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Abstract
Here, we present the chromosome-level genome assembly of *Dysdera silvatica* Schmidt, 1981, a nocturnal ground-dwelling spider endemic from the Canary Islands. The genus *Dysdera* has undergone a remarkable diversification in this archipelago mostly associated with shifts in the level of trophic specialization, becoming an excellent model to study the genomic drivers of adaptive radiations. The new assembly (1.37 Gb; scaffold N50 of 174.2 Mb), was performed using the chromosome conformation capture scaffolding technique, represents a continuity improvement of more than 4500 times with respect to the previous version. The seven largest scaffolds or pseudochromosomes, which cover 87% of the total assembly size, probably correspond with the seven chromosomes of the karyotype of this species, including a characteristic large X chromosome. To illustrate the value of this new resource we performed a comprehensive analysis of the two major arthropod chemoreceptor gene families (i.e., gustatory and ionotropic receptors). We identified 545 chemoreceptor sequences distributed across all pseudochromosomes, with a notable underrepresentation in the X chromosome. At least 54% of them localize in 83 genomic clusters with a significantly lower evolutionary distances between them than the average of the family, suggesting a recent origin of many of them. This chromosome-level assembly is the first high-quality genome representative of the Synspermiata clade, and just the third among spiders, representing a new valuable resource to gain insights into the structure and organization of chelicerate genomes, including the role that structural variants, repetitive elements and large gene families played in the extraordinary biology of spiders.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Arthropoda is the most species-rich phylum on Earth, virtually found in all ecosystems, and includes about 80% of known animal species (Zhang, 2011, 2013). It encompasses four extant subphyla, namely Chelicerata, Myriapoda, Crustacea ( paraphyletic) and Hexapoda, and the extinct Trilobita. Among them, Chelicerata accounts for about 10% of arthropod species, most of which belong to the class Arachnida (about 130,000 species; Coddington et al., 2004; Garb et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2014). Despite this great representation and special interest in many basic and translational research areas, such as material sciences ( silk from spiders), bioactive natural compounds ( venom toxins from spiders and scorpions), or pest control (mites, Acari), currently are few available genome sequences of species from this group (Garb et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2020; Vizueta et al., 2018), being most of them highly fragmented and incomplete. In fact, only two chromosome-level spider genomes have been reported to date (Fan et al., 2021; Sheffer et al., 2021).

Spiders (order Araneae), the largest group within Arachnida, with at least ~49,000 known species (World Spider Catalogue, 2021), is a highly diverse group of predators that can be found in nearly all terrestrial ecosystems (Figure 1). Recent studies have greatly helped to elucidate their phylogeny and delimitate its main evolutionary lineages (Kallal et al., 2020; Wheeler et al., 2017). The nocturnal ground-dwelling genus Dysdera Latreille 1804, which contains 286 species (World Spider Catalogue, 2021), mostly with a circum-Mediterranean distribution, represents nearly half of the diversity of the Dysderidae family. Approximately 50 species of this genus are endemic from the Canary Islands archipelago, representing one of the most spectacular examples of diversification on islands within spiders (Arnedo et al., 2001, 2007; Macías-Hernández et al., 2016; Vizueta et al., 2019). Shifts in dietary preferences have been identified as one of the main drivers of island diversification in this group (Řezáč et al., 2021). Indeed, Dysdera includes some of the few reported cases of stenophagy (i.e., prey specialization) across the mostly generalists spiders (Pekár et al., 2016), with some species (both continental and island species) facultatively or even obligatorily specialized in feeding on terrestrial woodlice (Crustacea: Isopoda). This trophic specialization was accompanied by morphological ( modifications of mouthparts), behavioural (unique hunting strategies) and physiological adaptations to capture woodlice and to assimilate the toxic substances and heavy metals accumulated in these usually rejected prey (Hopkin & Martin, 1985; Řezáč & Pekár, 2007; Řezáč et al., 2008; Toft & Macías-Hernández, 2017). In the Canary Islands, as in continental species, these diet shifts have occurred recurrently in different geographic areas.

The high rates of species proliferation coupled with multiple independent ecophenotypic shifts make Dysdera an excellent model for understanding the genomic basis of adaptive radiations (Vizueta et al., 2019). With the aim of obtaining a reference genome for this genus, we sequenced the genome of Dysdera silvatica Schmidt, 1981 (~1.37 Gb) and generated the first de novo genome assembly of this species using a hybrid strategy (Sánchez-Herrero et al., 2019). Nevertheless, most of the assembly was based on short reads, which, added to the high repetitive nature of the genome sequences of this species (53.8%), resulted in a very fragmented genome draft (N50 of 38 kb). While this first draft has been a fruitful research resource, it prevented the study of genomic aspects requiring greater continuity, such as gene mapping across the chromosomes, the comprehensive annotation of very long genes and gene clusters, or the identification of structural variation. These features are fundamental for understanding the biological and evolutionary meaning of the genome structure and gene organization. Some clear examples of the benefits of having a highly continuous chromosome-level assembly are the study of the genome structure and evolution of gene families, the impact of a number genome features ( e.g., recombination, base content, distribution of genes and repetitive regions, etc) on adaptive processes, the analysis of impact of hybridization and divergence between populations, or the role of chromosomal evolution in speciation (Bleidorn, 2016; Pollard et al., 2018; Saha, 2019).

