch and Li, 2019). To keep pace with an almost unlimited number of pathogen effectors with a limited set of NLR genes while at the same time avoiding the inappropriate activation of immune responses, NLR transcript integrity and abundance must be tightly regulated. Accumulating evidence indicates that NLR homeostasis is not only regulated transcriptionally and posttranscriptionally (e.g. by [alternative] mRNA splicing) but also at the translational and posttranslational level (Li et al., 2015; Lai and Eulgem, 2018; Richard et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2020b; Wu et al., 2020b; Parker et al., 2021), and that malfunctions in any of these processes can give rise to autoimmunity. The analysis of the Arabidopsis acd11 lesion mimic mutant led to the identification of LAZ2, encoding a histone lysine methyltransferase likely implicated in the epigenetic regulation of the LAZ5 gene, which encodes an RPS4-like NLR protein that is responsible for triggering cell death in the absence of ACD11 (Palma et al. 2010). NLR genes are generally under tight transcriptional control (Borrelli et al., 2018). A loss of negative regulation can result in autoimmunity, as evidenced by loss of SRFR1-mediated fine-tuning of SNC1 expression (Garner et al., 2021). An interesting case is the histone-binding protein ENHANCED DOWNY MILDEW 2 (EDM2), which promotes expression of some NLR genes while suppressing the expression of others, thereby balancing NLR transcript levels (Lai et al., 2020). NLR genes are further preferentially subject to alternative poly(A) site selection and associated premature termination of transcription, which is mediated by the RNA-binding protein FLOWERING PROTEIN A (FPA; Borrelli et al., 2018; Parker et al., 2021) and can give rise to nonsense-mediated RNA decay. In some cases, NLR transcript accumulation is further adjusted by small RNAs (miRNA-derived siRNAs; Zhai et al., 2011). NLR protein homeostasis is controlled via ubiquitination-based and proteasome-dependent protein turnover, as suggested by the recent identification of two master E3 ubiquitin ligases (SNIPER1 and SNIPER2) in Arabidopsis that can ubiquitinuate the nucleotide-binding domain of sensor NLRs, thereby ensuring their adequate levels (Wu et al., 2020b). A contribution of the proteasome in adjusting NLR abundance was revealed by the role of the proteasome regulator PROTEASOME REGULATOR 1 (PTRE1) in controlling SNC1 accumulation (Thulasir Devendrakumar et al., 2019). At the posttranslational level, NLR proteins are autoinhibited in the resting state in nonchallenged plant cells and only become active upon suitable triggers (reviewed in Chiang and Coaker, 2015).

**Modulation of autoimmunity by environmental conditions**

Independent of the species, many plant autoimmune phenotypes seem to be strongly modulated by external abiotic factors. These include temperature, light irradiance, photoperiod, and humidity. Most frequently described have been influences by light and temperature, where dependence on light intensity has been observed in many crop autoimmune mutants (Table 2), while many Arabidopsis autoimmune mutants show sensitivity toward elevated or reduced temperature. Autoimmunity in the Arabidopsis saul1-1 mutant conferred by the TNL SOC3, for example, is suppressed under high temperature (>24°C; Disch et al., 2016). Additionally, several of the “chilling-sensitive” (chs) mutants identified in genetic screenings for sensitivity at low temperature turned out to be dependent on TNL or TN-type family members, and expression of the autoimmune phenotype often involves additional neighboring TNLs (Schneider et al., 1995; Yang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010a; Zbierzak et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2019). Conversely, autoimmunity conferred by the zed1-D mutation in a gene encoding a heat-induced pseudokinase, is only expressed under higher temperatures (Wang et al., 2017). Similar to heat, high humidity may also suppress autoimmunity. Stunted growth and constitutive expression of RPW8 and EDS1 in suppressor of SA insensitive 4 (ss4) mutant plants are, for example, suppressed by high humidity (Zhou et al., 2004a). In addition, spontaneous HR-like lesions in RPW8 overexpression lines could also be delayed or suppressed by high humidity, low light, and high temperature, probably by disrupting an EDS1/SA-dependent amplification circuit (Xiao et al., 2003).

