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ABSTRACT

Background: Given the importance of neutralising antibodies in protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection, it is critical to assess neutralisation persistence long-term following recovery. This study investigated neutralisation titres against SARS-CoV-2 up to 6 months post-symptom onset in individuals with mild COVID-19.

Methods: Plasma neutralisation titres in convalescent COVID-19 individuals were determined at baseline and 6 months post-symptom onset using a cell culture infectious SARS-CoV-2 assay. Total SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific IgG and IgA binding was measured using a lectin capture ELISA and compared between timepoints and correlated to neutralising titres.

Findings: All 48 convalescent COVID-19 individuals were found to have detectable SARS-CoV-2 50% inhibitory dilution neutralisation titres (ID50) at baseline and 6 months post-symptom onset with mean ID50 of 1/943 and 1/411, respectively. SARS-CoV-2 neutralisation titres peaked within 1-2 months post-symptom onset. However, 50% of individuals showed comparable ID50 at baseline and 6 months post-symptom onset. Both SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific IgG and IgA levels correlated well with neutralising titres. IgG binding was found to be sustained up to 6 months post-symptom onset, whereas IgA levels declined.

Interpretation: This study demonstrates durability of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific IgG and neutralisation responses following recovery from mild COVID-19. Thus, all subjects included in this study might potentially have protective levels of neutralising antibodies 6 months post-symptom onset. This study also demonstrates a relationship between spike-specific IgA and neutralisation decline, with implications for long-term protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Funding: Novo Nordisk Foundation, Independent Research Fund Denmark and Danish Agency for Science and Higher Education.
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2. Introduction

The ongoing pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has affected over 100 million people and resulted in millions of deaths, worldwide [1]. The associated disease COVID-19 appears to have a broad spectrum of clinical syndromes, ranging from asymptomatic to mild flu-like symptoms to severe respiratory distress requiring respiratory support [2]. However, 85% of the infected individuals only report mild symptoms, which do not require hospitalization [2].

The SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome encodes 4 structural proteins, of which the spike (S) protein is the most immunodominant protein for neutralising antibody (nAb) responses [3]. These nAbs directed to the S protein are important for viral clearance and are a correlate for protection from infection/reinfection in animal challenge models and human vaccine trials [4-7]. It is thought that most S-directed nAbs block the virus from interacting with the target host receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), particularly by interacting with
Research in context

Evidence before this study
Publications on longitudinal neutralisation in SARS-CoV-2 infection were searched in MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase and the WHO global research database using the search terms “severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “COVID-19”, “longitudinal”, “neutralization/neutralisation”, “antibody”, which were published in English up to 31 March 2021. Publications in medRxiv and BioRxiv were not included due to lack of peer-review. A total of eight published studies were found, which assessed longitudinal neutralising antibodies following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Common limitations amongst these studies was the use of neutralisation assays using pseudotyped or surrogate models, limited follow up after SARS-CoV-2 infection and cohort size. Furthermore, most of these studies were limited to analysis of receptor binding domain antibodies and do not assess neutralisation targeting the whole spike protein or other SARS-CoV-2 proteins.

Added value of this study
This longitudinal study provides a biologically relevant analysis of neutralising antibody titres up to 6 months post-SARS-CoV-2 symptom onset, through the use of infectious whole SARS-CoV-2 neutralisation assays. All participants included in this study were found to have detectable neutralising titres 6 months post-symptom onset. Furthermore, IgG and IgA antibodies were assessed against whole SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and were found to correlate well with neutralising titres. While spike-specific IgG levels were sustained longitudinally, spike-specific IgA levels were found to wane and correlated with declining neutralising titres.

Implications of all the available evidence
Neutralising titres following SARS-CoV-2 infection follow dynamics similar to that of other acute viral infections, including that of other human coronavirus infections, and remain at significant levels 6 months post-symptom onset. These results would imply that immunity to SARS-CoV-2 does not rapidly wane, and individuals may be protected for at least 6 months post-symptom onset. These results also have important implications for longevity of vaccine-induced neutralising antibodies against SARS-CoV-2.

the receptor binding domain (RBD) within the S protein [3]. For most typical acute viral infections, including that of closely related human coronaviruses SARS-CoV and Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), nAb responses peak at 1-month post-symptom onset and wane to a level that is sustained longitudinally [8-10].