Here, we present the first high-quality chromosome-level assembly of the species D. silvatica (D. silvatica version 2.0). Using the first version of the genome assembly of this species (Sánchez-Herrero et al., 2019) as a starting point, we used proximity ligation libraries (Chicago and Hi-C libraries; Dovetail genomics), and the HiRise pipeline (Putnam et al., 2016) to obtain an improved, highly continuous assembly of this genome. As an example of the enhanced utility of the version 2.0, we have identified and annotated the members of the two major arthropod chemoreceptor gene families in this genome, and performed a comprehensive analysis of the physical clustering of all family members at chromosome-level scale. This new genomic resource will foster further studies of the molecular basis underlying rapid diversification in islands and ecological shifts by allowing comparative genomic analyses based on variation data that is inaccessible in currently available fragmented genomes, such as structural variants, repetitive elements, and large gene families. Additionally, this high-quality genomic data will contribute to improve our understanding of the structure, organization, and genome evolution in chelicerates.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling, DNA sequencing and genome assembly

The version 1 of D. silvatica was generated using a hybrid de novo genome assembly by combining information from five individuals and four types of sequencing libraries; see Table 1 in Sánchez-Herrero et al. (2019). We later identified that one these individuals was in fact
**Dysdera enghoffi** Arnedo, Oromí, & Ribera, 1997, a phylogenetically close relative to *D. silvatica* also endemic from La Gomera (Arnedo et al., 2007). The new genome assembly *D. silvatica* reported here (version 2) was obtained using the previous genome assembly, which was further scaffolded using Chicago and Hi-C libraries. We generated the latest sequencing libraries using a single female of the genus *Dysdera*, sampled in March 2012 in La Gomera (Canary Islands), identified in the laboratory as *D. silvatica*, frozen in nitrogen liquid and stored at −80°C until its use.

DNA extraction and sequencing were performed in Dovetail Genomics. The newly generated sequence data was obtained as a combination of Chicago (one library), Hi-C (one library) and Illumina 150-bp paired-end (HiSeq X ten platform; one lane) sequencing libraries. The final assembly (*D. silvatica* reference genome version 2)
TABLE 1  Genome assembly statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assembly size (bp)</th>
<th>1,365,686,336</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC/N Content (%)</td>
<td>34.75/0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of scaffolds</td>
<td>15,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest scaffold (Mb)</td>
<td>317.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffold N50 (Mb)/L50</td>
<td>174.19/4 scaffolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence in chromosomes (%)(^a)</td>
<td>86.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSCO analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnida data set</td>
<td>2532 (86.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropoda data set</td>
<td>818 (80.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eukaryota data set</td>
<td>237 (92.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Sequences in the seven described pseudochromosomes.

was generated using the HiRise scaffolding software (Putnam et al., 2016), and further polished with NextPolish (Hu et al., 2020) using Illumina short-read data from a single individual unequivocally identified as *D. silvatica* (see Section 3). We determined the completeness of the new assembly by applying the pipeline of Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologues (BUSCO; v. 5.0.0; Sepepy et al., 2019), searching the arachnida (odb10; 2934 genes), arthropoda (odb10; 1013 genes) and eukaryota (odb10; 255 genes) data sets.

2.2  Repetitive elements identification

We analysed repetitive regions in the new assembly using a combination of a de novo transposable elements identification with RepeatModeler v1.0.11 (Smit & Hubley, 2008-2015; RepeatModeler), and a similarity-based search with RepeatMasker v.4.0.7 (Smit et al., 2013-2015, RepeatMasker). For the analysis we used the repetitive elements database built for the version 1 of the *D. silvatica* draft genome (see Sánchez-Herrero et al., 2019 for more details), generated with RepeatModeler, plus Dfam_Consensus-20171107 and RepBase-20181026 databases. The new assembly was masked for these repetitive sequences using the (-xsmall) option of RepeatMasker to be used in the genome annotation steps.

