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Abstract: Measurements of elliptic ($v_2$) and triangular ($v_3$) flow coefficients of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K_S^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ obtained with the scalar product method in Xe-Xe collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.44$ TeV are presented. The results are obtained in the rapidity range $|y| < 0.5$ and reported as a function of transverse momentum, $p_T$, for several collision centrality classes. The flow coefficients exhibit a particle mass dependence for $p_T < 3$ GeV/c, while a grouping according to particle type (i.e., meson and baryon) is found at intermediate transverse momenta ($3 < p_T < 8$ GeV/c). The magnitude of the baryon $v_2$ is larger than that of mesons up to $p_T = 6$ GeV/c. The centrality dependence of the shape evolution of the $p_T$-differential $v_2$ is studied for the various hadron species. The $v_2$ coefficients of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ are reproduced by MUSIC hydrodynamic calculations coupled to a hadronic cascade model (UrQMD) for $p_T < 1$ GeV/c. A comparison with $v_n$ measurements in the corresponding centrality intervals in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV yields an enhanced $v_2$ in central collisions and diminished value in semicentral collisions.
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1 Introduction

Collisions of ultra-relativistic nuclei provide the opportunity to study in the laboratory the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), a state of deconfined quarks and gluons [1]. An important feature of the QGP is the collective expansion, called flow, due to pressure gradients in the geometrically overlapping matter in the collisions of nuclei. A direct experimental evidence of this collective flow is the observation of anisotropic flow [2], which arises from the asymmetry in the initial geometry of the collision combined with the initial state inhomogeneities of the system’s energy density. Its magnitude is usually quantified by the harmonic coefficients \( v_n \) in a Fourier decomposition of the azimuthal distribution of particles with respect to the collision symmetry plane [3, 4]

\[
\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_n)],
\]

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle of the produced particle and \( \Psi_n \) is the \( n \)-th harmonic symmetry-plane angle in the collision. The second \( (v_2) \) and third \( (v_3) \) coefficients are called elliptic and triangular flow, respectively. While \( v_2 \) directly reflects the almond-shaped geometry of the interaction volume being the largest contribution to the asymmetry in non-central collisions, \( v_3 \) is generated by fluctuations in the initial distribution of nucleons in the overlap region [5–9]. For light and strange particles, both coefficients scale approximately linearly with the corresponding eccentricities \( \varepsilon_n \) \( (v_n \approx \kappa_n \varepsilon_n) \) [10], which govern the shape of
the initial collision geometry. The coefficients $\kappa_n$ are sensitive to the macroscopic properties of the QGP, such as the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio ($\eta/s$), and the lifetime of the system. A greater sensitivity to $\eta/s$ is expected for higher-order flow coefficients [11, 12].

Measurements of anisotropic flow performed in Au-Au collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [13–16] and in Pb-Pb collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [17–20] indicate that the QGP is strongly-coupled (i.e. constituents have small mean free path) and behaves like a nearly perfect fluid as the extracted $\eta/s$ is close to the lower limit predicted by the anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence of $1/(4\pi)$ (setting $\hbar = k_B = 1$) [21]. Recently, the $v_n$ coefficients of unidentified charged particles have been measured in Xe-Xe collisions at the center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44\,\text{TeV}$ [22–24]. These measurements further constrain the transport coefficients of the medium, such as $\eta/s$ and bulk viscosity to entropy density ratio ($\zeta/s$), and initial state models. Furthermore, comparisons of the $v_2$ measurements in semicentral Xe-Xe collisions with those from Pb-Pb collisions in the same centrality intervals could provide direct information on the $\eta/s$. For these collisions, the two systems have similar $v_2$ coefficients [25, 26] but different sizes, thus the influence of the initial state on $\eta/s$ mostly cancels out in ratios of Xe-Xe/Pb-Pb $v_2$ and a finite $\eta/s$ suppresses $\kappa_2$ by $1/R$, where $R$ corresponds to the transverse size of the system [25]. Centrality estimates the degree of overlap between two colliding nuclei and is expressed as percentiles of the inelastic cross section, with low percentage values corresponding to the most central collisions. Stronger constraints can be placed by studying anisotropic flow of identified particles since the $\kappa_n$ coefficients depend on particle mass, type, and kinematics [27]. In addition to probing $\eta/s$ and $\zeta/s$, the anisotropic flow of identified particles provides valuable information on the particle production mechanism in different transverse momentum, $p_T$, regions. For $p_T \lesssim 3\,\text{GeV}/c$, the characteristic mass ordering (i.e., lighter particles having a larger $v_n$ than that of heavier particles at fixed $p_T$), which arises from the interplay between radial flow (isotropic expansion) and anisotropic flow [28, 29], is described by hydrodynamic calculations [30–34]. This mass ordering provides constraints on both $\eta/s$ and $\zeta/s$ as the magnitude of $v_n$ depends on $\eta/s$, while the mass ordering is affected by $\zeta/s$ through its influence on radial flow. At intermediate $p_T$, $3 < p_T < 8\,\text{GeV}/c$, a grouping of $v_n$ of mesons and baryons is observed, with the flow of baryons being larger than that of mesons [32, 35–37]. While this supports the hypothesis of hadronization through quark coalescence (involving the combination of a quark and anti-quark to form a meson and three quarks to form a baryon) [38–40], alternate explanations are attempted in models in which particle production includes interactions of jet fragments with bulk matter [41]. To test the hypothesis of particle production via quark coalescence it was suggested to divide both $v_n$ and $p_T$ by the number of constituent quarks since it is assumed that the spectrum of produced particles is proportional to the product of the spectra of their constituents [42, 43]. However, deviations from the exact scaling at the level of $\pm 20\%$ are seen in Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC [30–32], while it only holds approximately at RHIC [37]. This scaling can be further tested using measurements of identified particle $v_n$ in Xe-Xe collisions.