Limited studies on the longevity of antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 indicate that, although overall antibody responses are maintained, nAbs follow the same pattern as typical acute viral infections [11-19]. Although advantageous due to the non-requirement of a high-level biosafety level facility, most of these studies are limited by the use of spike surrogate or pseudotyped neutralisation assays, which might not fully reflect neutralisation to the same extent as the assays performed with infectious virus [20], possibly due to absence of other nAb targets such as the nucleocapsid (N) [16], envelope (E) or membrane (M) proteins. Additionally, most of these studies have followed their participants for <6 months [12-15,18], thus only representing a short time after symptom onset. Understanding the longevity of nAb responses is considered of high importance, especially considering that nAb induction is the primary goal of many of the vaccines currently being administered globally.

Here, we assessed neutralisation longitudinally up to 6 months post-symptom onset against a cultured SARS-CoV-2 isolate in 48 convalescent individuals with mild COVID-19. Concordant to this, total immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgA levels were measured using an S protein-specific Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), which was compared longitudinally and to neutralising titres. This study offers important information regarding the longevity of antibody responses and virus neutralisation after SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals with mild COVID-19, the most likely infection scenario, which may have important implications for protection against reinfection and for vaccine-induced nAbs.

3. Methods

3.1. Study cohort

From 15 April 2020 to 1 February 2021, individuals who recovered from mild SARS-CoV-2 infection (defined as the non-requirement of hospitalization), and healthy individuals were recruited into the Clinical, Virological and Immunological COVID-19 (CVIC) study at Department of Infectious Diseases, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark. Subjects with mild COVID-19 (defined by the non-requirement of hospitalization or therapeutic intervention) were enrolled based on the inclusion criteria: ≥18 years of age, confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection from routine polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and/or antibody testing, recovered from symptoms for ≥1 week, and able to speak and read adequate Danish to provide written informed consent and to participate in the study interview. During the interview with a research nurse or a physician, included subjects were required to report on gender, year of birth, country of origin to determine ethnicity, possible way of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, date of symptom onset, duration (days) and type of COVID-19 symptoms. Only participants that had a 6-month sample collected (approximately 180 days after symptom onset) were selected for this study. The same criteria and interview were used for recruitment of healthy controls at Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark, with the exception that they had not exhibited COVID-19-like symptoms since March 2020. Only healthy participants that had been screened for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies through the WANTAI SARS-CoV-2 antibody ELISA (see below) were selected for this study. A summary of participant recruitment is shown in supplementary figure (S Fig) 1. Blood was collected in EDTA at baseline, and for individuals who recovered from mild SARS-CoV-2 infection also 6 months post-symptom onset, and processed using Ficoll density grade separation to isolate and store plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) at -80°C and -150°C, respectively.

3.2. Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Committee (H-20025872) and Data Protection Agency (P-2020-357), respectively, and was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines. Written informed consent was provided by all subjects and study data were collected and managed using research electronic data capture (REDCap) tools hosted at Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark [21].

3.3. Neutralisation assay

The SARS-CoV-2 isolate used in Vero E6 cell-culture experiments was obtained from an individual presenting with COVID-19 at Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark in April 2020, as previously described [22]. The sequence of this virus can be found in GenBank (accession number M2049597). Neutralisation experiments
were performed by adding virus from Vero E6 cell-culture supernatants (multiplicity of infection [MOI] of 0.01 for 104 cells [virus titration shown in S Fig 2]) to serially diluted plasma (heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min) from individuals with mild COVID-19 at a 1:1 ratio and incubated at room temperature for 1h. As a negative control, pooled plasma (heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min) from 5 healthy individuals was included in each assay. A mouse derived SARS-CoV-2 spike neutralising antibody (Sino Biological #40592-MM57, RRID: AB_2857935) was used as a positive control. Following 1h incubation, plasma/virus and antibody/virus complexes were then added to Vero E6 cells (RRID: CVCL_0574) seeded the day before (10⁴ cells/well; Corning white BioCoat™ Poly-D lysine coated plates, cat #: 354651) in quadruplicate. After 48 hours incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂, the plates were washed and 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB; Sigma/Aldrich, cat #: R0278) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (signal/noise ratio > 1.0) were considered positive. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

3.4. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

Qualitative assessment of the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RBD total antibody was done using the WANTAI SARS-CoV-2 antibody ELISA (Beijing Wantai, cat#: 256-WS-1096-96) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Undiluted and non-heat inactivated plasma was used for this assay. Specimens that gave an absorbance value greater than the cut off value (signal/noise ratio > 1.0) were considered positive.