2.3  Structural and functional genome annotation

We performed a completely new genome annotation on the *D. silvatica* v2 assembly. Structural annotation of the soft-masked genome was accomplished with BRAKER2 (Brüna et al., 2021; Hoff et al., 2019; Stanke et al., 2006, 2008), using both *D. silvatica* RNAseq data (Vizueta et al., 2017) and orthologous sequences from five species of this genus (including *D. silvatica*) (Vizueta et al., 2019) as evidence (-etpmode) (Hoff et al., 2019). To perform the functional annotation of the gene models, we used BLASTP v2.4 searches (E-value = 10\(^{-5}\)) against NCBI-nr, Swiss-Prot, and an updated version of the ArthropodDB databases (see Vizueta et al., 2017, for a detailed description of ArthropodDB). Furthermore, we also searched the predicted peptides for specific protein domain signatures in InterProScan v5.31.70.0 (Jones et al., 2014) and integrated all functional evidence.

Gene ontology (GO) terms (Ashburner et al., 2000) were obtained inherited from the BLAST (using top five significant hits) and InterProScan results. We used Blast2GO to associate KEGG enzymes and pathways to the annotated genes (Kanehisa & Goto, 2000). We detected the transfer RNA genes (tRNAs) encoded in the genomic sequence of *D. silvatica* using the tRNAscan-SE v2.0.7 software (Chan et al., 2019).

2.4  Homology relationships with other taxa

We searched for homologues of *D. silvatica* in the genome data of representatives of a broad taxonomic range across the order Araneae, namely *Acanthoscurria geniculata* (Koch, 1841) (Theraphosidae), in the suborder Mygalomorphae, and the representatives of the suborder Araneomorphae *Loxosceles reclusa* Gertsch & Mulaik, 1940 (Sicariidae), together with *D. silvatica* member of the clade Synspermiata, *Stegodyphus mimosarum* Pavesi, 1883 and *Stegodyphus dumicola* Pocock, 1898 (Eresidae), and the Araneoids *Parasteatoda tepidariorum* (Koch, 1841) and *Latreuctus hesperus* Chamberlin & Ivie, 1935, both in the family Theridiidae, and the Araneidae *Trichonephila clavipes* (Linnaeus, 1767) and *Argiope bruennichi* (Scopoli, 1772) (Figure 1; Table S1), as well as all other arachnids, arthropods and ecdysozoa species surveyed in Sánchez-Herrero et al. (2019). The search was conducted by a series of BLASTP searches (E-value cutoff <10\(^{-5}\); we also applied a filter of >30% alignment length to consider a hit as a positive). Finally, we also searched for orthogroups and establish homology relationships among *D. silvatica* and the arachnids included in the OrthoDB (v10) (Kriventseva et al., 2019) database, namely the tick *Ixodes scapularis* Say, 1821 (Ullmann et al., 2005), the mite *Tetanychus urticae* Koch, 1836 (Grić et al., 2011), and the spiders *Stegodyphus mimosarum* (Sanggaard et al., 2014) and *Parasteatoda tepidariorum* (Schwager et al., 2017).

2.5  Annotation of the chemoreceptor genes

We performed a comprehensive curation of all members of the major chemoreceptor gene families encoded in the genome of *D. silvatica*, namely the Gustatory-receptor (Gr) and Ioniotropic (glutamate) receptor (Ir/GluR) families (Vizueta, Escuer, Frias-López, et al., 2020; Vizueta et al., 2018). For this task, we used the pipeline BITACORA (Vizueta et al., 2020; Vizueta et al., 2020), along with the homologous sequence data set and hidden Markov model (HMM) profiles used in Vizueta et al. (2018) and using the annotated gene models and genome sequence as input. The resulting identified proteins were validated, and reannotated when necessary, in the Apollo genome browser (Lee et al., 2013). We classified a gene as “complete” if the length of the encoded protein contains, at least, 80% of the protein domain length characteristic of the family (235 and 180 amino
acids, for the GR and IR/GluR proteins, respectively). The remaining incomplete gene models that could not be recovered using Apollo were classified as “partial” fragments. For each chemoreceptor family, we computed the minimum number of chemoreceptor sequences that could be unequivocally attributed to different genes (\( S_{\text{MIN}} \)) as in Vizueta et al. (2018).

2.6 | Genomic clusters of chemosensory genes: Definition, chromosomal distribution and evolutionary distances

We determined whether the members of a given chemoreceptor gene family are physically closer (forming a cluster) in the pseudochromosomes than expected by chance by analysing the distribution of pairwise physical distances between the members of a particular gene family and scaffold. We classified the paralogous copies as “clustered” and “nonclustered”. Operationally we consider that \( n \) closely linked genes from a gene family are clustered if they are arranged within a genomic region that spans less than certain cutoff \( C_g \) value following Vieira et al. (2007):

\[
C_g = g(n - 1)
\]

where \( C_g \) is the maximum length of a cluster that contains two or more copies of the same family, and \( g \) is the maximum distance between two copies of a given family to consider that they are clustered. Here, we set the value of \( g \) to 100 kb. The gene density of the \( I_r \) family in the \( D. \ silvatica \) genome is about one copy every 3.32 Mb (and even lower in the \( G_r \) family). Assuming a uniform distribution of gene family members across the genome, the probability of finding by chance two (or more) \( I_r \) genes in a 100 kb stretch is \( p = 0.0004 \) (Poisson distribution, \( \lambda = 0.0301 \)); this \( p \)-value is even lower for the \( G_r \) family. Thus, the selected \( g \) guarantees conservative \( C_g \) lengths for the two chemoreceptor families. Pairwise physical distances between gene family copies were processed with the R package ComplexHeatmap (Gu et al., 2016), and plotted as heatmaps to facilitate the visualization of gene clustering across scaffolds.