The $p_T$-differential elliptic flow coefficient, $v_2(p_T)$, of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\overline{p}$, $K^0_S$, and $\Lambda+\overline{\Lambda}$ as well as the $p_T$-differential triangular flow coefficient, $v_3(p_T)$, of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\overline{p}$, measured
in Xe-Xe collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.44\text{ TeV}$ are presented in this paper. The results are reported for $p_T < 8.5\text{ GeV}/c$ within the rapidity range $|y| < 0.5$ at different collision centralities in the 0–60% range, where $v_n$ can be measured accurately. The scalar product method \cite{44-46} is employed with a pseudorapidity gap of $|\Delta\eta| > 2.0$ between the identified particles under study and the reference charged particles. The $v_n$ coefficients denote the average between results for positive and negative particles as they are compatible within uncertainties for most $p_T$ and centrality intervals. Any residual difference has been included into the systematic uncertainties.

This paper is organized as follows. A brief description of the ALICE detector, analysis details, particle identification, reconstruction methods, and flow measurement techniques is given in section 2. Section 3 outlines the evaluation of systematic uncertainties, while the results are reported in section 4. Finally, conclusions are drawn in section 5.

2 Experimental setup and analysis details

A full overview of the ALICE detector and its performance can be found in refs. \cite{47, 48}. The Inner Tracking System (ITS) \cite{49}, the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) \cite{50}, the Time of Flight (TOF) \cite{51}, and the V0 \cite{52} are the main subsystems used in this analysis and are briefly described below. These detectors are located inside a solenoid magnet which provides a nominal magnetic field of 0.5 T. However, the field was reduced to 0.2 T for Xe-Xe collisions in order to extend particle tracking and identification to the lowest possible momenta. The ITS, TPC, and TOF detectors cover the full azimuth within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.9$. The ITS consists of six layers of silicon detectors and is employed for tracking, vertex reconstruction, and event selection. The TPC, being the main tracking detector, is used to reconstruct charged-particle tracks but also to identify particles via the measurement of the specific energy loss, $dE/dx$. The TOF detector provides particle identification based on the measurement of flight time from the collision point using a start time given by the T0 detector \cite{53}, which consists of two arrays of Cherenkov counters located at $-3.3 < \eta < -3.0$ (T0C) and $4.5 < \eta < 4.9$ (T0A). The V0 detector, two arrays of 32 scintillator tiles each (four rings in the radial direction with each ring divided into eight sectors in the azimuthal direction) covering $-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$ (V0C) and $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$ (V0A), is used for triggering, event selection, and the determination of centrality \cite{54} and $Q_n$ vectors (see below). Two tungsten-quartz neutron Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDCs) \cite{55}, installed 112.5 meters from the interaction point on each side, are also used for event selection.

The analyzed data set was recorded by the ALICE detector during the Xe-Xe run at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.44\text{ TeV}$ in 2017. The minimum-bias trigger requires signals in both V0A and V0C detectors in coincidence with signals in the two neutron ZDCs, the latter condition suppressing contamination from electromagnetic interactions. In addition, the beam-induced background (i.e., beam-gas events) and pileup events are removed using an offline event selection. The former is rejected utilizing the V0 and ZDC timing information, while pileup events are removed by comparing charged particle multiplicity estimates from the V0 detector with those of tracking detectors at midrapidity, exploiting the difference in readout
times between the systems. The remaining contribution of such interactions is estimated to be negligible. The primary vertex position is determined from tracks reconstructed in the ITS and TPC as described in ref. [48]. Approximately $9 \times 10^5$ Xe-Xe events in the 0–60% centrality interval, with a primary vertex position within $\pm 10$ cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam direction, are used in the analysis. Centrality is estimated from the energy deposition measured in the V0 detector [54].

The charged particle tracks used to determine the flow coefficients of $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ are reconstructed using the ITS and TPC within $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.4 < p_T < 8.5$ GeV/c. Each track is required to cross at least 70 TPC readout rows (out of a maximum of 159), to have a minimum number of 70 TPC space points with a $\chi^2$ per TPC space point lower than 4, and to have the ratio between the number of space points and the number of crossed rows in the TPC larger than 0.8. The selected tracks are also required to have at least 2 ITS hits, of which at least one in the two innermost layers, and a $\chi^2$ per ITS hit smaller than 36. Only tracks with a distance of closest approach (DCA) to the reconstructed vertex position smaller than 2 cm in the longitudinal direction ($z$) are accepted. In the transverse plane ($xy$), a $p_T$-dependent selection is applied: $|DCA_{xy}| < 7 \sigma_{DCA_{xy}}$, where $\sigma_{DCA_{xy}}$ is the resolution of the DCA$_{xy}$ in each $p_T$ interval. These selection criteria reduce the contamination from secondary charged particles (i.e., particles originating from weak decays, conversions, and secondary hadronic interactions in the detector material) and fake tracks (random associations of space points) and ensure a track momentum resolution better than 4% for the considered $p_T$ range [56].

The particle identification for $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ is performed using signals from the TPC and TOF detectors following the procedure described in ref. [32]. For $p_T < 4$ GeV/c, particle identification is done track-by-track evaluating the difference between the measured and expected $dE/dx$ and time-of-flight for a given species in units of the standard deviation ($\sigma_{TPC}, \sigma_{TOF}$) from the most probable value. Particles are selected combining the TPC and TOF information ($n_{\sigma_{PID}} = \sqrt{n_{\sigma_{TPC}}^2 + n_{\sigma_{TOF}}^2}$) and requiring $n_{\sigma_{PID}} < 3$ for each species. When this condition is fulfilled by more than one species, the smallest $n_{\sigma_{PID}}$ is used to assign the identity. To exclude contamination in the sample from secondary protons originating from the detector material, only $\bar{p}$ are considered for $p_T < 2$ GeV/c. For $p_T > 4$ GeV/c, only $\pi^\pm$ and $p+\bar{p}$ are identified using the TPC $dE/dx$ by selecting them from the upper part of the pion $dE/dx$ distribution and from the lower part of the proton $dE/dx$ distribution, respectively. For example, pion selection varies in the range $0.3\sigma$ to $2\sigma$.

