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We initiate a study into the eikonal exponentiation of the amplitude in impact-parameter space when spinning particles are involved in the scattering. Considering the gravitational scattering of two spin-1/2 particles, we demonstrate that the leading eikonal exhibits exponentiation up to $O(G^2)$ in the limit where the spacetime dimension $D \to 4$. We find this to hold for general spin orientations. The exponentiation of the leading eikonal including spin is understood through the unitarity properties at leading order in $\hbar$ of momentum-space amplitudes, allowing the extension of our arguments to arbitrary-spin scattering.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The application of scattering amplitude and quantum-field theoretic techniques to classical systems has expanded rapidly in recent years, largely motivated by describing the inspiral phase of compact binary coalescence. The dynamics of spinless inspiraling black holes have rapidly in recent years, largely motivated by describing the inspiral phase of compact binary coalescence. The dynamics of spinless inspiraling black holes have been fully understood up to the third post-Minkowskian (PM) order [1–11], and results for conservative effects at 4PM have emerged [12] (see Refs. [13,14] for analogous results obtained in the purely classical setting of Ref. [15]).

Alongside this progress, the understanding of the relation between classical and quantum spin has itself seen substantial development [16–25]. Scattering dynamics are understood for arbitrary spin at 1PM order [17,19,20,22,25], while progress at higher PM orders presently lays at 2PM and quadratic order in spin [23,26,27]. Results at the 2PM order quartic in the spin of each scattering particle exist in the aligned-spin setup [17]. Progress in this direction is restricted by the lack of a unique gravitational Compton amplitude for a massive particle with spin $s > 5/2$ [16,22,28], with the $s = 5/2$ Compton amplitude being fixed only recently [29].

Additionally to the description of point particles, tidal effects—both with and without spin—are also describable using a quantum-field-theoretic approach [30–34].

Phenomenological predictions have been made accessible to amplitudes-based techniques thanks to various formalisms bridging the gap between quantum field theory and classical physics [11–25,35–45]. One such path to classical observables makes use of the eikonal phase, related to the classical portion of the scattering amplitude in impact-parameter space [7–9,46–48]. The exponential of the eikonal phase relates the scattering amplitude in impact-parameter space to several classical observables at all orders in Newton’s constant $G$, such as the scattering angle [9,47], linear impulse, and spin kick [23].

Despite the ubiquitous application of the eikonal phase with spin to the derivation of classical observables from scattering amplitudes [17,19,21,23,25,34], the exponentiation properties of the gravitational impact-parameter space amplitude in this setup have not been investigated.3 In this note, we take first steps toward filling this gap. Computing the scattering of two spin-1/2 particles up to $O(G^2)$, we do indeed find a relation in impact-parameter space between the square of the leading eikonal phase [the eikonal phase at $O(G)$] and the super-classical divergences of the one-loop amplitude in the limit where the spacetime dimension $D \to 4$. This relation is suggestive of the exponentiation of the eikonal phase even in the presence of massive spinning matter. We understand this exponentiation through the unitarity properties of the amplitude, which are simplified for spinning amplitudes at leading order in $\hbar$.

3The relationship between classical observables and the exponentiated spinning eikonal phase in Ref. [23] is conjectural at $O(G^2)$ and above.

3Studies of the eikonal including spin exist in nongravitational contexts; see, e.g., Refs. [49–51]. We further expand on these by considering arbitrary spin in Sec. IV.
We begin in Sec. II by introducing the eikonal phase. In Sec. III, we calculate the leading eikonal for the scattering of two spin-1/2 particles, and relate the square of the eikonal to the super-classical divergences of the one-loop spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 amplitude. In Sec. IV, we analyze the leading-in-ℏ unitarity relations of amplitudes containing first spin-1/2, then spin-s particles, and make a connection to the exponentiation of the leading eikonal phase. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. THE EIKONAL PHASE

We begin with a brief introduction to the eikonal phase and its relation to the impact-parameter space amplitude. For more details, see, e.g., Refs. [8,9,46,48,52,53].

The eikonal phase at $O(G^n)$ is related to the $(D-2)$-dimensional Fourier transform of the $2 \to 2$ amplitude at the same order. This latter quantity is

$$\tilde{M}_n(b) = \frac{1}{4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} e^{i\sigma b} M_n(q),$$

where $\sigma \equiv v_1 \cdot v_2$ is the product of the four-velocities of each particle, which have masses $m_{1,2}$. The impact parameter $b$ is in the direction orthogonal to the asymptotic center-of-mass three-momentum. Restricting the integrand of Eq. (1) to the classical portion of the amplitude, this equation then defines the $n$PM eikonal phase,

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \delta_n(b) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{cl}_n(b),$$

with the entire eikonal phase being the sum of all $n$PM phases, $\delta(b) \equiv \sum_n \delta_n(b)$.

The exponentiation of the eikonal phase, in concert with a quantum remainder $\Delta$, describes the all-order, impact-parameter space, spinless amplitude [9,53]:

$$1 + i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(b) = [1 + i\Delta(b)] e^{ib(b)}.$$  (3)

We have absorbed factors of 2 into $\Delta$ and $\delta$ relative to Refs. [9,53]. The quantum remainder encapsulates all portions of the amplitude with a quantum $\hbar$ scaling. The exponential of the eikonal phase, when expanded in powers of $G$, contains the parts of the amplitude with a classical $\hbar$ scaling. Moreover, products of $n$PM eikonal phases produce the so-called “super-classical” portions of the amplitude, which are singular as $\hbar \to 0$.

Of particular relevance for our analysis here, expanding Eq. (3), the leading eikonal phase $\delta_1(b)$ and its square are given by the tree-level and superclassical one-loop amplitude as

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \delta_1(b) = i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{cl}_1(b)$$  (4)

$$\frac{1}{2\hbar^2} [i\delta_1(b)]^2 = i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{cl}_2(b).$$  (5)

While the first of these is the definition of the leading eikonal, the second is dictated by the exponentiation of the eikonal. Equation (5) has been verified for spinless scattering in general relativity and $N = 8$ supergravity [52,53]. Our purpose here is to check whether it holds for the gravitational scattering of spinning particles. In fact, we should expect Eq. (5) to be modified when spin is involved so as to not produce spin structures outside the solution space of the one-loop amplitude. This point will be elucidated below.

We set $\hbar = 1$ in the remainder of this note, but we classify the classicality of terms in impact-parameter space by counting powers of angular momentum. The impact parameter is related to the orbital angular momentum through $|b| = |J|/|p|$, for $p$ the asymptotic center-of-mass three-momentum. Also, a spin vector scales with one power of the orbital angular momentum [23]. Thus, classical terms at $O(G)$ scale as $|J|^{4-D}$, while at $O(G^2)$ the classical scaling is $|J|^{2-D}$. Terms with a quantum scaling have fewer powers of the angular momentum.