2.7 | Phylogenetic and evolutionary analyses

2.7.1 | Multiple sequence alignments

We used Mafft v. 7.475 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) to build three multiple sequence alignments (MSA) per each gene family. First, we generated a MSA (MSAc) with only complete sequences identified in \( D. \ silvatica \) using the L-INS-i algorithm with options --localpair --maxiterate 1000. Then, we built a second MSA (MSAf) for all sequences (the full data set, comprise the complete and partial copies identified in \( D. \ silvatica \)) using the Mafft --addfragments option (--keeplength) to align fragment (partial) sequences to the previous computed MSAc. Finally, we also used the L-INS-i algorithm to generate a third MSA for each family (MSAp), which included the complete sequences from \( D. \ silvatica \) and the curated members of the same family annotated in the genome of \( Drosophila \) melanogaster Meigen, 1830.

2.7.2 | Physical vs. evolutionary distances

We used the best-fit amino acid substitution model found by IQ-TREE software v. 2.1.2 (Minh et al., 2020) to estimate the evolutionary distances (measured as the number of amino acid replacements per amino acid site) across all pairwise comparisons. The analysis was performed with MEGA-CC 10.2.4 software (command-line version) (Kumar et al., 2012), using the JTT substitution model (Jones et al., 1992), with gamma-distributed heterogeneous rate variation among sites (5 and 7 discrete classes for the \( G_r \) and \( I_r \) families, respectively).

We investigated the relationship between physical and evolutionary distances by means of the \( C_{ST} \) statistic, a new index which measures the proportion of the evolutionary distance that is attributable to unclustered genes. We computed \( C_{ST} \) independently in the two chemoreceptor families, and separately for each scaffold (or for the whole genome). \( C_{ST} \) is estimated as:

\[
C_{ST} = \frac{D_1 - D_C}{D_T}
\]

where \( D_p \) the average of the pairwise evolutionary (amino acid replacements per site) distances between gene family copies, is estimated as:

\[
D_T = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i<j} d_{ij}
\]

where \( n \) is the number of gene family members in the surveyed scaffold (or in the entire genome), and \( d_{ij} \) is the evolutionary distance between sequences \( i \) and \( j \).

And \( D_C \), the average of the pairwise evolutionary distance between copies from within a cluster, averaged across all clusters of the same scaffold (or across the genome), is estimated as:

\[
D_C = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} D_{Ck}
\]

where \( m \) is the number of clusters (in a specific scaffold or in the entire genome), and \( D_{Ck} \) the average of pairwise evolutionary distances within the cluster \( k \), is computed as:

\[
D_{Ck} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i<j} d_{ij}
\]

where \( n \) is the number of copies in cluster \( k \), and \( d_{ij} \) is the amino acid-based distance between sequences \( i \) and \( j \).

We used the Mann–Whitney \( U \) test to determine whether the evolutionary distances between copies of the same family in
2.7.3 | Phylogenetic analyses

We inferred the phylogenetic relationships among the members of the chemoreceptor gene families identified in the genome of *D. silvatica* by the maximum likelihood method implemented in IQ-TREE. We also included *Drosophila melanogaster* chemoreceptors in the analysis as evolutionary references (*D. melanogaster* is the best annotated genome so far for these families in Arthropods), and only complete proteins from both species (i.e., using MSAp; see above). For the analysis we used the best-fit amino acid substitution model found by IQ-TREE, and estimated node support from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. To test whether amino acid variation in the ligand-binding domain (LBD: PF00060; Mistry et al., 2021) can be used to distinguish replicates. To test whether amino acid variation in the ligand-binding domain, which is the best annotation for these families in Arthropods, only completely sequenced genomes so far for these families in Arthropods), and only complete proteins from both species (i.e., using MSAp; see above). For the analysis we used the best-fit amino acid substitution model found by IQ-TREE, and estimated node support from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. To test whether amino acid variation in the ligand-binding domain (LBD: PF00060; Mistry et al., 2021) can be used to distinguish replicates. 