The remaining contamination from secondary particles originating in weak decays, studied using the procedure described in ref. [57], is negligible for $K^\pm$ and decreases with increasing $p_T$ from about 5% to 0.5% for $\pi^\pm$ and from about 40% to 5% for $p+\bar{p}$ in the $p_T$ range 0.4–4.0 GeV/c. The $v_n$ coefficients are not corrected for these contaminations. Their effect on $v_n$, estimated from the correlation between $v_n$ and contamination for various DCA$_{xy}$ selections in each $p_T$ interval, is negligible for $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ and up to 20% and 5% for central and peripheral collisions, respectively, for $v_2$ of $p+\bar{p}$ at $p_T \sim 0.5$ GeV/c. The contamination from other particle species is below 2% and 25% at $p_T > 4.0$ GeV/c for $\pi^\pm$ and $p+\bar{p}$, respectively. The contamination from fake tracks is negligible.
The \( K_0^0 \) and \( \Lambda+\bar{\Lambda} \) are reconstructed in the \( K_0^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) and \( \Lambda \to p+\pi^- (\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{p}+\pi^+) \) channels. An offline selection is used to identify secondary vertices (called \( V^0s \)), from which two particles of opposite charge originate. The selection of \( V^0 \) candidates is done with an invariant mass between 0.4 and 0.6 GeV/c\(^2\) for \( K_0^0 \) and 1.07 and 1.17 GeV/c\(^2\) for \( \Lambda+\bar{\Lambda} \). Daughter particles, identified using the TPC (|\( n_{\sigma_{TPC}} \) | < 3), are assumed to be either a \( \pi^+\pi^- \) pair or a \( p-\pi^- (\bar{p}-\pi^+) \) pair in the calculation of the invariant mass of the \( V^0 \). The TPC track quality requirements described above for charged tracks are also imposed on daughter particles. In addition, the maximum DCA of daughter tracks to the secondary vertex is 0.5 cm and the minimum DCA of daughter tracks to the primary vertex is 0.1 cm. Secondary vertices created by decays into more than two particles are rejected requiring the cosine of the pointing angle \( \theta_p \) to be larger than 0.998. This angle is defined as the angle between the momentum-vector of the \( V^0 \) assessed at its decay position and the line connecting the \( V^0 \) decay vertex to the primary vertex and has to be close to 0 as a result of momentum conservation. Only \( V^0 \) candidates produced at a radial distance between 5 and 100 cm from the beam line are accepted. Finally, a selection in the Armenteros-Podolanski variables [58] is applied for the \( K_0^0 \) candidates to assess the systematic uncertainty related to contamination from \( \Lambda+\bar{\Lambda} \) and electron-positron pairs coming from \( \gamma \) conversions. Earlier studies have shown that contaminations from higher mass baryons \( (\Xi^+, \Omega^+) \) have a negligible effect on the measured \( v_n \) [30]. More details about this selection can be found in ref. [32].

The scalar product (SP) method [44–46] is used to measure the flow coefficients \( v_n \), written as
\[
 v_n\{\text{SP}\} = \langle u_{n,k}^* Q_n^x \rangle \sqrt{\frac{\langle Q_n^A Q_n^A \rangle \langle Q_n^B Q_n^{B*} \rangle}{\langle Q_n^A Q_n^{B*} \rangle}}, \tag{2.1}
\]
where \( u_{n,k} = \exp(in\varphi_k) \) is the unit flow vector of the particle of interest \( k \) with azimuthal angle \( \varphi_k \), \( Q_n \) is the event flow vector, and \( n \) is the harmonic number. Brackets \( \langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle \) denote an average over all events, the double brackets \( \langle \langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle \rangle \) an average over all particles in all events, and \( ^* \) the complex conjugate. The vector \( Q_n \) is obtained from the azimuthal distribution of the energy deposition measured in the V0A, with the \( x \) and \( y \) components given by
\[
 Q_{n,x} = \sum_j w_j \cos(n\varphi_j), \quad Q_{n,y} = \sum_j w_j \sin(n\varphi_j), \tag{2.2}
\]
where the sum runs over the 32 channels \( j \) of the V0A detector, \( \varphi_j \) is the azimuthal angle of channel \( j \), and \( w_j \) is the amplitude measured in channel \( j \). The vectors \( Q_n^A \) and \( Q_n^B \) are determined from the azimuthal distribution of the energy deposition measured in the V0C and the azimuthal distribution of the tracks reconstructed in the ITS and TPC, respectively. Any non-uniform detector response is taken into account by adjusting the components of the \( Q_n \) vectors using a recentering procedure (i.e. subtraction of the \( Q_n \) vector averaged over many events from the \( Q_n \) vector of each event) [59]. The large gap in pseudorapidity between \( u_{n,k} \) and \( Q_n \) (|\( \Delta \eta \) | > 2.0) greatly suppresses short-range correlations unrelated to the common symmetry planes \( \Psi_n \) (“non-flow”), such as those due to resonances, jets, and quantum statistics correlations. The remaining non-flow contributions are small as reported in ref. [22] where the ratio between \( v_2\{4\} \) and \( v_2\{2, |\Delta \eta | > 2.0\} \) of inclusive charged...
Figure 1. Top panel: invariant mass distribution of opposite-sign pion pairs belonging to candidate $K_0^\pm$ in the centrality range 10–20% and $p_T$ interval $0.5 < p_T^{\pi^+\pi^-} < 0.8$ GeV/$c$. Bottom panel: a fit of eq. (2.3) to the mass-dependent $v_2$ distribution.

particles shows a weak centrality dependence for semicentral and peripheral collisions. These contributions are estimated by increasing the pseudorapidity gap to $|\Delta \eta| > 2.8$. Any residual difference has been included into the systematic uncertainties (see section 3).