III. THE LEADING EIKONAL WITH SPIN

In this section we investigate, by direct computation, the exponentiation properties of the leading eikonal for spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 scattering up to $O(G^2)$. We begin by deriving the leading eikonal with spin from the tree-level $2 \to 2$ amplitude. Then, we provide a prescription for squaring the leading eikonal such that the square does not contain spin structures not in the solution space of spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 scattering. Finally, we relate the square of the leading eikonal to the $O(G^2)$ super-classicalities in impact-parameter space.

A. Deriving the leading eikonal

As discussed above, the leading eikonal is related to the tree-level amplitude for $2 \to 2$ scattering, depicted in Fig. 1. We work with heavy spin-1/2 states with masses $m_i$ and four-velocities $v^\mu_i$, which carry momenta $p^\mu_i = m_i v^\mu_i + k^\mu_i$ where $k^\mu_i$ are residual momenta scaling with $\hbar$ in the classical limit [26]. For the tree-level amplitude we need the Feynman rules for the three-point vertex and the graviton propagator:

$$\frac{1}{2\hbar^2} [i\delta_1(b)]^2 = i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{cl}_2(b).$$

FIG. 1. The $2 \to 2$ diagram encoding classical effects at tree level.
where $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, $\kappa = \sqrt{32\pi G}$ and

$$P_{\mu\nu,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta^\mu\eta^\nu + \eta^a\eta^b - \frac{2}{D-2} \eta^\mu\eta^a \right).$$

(6c)

for spacetime dimension $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$. Contracting Eq. (6a) with a graviton polarization, it becomes equivalent to the first two terms of the three-point spin exponential in Ref. [17]. For a detailed discussion on the relation between spinning heavy states and the spin exponential at three points, see Ref. [22].

With these in hand, and setting $p^\mu_i = m_i v^\mu_i$, the tree-level amplitude is

$$\mathcal{M}_1^{1/2 \times 1/2} = -\frac{16\pi m_1^2 m_2^2 G}{q^2} \left[ \left( 2\sigma^2 - \frac{2}{D-2} \right) U_1 U_2 \right. $$

$$+ \frac{2i\sigma}{m_1 m_2} E_1 U_2 + \frac{2i\sigma}{m_1 m_2} U_1 E_2 $$

$$+ \frac{2\sigma^2 - 1}{m_1 m_2} \left( q \cdot S_1 q \cdot S_2 - q^2 S_1 \cdot S_2 \right) $$

$$\left. + \frac{2\sigma}{m_1 m_2} q^2 p_2 \cdot S_1 p_1 \cdot S_2 \right].$$

(7)

We have kept for now ultralocal terms with a classical $\hbar$ scaling. The amplitude is normalized such that the spinors are dimensionless and satisfy the normalization condition $\bar{u}_v(p) u_v(p) = 1$. The subscript $v$ on the spinors denotes heavy spinors with velocity $v$. The spin vector is defined in terms of the heavy spinors through

$$S^\mu_i = \frac{1}{2} \bar{u}_v \gamma^\mu u_v.$$

(8)

It was shown in Ref. [22] that this definition of the spin vector coincides with the matrix element of the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector with heavy on-shell spinors. Notably, this definition of the spin vector automatically satisfies the spin-supplementary condition (SSC) for classical momenta, $p_{\mu} S^\mu = m v_j S^\mu = 0$. This can be seen through the definition of the heavy spinors in terms of the Dirac spinors in Eq. (15). We treat the spin and all spinor/gamma-matrix identities in four dimensions. Finally, we have employed the shorthand notation

$$U_i = \bar{u}_v u_v, \quad E_i = e_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p^\mu_i p^\nu_i q^\alpha S^\beta_i.$$

(9)

The leading eikonal is related to this amplitude in the center-of-mass frame through the two-dimensional Fourier transform Eq. (1). Taking the initial momenta to be incoming, the center-of-mass kinematics amount to [23,54]

$$p^\mu_1 = (E_1, p), \quad p^\mu_2 = (E_2, -p), \quad q^\mu = (0, q), \quad p \cdot q = \frac{q^2}{2},$$

$$S^\mu_i = \left( \frac{p \cdot S_1}{m_1}, S_1 + \frac{p \cdot S_1}{m_1(E_1 + m_1)} p \right), \quad S^\mu_2 = \left( -\frac{p \cdot S_2}{m_2}, S_2 + \frac{p \cdot S_2}{m_2(E_2 + m_2)} p \right).$$

(10)
\[ M_{1/2 \times 1/2} = \frac{16 \pi m_2^2 G}{q^2} \left[ \left( 2 \sigma^2 - \frac{2}{D - 2} \right) - \frac{2 \sigma \Gamma}{m_1^2 m_2} i(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_1 + \frac{2 \sigma \Gamma}{m_1^2 m_2} i(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + \frac{2 \sigma^2 - 1}{m_1 m_2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \right], \]

where \( E = E_1 + E_2 \) is the total energy. Finally, having the amplitude in the center-of-mass frame, we can find the leading eikonal through the Fourier transform in Eq. (1):

\[ i \delta_1 = i \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1/2 \times 1/2} = \frac{i e^{2-D/2} m_2^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[ \left( 2 \sigma^2 - \frac{2}{D - 2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 2)}{b^{D-4}} \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)}{b^{D-2}} (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 \right. \]

\[ - \frac{4 \sigma \Gamma}{m_1 m_2} \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)}{b^{D-2}} (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 + 2(2 \sigma^2 - 1) \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)}{b^{D-2}} \left( \Pi^{i} - (D - 2) \right) \frac{\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}^{i} / b^2}{\mathbf{a}_1^{i} \mathbf{a}_2^{i}} \right], \]

where \( \mathbf{a}_1 \equiv \mathbf{S}_1 / m_1 \). The projector \( \Pi^{i} \) onto the plane orthogonal to \( \mathbf{p} \) is defined in Eq. (A4). In order to obtain the spin-monopole term of the leading eikonal (which is in agreement with Ref. [52]), we had to use \( \mathcal{U}_i = 1 + O(\hbar) \). Implicit in this is that the polarizations of the spin-1/2 particles are unchanged in the scattering.

For convenience later on, let us introduce the notation \( X^4 \), labeling a specific spin-structure portion of the quantity \( X \). The values of \( A \) and the corresponding spin structures are

\[ A = (0) \rightarrow 1, \quad A = (1, 1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_1, \quad A = (1, 2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_2, \]

\[ A = (2, 1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_2), \quad A = (2, 2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2), \quad A = (2, 3) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{S}_2). \]

This notation was first employed in Ref. [23].

Before moving on, we remark that the leading eikonal in Eq. (12) produces the known aligned-spin scattering angle when \( D \rightarrow 4 \) [55].