Although environmental conditions influence autoimmunity in many instances, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. There is a general tendency that PTI is elevated at higher temperatures, while ETI, which typically relies on NLR activity, on the contrary is reduced (Cheng et al., 2013). Accordingly, HR-like cell death, which is an important aspect of many autoimmune phenotypes, is typically abolished under high temperatures and on top of that is also often light-dependent (Balint-Kurti, 2019). Only few exceptions such as the zed1-D mutant behave differently, possibly due to specific characteristics of the involved guards. A recent meta-analysis of whole-genome shotgun (RNA-sequencing) data sets revealed that generally the expression of NLR genes is increased upon biotic stress (or treatment with SA) while their expression is mostly reduced under abiotic stress such as heat, but also drought or treatment with the phytohormone abscisic acid (Yang et al., 2020). This could explain why NLR-dependent autoimmune phenotypes are generally reduced at higher temperatures and often depend on the availability of light. One may also speculate that temperature-dependent alterations in NLR
conformation could be a reason for the temperature sensitivity of some autoimmune phenotypes. Several NLR proteins need the help of (co-)chaperones such as HSP90, RAR1, and SUPPRESSOR OF THE G2 ALLELES OF SKP1 (SGT1) for stability, function, and possibly oligomerization, which may fail at elevated temperatures (Seo et al., 2008; Kadota et al., 2010). Alternatively, or in addition, the temperature sensitivity of autoimmunity may relate to cell biological abnormalities in the respective mutants. A decrease in temperature leads to chloroplast and cell wall changes in the saul1-1 mutant and enhances its autoimmune phenotype. This was also seen in the chsl mutant, pointing at low temperature-induced alterations in chloroplast homeostasis and cell wall integrity, which may either directly or indirectly impact the activation of defense responses (Zbierzak et al., 2013; Disch et al., 2016). The erratic formation of (often callose- and lignin-containing) cell wall deposits is a common characteristic of lesion mimic mutants. Data of a recent study now suggest that such cell wall deposition is a consequence and not the cause of temperature-induced autoimmunity, since reduction of cell wall deposition in saul1-1 pmr4-1 double mutants, which lack the callose synthase POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANCE 4 (PMR4), did not affect autoimmunity triggered upon exposure of these plants to low temperature (Hessler et al., 2021).

Autoimmunity in crop plants

While autoimmune mutants and their mechanistic link to plant immunity have been well studied in the past in Arabidopsis (van Wersch et al., 2016), crop plants have been investigated less thoroughly in this respect. Next to the original discovery of so-called “lesion mimic mutants” in maize (Zea mays; Walbot et al., 1983; Johal et al., 1995), over the years many examples for this type of autoimmune mutants have been discovered in crop plants like soybean (Glycine max), rice, barley, and cotton (Gossypium hirsutum; Table 2; Walbot et al., 1983; Wolter et al., 1993; Johal et al., 1995; Chung et al., 1998; Rostoks et al., 2003; McGrann et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018; Liao et al., 2018; YuChun et al., 2018; Al Amin et al., 2019). In crop plants, extreme phenotypes such as dwarfism and stunted development have been rarely reported, probably because these phenotypes are already excluded during the initial steps of breeding. The same applies to hybrid incompatibility in crops. While this phenomenon is probably of high interest as it can severely hinder breeding between specific crop lines and natural accessions, such interactions would be excluded in early stages of the breeding process. Although there is very little known about the biochemical function of the proteins encoded by the genes that underlie these autoimmune phenotypes, there still are several traits that many of these mutants share. This includes the spontaneous formation of necrotic leaf spots and the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Table 2). On top of that, lesion mimic mutants mostly show increased resistance to obligate biotrophic pathogens, while the interaction with facultative or hemi-biotrophic pathogens is more differentiated. For those, the interactions with lesion mimic mutants range from enhanced resistance to hyper-susceptibility (McGrann et al., 2015; Liao et al., 2018; Gruner et al., 2020). A prominent example of a lesion mimic phenotype in crop plants is the mutation of the so-called Mlo gene in barley. While the mlo mutant was originally discovered in the context of broad-spectrum powdery mildew resistance (Wiberg, 1973), it was later shown to also cause a lesion mimic phenotype whose intensity seems to depend on the genetic background (Bjørnstad and Aastveit, 1990; Wolter et al., 1993). As for many of the crop lesion mimic mutants, neither the biochemical function of the Mlo protein nor the mechanism underlying the mlo lesion mimic phenotype has been unraveled, yet (Kusch and Panstruga, 2017). It might be speculated that at least some of the “lesion mimic genes” encode guardes that, when absent or mutated, result in autoimmune responses due to the inadequate activation of their guarding NLRs.