As the $V^0$s cannot be identified on a track-by-track basis, eq. (2.1) cannot be used to measure directly $v_n$ of $K_0^\pm$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$. Instead, a statistical approach is employed, with the $v_n^{\text{tot}}$ of the candidate $V^0$s being written as the weighted sum of $v_n(p_T)$ of the true $V^0$s, $v_n^{\text{sig}}$, and that of the background pairs, $v_n^{\text{bg}}$ [60]

$$v_n^{\text{tot}}(M_{d+d^-}) = v_n^{\text{sig}} \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{N_{\text{sig}} + N_{\text{bg}}(M_{d+d^-})} + v_n^{\text{bg}}(M_{d+d^-}) \frac{N_{\text{bg}}}{N_{\text{sig}} + N_{\text{bg}}(M_{d+d^-})},$$  (2.3)

where signal ($N_{\text{sig}}$) and background ($N_{\text{bg}}$) yields are extracted by integration of the Gaussian distribution and the third-order polynomial function used to parametrize the invariant mass ($M_{d+d^-}$) distribution at the given $p_T$, respectively. The latter accounts for residual contaminations that are present in the $K_0^0$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ signals after passing the selection criteria. The $v_n^{\text{tot}}(M_{d+d^-})$ obtained according to eq. (2.1) is fitted using eq. (2.3) with one parameter for the $v_n^{\text{sig}}$ and a second-order polynomial function to parametrize the $v_n^{\text{bg}}$. This procedure is illustrated in figure 1 where the invariant mass distribution of the $K_0^0$ and a fit of the $v_2^{\text{tot}}(M_{\pi^+\pi^-})$ distribution are shown in the top and bottom panels, respectively.

The $\pi^\pm$ and $p+\bar{p}$ $v_2$ and $v_3$ are reported for $0.4 < p_T < 8.5$ GeV/$c$ and $0.4 < p_T < 6.0$ GeV/$c$, respectively, while $K^\pm$ $v_n$ are presented for $0.4 < p_T < 4.0$ GeV/$c$. The $v_2$ of $K_0^0$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ are...
reported for $0.5 < p_T < 6.0 \text{ GeV/c}$ and $0.8 < p_T < 6.0 \text{ GeV/c}$, respectively. All measurements are performed in the rapidity range $|y| < 0.5$.

3 Systematic uncertainties

The systematic uncertainties are evaluated by varying the event and charged particle tracking selection criteria, the particle identification approach, the $V^0$ finding strategy, and the $v_n(p_T)$ extraction. The default result is compared to a variation on the nominal measurement. If the value of the variation itself differs from the main result by more than $1\sigma$, which is evaluated based on the recommendations in ref. [61], it is considered to be a systematic uncertainty. For various checks performed to quantify the effect of one systematic uncertainty (e.g., using different values for the minimum number of TPC space points employed in the reconstruction to estimate an uncertainty in tracking), the maximum significant deviation found between the nominal measurement and the systematic variations is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. The total systematic uncertainties are estimated by summing in quadrature the systematic uncertainties from the independent sources (if applicable) for all particle species, $v_n(p_T)$, and centrality intervals. A $p_T$-dependent systematic uncertainty is assigned to $v_n$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$, while a $p_T$-independent average uncertainty is reported for $v_2$ of $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$. For each particle species, a summary of the magnitude of the relative systematic uncertainties on the values of $v_2$ and $v_3$ are given in tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Systematic uncertainties related to event selection criteria are estimated by using an alternative centrality estimator based either on the number of hits in the first or second layer of the ITS; by requiring the reconstructed primary vertex position alternatively within $\pm 12 \text{ cm}$, $\pm 7 \text{ cm}$, and $\pm 5 \text{ cm}$ from the nominal interaction point along the beam direction; by imposing a stricter pileup rejection than the default selection (i.e., stronger constraints on the consistency of different event multiplicity estimators) or accepting all events with tracks regardless the pileup selection. The limited size of the Xe-Xe data sample does not allow for testing the effects from centrality fluctuations by measuring the $v_n$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ in 1% wide centrality intervals as done in refs. [22, 32]. However, the systematic uncertainties estimated for this check in the $v_n$ analysis of unidentified charged particles [22] are applied to the ones for $v_n$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$.

The variations for the track selection criteria are: changing the ITS hit requirements (referred to as tracking mode in tables 1 and 2); varying the minimum number of TPC space points from 70 to 60, 80, and 90; changing the $\chi^2$ per ITS hit; increasing the minimum number of crossed TPC readout rows from 70 to 120 and the ratio between the number of space points and the number of crossed rows in the TPC from 0.8 to 0.9 (these two checks are combined and referred to as track quality in tables 1 and 2).

The uncertainties related to particle identification are evaluated by changing the required minimum number of TPC clusters from 70 to 60, 80, and 90 to estimate the effect on the $dE/dx$; varying the maximum value of the $n_{\sigma_{\text{PID}}}$ from 3 to 1, 2, and 4 for $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV/c}$; rejecting tracks that satisfy the particle identification criterion for more than one particle species simultaneously for $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV/c}$; changing the $n_{\sigma_{\text{TPC}}}$ ranges for $p_T > 4 \text{ GeV/c}$.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty source</th>
<th>$\pi^\pm$</th>
<th>$K^\pm$</th>
<th>$p^+p$</th>
<th>$K^0_S$</th>
<th>$\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertex position</td>
<td>0–3%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% wide centrality intervals</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrality estimator</td>
<td>0–4%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>1–4%</td>
<td>2–3%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pileup rejection</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking mode</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–3%</td>
<td>0–5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TPC space points</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–3%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track quality</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS $\chi^2$</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle identification purity</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TPC clusters used for dE/dx</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive particle identification</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoy vertex (radial position)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenteros-Podolanski variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA decay products to primary vertex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA between decay products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pointing angle $\cos \theta_p$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum $p_T$ of daughter tracks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dE/dx contamination for $K^0_S$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V^0$ online selection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak shape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual background in yield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and negative rapidities</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>2–3%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposite charges</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{n^bg}$ parametrization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{n^tot}$ fit ranges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Summary of systematic uncertainties for the $v_2$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p^+p$, $K^0_S$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$. Uncertainties are given as intervals between the minimum and maximum values for all $p_T$ and centrality ranges. Empty fields indicate that a given check does not apply, while the field marked *negl.* for negligible implies that the tested uncertainty cannot be resolved within the statistical precision.