### B. Squaring the spinning eikonal

Directly squaring Eq. (12) produces spin structures that are outside the solution space of a spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 amplitude. Specifically, such an amplitude can only contain effects up to linear order in the spin of each particle, while squaring Eq. (12) will yield terms of the schematic form \( S_1^2, S_2^2, S_1 S_2, S_1^2 S_2, \) and \( S_1^2 S_2^2 \). If the square of the leading eikonal is to be comparable to a one-loop, spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 amplitude, these terms must be removed from the square.

One way to remove these structures is to redefine how the eikonal is squared. At leading order in \( \hbar \), the external polarizations are independent of the transfer momentum, and are therefore inert under the Fourier transform Eq. (1). We can thus evaluate the leading eikonal with external polarizations present. With polarizations, we define the square of the eikonal (with polarization labels \( s \)) as

\[ \delta_1 (\mathbf{b}; s \rightarrow s')^2 \rightarrow \delta_1 (\mathbf{b}; s \rightarrow s') \otimes \delta_1 (\mathbf{b}; s' \rightarrow s) \equiv \sum_{s'} \delta_1 (\mathbf{b}; s \rightarrow s') \delta_1 (\mathbf{b}; s' \rightarrow s). \]

Again, all external states involved in this product depend only on the incoming momenta. There must be a polarization sum for each spinning particle involved in the scattering, though for brevity we have only explicitly shown one sum.

Specializing to the spin-1/2 case, as we have expressed the eikonal in terms of the rest frame spin, we will restore the rest frame heavy spinors to Eq. (12) instead of the relativistic heavy spinors. For spin-monopole factors, this amounts to restoring \( \bar{\xi}_1^2 = \delta^i \), where the \( \xi_1^i \) are heavy spinors in the rest frame (equivalent to rest-frame Dirac spinors). For spin contributions, the expressions in Eq. (10) for the covariant spin demonstrate that the rest frame spin vector is simply the spatial component of the covariant spin when the particle is at rest. Then, in the Weyl representation, Eq. (8) becomes

\[ S^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} S^{s}_v \gamma^{s}_v \xi^{s}_v = \frac{1}{2} \bar{S}^{s}_v \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \bar{\sigma} \end{array} \right) \xi^{s}_v. \]

Here, \( \bar{\sigma} \) is the Pauli-matrix three-vector.

---

3 We have used this implicitly in Sec. III when we wrote \( \mathcal{U}_i = 1 + O(\hbar) \). This is simply a consequence of infinitesimally boosting the final-state spinors to have the incoming momenta.
The polarization sum in Eq. (13) now makes spin structures outside the solution space of spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 scattering subleading in \( \hbar \). Let us work this out explicitly for spin-1/2 particles. Heavy spinors are related to standard Dirac spinors (normalized such that \( \bar{u}(p)u(p) = 1 \)) simply through \[ u_e(p; s) = \frac{1 + \hat{\sigma} \cdot p}{2} u(p; s), \tag{15} \]
for momentum \( p^\mu = m v^\mu + \hat{p}^\mu \), where \( v^2 = 1 \) and \( l \sim \hbar \). This allows us to easily evaluate the polarization sum for heavy spinors:
\[
\sum_s u_e(p; s) \bar{u}_e(p; s) = \frac{1 + \hat{\sigma} \cdot p + m}{2} \frac{1 + \hat{\sigma} \cdot p}{2} = 1 + \frac{\hat{\sigma} \cdot p + m}{2} \frac{1 + \hat{\sigma} \cdot p}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2).
\]
We have used here the on-shell condition \( v \cdot l = -l^2/2m \). Notably, the polarization sum up to this order is entirely independent of the residual momentum \( l^\mu \). By definition, the rest frame spinors are the \( p \to m(1, 0) \) limit of the relativistic spinors. We thus find the completeness relation
\[
\sum_s e^{\xi_s} \bar{e}^{\xi_s} = \frac{1 + \gamma^0}{2}. \tag{17}
\]
Considering now a term in the square that is quadratic in the spin of, say, particle 1,
\[
\sum_{s'} S^{i'i'}_{s} S^{i'i}_{s} = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{\xi_{s'}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{array} \right) \frac{1 + \gamma^0}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^j \\ \sigma^j & 0 \end{array} \right) \varepsilon^{\xi_{s}} = \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^{\xi_{s'}} \varepsilon^{\xi_{s}} \left( \sigma^i \sigma^j \sigma^i \sigma^j \sigma^i \sigma^j \sigma^i \sigma^j \right) \varepsilon^{\xi_{s}}. \tag{18}
\]
The product of sigma matrices is \( \sigma^i \sigma^j = \delta^{ij} + i e^{ijk} \sigma^k \). Since we have converted two powers of the spin in a term with superclassical scaling to terms with one or no powers of the spin, the result of this reduction is contributions that have a classical or quantum scaling, and can be ignored for our purposes. The fact that \( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^0) \xi_{s'} = \xi_{s} \) means that all other structures that are linear in the spin of each spin-1/2 particle are unaffected by the polarization sum.

We have shown here the squaring procedure for the spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 case. For particles with other spins one must employ the polarization sum accordingly. In the case of scalar-scalar scattering, the polarization sum is trivial and Eq. (13) reduces to a regular product.

C. The leading eikonal at one loop

In the spinless case it is well understood that the exponentiation of the leading eikonal generates the dominant portions of higher-loop amplitudes in the classical limit \([9, 46, 48, 53]\). Practically, at one-loop order, this means that Eq. (5) relates the square of the leading eikonal to the leading contributions from the box and cross-box diagrams; see Fig. 2. Let us establish whether a similar relation holds when spin is included. In order to investigate this, we now compute the leading box and cross-box contributions to the \( 2 \to 2 \) amplitude for the scattering of two spin-1/2 particles at \( O(G^2) \). Upon tensor reducing higher-rank loop integrals, we find that the super-classical portion of the amplitude can be written as
\[
i M_{E}^{SC} = i M_{E}^{SC}(I_{\square} + I_{\boxtimes}). \tag{19}\]
where \( I_{\square, \boxtimes} \) are the scalar integrals corresponding to the topologies in Fig. 2. The sum of their values is given in Appendix B. Here, \( i M_{E}^{SC} \) is the remaining integrand after tensor reduction of the integrals, and as such is independent of the loop momentum. Also, we have dropped the superscript labelling the spins of the scattering particles, understanding that two spin-1/2 particles are scattered in this section. For clarity, let us consider Eq. (19) at each order in spin independently. In the proceeding subsections, we use the square of the leading eikonal to mean Eq. (13). All amplitudes computed below agree with the super-classical pieces computed in Ref. [26] in the limit \( D \to 4 \).