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | A new, high-quality genome assembly and annotation

The new genome of *D. silvatica*, which has an assembly size of 1.37 Gb (Table 1), shows a high completeness, with the detection of 86.3% and 92.9% of the BUSCO genes across the arachnida and eukaryota data sets, respectively, in their genome sequence (Table 1; Table S2). Despite having 15,360 scaffolds, the N50 and L50 values, 174.2 Mb and four scaffolds, respectively, also demonstrate the high continuity of the assembly. The seven largest scaffolds (or pseudochromosomes), including the larger scaffold that probably corresponds to the X chromosome (317.9 Mb long, nearly twice the size of the second largest scaffold), represent –87% of total assembly size, matching perfectly with the haploid component of this species (6 autosomes and the X chromosome; Bellvert & Arnedo, unpublished data; Figure 2).

The structural annotation shows that the genome of *D. silvatica* encodes 33,275 coding-protein genes (35,370 transcripts) and includes the 90% and 95% of the BUSCO arthropoda and eukaryote data sets, respectively (Table 2). We have also identified 37,198 putative tRNAs applying the tRNAscan-SE with default parameters; this number drops to 1028 using the stringent EukHighConfidenceFilter. Sequence similarity-based searches uncovered 28,904 sequences with positive hits against the surveyed protein databases (16,241, 25,917 and 22,604 against Swiss-Prot, ArthropodDB and InterPro databases, respectively). Furthermore, 22,093 of these functionally annotated sequences also have at least one associated GO term.

We identified ~3.2 millions of repetitive sequences, which encompass 53.0% of the total assembly size (Table 2; Tables S3). The great majority of these sequences (51.6%) correspond to transposable elements, many of them (22.1%) without detectable homologues in known databases; class II elements are the most abundant type (16.5%), followed by retrotransposons (class I), including LINEs (10.6%) and SINEs (1.8%).

The great majority of structurally annotated genes in the new genome assembly are shared across Arthropoda (63.7%), being 36.3% of them also present in Ecdysozoa (Figure S1; Table S1). Besides, 25.0% of these genes are spider-specific (order Araneae), and 17.4% were identified as lineage-specific in *D. silvatica*. When considering only functionally annotated genes (*n* = 28,904), this analysis yields

**FIGURE 2** Long-range contact heatmap of paired-end Hi-C reads. The x and y axes show the mapping positions of the first and second read in the read pair, respectively, grouped into bins; the colour of each square gives the number of read pairs within that bin. The seven largest scaffolds, which probably correspond to the seven pseudochromosomes described in this species, represent the ~87% of total assembly. The large scaffold, which corresponds to the X chromosome, is 317.9 Mb long. In order of length, and after chromosome 6, the next scaffold is the ChrU1 (22.3 Mb long).
equivalent results (Figure 3a), although a slightly higher fraction of genes shared within Arthropoda (72.8%) and less D. silvatica lineage-specific genes were detected (2200 genes: 7.6%). Remarkably, the number of lineage-specific genes is nearly the half of those initially reported by Sánchez-Herrero et al. (2019); this feature could be partly explained by the fact that here we have used a broader Araneae data set for the searches, although the higher quality of the new assembly would have allowed to identify much more proteins accurately annotated. Homology-search results based on OrthoDB (Figure 3b) were similar to those obtained in Sánchez-Herrero et al. (2019).

**TABLE 2** Genome annotation statistics

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repetitive regions (%)</td>
<td>52.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposable elements (bp)</td>
<td>704,860,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>2,829,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other repetitive elements (bp)</td>
<td>18,316,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>336,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genome annotation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-coding genes</td>
<td>33,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transcripts</td>
<td>35,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding sequence (%)</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functionally annotated</td>
<td>28,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSCO analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnida data set</td>
<td>2539 (86.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropoda data set</td>
<td>912 (90.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eukaryota data set</td>
<td>241 (94.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Transposable elements (LINEs, SINEs, LTRs and other DNA elements).

*b* Fraction of the genome representing protein-coding regions.

Globally, the new chromosome-scale assembly of D. silvatica represents a huge improvement compared with our previous draft assembly. In terms of continuity, it implies an improvement of more than 4500 times (the N50 value) yielding a scaffold N50 of 174.2 Mb from the 38 kb in the previous assembly. This improvement is also reflected in the high number of annotated genes (Table 2, Table S2), despite the number the gene models in current version drops from 48,619 (75% of them with functional annotation) to 33,275 (87% with functional annotation). On the other hand, the new reference sequence encompass sequence data exclusively from D. silvatica, while that reported in version 1 was generated using information from various individuals one of them now identified as D. enghoffi Arnedo et al., 1997, a phylogenetically close relative to D. silvatica also endemic from La Gomera (Arnedo et al., 2007; see also Adrián-Serrano et al., 2021 for the new mtDNA data). The new chromosome-level assembly of D. silvatica is the first highly continuous genome of a representative of the spider clade Synspermiata, which currently includes 17 families, and the third within the Araneae order (the other two are members of the superfamily Araneoidea), an extremely poor and biased genomic representation of the taxonomic and evolutionary diversity of the spider tree of life (Figure 1). Our assembly, therefore, represents a valuable resource to further conduct molecular evolutionary and functional studies in spiders and their relatives.