The systematic uncertainty related to the $V^0$ finding strategy includes contributions from the topological selection criteria on the $V^0$’s themselves and requirements imposed on their daughter tracks. The latter consists of the following variations: requiring in addition $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/$c$ for each daughter track; changing the minimum number of TPC space points from 70 to 60 and 80; varying the minimum number of crossed TPC readout rows from 70 to 60 and 80; increasing the ratio between the number of space points and the
Table 2. Summary of systematic uncertainties for the $v_3$ of $\pi^\pm$, K$^\pm$, and p+$\overline{p}$. Uncertainties are given as intervals between the minimum and maximum values for all $p_T$ and centrality ranges. The field marked negl. for negligible implies that the tested uncertainty cannot be resolved within the statistical precision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertainty source</th>
<th>$\pi^\pm$</th>
<th>K$^\pm$</th>
<th>p+$\overline{p}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertex position</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% wide centrality intervals</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrality estimator</td>
<td>2–4%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>2–4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pileup rejection</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking mode</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–4%</td>
<td>0–4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TPC space points</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–3%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track quality</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS $\chi^2$</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle identification purity</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>2–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TPC clusters used for dE/dx</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–1%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive particle identification</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td>negl.</td>
<td>negl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and negative rapidities</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
<td>1–2%</td>
<td>1–3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposite charges</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
<td>0–2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

number of crossed rows in the TPC from 0.8 to 0.9; varying the minimum DCA of the $V^0$ daughter tracks to the primary vertex from 0.1 cm to 0.05 cm and 0.3 cm; changing the maximum DCA of the $V^0$ daughter tracks to the secondary vertex from 0.5 cm to 0.3 cm and 0.7 cm; requesting at least 60 and 90 TPC clusters instead of 70 to estimate the effect on the dE/dx; varying the maximum absolute value of the $n_{\text{TPC}}$ from 3 to 1 and 4. Concerning the $V^0$s selection, the following variations are investigated: changing the minimum value of the $\cos \theta_p$ from 0.998 to 0.98; requesting a minimum radial distance to the beam line at which the $V^0$ can be produced of 1 cm and 15 cm instead of 5 cm; changing the maximum radial distance to the beam pipe at which the $V^0$ can be produced from 100 cm to 50 cm and 150 cm; suppressing the contamination from $\Lambda+\overline{\Lambda}$ and electron-positron pairs coming from $\gamma$ conversions to the $K_S^0$ sample by limiting the value of the Armenteros-Podolanski variables and excluding electrons by only selecting $V^0$ daughter tracks with a dE/dx value $2\sigma$ away from the expected electron dE/dx. Finally, the yield extraction is varied by using polynomials of different orders as parametrization of the residual background in the invariant mass spectra and employing a sum of two Gaussian distributions with the same mean for the parametrization of the $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda+\overline{\Lambda}$ invariant mass yield.

The uncertainties associated with the determination of $v_n(p_T)$ are estimated by performing the analysis for positive and negative rapidities independently, thus increasing the pseudorapidity gap to $|\Delta \eta| > 2.8$; performing the analysis for $\pi^\pm$, K$^\pm$, and p+$\overline{p}$ for positive and negative charges independently; varying the $M_{d+d-}$ range over which eq. (2.3) is fitted; changing the $v_2^{bg}$ parametrization from a second-order polynomial to a linear or constant function.
4 Results and discussion

4.1 Centrality and \(p_T\) dependence of flow coefficients

The \(v_2(p_T)\) of \(\pi^\pm, K^\pm, p+\bar{p}, K_0^0,\) and \(\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}\) is presented in figure 2 for various centrality intervals in the 0–60% range. The measured \(v_2\) of all particle species, being mainly driven by the collision geometry, increases strongly with decreasing centrality up to the 40–50% centrality interval. This evolution is expected since \(v_2\) scales approximately linearly with the eccentricity of the overlap zone of the colliding nuclei [10]. For the 50–60% centrality class, the value of \(v_2\) is similar to that measured in the previous centrality interval within uncertainties, which is expected due to a shorter lifetime of the system in more peripheral collisions. This together with the reduced contribution of eccentricity fluctuations and hadronic interactions inhibit the generation of large \(v_2\) [62, 63]. The \(v_2(p_T)\) increases up to \(p_T \sim 3–4\) GeV/c, where a maximum is reached, and then decreases with increasing \(p_T\). The position of this maximum depends weakly on centrality and is located at smaller \(p_T\) for lighter compared to heavier particles, over the various centrality intervals studied. The observed phenomenon finds an explanation in the changes in parton density and the centrality dependence of radial flow [32], which will be detailed in section 4.3. The evolution of \(v_2\) with \(p_T\) and centrality is similar to that reported in Pb-Pb collisions [30–32].

Unlike \(v_2\), the third-order flow coefficient \(v_3\) originates from event-by-event fluctuations in the initial nucleon density distribution [5–9]. A stronger decrease of \(v_3\) compared to \(v_2\) is expected due to the dampening effect of \(\eta/s\), which implies that \(v_3\) is more sensitive to transport coefficients than \(v_2\) [11, 12]. The limited size of the Xe-Xe data sample does not allow for \(v_3\) to be measured accurately in the centrality intervals used for \(v_2\). Therefore, these measurements have been combined in larger centrality classes using the \(p_T\)-differential
Figure 3. Centrality dependence of $v_3(p_T)$ for $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

yields [64] as weights. Figure 3 presents the $v_3(p_T)$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ for the 0–10%, 10–30%, and 30–50% centrality intervals. The measured $v_3$ is non-zero, positive for most of the $p_T$ ranges and increases with $p_T$ up to 3–4 GeV/c. The coefficient $v_3$ shows a weak centrality dependence with a magnitude significantly smaller than that of $v_2$, except for the 0–10% centrality interval. These findings illustrate that $v_3$ originates from fluctuations of the initial geometry of the system.