I. Spin monopole

Expressed directly in the center-of-mass frame, the spin-monopole portion is
\[
i M_{E}^{SC,(0)} = -\frac{\pi^{3D/2} G^2 m_1 m_2}{2^D \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left( \frac{2 \gamma^0 - 2}{D - 2} \right)^2 \times \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 2)^2 \Gamma(3 - D/2)}{\Gamma(D - 4)}(q^2)^{D/2 - 3}. \tag{20}\]

FIG. 2. The box and cross-box topologies. These diagrams yield the dominant contributions to the one-loop amplitude in the classical limit.
This portion is already known to exponentiate in impact-parameter space; see, e.g., Ref. [52]. Nevertheless, for completeness, we present the Fourier transform of this portion:

\[ i\tilde{M}_{2}^{sc,(0)} = -\frac{\pi^{4-D}G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2(\sigma^{2} - 1)} \left( 2\sigma^{2} - \frac{2}{D - 2} \right)^{2} \Gamma(\sigma^{2} - 1) \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 2)^{2}}{\Gamma(D - 2)} b^{2D-8}, \tag{21} \]

in agreement with the spin-monopole portion of \( \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_{1})^{2} \).

2. Linear in spin

Moving on, the spin-dipole portion of the amplitude in the center-of-mass frame is

\[ iM_{2}^{sc,(1,1)} = \frac{-\pi^{3-D/2}G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2^{D-3}\sqrt{\sigma^{2} - 1}} \left( 2\sigma^{2} - \frac{2}{D - 2} \right) \Gamma(D/2 - 2)^{2} \Gamma(3 - D/2) \Gamma(3 - D/2) \Gamma(D - 4) \frac{E\sigma}{\Gamma(D - 4)} (p \times q) \cdot S_{1}(q^{2})^{D/2-3}. \tag{22} \]

As before, we convert this to impact-parameter space in order to compare with the square of the leading eikonal. The Fourier transform in this case will contain all quadratic-in-spin structures, not just the (2,1) structure. Keeping all resulting structures, the Fourier transform is

\[
\begin{align*}
 i\tilde{M}_{2}^{sc,(2,1)} &+ iM_{2}^{sc,(2,1\rightarrow 2)} + iM_{2}^{sc,(2,1\rightarrow 3)} \\
 &= -\frac{2\pi^{3-D/2}G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{(\sigma^{2} - 1)} \Gamma(D/2 - 2)^{2} \Gamma(D/2 - 1) \frac{E\sigma}{b^{2D-6}} \left( -2 \frac{b \cdot a_{1} b \cdot a_{2}}{b^{2}} + \frac{\Pi^{j} a_{j} a_{j}}{D - 3} \right) 4(D - 3)\sigma^{4} + (8 - 3D)\sigma^{2} + 1. \tag{25} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The second term in the large round brackets contains the spill-over into the (2,2) and (2,3) portions of the impact-parameter space amplitude.

Focusing on the first term in the large round brackets for now, this is to be compared with the (2,1) spin structure from the square of the leading eikonal:

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_{1})^{2} \right]^{(2,1)} = 2\pi^{4-D}G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2} \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - 2)^{2} \Gamma(D/2 - 1)}{(\sigma^{2} - 1) b^{2D-4}} b \cdot a_{1} b \cdot a_{2} \\
\times (D - 2)(2\sigma^{2} - 1) \left( 2\sigma^{2} - \frac{2}{D - 2} \right) + (D - 4)4\sigma^{2}(\sigma^{2} - 1). \tag{26} \\
\]

Simplifying, we find that the (2,1) portion of Eq. (25) is in agreement with Eq. (26):

\[ i\tilde{M}_{2}^{(2,1)} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_{1})^{2} \right]^{(2,1)}. \tag{27} \]

Next, we investigate the \( q^{2}S_{1} \cdot S_{2} \) terms. We find the leading part of the amplitude to be

\[ iM_{2}^{sc,(2,2)} = \frac{\pi^{3-D/2}G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}^{2}}{2^{D-7}\sqrt{\sigma^{2} - 1}} \Gamma(D/2 - 2)^{2} \Gamma(3 - D/2) \Gamma(D/2 - 3) \frac{E\sigma}{\Gamma(D - 4)} (p \times b) \cdot a_{1} \cdot S_{1} \cdot S_{2}(q^{2})^{D/2-3} 4(D - 2)\sigma^{4} + (4 - 3D)\sigma^{2} + 1. \tag{28} \]
In impact-parameter space we must combine the Fourier transform of this with the contribution $i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(2,1-2)}_2$ from the transform of the $(2,1)$ spin structure:

$$i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,2)}_2 + i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,1-2)}_2 = -\frac{2\pi^{l-D}G^2m_1^2m_2^2\Gamma(D/2-2)\Gamma(D/2-1)}{(\sigma^2-1)b^{2D-6}}a_1 \cdot a_2 \times \left[4(D-2)\sigma^4 + (4-3D)\sigma^2 + 1 \right] \left(D-2\right) + \frac{4(D-3)\sigma^4 + (8-3D)\sigma^2 + 1}{(D-3)}. \quad (29)$$

Note that the direct contribution $i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(2,2)}_2$ is finite in the limit $D \to 4$, in contrast to all other terms in impact-parameter space derived so far. This is a consequence of the additional factor of $q^2$ coming from the spin structure.

To scrutinize the potential exponential structure of the leading eikonal, Eq. (29) is to be compared against $\frac{1}{2}(i\delta_1)^2(2,2)$. This structure is:

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(i\delta_1)^2\right]^{(2,2)} = -\frac{2\pi^{l-D}G^2m_1^2m_2^2\Gamma(D/2-2)\Gamma(D/2-1)}{2\Gamma(D-4)}a_1 \cdot a_2 \left[(2\sigma^2-1)\left(2\sigma^2 - \frac{2}{D-2}\right) + 4\sigma^2(\sigma^2-1)(D-4)\right]. \quad (30)$$

In general spacetime dimensions Eqs. (29) and (30) are not equivalent. However, they are related in the limit $D \to 4$:

$$\lim_{D \to 4}(i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,2)}_2 + i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,1-2)}_2) = \lim_{D \to 4}\left[\frac{1}{2}(i\delta_1)^2\right]^{(2,2)}. \quad (31)$$

up to terms that vanish in this limit. We will return to a discussion of this limit at the end of this section, and in our conclusions.