### 3.2 The chemoreceptor repertoire of D. silvatica

The chemosensory repertoire of chelicerates, particularly of spiders, is characterized by a high diversity in terms of copy number and sequence divergence, probably resulted from a constant and prolonged
gene birth and death process, only altered by episodic bursts of gene duplication yielding lineage-specific expansions (Vizueta et al., 2018). Here, our comprehensive analysis using the BITACORA pipeline allowed the identification in the genome of D. silvatica of 545 chemoreceptor genes, corresponding to 134 Gr and 411 Ir (plus one homologue to the insect Ir25a and 24 iGluR) sequences (Figure 4; Tables 3, S4 and S5). Although these family sizes are in agreement with the variability observed across spider genomes, the 411 Ir reported here represents the largest repertoire found for this family in chelicerates, only surpassed by the extraordinary chemoreceptor repertoire found in the German cockroach genome (Robertson et al., 2018). On the other hand, the number of Grs in D. silvatica is relatively low compared to other spiders (i.e., S_MIN of 634 Grs in Parasteatoda tepidariorum), which could suggest a different contribution of the gustatory repertoires to the evolutionary, and probably adaptive history of these different spider lineages.

Noticeably, most identified chemoreceptor genes are complete (459 complete genes under the criterion considered in this article; see methods), yielding a S_MIN of 540 genes (Table 3). This large proportion of complete copies is highly unusual in reports on these chemoreceptor families across arthropod genomes (Vizueta et al., 2018), clearly demonstrating the benefits of having a chromosome-level assembly to annotate, characterize and study multigene families in animal genomes. The new high-quality assembly has uncovered, for example, that the two studied gene families are unevenly distributed across pseudochromosomes. The X chromosome, representing the 23.3% of the genome assembly, harbors only 3.0% and 3.2% of the Gr and Ir identified copies, respectively (Table 3); the members of these families, nevertheless, are more uniformly distributed across the other major pseudochromosomes. Even so, the number of chemoreceptors located in the small scaffolds, which only represent 11.8% of the total assembly, represents 23.1% and 59.6% of the Gr and Ir repertoires, respectively. This uneven distribution, however, is not observed when considering all genes identified in the genome (Table 3). While the first feature probably hides some (unknown) particularities of the sex chromosome, the high representation of gene family members across the minor scaffolds could be explained by the assembly difficulties of these repetitive regions. Further studies including gene families with different family sizes will get insights into this genomic feature.

3.3 | Chemoreceptor genes are unevenly distributed across the genome of D. silvatica

Despite that not all chemoreceptor genes could be mapped on the scaffolds corresponding to the main cytological described chromosomes, the new high-quality assembly allowed us to study the genomic organization and evolution of a great number of paralogous copies of each family. According to our criterion (see Methods), we identified 83 genomic clusters, 17 and 66 of them including Gr and Ir genes, respectively (Figures 5a,c, S2A and S2C). These clusters, which harbour up to 10 copies of the same family, were found in all major scaffolds of D. silvatica.

To gain insights into the evolutionary meaning of such gene clustering structure we investigated the relationship between pairwise evolutionary divergences, measured as d_{ij} (the number of amino acid substitutions per site between two sequences), and physical distances (in kb). We found that C_ST values are high in all pseudochromosomes, ranging from 0.418 to 0.982 and from 0.428 to 0.894 for the Gr and Ir gene families, respectively, considering all identified sequences (Table 3); these values are similar when using only the complete data set (Table S4). These high C_ST values translate into statistically lower evolutionary distances among family copies included in clusters than those dispersed across the genome, both at the chromosome (Mann–Whitney U test, p-values < .05 for nearly all pseudochromosomes) but also at the whole genome levels (p-values < .001 in all cases) (Tables 3 and S4; Figures 5 and S2). This result, jointly with the large number of genomic clusters found across the D. silvatica genome, point to the recent origin of many of the chemoreceptors in this species, and to the unequal crossing-over as a major mechanism accounting for this origin. After gene duplication, the paralogues that are retained long enough (i.e., those that are not lost by genetic drift or purifying selection), continuously diverged at the sequence, and probably at the functional level (at least in terms of ligand specificity or signalling characteristics). We expect, therefore, that over time, evolutionary distances of these retained copies increase with physical distance, just as we have found (Figure 5b, Figures S2B,D). This genomic architecture could have relevant functional and evolutionary implications. For instance, the presence of distantly related family members within the same genomic cluster, could be the hallmark of the interaction between functional and gene regulation constraints preventing cluster breaking. A more comprehensive analysis of these specific cases deserves to be further evaluated.