Figure 4 shows comparisons of the $v_2(p_T)$ for all particle species in a given centrality interval arranged into panels of various centrality classes. For $p_T < 2–3$ GeV/c, $v_2$ of the different particle species exhibits a mass ordering, meaning that heavier particles have a smaller $v_2$ than that of lighter particles at the same $p_T$. This behaviour can be attributed to the interplay of elliptic flow with radial flow which imposes an isotropic velocity boost equal for all particles, thus pushing heavier particles towards higher $p_T$ [28, 29]. For $3 < p_T < 8$ GeV/c, the $v_2$ of baryons becomes larger than that of mesons, indicating that the particle type dependence persists out to high $p_T$. This grouping according to the number of constituent quarks supports the hypothesis of particle production via quark coalescence [38]. The crossing between meson and baryon $v_2$ depends on particle species and centrality, occurring at lower $p_T$ values for peripheral than central collisions as a result of the smaller radial flow in the former. Comparing the $K^\pm$ and $K^0_S$ $v_2$, there is a hint of $v_2^{K^\pm} < v_2^{K^0_S}$ in the 0–10% centrality range, while the measurements are compatible within statistical uncertainties in the 10–60% centrality interval. One should note that a difference in $v_2(p_T)$ of $K^\pm$ and $K^0_S$ was reported by ALICE in Pb-Pb collisions [30, 32].

Figure 5 presents the $v_3(p_T)$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ in a given centrality interval. The $v_3$ of different particle species is mass ordered at $p_T < 2–3$ GeV/c, indicating the interplay between triangular and radial flow. For $3 < p_T < 6$ GeV/c, the $p+\bar{p}$ $v_3$ is slightly larger than that of $\pi^\pm$. The crossing between $v_3$ values of pions and protons shows a weak centrality dependence.

4.2 Scaling properties

Scaling with the number of constituent quarks (NCQ) of $v_n$ has been suggested to test the hypothesis of particle production via quark coalescence at intermediate $p_T$, which would lead to a meson and baryon $v_n$ grouping [38–40]. This can be achieved by dividing both $v_n$
Figure 4. The $p_T$-differential $v_2$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K_{S0}^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ in a given centrality interval. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

Figure 5. The $p_T$-differential $v_3$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, and $p+\bar{p}$ in a given centrality interval. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.
Figure 6. The $p_T/n_q$ dependence of $v_2/n_q$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ for various centrality classes. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

and $p_T$ by the number of constituent quarks ($n_q$) independently for each particle species. Figures 6 and 7 present the $v_2/n_q$ and $v_3/n_q$ as function of $p_T/n_q$ for $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$, for various centrality classes. For $1 < p_T/n_q < 3$ GeV/$c$, the region where quark coalescence is hypothesized to be the dominant process [38, 39], a deviation from the exact scaling of $\pm$ 20% is found for $v_2$, similar to the one reported in Pb-Pb collisions [30–32]. This deviation is quantified by dividing the $p_T/n_q$ dependence of $v_2/n_q$ by a cubic spline fit to the $p+\bar{p}$ $v_2/n_q$. The scaling for $v_3$ seems to hold within the relatively large uncertainties.

4.3 Shape evolution of $v_2(p_T)$ as function of centrality

The centrality dependence of the shape evolution of $v_2(p_T)$ is studied as in ref. [32] by choosing the $v_2$ measured in the 20–30% centrality interval as reference. It is quantified by dividing the $v_2(p_T)$ in a given centrality interval by this reference and denoted as $v_2(p_T)$ratio to 20–30% in the following. The ratio of the $p_T$-integrated $v_2$ value obtained in
the 20–30% centrality interval to that in the centrality interval of interest is used as a normalization factor in order for \( v_2(p_T)_{\text{ratio}} \) to 20–30% to be unity in the absence of centrality-dependent variations. The shape evolution of elliptic flow for \( \pi^\pm, K^\pm, p+\bar{p}, \) and inclusive charged hadrons (the latter taken from ref. [22]) is presented in figure 8. Variations in shape of about 10% are observed for inclusive charged hadrons throughout the considered \( p_T \) range within uncertainties. The evolution of the shape of the \( v_2(p_T) \) shows different trends for \( \pi^\pm, K^\pm, \) and \( p+\bar{p} \) for \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) and no particle type dependence within uncertainties for \( p_T \geq 2 \text{ GeV}/c \). The variations are more pronounced for \( p+\bar{p} v_2(p_T)_{\text{ratio}} \) to 20–30%, reaching around 60% at low \( p_T \) in peripheral collisions. The elliptic flow of \( K^\pm \) varies up to 40% for \( p_T < 1 \text{ GeV}/c \), while the \( v_2(p_T)_{\text{ratio}} \) to 20–30% of \( \pi^\pm \) follows the results for inclusive charged particles. Radial flow and transverse quark density should play important roles in this mass dependence for \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) as both depend on centrality, having larger values in central than peripheral collisions. The latter influences the peak value of \( v_n(p_T) \) in the coalescence model [65], while the effect of the former on \( v_n \) of heavier particles is greater than on the lighter particles at low \( p_T \).

An alternative way of quantifying the shape of the \( v_2(p_T) \) is the position of the maximum \( v_2 \). It is expected to be located at higher \( p_T \) in central than peripheral collisions as the quark density depends on centrality. Its centrality dependence, quantified by the \( p_T \) where \( v_2(p_T) \) reaches a maximum divided by the number of constituent quarks \( n_q \), is reported in figure 9 for \( \pi^\pm \) and \( p+\bar{p} \). The \( K^\pm, K^0_S, \) and \( \Lambda+\bar{\Lambda} \) are not included since the kinematic range and granularity of the measurements do not allow for a reliable extraction of a maximum. The \( p_T/n_q \) at which \( v_2(p_T) \) reaches a maximum, denoted as \( p_T|_{v_2^{\text{max}}} \), shows a weak centrality dependence with a decreasing trend from central to peripheral collisions. This behavior is expected from the hypothesis of hadronization through coalescence where an increase in the transverse density of quarks, as in more central collisions, results in a higher value of \( p_T|_{v_2^{\text{max}}} \) [65]. The observed \( p_T|_{v_2^{\text{max}}} \) is compatible between \( \pi^\pm \) and \( p+\bar{p} \) for all centrality intervals within uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties presented in figure 9 are evaluated directly on \( p_T|_{v_2^{\text{max}}} \) to accurately take into account that some systematic uncertainties can be point-by-point correlated in \( p_T \).