As in Arabidopsis, the lesion mimic autoimmune mutants in crop plants could be used to examine the presumptive defense pathways that get (over-)activated in these mutants. The availability of high-quality genome sequences and considerable improvements in gene cloning technologies have enabled the identification of the underlying genes in several instances (Lolle et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2019). Rice spotted leaf 11 (spl11) mutants, for example, display spontaneous cell death and increased resistance to both fungal and bacterial pathogens. SPL11 encodes an E3 ubiquitin ligase that can be phosphorylated by a monocot-specific RLK (SPL11 CELL DEATH SUPPRESSOR 2 (SDS2)). Phosphorylated SPL11 acts in two branches to regulate cell death and immunity via the ROS-producing NADPH oxidase RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG B (OsRBOHB): either by mediating ubiquitination and degradation of SDS2, which interacts with and phosphorylates the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases OsRLCK118/176, or by promoting degradation of SPL11-INTERACTING PROTEIN 6 (SPIN6), which is a Rho GTPase-activating protein also involved in OsRBOHB-dependent immunity (Zeng et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2018). Another E3-related autoimmune phenotype in rice is conferred by the vascular plant one zinc-finger 1/2 (osvoz1/osvoz2) double mutant. The two transcription factors OsVOZ1 and OsVOZ2 co-function as positive regulators of the NLR gene PYRICULARIA ORYZAE RESISTANCE Z-T (PIZ-T) and are subject to ubiquitination by the E3 ligase AVRPIZ-T INTERACTING PROTEIN 10 (APIP10; Wang et al., 2021). SPL11 and APIP10 thus function differently than the Arabidopsis SNIPER E3 ligases, which regulate the turnover of NLRs by direct binding and ubiquitination (Wu et al., 2020b). It remains an open question if SNIPER-like E3 ligases exist in rice (and monocots in general) and whether SPL11 and APIP10 represent guardees of yet unrecognized NLRs. A very recent addition to the suite of crop lesion mimic mutants is the rice spl36 mutant. The wild-type gene encodes a receptor-like protein kinase that regulates defense responses in rice (Yuchun et al., 2021). Despite these
advancements, the longer generation times and more challenging transformation and regeneration protocols in crop plants often still represent severe bottlenecks for detailed functional analyses compared to the model plant Arabidopsis (Altpeter et al., 2016). Thus, it remains that the extensive research that is done in Arabidopsis continues to keep informing the understanding of autoimmune mutants in crop plants.

**Tools to analyze NLR-mediated autoimmunity**

Although NLRs often contribute in one or the other way to plant autoimmunity (Figures 1 and 2, A), their participation is not always easy to demonstrate. Experimental approaches to interrogate a putative contribution of NLRs to an autoimmune phenotype are, thus, highly valuable and are best developed in Arabidopsis. Introggression of second-site mutations in \textit{EDS1}, \textit{PAD4}, and \textit{NONRACE-SPECIFIC DISEASE RESISTANCE 1} (\textit{NDR1}) into the genetic background of lesion mimic mutants is widely used to gain a glimpse of whether TNLs or CNLs could be involved. A recently described tool to inquire the involvement of NLRs in autoimmunity is based on the so-called SNIPER E3 ubiquitin ligases. These Arabidopsis proteins specifically interact with the nucleotide-binding domains of sensor NLRs such as the CNL \textit{SUMM2}, the TNL \textit{RPP4}, and the TN protein \textit{CHS1}, but not helper NLRs, tagging them with ubiquitin for proteasomal degradation (Figure 2B).