Quantitative assessment of SARS-CoV-2 S-specific antibodies was done using an in house developed ELISA. Spike protein was made by transfecting a pCG1 plasmid containing a codon-optimized spike sequence (plasmid kindly provided by Dr. Markus Hoffman [24]) into HEK293T cells (RRID: CVCL_0063) using a calcium phosphate transfection kit (Takara Bio, cat#: 631312) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Three days after transfection, the cells were collected, lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Sigma/Aldrich, cat#: R0278) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100 dilution; Sigma/Aldrich, cat#: P8340) and stored at -80°C. To capture the S protein in the cell lysate, NUNC Maxisorp plates (Sigma/Aldrich, cat#: M9410) were coated with 250 ug/well of Galanthus nivalis (GNA) lectin (Medicago, cat#: L8275) and incubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours. The plates were washed with PBS twice.
3.7. Validation of cell lines, antibodies and reagents

All cell lines, antibodies and reagents included in this study were purchased/gifted from. Sino Biological #40592-MM57 (RRID: AB_2857935) has been previously validated for use in neutralization and binding to S protein by others [25]. GE Healthcare #NA931V (RRID: AB_772210) has been validated for use as a secondary antibody by others [26]. Jackson ImmunoResearch #209-035-088 (RRID: AB_2339088) has been previously validated in ELISA [27]. Jackson ImmunoResearch #109-035-011 (RRID: AB_2337580) has been previously validated in ELISA by others [28]. These cell lines, antibodies and reagents were not further validated in our laboratory.

4. Results

4.1. Participant characteristics

The CVIC study has enrolled 102 individuals with mild COVID-19 and 97 healthy individuals. At the time of this study’s initiation, 48/102 (47%) individuals with mild COVID-19 (45 [94%] diagnosed through PCR screening and 3 [6%] diagnosed by a rapid antibody test), who were enrolled during April through September 2020, had a baseline timepoint sample (BL; median time post-symptom onset=49 days [IQR=29-86]) and a follow-up timepoint sample at 6 months (6M; median time post-symptom onset=186 days [IQR=182-192]) collected, with a median of 127 days (IQR=101-154) between BL and 6M timepoints. Baseline timepoints were stratified into ≤1 month (≤1M; 14-30 days post-symptom onset), 1-2M (31-60 days), 2-3 M (61-90 days) and >3M (91-160 days). All reported COVID-19 symptom onset was between 23 March 2020 – 15 July 2020. The median duration of symptoms was 14 days (IQR=6-16). The most common symptoms were fatigue (n=35, 73%), fever (n=34, 71%) and headache (n=32, 67%) with a median of 5 (IQR=4-8) reported symptoms. Of these 48 subjects, 12 (25%) were males and 36 (75%) were females. The median age was 39 years (IQR=29-51) and all were Caucasian. Of these healthy individuals, 13 (31%) were males and 36 (75%) were females. Forty of the 42 (95%) were Caucasian, 1 (2%) was Hispanic and 1 (2.5%) was Middle Eastern and the median age was 34 (IQR=29-46). All included participants were screened using the WANTAI test, which is a highly sensitive ELISA that detects SARS-CoV-2 RBD-specific total antibody. Of all the included participants in this study, 48/48 (100%) with mild COVID-19 and 1/2 (5%) healthy control tested positive. This healthy control (H-40) was excluded from further analysis. A summary of subject data can be found in Table 1. More detailed summaries of SARS-CoV-2 infected, and healthy individuals can be found in Supplementary (S) Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