The final structure to investigate is the $q^2p \cdot S_1p \cdot S_2$ portion of the amplitude. In the center-of-mass frame this is:

$$i\mathcal{M}^{sc,(2,3)}_2 = \frac{\pi^{l-D}G^2m_1^2m_2^2\Gamma(D/2-2)\Gamma(3-D/2)}{2^{D-7}\sqrt{\sigma^2-1}\Gamma(D-4)}\left(q^2\right)^{D/2-2} \left(q^2\right)^{D/2-2} \left(p \cdot S_1p \cdot S_2\right) p^2\times \left[-\sigma \frac{4(D-2)(D-3)\sigma^4 + (-4D^2 + 19D - 20)\sigma^2 + 2D - 7}{(D-3)(D-2)} + (\sigma - 1) \frac{4(D-2)\sigma^4 + (4 - 3D)\sigma^2 + 1}{D-2}\right]. \quad (32)$$

When converting this to impact-parameter space, we must again account for the correction from the Fourier transform of the $(2,1)$ spin structure. All-in-all we find:

$$i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,3)}_2 + i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,1-3)}_2 = -\frac{2\pi^{l-D}G^2m_1^2m_2^2\Gamma(D/2-2)\Gamma(D/2-1)}{(\sigma^2-1)b^{2D-6}}p \cdot a_1p \cdot a_2 \times \left[(D-4)\left(-\sigma \frac{4(D-2)(D-3)\sigma^4 + (-4D^2 + 19D - 20)\sigma^2 + 2D - 7}{(D-3)(D-2)} + (\sigma - 1) \frac{4(D-2)\sigma^4 + (4 - 3D)\sigma^2 + 1}{D-2}\right)ight]. \quad (33)$$

The overall $q^2$ associated with this spin structure again makes it so that the direct contribution $i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{sc,(2,3)}_2$ is finite for $D \to 4$. Comparing to the appropriate portion of the square of the leading eikonal,

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(i\delta_1)^2\right]^{(2,3)} = -\frac{2\pi^{l-D}G^2m_1^2m_2^2\Gamma(D/2-2)\Gamma(D/2-1)}{b^{2D-6}}p \cdot a_1p \cdot a_2 \times \left[(2\sigma^2-1)\left(2\sigma^2 - \frac{2}{D-2}\right) + (D-4)4\sigma^2(\sigma^2-1)\right]. \quad (34)$$

once again we find a mismatch in general dimensions—and once again a relation between the two quantities exists in the $D \to 4$ limit.
\[
\lim_{D \to 4} (i\hat{M}_2^{sc.,(2,3)} + i\hat{M}_2^{sc.,(2,1 \to 3)}) = \lim_{D \to 4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(2,3)}. \tag{35}
\]

Let us summarize what we have seen here, labeling the entire amplitude in impact-parameter space as \(i\chi_2 \equiv i\hat{M}_2^{sc.}\). We found the following relations between the different spin structures of \(i\chi_2\) and the square of the leading eikonal:

\[
i\chi_2^{(0)} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(0)}, \tag{36a}
\]

\[
i\chi_2^{(1,i)} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(1,i)}, \quad i\chi_2^{(2,1)} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(2,1)}, \tag{36b}
\]

\[
\lim_{D \to 4} i\chi_2^{(2,2)} = \lim_{D \to 4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(2,2)}, \tag{36c}
\]

\[
\lim_{D \to 4} i\chi_2^{(2,3)} = \lim_{D \to 4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (i\delta_1)^2 \right]^{(2,3)}. \tag{36d}
\]

We have thus found evidence for the exponentiation of the leading eikonal, with the caveat that the exponentiation takes place only in the limit where \(D \to 4\). The equalities in the last two lines of Eq. (36) hold for the divergent and finite parts in the limit \(D \to 4\), and disagree on terms that vanish in this limit. We remark again that we have relied on the four-dimensional Clifford algebra and Dirac spinors throughout our calculation. In light of this, despite finding an exponential structure in the \((1,i)\) and \((2,1)\) spin structures for general \(D\), the second line of Eq. (36) should also be understood to hold in the limit \(D \to 4\).

\section*{IV. LEADING EIKONAL EXPONENTIATION FROM UNITARITY}

In this section we show that the observed relationship Eq. (36) is guaranteed by unitarity at leading order in \(\hbar\). We will see that the prescription for squaring the eikonal in Eq. (13) is eminently compatible with unitarity in momentum space. We will begin by studying the scattering of two spin-1/2 particles, before extending our analysis to arbitrary spin using massive on-shell variables [22,28].

An analysis of the connection between unitarity and the eikonal exponentiation was first presented in the spinless case in Ref. [39]. Reference [9] later applied similar ideas at the two-loop level to also account for radiation reaction effects. See also Ref. [57].

\begin{center}
\textbf{A. spin-1/2 × spin-1/2 scattering}
\end{center}

To begin, we write the \(S\) matrix as

\[
S = 1 + iT. \tag{37}
\]

Requiring that the \(S\) matrix be unitary imposes that \(T\) satisfies \(2\text{Im}(T) = TT^\dagger\). Noticing that \(T \sim \mathcal{O}(G)\) for \(2 \to 2\) scattering, expanding both sides of this condition to \(\mathcal{O}(G)\) tells us that the tree-level amplitude must be real. Going further to \(\mathcal{O}(G^2)\) relates the imaginary part of the one-loop amplitude to the product of two tree-level amplitudes:

\[
2\text{Im}(T_2) = T_1 T_1, \tag{38}
\]

where we have used the realness of \(T_1\).

We can convert this to an amplitude by taking the expectation value in external states appropriate for \(2 \to 2\) scattering of spin-1/2 particles:

\[
2(2\pi)^D \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) \text{Im}[M(q)] = \langle p_1', p_2'; s_1', s_2' | T_1 | p_1, p_2, s_1, s_2 \rangle. \tag{39}
\]

where \(q\) is the momentum transfer, \(q = p_1 - p'_1\). We have included labels for the polarizations of the external states. As was mentioned in Sec. III, in order to generate the spinless part of the leading eikonal one needs that the polarizations of the scattered particles are unchanged by the scattering. Thus we set \(s_{1,2}' = s_{1,2}\). The completeness relation for spinors,

\[
1 = \int \frac{d^{D-1}k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{D-1}k_2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_{k_2}} \times \sum_{s_1', s_2'} |k_1, k_2; s_1^k, s_2^k \rangle \langle k_1, k_2; s_1', s_2'|, \tag{40}
\]

where the \(k\) superscripts on the polarizations indicate intermediate polarizations, converts the right-hand side of this expression to a product of amplitudes:

\[
2\delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) \text{Im}[M_2(q)] = \int \frac{d^{D-1}k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{D-1}k_2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_{k_2}} (2\pi)^D \delta(p_1 + p_2 - k_1 - k_2) \delta(k_1 + k_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) \times \sum_{s_1', s_2'} M_1(k_1, s_1^k, k_2, s_2^k \to p_1', s_1'; p_2', s_2') M_1(p_1, s_1; p_2, s_2 \to k_1, s_1^k; k_2, s_2^k). \tag{41}
\]