**Figure 2** Mechanistic principles of three methods to investigate the involvement of NLRs in plant autoimmune mutants. A, (Auto-)immunity mediated by NLRs with or without the contribution of helper NLRs. Upon pathogen recognition or autoimmune induction by sensor NLRs, the sensor NLR itself or associated helper NLRs can form cation-permeable channels in the cell membrane. Influx of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} leads to cell death. B, SNIPER E3 ubiquitin ligases specifically target NLRs for proteasome-dependent degradation by poly-ubiquitination. Overexpression of SNIPER genes in autoimmune mutants is predicted to suppress the phenotype when NLRs are involved. C, Many sensor NLRs rely on the downstream signaling of helper NLRs (see (A)). Loss-of-function mutations in one or both families of helper NLRs can be a way to demonstrate the likely involvement of sensor NLRs relying on these families in autoimmune mutants. D, P-loop mutants are DN versions of specific NLRs with mutations in the P-loop motif of the nucleotide-binding domain. Expression of the respective DN allele in autoimmune mutants that depend on a specific NLR was shown to suppress the autoimmunity phenotype.
mutations including snc1, chs1-2, chs2-1, and chs3-2D (Tong et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020b). Accordingly, transformation of SNIPER overexpression constructs into autoimmune mutants could be used to probe the contribution of any sensor NLRs in the process. Another approach to explore the putative role of NLRs in plant autoimmunity is to take advantage of the recently discovered helper NLR networks. Mutants that are deficient in one or several helper NLRs can compromise the activity of entire groups of sensor NLRs (Feehan et al., 2020; Saile et al., 2020). Such helper NLR mutants could suppress sensor NLR-dependent autoimmune phenotypes, thereby narrowing down the involved type of sensor NLR (Figure 2C).

Forward genetic screens represent a classic route to identify suppressors of any mutant phenotype, and sumt2 and soc3 mutants were found to suppress autoimmunity in mkk1/2 and saul-1, respectively, via such an approach (Zhang et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2017). However, this kind of screens can lead to the identification of any type of suppressor genes (not only NLRs) because they are unbiased. To search for NLRs involved in autoimmunity in a targeted manner, Jia and colleagues performed a genetic screen using an artificial microRNA library targeting 108 NLRs for gene silencing and identified SNC1 to be responsible for the autoimmunity seen in the ka120 mutant (Jia et al., 2021). However, in some instances, more than one NLR may contribute to autoimmunity. DN NLRs that carry an inhibitory mutation in the P-loop, the phosphate-binding motif in the NLR ATPase domain, are another way of identifying NLRs involved in autoimmunity that may surpass the issue of functional redundancy. Lolle and co-workers introduced P-loop mutations into 108 Arabidopsis NLRs and tested whether their (over-)expression in the genetic background of an autoimmune mutant (camta3) can result in a wild type-like phenotype. This approach led to the identification of two unrelated dominant suppressors of camta3, DSC1 and DSC2, that could not be identified using single NLR knockout or knockdown approaches (Lolle et al., 2017; Figure 2D). Importantly, DN-DSC1 or DN-DSC2 expression is sufficient to bypass camta3-associated autoimmune phenotype (Lee et al., 2020). DN-DSC1 and DSC2;camta3 double mutants exhibit autoimmunity and both NLRs need to be knocked out to suppress the camta3 phenotype. The mechanistic basis of the P-loop-associated DN effect is, however, still poorly understood but may relate to the known homo- and hetero-oligomerization of activated NLR proteins (Adachi et al., 2019). Finally, chemical genetics can be used to discover new players related to plant autoimmunity. Testing of 13600 low-molecular-weight compounds led to the identification of a substance (Ro 8-4304) that suppresses both the stunted growth and constitutive immune responses of the chs3-2D mutant. A subsequent genetic screen for chs3-2D-derived mutants that are insensitive to Ro 8-4304 uncovered several methylome components involved in mRNA splicing as negative regulators of plant immunity (Huang et al., 2016).