If \( v_2 \) exhibits a power law dependence on \( p_T^2 \) up to \( p_T \sim M \) for particles with mass \( M \) as in the scenario of ideal hydrodynamics [66], ratios of the form \( |v_2|^{1/2}/p_T \) should

![Figure 7](https://example.com/image7.png) The \( p_T/n_q \) dependence of \( v_3/n_q \) of \( \pi^\pm, K^\pm, \) and \( p+\bar{p} \) for various centrality classes. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.
be constant. Previous measurements performed by ALICE in Pb-Pb collisions [32] have shown that the $v_2 \propto p_T^2$ scaling is broken for $\pi^\pm$ and the inclusive charged particles for all centrality intervals. However, this scaling holds up to $p_T \approx 1\text{ GeV}/c$ for $K^\pm$ and $K^0_S$, and up to $p_T \approx 2\text{ GeV}/c$ for $p+\bar{p}$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ for central and semicentral collisions [32]. It should be noted, however, that the kinematic constraints imposed on the measurement preclude testing the scaling hypothesis in the full relevant momentum region for $\pi^\pm$ and the inclusive charged particles. Figure 10 shows $|v_2|^{1/2}/p_T$ for inclusive charged particles [22], $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K^0_S$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ as a function of $p_T$ in various centrality intervals. The ratios $|v_2|^{1/2}/p_T$ show a strong $p_T$ dependence for $\pi^\pm$ and the inclusive charged particles, while they exhibit a weak (if any) $p_T$ dependence up to $p_T \approx 1\text{ GeV}/c$ for $K^\pm$ and $K^0_S$, and up to $p_T \approx 2\text{ GeV}/c$ for $p+\bar{p}$ and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ for the 0–5% and 10–20% centrality intervals.

Figure 8. Centrality dependence of $v_2(p_T)$ ratio to 20–30% for $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+\bar{p}$, and inclusive charged hadrons ($h^\pm$) [22]. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.
Figure 9. Centrality dependence of $p_T|v_{2}^{\text{max}}$ for $\pi^{\pm}$ and $p+\bar{p}$ divided by number of constituent quarks, $n_q$. The $p+\bar{p}$ points are slightly shifted along the horizontal axis for better visibility. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

Figure 10. $|v_2|^{1/2}/p_T$ of inclusive charged hadrons ($h^{\pm}$) [22], $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K_0^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ as function of $p_T$ for various centrality intervals. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

4.4 Comparison with hydrodynamic calculations

Figure 11 presents the $p_T$-differential $v_2$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, and $p+\bar{p}$ for various centrality intervals compared with predictions from MUSIC hydrodynamic simulations [67]. MUSIC [68], an event-by-event 3+1 dimensional viscous hydrodynamic model, uses the IP-Glasma model [69, 70] to describe the initial conditions of the collision and is coupled to a hadronic cascade model (UrQMD) [71, 72], which allows one to study the influence of the hadronic phase on the development of anisotropic flow for different particle species. The starting time for the hydrodynamic evolution and the switching energy between hydrodynamics and the microscopic transport evolution are set to $\tau_0 = 0.4\text{ fm}/c$ and $e_{\text{sw}} = 0.18\text{ GeV/fm}^3$, respectively. A value of $\eta/s = 0.12$ and a temperature dependent $\zeta/s$ are also employed in this model. It should be noted that these parameters do not depend on collision system or centrality.

Figure 11 shows that the MUSIC calculations qualitatively reproduce the mass ordering. The predictions are in agreement with the measured $v_2(p_T)$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, and $p+\bar{p}$ for $p_T < 1\text{ GeV}/c$, while they overestimate the data points at higher $p_T$. However, the $v_2$ of
Figure 11. The $p_T$-differential $v_2$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+p$ for various centrality classes compared to hydrodynamic calculations from MUSIC model using IP-Glasma initial conditions (colored curves) [67]. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The uncertainties of the hydrodynamic calculations are depicted by the thickness of the curves. The ratios of the measured $v_2$ to a fit to the hydrodynamic calculations are also presented for clarity.

$p+p$ is more accurately described than that of $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ for $p_T \geq 1$ GeV/$c$ in all centrality intervals. A better agreement with the data points is found in central than in peripheral collisions. The differences between the data points and model are also illustrated in figure 11 as the ratios of the measured $v_2$ to a fit to the theoretical calculations.

**4.5 Comparison with $v_n$ of identified particles in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV**

As mentioned in section 1, the initial state models and transport properties can be further constrained by comparing anisotropic flow coefficients measured in Xe-Xe collisions with those from Pb-Pb collisions. Figures 12 and 13 show the $v_2(p_T)$ and $v_3(p_T)$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, $p+p$, $K_S^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ compared with ALICE measurements performed in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [32] for various centrality intervals. The $v_n$ coefficients from Pb-Pb collisions were measured employing the same procedure as described in section 2, resulting in similar non-flow contributions to $v_n$. Ratios of the measurements presented in this paper to a cubic spline fit to the ones performed in Pb-Pb collisions are also given in the figures for each presented centrality interval. The uncertainties in these ratios are obtained by summing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb measurements in quadrature, and propagating the obtained uncertainties as uncorrelated.
Figure 12. The $p_T$-differential $v_2$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, $K^{\pm}$, $p+\bar{p}$, $K_S^0$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ (red markers) compared to ALICE measurements performed in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV [32] (black markers) for the 0–5% (top panels), 10–20% (middle panels), and 40–50% (bottom panels) centrality intervals. The ratios of Xe-Xe measurements to a cubic spline fit to Pb-Pb measurements are also presented for clarity. The colored curves represent hydrodynamic calculations from MUSIC model using IP-Glasma initial conditions [67]. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The uncertainties of the hydrodynamic calculations are depicted by the thickness of the curves.
Figure 13. The $p_T$-differential $v_3$ of $\pi^\pm$, $K^\pm$, and $p+\bar{p}$ (black markers) compared to ALICE measurements performed in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02\text{ TeV}$ [32] (red markers) for the 0–10% (top panels), 10–30% (middle panels), and 30–50% (bottom panels) centrality classes. The ratios of Xe-Xe measurements to a cubic spline fit to Pb-Pb measurements are also presented for clarity. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.