4.2. Neutralisation titres against SARS-CoV-2 virus initially decrease and are then sustained longitudinally

All subjects demonstrated neutralisation activity at both timepoints (Figure 1a; mean BL ID₅₀=1/943 and mean 6M ID₅₀=1/411), with three subjects (6%; M-04, M-08 and M-30) demonstrating very high neutralisation titres (ID₅₀=1/9000) at BL. Overall, the longitudinal nAb responses could be categorized into three distinct dynamic patterns i.e. decreased (defined as >100 ID₅₀ decrease), unchanged (defined as ΔID₅₀ between 100 to -100) or increased neutralisation titres (defined as >100 ID₅₀ increase). These definitions were set based on the degree of variation between assays. Over the 6-month period, 21/48 (44%) individuals showed a loss of neutralising titres, 24/48 (50%) showed unchanged neutralising titres and 3/48 (6%) showed higher neutralising titres (Figure 1b). Of those that had decreased neutralising titres, 17/21 (81%) had their BL sample collected within 2 months of symptom onset. Of those that had unchanged neutralising titres, only 6/24 (25%) had their BL sample collected within 2 months of symptom onset. Upon follow up with the three subjects that showed boosted neutralisation titres (M-3, M-41 and M-57), all reported potential re-exposure to SARS-CoV-2 but were not confirmed to have re-infection in PCR testing. When neutralising titres were compared between BL and 6M, there was an overall significant decrease at 6M (Figure 1c; p=0.045; paired parametric t test). However, when the subjects were stratified based on the collection time post-symptom onset (<1M, 1-2M, 2-3M and >3M), it was only samples collected ≤1M that had a significant difference to their 6M timepoint (Figure 1c; p=0.0046, Wilcoxon T test). All other stratified comparisons did not reach significant when compared to their 6M timepoint (Figure 1c; p=0.05, Wilcoxon T tests). It is important to note that exclusion of the potentially re-exposed subject (M-3) in the 1-2M group renders the comparison to the 6M timepoint statistically significant (p=0.0049, Wilcoxon T test).

When BL samples were analysed, there was a significant trend for lower neutralisation titres with samples collected further from their symptom onset date (Figure 2a; β=-0.0057, 95% CI= -0.0095- -0.0018, p=0.0045). Even after removal of the three subjects that demonstrated high neutralising titres (M-04, M-08 and M-30), which were sampled closer to symptom onset, this trend remained significant (β=-0.0036, 95% CI=-0.0063–0.00092, p=0.0096; data not shown). Stratification of subjects based on their collection time post-

### Table 1

Summary of characteristics for 48 subjects with mild COVID-19 and 42 healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Subjects with mild COVID-19 (n=48)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), years</td>
<td>39 (29-51)</td>
<td>34 (29-46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 (25)</td>
<td>13 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>36 (75)</td>
<td>29 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>40 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection confirmation, n positive (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic PCR test</td>
<td>45 (94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic antibody test</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WANTAI test</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>1 (2%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms, median (IQR), days</td>
<td>14 (8-16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline timepoint, median (IQR), days</td>
<td>49 (20-86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month timepoint, median (IQR), days</td>
<td>186 (182-192)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days between timepoints, median (IQR), days</td>
<td>127 (101-154)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>35 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>34 (71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>32 (67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>30 (63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>28 (58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat</td>
<td>20 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain</td>
<td>20 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
<td>18 (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal congestion</td>
<td>17 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anosmia</td>
<td>17 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>11 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting/Nausea</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of symptoms, median (IQR)</td>
<td>5 (4-8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission route, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure at work</td>
<td>26 (55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>8 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social activity</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choir activity</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household member</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluded from further analysis (H-40).
Symptom onset showed that there were significant differences between ≤1M samples and 2-3M samples (Figure 2; p<0.012, Tukey’s honest test) and ≤1M samples and >3M samples (Figure 2; p<0.040, Tukey’s honest test) when compared to group ≤1M (grey). No other significant differences were detected. Median and IQR are plotted. ns=not significant (p>0.05). See also legend to Figure 1 for definition of stratified groups.