3.4 | Phylogenetic analysis of the chemoreceptor genes in arthropods

As commented above, having a very continuous assembly opens the door of annotating as “complete genes” most copies of a medium to large-sized multigene families, almost outside of the scope in most of the available, highly fragmented non-model chelicerates genomes. These improved annotations (with the inclusion of more and longer family copies in the multiple sequence alignments) in turn, yield to much more accurate phylogenetic analyses, increasing the evolutionary signal, and improving the tree node support. In many cases, furthermore, these new complete copies could add very valuable information about, for instance, recent bursts of duplication and gene retention.

Current phylogenetic analysis of the Gr and Ir families, which are based on the high-quality annotations from the new assembly of D. silvatica, are clear examples of these benefits. Our analysis undoubtedly reflects the high gene turnover rates of these families in chelicerates (and, in general, in panarthropods, Vizueta, Escuer, Frías-López, et al., 2020; Vizueta et al., 2018). However, after
FIGURE 4  Distribution of the Gr (panel a) and Ir (panel b) family members across the seven pseudochromosomes and the scaffold ChrU1 of D. silvatica. Genes in clusters are shown to the left of pseudochromosomes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ChrX</th>
<th>Chr1</th>
<th>Chr2</th>
<th>Chr3</th>
<th>Chr4</th>
<th>Chr5</th>
<th>Chr6</th>
<th>ChrU1</th>
<th>Minor scaffolds</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>317.95</td>
<td>177.17</td>
<td>176.73</td>
<td>174.24</td>
<td>129.24</td>
<td>125.97</td>
<td>80.96</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>161.17</td>
<td>1365.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.28%</td>
<td>12.97%</td>
<td>12.94%</td>
<td>12.76%</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
<td>9.22%</td>
<td>5.93%</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6812</td>
<td>4139</td>
<td>4227</td>
<td>4005</td>
<td>3226</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>5431</td>
<td>33275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>12.44%</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
<td>12.04%</td>
<td>9.69%</td>
<td>9.07%</td>
<td>5.72%</td>
<td>1.54%</td>
<td>16.32%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.96</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>161.17</td>
<td>1365.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.28%</td>
<td>12.97%</td>
<td>12.94%</td>
<td>12.76%</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
<td>9.22%</td>
<td>5.93%</td>
<td>1.63%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6812</td>
<td>4139</td>
<td>4227</td>
<td>4005</td>
<td>3226</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>5431</td>
<td>33275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>12.44%</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
<td>12.04%</td>
<td>9.69%</td>
<td>9.07%</td>
<td>5.72%</td>
<td>1.54%</td>
<td>16.32%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of chemoreceptors(^b)</td>
<td>26 (6)</td>
<td>62 (12)</td>
<td>46 (5)</td>
<td>32 (2)</td>
<td>32 (13)</td>
<td>50 (8)</td>
<td>25 (3)</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
<td>277 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal gene density(^c)</td>
<td>4.56%</td>
<td>10.88%</td>
<td>8.07%</td>
<td>5.61%</td>
<td>5.61%</td>
<td>8.77%</td>
<td>4.39%</td>
<td>3.51%</td>
<td>48.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>25 (10)</td>
<td>17 (3)</td>
<td>11 (0)</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>15 (4)</td>
<td>10 (2)(^d)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>31 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
<td>34 (2)</td>
<td>28 (2)</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
<td>11 (4)</td>
<td>34 (4)</td>
<td>11 (1)</td>
<td>17 (7)</td>
<td>245 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iGluR</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusters of Gr genes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed(^e)</td>
<td>0 (0, 4)</td>
<td>3 (15, 25)</td>
<td>3 (12, 17)</td>
<td>2 (4, 11)</td>
<td>1 (8, 18)</td>
<td>1 (9, 15)</td>
<td>2 (5, 9)</td>
<td>1 (3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (17, 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{ST})</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann-Whitney test; (p)-value</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clusters of Ir genes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed</td>
<td>0 (0, 10)</td>
<td>7 (19, 32)</td>
<td>5 (11, 28)</td>
<td>2 (5, 16)</td>
<td>1 (2, 9)</td>
<td>5 (21, 32)</td>
<td>1 (2, 11)</td>
<td>2 (8, 13)</td>
<td>34 (83, 229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{ST})</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.5790</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann-Whitney test; (p)-value</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: na, not applicable; *, \(p < 0.05\); **, \(p < 0.01\); ***, \(p < 0.001\); ns, not significant.

\(^a\)Fraction of genes in the chromosome.

\(^b\)Gr, Ir and iGluR genes identified in *Dysdera silvatica* genome; in parenthesis the number of incomplete genes.

\(^c\)Fraction of chemoreceptor genes in the chromosome.

\(^d\)One incomplete copy is a pseudogene.

\(^e\)Number of clusters analysed (some short incomplete genes were excluded from the analysis). The values in parenthesis indicate the number of family members in clusters and the total number of family members in the scaffold.

\(^f\)Two partial Gr copies were excluded from the analyses.