I thank Poul Henrik Damgaard for pointing out this initial exploration of the connection between unitarity and the eikonal exponentiation, and for discussions on this connection.
Both amplitudes in the cut are on shell. We can drop the delta function on the left-hand side, and one of the delta functions on the right-hand side. In the former case we understand that the omitted delta function imposes $p_1' + p_2' = p_1 + p_2$, and in the latter case it imposes $p_1' + p_2' = k_1 + k_2$, which is subsequently fixed to $p_1 + p_2$ by the remaining delta function. We are left with

$$p_1' + p_2' = p_1 + p_2,$$

and in the latter case it imposes $p_1' + p_2' = k_1 + k_2$, which is subsequently fixed to $p_1 + p_2$ by the remaining delta function. We are left with

$$p_1' + p_2' = p_1 + p_2,$$
As touched on in the introduction, the gravitational Compton amplitude for matter with spin \( s \geq 2 \) needs additional contact terms to render it well-defined. However, these contact terms will not affect the factorization properties of the amplitude when a matter propagator is taken on shell. The unitarity technique we used in the previous subsection, and which we will now apply to higher spins, cuts the two matter lines. The arguments made in this subsection for arbitrary spins are therefore unaffected by the contact terms needed to fix the spin \( s \geq 2 \) Compton amplitude.

To avoid overcluttering with notation, let us consider the scattering of a spin-\( s \) and a spin-0 particle. Up to ultralocal terms, the tree-level amplitude contributing to the leading eikonal for such a process can be written in terms of three-point amplitudes \cite{17,19,22}

\[
M^{x0}_{11}(p_{11}, p_2 \rightarrow (p'_1)^f, p'_2) = \sum_{h=\pm} M^{00}_{11}(p_{11} \rightarrow (p'_1)^f, q^h) \times \frac{i}{q^h} \tau_{I}^{00}(p_2 \rightarrow p'_2, q^{-h}),
\]

(47)

where \( I \) and \( I' \) are massive little group indices and \( h \) is the helicity of the exchanged graviton. When referring to a spin-\( s \) particle, we will understand \( I \) and \( I' \) to represent a set of \( 2s \) little group indices. The key point in this case is that the spin-\( s \) three-point amplitude can be expressed in terms of the spin-1/2 amplitude in heavy on-shell variables as \cite{22}

\[
M^{0}_{11}(p_{11} \rightarrow (p'_1)^f, q^h) = (-1)^{2s} \frac{K}{m_1} p'^{\mu}_1 p'^{\nu}_1 \mu_{\alpha}^i \bar{\psi}^{(I'\beta)}_{\alpha}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{1/2}_{I'}(-q^h))_{a}^{i} \bar{\psi}_{1,\beta} \psi_{1,\alpha} \psi_{1,\beta} \tau_{I}^{00}(p_2 \rightarrow p'_2, q^{-h}),
\]

(48a)

where \( \otimes \) is the symmetrized tensor product \cite{17} and

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{1/2}_{I'}(-q^h))_{a}^{i} \equiv \left( I - h q \cdot S_{11}/m_1 \right)^{i}_{a} \psi_{1,\alpha} \psi_{1,\beta} \tau_{I}^{00}(p_2 \rightarrow p'_2, q^{-h}).
\]

(48b)

The \( O(h^2) \) corrections arise when the initial residual momentum is not zero, as is the case for the amplitude in the cut with initial momenta \( k_{1,2} \). The spin vector here is defined through the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector; the matrix element of this spin vector coincides with Eq. (8) \cite{19,22}. We have again normalized the external states to be dimensionless.

The momentum described by heavy on-shell variables is always proportional only to the velocity of the heavy particle \cite{22}. More specifically,

\[
m | p'_i | \cos \theta = p_{\text{exh}}, \quad \text{where} \quad p'^{\mu}_i = \left( 1 - \frac{k^2}{4m^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) m \nu^{\mu} \equiv m \nu^{\mu},
\]

(49)

where the factor of \( m \) on the left hand side of the first relation is just our normalization of the spinors. A consequence of this is that two heavy spinors describing particles of the same mass and velocity and whose momentum differs only by \( k' - k \ll m \) satisfy the on-shell condition

\[
\langle p'^{\mu}_i | \tau_{I}^{00} | k + \delta \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}}{m} \bar{\psi}_{I}^{00} \delta_{K} = \delta_{I}^{00} + O(h).
\]

(50)

Therefore, we must fix \( I' = I \) in order for Eq. (48) to possess a spin-monopole contribution, analogously to the spin-1/2 case. We must keep in mind, then, that the raised and lowered \( I \) indices are not summed over in the following, as we consider the external polarizations to be fixed.

Equation (49) is key to expressing the external states in terms of only the initial momentum. It tells us that the dependence of the heavy external states on infinitesimal momenta is simply encoded in a multiplicative factor, so we can write

\[
| p'^{\mu}_i \rangle = \sqrt{m_1 m_2} | \nu^{\mu} \rangle = | \nu^{\mu} \rangle + O(h).
\]

(51)

Let us now make the external spinors explicit in the spin-\( s \times \) spin-0 integrand analogous to Eq. (42). This integrand is

\[
\langle \delta_{I_1}^{s} | \tau_{I_1}^{00} M^{s0}_{11}(p_{11}, p_2 \rightarrow k_{11}^i, k_2) M^{s0}_{11}(k_{11}^i, k_2 \rightarrow (p'_1)^f, p'_2) \rangle = \langle \delta_{I_1}^{s} | \tau_{I_1}^{00} \sum_{h_1, h_2} \tau_{I_1}^{00}(p_{11} \rightarrow k_{11}^i, q_{11}^{h_1}) \times \frac{i}{q_{11}^{h_1}} \tau_{I_1}^{00}(p_2 \rightarrow k_{2}, q_{2}^{-h_2}) \tau_{I_1}^{00}(k_{11}^i, k_2 \rightarrow (p'_1)^f, q_{12}^{h_2}) \times \frac{i}{q_{2}^{h_2}} \tau_{I_1}^{00}(p_2' \rightarrow k_{2}, q_{2}^{-h_2}).
\]

(52)

The two graviton momenta satisfy \( q_1 + q_2 = p_1 - p'_1 = q \). The spinor structure is contained in the product of spin-\( s \) three-point amplitudes. Looking closer at this,
\[ (\delta'_{1j})^{02x}(\mathcal{M}^+_{1}(p_{1i} \rightarrow k_{1i}, -q_{1i}^{h}))\mathcal{M}^+_{1}(k_{1i}, r_{i} \rightarrow (p_{1i}^{\dagger}, -q_{2i}^{h})) \\
\sim (\delta'_{1j})^{02x}[(k_{1i}')^{a}(\mathcal{M}^+_{2}(-q_{1i}^{h}))_{\alpha}^{\beta}|1_{v_{1}, i}]_{|\beta}]^{02x}[(1_{v_{1}})^{I}((\mathcal{M}^+_{2}(-q_{2i}^{h}))_{\beta}^{\delta}|1_{v_{1}, i}]_{|\delta}]^{02x} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar), \]  

where the \( \sim \) indicates we are ignoring for now the non-spinor portion of Eq. (48). In the last line we have used Eq. (51) to remove the \( q_{1i}^{h} \) dependence of the spinors. The completeness relation for the heavy on-shell spinors \( |1_{v_{1}, i}]_{|\delta}^{01} = \mathcal{I} \delta_{\delta}^{a} \) combined with \( (q_{1} \cdot S_{1})_{\gamma}^{a}(q_{2} \cdot S_{1})_{\mu}^{b} \propto (q_{1} \cdot q_{2})_{\gamma}^{\delta} - i(q_{1 \mu} q_{2 \nu} \sigma_{\mu \nu})_{\gamma}^{\delta} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \) ensures that we do not have more than one power of the spin between a pair of spinors.