**4.3. Higher neutralising titres against SARS-CoV-2 at 6 months post-symptom onset may be associated with a more symptomatic disease**

Given that collection of the 6M timepoint was time-matched for each participant, this permitted a time-matched analysis of neutralisation titres at 6M to other variables. Demographically, no significant differences were observed between 6M neutralisation titres and gender (Figure 3a; p>0.05, Mann-Whitney U test) or age (Figure 3b; p>0.05, r²=0.0077; simple linear regression analysis). Moreover, no correlation was observed between the 6M neutralisation titres and the duration of symptoms (Figure 3c; p>0.05, r²=0.0034; simple linear regression analysis). However, there was a tendency for a higher number of symptoms being associated with higher 6M neutralising titres (Figure 3d; p=0.082, r²=0.064; simple linear regression analysis). In a multivariate analysis accounting for gender, age and duration of symptoms (calculated in R statistical software; data not shown), this result became significant (β=0.15, SD=0.069, p=0.034).
4.4. **Total spike-specific IgA but not IgG decreases longitudinally and is associated with changing neutralising titres**

As determined by healthy controls (n=41), the limit of detection for the ELISA was set to the mean ± 3SD for IgG (mean=0.032, SD=0.087, threshold set=0.3) and IgA (mean=0.0053, SD=0.010, threshold set=0.32). This threshold was used based on cut-offs set by others [29]. Based on these thresholds, 42/48 (88%) and 36/48 (75%) of samples had detectable levels of spike-specific IgG at BL and 6M, respectively (Figure 4a, S Fig 5). For IgA, 40/48 (83%) and 36/48 (75%) had detectable levels at BL and 6M, respectively (Figure 4a, S Fig 6). When the binding of spike-specific IgG and IgA was compared to the ID\(_{50}\) value for the same timepoint (n=96), a positive correlation was found for both isotypes (Figure 4b; IgG: p\(<\)0.0001, r\(^2\)=0.54; IgA: p\(<\)0.0015, r\(^2\)=0.10; simple linear regression analyses). All subjects were found to have either detectable IgG or IgA or both, indicating that the neutralisation observed is likely to originate from at least one or both isotypes (Figure 4c).

When compared longitudinally, no significant differences were found between BL and 6M timepoints in total IgG binding overall (p\(>\)0.05, paired parametric t test) or between stratified groups (i.e. ≤1M to 6M, 1-2M to 6M etc.; p\(>\)0.05, Wilcoxon T tests) (Figure 5a; p\(>\)0.05). For IgA, the 6M timepoint had significantly lower binding when compared to BL (Figure 5b; p\(<\)0.0019, paired parametric t test). After stratification, subjects in the ≤1M group were found to have the largest decline (Figure 5b: p\(<\)0.0051, Wilcoxon T test). Subjects in the 2-3M group also had a significant difference when compared to their 6M timepoint (Figure 5b: p\(<\)0.039, Wilcoxon T test). All other comparisons did not yield significance (p\(>\)0.05, Wilcoxon T tests).

To investigate if there was an association between the change in neutralising titres over time (ΔID\(_{50}\)) and either the change in IgG or IgA binding over time (ΔOD\(_{450}\)), these variables were compared (Figure 5c). For IgG, no significant correlation was observed (p=0.097, r\(^2\)=0.058; simple linear regression analysis). However, for IgA, a significant correlation was observed (p=0.043, r\(^2\)=0.085; simple linear regression analysis), indicating that the difference in neutralising titres between timepoints may be accounted for by the levels of spike-specific IgA.

5. Discussion

This study demonstrated sustained neutralising titres up to 6 months post-symptom onset in individuals presenting with mild COVID-19, using a SARS-CoV-2 virus isolate and not pseudotyped or surrogate models. In addition, this study identified a concurrent decline in nAb titres and IgA levels, but not IgG levels, suggesting that waning neutralising titres associate with spike-specific IgA. Importantly, the kinetics of nAb responses seen here are concordant with that of other longitudinal SARS-CoV-2 and human coronavirus studies [11-15,17-19], which may have been limited by either participant number [15,18] or the use of pseudotyped or surrogate models [11-15,17,19]. While this study, and others [11,30], show detectable neutralising titres 6 months post-symptom onset in all subjects, one study has reported some subjects with undetectable neutralisation at 6 months post-symptom onset [17], which may be somewhat artefactual, due to the use of a pseudotyped neutralisation assay with a truncated spike protein.