NLR-mediated autoimmunity in animals

Just as in plants, animals deploy NLR proteins for the intracellular perception of non-self and modified-self molecules. In contrast to plants, where NLRs typically mediate the direct or indirect detection of pathogen effector proteins, at least in vertebrates they are primarily implicated in MAMP and toxin recognition. Mammalian NLRs function in cell-autonomous immunity, mediating proinflammatory cytokine responses, and triggering cell death (Motta et al., 2015). While the overall domain architecture of plant and animal NLRs is very similar, especially the N-terminal head- and central nucleotide-binding domains have kingdom-specific characteristics (Maekawa et al., 2011b). For mammalian NLRs, it has been known for a while that they can assemble into large apoptosome or inflammasome complexes via their head domains when activated (Cain et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2011), and only recently, similar homo-oligomerization was also demonstrated for activated plant NLRs (Burdett et al., 2019; Martin et al., 2020). While these mammalian complexes activate downstream signaling by activating caspases, a group of proteases implicated in initiating apoptotic cell death (Mermigka et al., 2020), the recently discovered ZAR1 and RECOGNITION OF XopQ 1 (ROQ1) plant “resistosomes” are hypothesized to mediate membrane pore formation and cleavage of nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) for downstream signaling and cell death execution, respectively (Wang et al., 2019a; Martin et al., 2020).

Similar to plants, mutations in animal NLRs are associated with a broad range of autoimmune-type disorders, which are best studied in humans and mice. Mutations in the genes encoding the human Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1 (NOD1) and NOD2 receptors, for example, are linked to chronic autoinflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Notably, there seems to be no domain specificity regarding the mutations and the associated conditions caused, and the sites of mutations linked to autoimmunity seem to be different in plant and animal NLRs. While mutations in the leucine-rich repeat regions of NOD1 and NOD2 are related to asthma and inflammatory bowel disease or Crohn’s disease, respectively, a mutation in the NOD2 nucleotide-binding domain could be connected to Blau syndrome (an inflammatory disorder that primarily affects the skin, joints, and eyes), for example, Motta et al. (2015).

Concluding remarks

The investigation of the molecular principles underlying plant autoimmunity and lesion mimic phenotypes continues to provide knowledge that is key for a full comprehension of the plant immune system. The studies provide important details regarding NLR expression, intracellular trafficking, and activity, and reveal candidate proteins likely safeguarded by NLRs. Several components that were previously thought to represent “negative regulators” of plant immunity upon closer inspection turned out to be in fact proteins surveilled by NLRs, which explains the autoimmune phenotypes associated with their absence or mutation. Hence, advanced
OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

• What can we learn from autoimmune and lesion mimic mutants regarding plant immunity?
• Which aspects need to be considered when reporting autoimmune and lesion mimic mutants?
• Are the events downstream of NLR activation identical in authentic plant defense and autoimmunity?
• Can the constitutive activation of PTI also result in autoimmunity?
• Are there truly cases where autoimmunity is not linked to NLR activity?
• What are the best experimental approaches to identify (NLR) genes underlying an autoimmune response?
• What is the molecular basis for the frequent modulation of autoimmunity by environmental conditions?
• Will climate change (elevated temperatures) result in more cases of autoimmunity in plants?
• Can autoimmunity be exploited to increase disease resistance in crops?
• Are there means to mitigate hybrid necrosis yet retain pathogen responsiveness?
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