Having expressed all external states independently of the momenta of integration, and truncated to classical, local terms, we can indeed write the analog to Eq. (44) for higher-spin scattering. The remainder proceeds identically to the spin-1/2 case, suggesting the exponentiation of the leading eikonal up to \( \mathcal{O}(G^{2}) \) for the scattering of an arbitrary-spin particle and a scalar particle.

To summarize, we have seen that the exponential structure of the leading eikonal including spin up to \( \mathcal{O}(G^{2}) \) is a consequence of the unitarity of the \( S \) matrix at leading order in \( \hbar \).

V. CONCLUSION

The eikonal phase plays a crucial role in deriving observables from scattering amplitudes, including when spin is present. Nevertheless, up until now, the exponentiation properties of the eikonal upon including spin have not been analyzed in a gravitational or high-spin context. We have initiated a study in this direction, focusing initially on the leading eikonal for spin-1/2 \( \times \) spin-1/2 scattering up to one-loop order. Defining the square of the leading eikonal through Eq. (13), our results demonstrate that the super-classical amplitude at one-loop order is indeed related to the leading eikonal in a way that suggests exponentiation. Two of the four classical spin structures satisfy this relation in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. It is interesting to note that the two that do not are those containing an overall \( q_{1}^{3} \), and hence do not enter the leading eikonal independently of other structures. However, the usage of the four-dimensional Clifford algebra in the manipulation of gamma matrices means that the exponentiation demonstrated herein should be considered in the limit \( D \rightarrow 4 \). The spin structures proportional to \( q_{2}^{2} \) at one-loop satisfy the exponential relation to the leading eikonal only in this limit; the relation is violated in general dimensions by terms that vanish when \( D \rightarrow 4 \).

Further to this direct calculation, we have demonstrated that the suggested exponentiation of the leading eikonal is in fact a consequence of unitarity. In contrast to the spinless case, in which this relation is more immediate, in the spinning case it is a consequence of the interplay between the completeness relation for spinors and the classical limit. Handling the classical limit in this context was easily managed by appealing to heavy particle states. Our prescription for squaring the leading eikonal relies on a polarization sum for finite-spin particles. An analysis employing the spin-coherent states of Ref. [25] may present a path to generalizing this squaring to the infinite-spin limit, and removing the reliance on polarization sums altogether.

Several other extensions to this work come to mind. First, the arguments in Sec. IV appear to be extendable to higher loop orders, which would yield a more direct path to verifying the exponentiation of the leading eikonal, as opposed to computing the leading super-classicalities at each order explicitly. Such a streamlined approach would be particularly advantageous for the leading eikonal for higher-spin scattering. Above \( \mathcal{O}(G^{2}) \) and for spin \( s \geq 2 \) one must take care to properly account for the additional contact terms needed for the Compton amplitude when applying this unitarity technique.

In this note we have focused only on the leading eikonal, though the expression in Eq. (3) depends on an exponentiation of contributions at all loop orders. Studying the exponentiation of subleading eikonal phases with spin is thus crucial to understanding whether Eq. (3)—with the appropriately modified multiplication of eikonal phases—remains valid when spin is involved. Along this line, the combination of the eikonal with spin provides a powerful means for the computation of full (i.e., conservative-plus-radiative) higher-PM dynamics at low spins [8,9].

Yet another direction would investigate the exponentiation of spin structures in general spacetime dimensions, which would require an analysis of spin in \( D \neq 4 \). Such an investigation, along with understanding the exponentiation properties of the subleading eikonal, could shed light on why two of the four classical spin structures appear to only exponentiate in \( D \rightarrow 4 \). A treatment of spin in general dimensions may be sufficient to restore this exponentiation away from \( D \rightarrow 4 \). Another possibility is that the non-exponentiation in general \( D \) is a consequence of the short-range nature of these two spin structures at tree-level.

---

6Recall that we have normalized our spinors to be dimensionless. Otherwise, the right-hand side of this relation would be multiplied by \( m_{1} \).
meaning that exponentiation in general $D$ may only be attainable for subleading eikonal phases.
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APPENDIX A: FOURIER TRANSFORMS

In the main text we have made use of Fourier transforms to convert momentum space amplitudes to impact-parameter space. The rank-0 transform is

$$\int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i b \cdot q} (q^2)^\nu = \frac{2^{2\nu}}{\pi^{d/2}} \Gamma(\nu + d/2) \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left( b^2 \right)^{\nu+d/2} \Gamma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

The rank-1 and 2 transforms were also necessary for Fourier transforming spin effects. We find these by differentiating the rank-0 transform with respect to the impact parameter:

$$\int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i b \cdot q} i (q^2)^\nu = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i b \cdot q} (q^2)^\nu = \frac{2^{2\nu+1}}{\pi^{d/2}} \Gamma(\nu + d/2 + 1) \frac{b^i}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left( b^2 \right)^{\nu+d/2+1} \Gamma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

$$\int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i b \cdot q} q^i (q^2)^\nu = -i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^i \partial b^j} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i b \cdot q} (q^2)^\nu = \frac{2^{2\nu+1}}{\pi^{d/2}} \Gamma(\nu + d/2 + 1) \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left( b^2 \right)^{\nu+d/2+1} \Gamma \left[ \Pi^{ij} - 2 \left( \nu + 1 + \frac{d}{2} \right) \frac{b^i b^j}{b^2} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

We have introduced the projector

$$\Pi^{ij} \equiv \delta^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{p^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

which projects onto the plane orthogonal to the incoming center-of-mass three-momentum.

APPENDIX B: LOOP INTEGRALS

We use the values for $D$-dimensional one-loop integrals in the soft region determined in Ref. [39]. In particular, we have needed the box and cross-box integrals, defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_\square = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{l^2 \left( (p_1+l)^2 - m_1^2 \right) \left( (p_2-l)^2 - m_2^2 \right)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

$$\mathcal{I}_\boxtimes = \int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{l^2 \left( (p_1-l-q)^2 - m_1^2 \right) \left( (p_2-l)^2 - m_2^2 \right)}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

Our results depended only on the sum of these two, which is given in Ref. [39] to be

$$\mathcal{I}_\square + \mathcal{I}_\boxtimes = -\frac{\pi^{1-D/2}}{2^{D+1} m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \times \frac{\Gamma(D/2 - D/2 + 1) \Gamma(3 - D/2)}{\Gamma(D - 4)} (-q^2)^{D/2-3}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

We remark that we have used the mostly-minus metric, in contrast to Ref. [39], where the mostly-plus metric was employed.