With mounting evidence of reduced SARS-CoV-2 cases due to the large rollout of current emergency use-approved (EUA) vaccines [31], it is clear that population-level protection can reduce the spread and burden of SARS-CoV-2 infection, which will contribute to controlling the ongoing pandemic. Currently, the minimum level of neutralising titres that are protective from SARS-CoV-2 infection/re-infection remains to be determined. For influenza, in vitro neutralising titres as low as 1/40 are considered high enough to be protective [32,33]. We observed that at the 6M timepoint post symptoms the neutralising titer was 1/59 (ID\(_{50}\): M-14) or higher among patients with mild COVID-19. However, one of the major challenges associated with protection from influenza infection, is the virus’s capability of evolving through antigenic drift and shift, enabling evasion of previous established immunity and permitting re-infection [34]. While antigenic shift is not likely for human coronaviruses, there is increasing
evidence of emerging antigenically drifted variants of SARS-CoV-2, which might have subverted neutralisation to natural or to vaccine-induced immunity [35,36]. Given that neutralisation to such variants was not measured in the present study, the estimated protection to the newly emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants could not be determined. Nevertheless, 3 subjects (M-3, M-41 and M-57) were observed to have boosted neutralising titres and IgG/IgA responses at 6 months post-symptom onset. Upon follow up with these individuals, all of whom are health care workers, they reported possible re-exposure to SARS-CoV-2 despite remaining PCR-negative following routine testing. While there is no definitive evidence of re-exposure, it is unlikely for individuals to have boosted immunity without re-exposure to the same antigen. Given that these individuals remained PCR-negative, this finding may suggest that they were protected from productive re-infection. With that said, the exact correlates of protective immunity to SARS-CoV-2 are not clear. In a recent study that followed up participants for less than 6 months, 5 reinfections of SARS-CoV-2 were documented from a cohort of 8758 people [37]. While all reported reinfections had relatively low neutralising titres (ID50 64 or less), the resulting severity of infection did not correlate with differences in their neutralising titres. Therefore, it is possible that in vitro neutralisation does not necessarily reflect protective immunity in vivo due to the requirement of other protective responses, such as T cell mediated immunity. While protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection specifically through T cell immunity has not been shown, the elicitation of long-lived memory-like T cells during primary infection would suggest they have a role in protective immunity against SARS-CoV-2 [38]. It is plausible that adequate protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection requires both robust antibody and T cell immunity to the challenging variant.
examined at the 6-month time-matched sample. From these analyses, a more symptomatic disease was found to be associated with higher neutralising titres. While a more symptomatic disease does not necessarily mean a more severe disease, it is interesting to note that higher neutralising titres have been reported in those with a more severe disease [15,18,20]. However, this study was limited by an uneven gender inclusion and a smaller sample size compared to most clinical cohorts. In turn, this limited demographic and clinical comparisons to neutralising data.

While the ELISA used in this study is not as sensitive as commercially available assays [39], there was clear evidence of spike-specific IgG and IgA in subjects with mild COVID-19 compared to healthy controls, which correlated well with neutralising titres. Similar to other studies [14,15,17,18], spike-specific IgG was not found to wane 6 months post-symptom onset and did not correlate with the overall declining neutralising titres. In contrast, spike-specific IgA was found to correlate with the overall decline in neutralising titres. This would suggest that spike-specific IgA responses are an important factor for
waning neutralising titres, which may have implications for vaccine strategies.

An important strength in this study is the use of infectious SARS-CoV-2 virus for neutralisation assays, and not pseudotyped or surrogate models. However, a limitation is the use of highly permissive African green monkey kidney cells (Vero E6), which although being the most used cell line for these types of assays, and thus allowing more comparable results between studies, lack expression of transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2), which is required for one of the entry pathways for SARS-CoV-2 [40]. Thus, the observed neutralisation might be limited to cathepsin-mediated ACE-2 entry only. Future studies beyond the scope of this study should address if there are any differences in neutralisation between Vero cells and lung epithelial cells [24].

In summary, this study shows that virus specific neutralising titres are sustained to detectable levels up to 6 months post-symptom onset in individuals with mild COVID-19. However, close monitoring of re-infection is warranted, especially given the significant propagation of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. This study also found that the change in neutralising titres correlated with the change of spike-specific IgA over time, highlighting the importance of this isotype for waning/boosted neutralising titres. In contrast, spike-specific IgG does not appear to wane following SARS-CoV-2 infection. Further studies using extended sampling beyond 6 months are required to assess the timepoint at which neutralising titres perhaps become undetectable. This will have implications for SARS-CoV-2 re-infection and indicate a time period for potential vaccine boosters.
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