\(^g\)Thirty-one partial Ir copies were excluded from the analyses.
including the complete chemoreceptor set, a remarkable evolutionary hallmark emerges in the *D. silvatica* lineage (Figures 6, 7, S3 and S4). Only a small group of *Ir* genes, probably involved in some essential animal chemoreception functions, such as coreceptors (*Ir25a/8a* related sequences), and the receptors involved in thermosensation and hygrosensation, and in amino acid taste in *Drosophila* (i.e., *Ir93a* and *Ir76b* related sequences) (Ni, 2021), seem to be fairly conserved between insects and spiders. Although this extreme feature is well known in arthropods, where most family copies cluster in species-specific clades in the phylogenetic trees, current analysis is the first that incorporate nearly complete information of most copies of these two families in a chelicerate. The quality of the data allowed us to explore the origin and diversification trends of *D. silvatica* chemoreceptors with unprecedented precision and robustness. We found, for instance, that the distribution of gene ages in the *Gr* family is similar in *D. silvatica* and *D. melanogaster*, with most family members being old (probably during the early diversification of these subphyla). In the *Gr* family of *D. silvatica*, however, we uncovered very recent duplication events that created (at least) one new genomic cluster in a very short period in the scaffold U29 (with at least 10 genes in the cluster).

The contrasting pattern between *D. silvatica* and *D. melanogaster* is much more pronounced in the *Ir* family. Particularly noteworthy is the presence of two very recent bursts of gene duplication that originated 116 new *Ir* genes (83 and 33 copies, respectively; Figures 7 and S4A). Interestingly, most of these novel nearly identical
receptors map in multiple clusters in many of the smallest scaffolds; this feature suggest that they could be indeed part of much large genomic clusters, which were not well assembled due to the high number of repetitive Ir copies arranged in tandem in the same genomic region (Clifton et al., 2020). Such duplication burst generating many new chemoreceptor genes could reflect some relevant evolutionary events related to the chemosensory biology of these organisms and, consequently, they deserve to be investigated more in depth, especially in relation to the role of selective and nonselective forces in their retention and divergence. In fact, since current available chelicerate genomes do not allow detecting such copies accurately or they are just annotated as different partial sequences (Vizueta, Escuer, Frías-López, et al., 2020; Vizueta et al., 2018), they have been scarcely added to phylogenetic analyses, thus preventing the precise understanding of the relevant events that shaped the repertoire size of large gene families at a very short time scale. Our results demonstrate that new high-quality data are especially useful to conduct comprehensive studies of the evolution of large multigene families.

FIGURE 6 Phylogenetic relationships among the members of the Gr family of Dysdera silvatica and Drosophila melanogaster. The tree only includes the copies of D. silvatica classified as complete genes. The outer ring indicates the chromosome (Chr; in different colours) in which the genes included in the tree are located. The inner ring shows information about genomic clusters (chromosome, in the same color scale that in outer ring, genomic cluster number; member number in the cluster). The scale bar refers to 1 amino acid substitution per site. The tree was rooted in its midpoint. Minor Sc, minor scaffolds. Red and green terminal branches correspond to D. silvatica and D. melanogaster Gr, respectively. Given that the tree in this figure only includes complete copies, some genomic clusters in Table 3 and Figure 4a do not appear here.
FIGURE 7 Phylogenetic relationships among the members of the Ir/IGluR family of Dysdera silvatica and Drosophila melanogaster. The tree only includes the copies classified as complete genes in this work. The light blue, dark blue and purpura shading of gene names designate the members of the iGluR, Ir25a/Ba and Ir subfamilies, respectively. The tree was rooted considering NMDAR clade as the outgroup (Croset et al., 2010). The outer ring indicates the chromosome (Chr) in which the genes included in the tree are located. The inner ring shows information about genomic clusters (chromosome, in the same colour scale that in outer ring, genomic cluster number: member number in the cluster). The scale bar refers to one amino acid substitution per site. Minor SC, minor scaffolds. Red and green terminal branches correspond to D. silvatica and D. melanogaster, respectively. The red triangles mark the D. silvatica genes with putative distant homologues in D. melanogaster. Given that the tree in this figure only includes complete copies, some genomic clusters in Table 3 and Figure 4b do not appear here.

We have also used our new assembly to test whether the LBD domain (PF00060) has enough phylogenetic signal to classify the different subfamilies within the Ir/GluR superfamily (a strategy that we previously used in fragmented assemblies, for example, Vizuet al., 2018). Here, we take advantage that the high continuity of the assembly permitted the complete annotation of many iGluR genes, with both the ANF-receptor (PF01094) and the LBD domains in the same gene model. The latter combination, that is characteristic of the iGluR subfamily, is never found in Ir genes, which lack the ANF-receptor domain (Croset et al., 2010). This genomic structure makes it possible to unequivocally distinguish iGluR from
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