APPENDIX C: FOURIER TRANSFORMING UNITARITY

For completeness’ sake, we show here explicitly the adaptation to our setup of the manipulation employed in Sec. 6.2 of Ref. [9], taking the unitarity cut in momentum space to a product of eikonal phases in impact-parameter space. The argument is independent of whether spin is involved in the scattering process, so we omit polarization labels for brevity. Nevertheless, with an eye to Fourier transforming products of amplitudes with different polarization labels, we do not require the two amplitudes in the cut to be equal. Our starting point is the convolution of two amplitudes in momentum space by a unitarity cut:

$$\mathcal{A}(q) = \int \frac{d^{D-1} k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1} 2 E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{D-1} k_2}{(2\pi)^{D-1} 2 E_{k_2}} (2\pi)^D \delta(p_1 + p_2 - k_1 - k_2) \mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2 \to p_1', p_2') \times \mathcal{M}'(p_1, p_2 \to k_1, k_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (C1)

The momenta carried by the internal graviton legs are $q_1 = p_1 - k_1 = k_2 - p_2$ and $q_2 = k_1 - p_1' = p_2' - k_2$, and are related to the total momentum transfer through $q_1 + q_2 = q$. Both $q_{1,2}$ scale with $\hbar$ in the classical limit.
Now, as was done in Ref. [9], it is convenient to parametrize our momenta in terms of one-dimensional longitudinal components and \((D - 2)\)-dimensional transverse components, the latter of which are proportional to the transfer momentum \(q\):

\[
p_\mu = (E_1, p^\perp, p^L), \quad p_\mu^\prime = (E_2, -p^\perp, -p^L), k^\mu_{1,2} = (E_{k_{1,2}}, k^\perp_{1,2}, k^L_{1,2}).
\]  

(C2)

The next step is to integrate out the longitudinal components using the delta function. To do so, we split the delta function in terms of energy, transverse, and longitudinal components:

\[
\delta(p_1 + p_2 - k_1 - k_2) = \delta(p_1^0 + p_2^0 - k_1^0 - k_2^0)\delta(p_1^\perp + p_2^\perp - k_1^\perp - k_2^\perp) \delta(p_1^L + p_2^L - k_1^L - k_2^L)
\]

\[
= \delta(E_1 + E_2 - \sqrt{(k_1^\perp)^2 + (k_1^L)^2 + m_1^2} - \sqrt{(k_2^\perp)^2 + (k_2^L)^2 + m_2^2})\delta(k_1^\perp + k_2^\perp)\delta(k_1^L + k_2^L).
\]  

(C3)

Integrating over the longitudinal delta function sets \(k_2^L = -k_1^L\). To integrate over the energy delta function, we rewrite

\[
\delta(E_1 + E_2 - \sqrt{(k_1^\perp)^2 + (k_1^L)^2 + m_1^2} - \sqrt{(k_2^\perp)^2 + (k_2^L)^2 + m_2^2}) = \frac{E_k E_{k_2}}{|k_1|^2 (E_{k_2} + E_{k_1})} \delta(k^\perp - k^\perp) + \delta(k^L - k^L),
\]  

(C4)

where \(k_{1,2}\) are the roots of the argument of the delta function on the left-hand side, and satisfy \(k_1^\perp = -k_2^\perp\). By definition of the transverse direction, we have \(p^\perp \propto q\). Furthermore, since \(k_{1,2}\) are related to \(p_{1,2}\) by the addition/subtraction of momenta scaling with \(h\), we can safely write \(|k_1^\perp| = |p^\perp - q^\perp| \ll |p|\) and \(|k_2^\perp| = |-p^\perp + q_2^\perp| \ll |p|\), where these inequalities hold component-wise. Therefore, we find \(k_1^\perp = -k_2^\perp\) if \(|q_{1,2}| \ll |p|\) in the classical limit, the solution \(k_2^L\) is outside the domain of the problem, and we can ignore the second delta function on the right-hand side of Eq. (C4). Integrating over the energy delta function thus gives

\[
\mathcal{A}(q) = \int \frac{d^{D-2}k_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{d^{D-2}k_2}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{2^D-\delta(q_1^\perp + q_2^\perp - q)}{4p|E_{k_2} + E_{k_1}|} \mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2 \rightarrow p_1, p_2)\mathcal{M}'(p_1, p_2 \rightarrow k_1, k_2).
\]  

(C5)

By energy conservation we must have \(E_{k_1} + E_{k_2} = E\), the total energy of the scattering. The denominator of the integrand is thus \(4pE = 4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}\).

At this point, we can change the variables of integration using \(k_1 = q_2 + p_1^\prime, k_2 = q_1 + p_2\), and the fact that \(p_1^\prime\) and \(p_2\) are constant:

\[
\mathcal{A}(q) = \frac{1}{4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{d^{D-2}q_2}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} (2\pi)^{D-2} \delta(q_1^\perp + q_2^\perp - q)\mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2 \rightarrow p_1, p_2)\mathcal{M}'(p_1, p_2 \rightarrow k_1, k_2).
\]  

(C6)

In the delta function here we have used \(p_1^\prime = p^\perp - q\). Fourier transforming both sides,

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(b) = \frac{1}{(4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1})^2} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{d^{D-2}q_2}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} (2\pi)^{D-2} e^{iq\cdot b} (2\pi)^{D-2} \delta(q_1^\perp + q_2^\perp - q)\mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2 \rightarrow p_1, p_2)\mathcal{M}'(p_1, p_2 \rightarrow k_1, k_2)
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{1}{4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} e^{iq\cdot b} \mathcal{M}(p_1, p_2 \rightarrow k_1, k_2) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_2}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} e^{iq\cdot b} \mathcal{M}'(k_1, k_2 \rightarrow p_1, p_2) \right]
\]

\[
= \tilde{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'.
\]  

(C7)

We have refrained from labeling either side of this equation as an eikonal phase, as one only obtains an eikonal phase upon performing a polarization sum. We have left both \(2 \rightarrow 2\) amplitudes arbitrary; the crucial point is that the initial and final momenta of each differ by a transfer momentum of \(\mathcal{O}(h)\).

This calculation has adapted the approach of Ref. [9] to the one-loop computation of relevance to us. It shows that the convolution of two \(2 \rightarrow 2\) amplitudes in momentum space becomes a product in impact-parameter space.