The $v_n$ coefficients at low $p_T$ are expected to be smaller in Pb-Pb collisions than the corresponding Xe-Xe results due to a larger radial flow in the former, an effect which would be most pronounced in central collisions and for heavier particles. However, the $v_2$ of all particle species in Xe-Xe collisions is systematically above that from Pb-Pb in the entire $p_T$ range in the 0–5% centrality class. The ratios do not depend significantly on $p_T$ and particle species within uncertainties, showing $\sim 37\%$ larger Xe-Xe values. In terms of the initial state, two effects can be responsible for this behaviour. The first relates to the fact that the $^{208}$Pb nucleus is spherical while the $^{129}$Xe nucleus is deformed with parameters of the nuclear-charge density distribution not yet measured directly but extrapolated from neighboring isotopes or predicted (the deformation parameter $\beta_2$ is predicted to be 0.162 in ref. [75] and extrapolated to 0.18 $\pm$ 0.02 in ref. [54]). The second involves initial-state fluctuations being proportional to $A^{-1/2}$ [76], where $A$ is the mass number, and the dependence of $\varepsilon_n\{2\}$ on the number of sources contributing to it which decreases when the number of sources increases [76, 77]. These effects imply larger values of $\varepsilon_2\{2\}$ for central Xe-Xe collisions than central Pb-Pb collisions, which in turn induce larger $v_2$. However, viscosity is expected to be larger for Xe-Xe collisions as it is proportional to $A^{-1/3}$ [78] which will decrease $v_2$ [79]. For the 10–20% centrality interval, the measurements are compatible within uncertainties for the different particle species although a possible suppression of
p+\bar{p} v_2 from Pb-Pb collisions can be seen for p_T < 1.5 GeV/c. For the 40–50% centrality class, no differences are observed between the K_0^0 and \Lambda+\bar{\Lambda} v_2(p_T) measured in the two systems within uncertainties, while the v_2 of \pi^\pm, K^\pm, and p+\bar{p} from Xe-Xe collisions is \sim 8% lower than the corresponding Pb-Pb results. This difference is almost independent of p_T within uncertainties although a possible gradual decrease with increasing p_T up to 2 GeV/c can be seen for p+\bar{p}. The larger v_2 values in Pb-Pb collisions might be explained by viscous effects related to the different radial flow and transverse size of the systems since the \varepsilon_2{2} coefficients are similar in this centrality interval (differences within 1%) [25, 26]. Although v_3 is expected to be larger in Xe-Xe compared to Pb-Pb due to larger values of \varepsilon_3{2} in the same centrality interval [25, 26], the precision of the results does not allow for conclusions to be drawn. The ratios are close to 1 with no significant p_T dependence within uncertainties, except for \pi^\pm and p+\bar{p} v_3 for p_T < 2 GeV/c in the 0–10% centrality class.

The v_2(p_T) of \pi^\pm, K^\pm, and p+\bar{p} measured in Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions is also compared with MUSIC hydrodynamic calculations [67] in figure 12. It is worth noting that these calculations employ the same parameters for Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions (see section 4.4). The Pb-Pb calculations show similar trends to those reported for Xe-Xe collisions: they are in agreement with the measurements for p_T < 1 GeV/c and overestimate the data points at higher p_T. However, the MUSIC Xe-Xe/Pb-Pb v_2 ratios quantitatively reproduce the ones of the measurements up to p_T = 3 GeV/c. This points to similar differences between the data points and model for both systems. Two potential sources might be responsible for this behavior: improper \delta f corrections, which are introduced in hydrodynamic models to account for non equilibrium processes at freeze-out and are highly model dependent [80], or sub-optimal tunes of \eta/s and \zeta/s.

Figure 14 shows the value of \left|p_T \right|_{v_2} for \pi^\pm and p+\bar{p} and compares these to the ALICE measurements performed in Pb-Pb collisions at \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 TeV [32] as function of centrality (left) and charged-particle density (right) [73, 74]. The Pb-Pb points are slightly shifted along the horizontal axis for better visibility in both panels. Bars (boxes) denote statistical (systematic) uncertainties.
centrality and charged-particle density [73, 74]. For all centrality intervals, the $p_{T}|v_{2\text{max}}$ of p+p has similar values in the two collision systems, within uncertainties. The $p_{T}|v_{2\text{max}}$ of $\pi^{\pm}$ is slightly lower in Xe-Xe collisions in the 5–40% centrality range. This can be attributed to a different quark density and radial flow at the same centrality in the two systems. Indeed, the $p_{T}|v_{2\text{max}}$ is the same in Xe-Xe and Pb-Pb collisions for the different particle species within uncertainties when reported as function of charged-particle density.

5 Summary

The elliptic and triangular flow coefficients of $\pi^{\pm}$, K$^{\pm}$, p+p, K$_{S}^{0}$, and $\Lambda+\bar{\Lambda}$ were measured in Xe-Xe collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44$ TeV. The magnitude of $v_{2}$ increases strongly with decreasing centrality up to the 40–50% centrality interval for all particle species, while $v_{3}$ shows a weak centrality dependence with a smaller increase than for $v_{2}$. This indicates that collision geometry dominates the generation of elliptic flow while triangular flow is generated by event-by-event fluctuations in the initial nucleon and gluon densities. For $p_{T} < 3$ GeV/c, the $v_{n}$ coefficients show a mass ordering which can be attributed to the interplay between anisotropic flow and radial flow. In this transverse momentum range, MUSIC hydrodynamic calculations reproduce the measured $v_{2}$ of $\pi^{\pm}$, K$^{\pm}$, and p+p for $p_{T} < 1$ GeV/c. At intermediate transverse momenta ($3 < p_{T} < 8$ GeV/c), the baryon $v_{n}$ has a magnitude larger than that of mesons, indicating that the particle type dependence persists up to high $p_{T}$. Furthermore, particles show an approximate grouping by the number of constituent quarks at the level of ±20% for $v_{2}$. The centrality dependence of the shape evolution of $v_{2}(p_{T})$ is different for $\pi^{\pm}$, K$^{\pm}$, and p+p for $p_{T} < 2$ GeV/c, being more pronounced for p+p, but shows no particle type dependence within uncertainties for $p_{T} \geq 2$ GeV/c. Comparing these measurements to those from Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, $v_{2}$ is larger in central collisions at the same centrality and it has smaller value in peripheral collisions.
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