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Discrepancies in global vitamin C recommendations: a review of RDA criteria and underlying health perspectives

Anitra C. Carr\textsuperscript{a} and Jens Lykkesfeldt\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}Nutrition in Medicine Research Group, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand; \textsuperscript{b}Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The concept of a ‘recommended dietary allowance’ (RDA) and similar terms describing the daily intake of essential nutrients recommended for healthy individuals is widely used by various health authorities around the world. For vitamin C, however, there remain significant discrepancies in the criteria used to establish dietary recommendations and consequently, global recommendations for daily vitamin C intake vary by more than five fold. While it appears that the scientific data underlying the recommendations are more or less the same, the interpretation differs considerably. Moreover, although a number of the assumptions used in e.g. the body pool estimates of the 1960s and 1970s have later been proven wrong and give rise to significant underestimations, these data are still used as the main support of several recommendations. Aspects that modify vitamin C requirements, such as gender, age, pregnancy, lactation, and smoking, have been taken into consideration by many but not all regulatory authorities, and are thus subject of debate. In contrast, body weight, a significant predictor of vitamin C status and requirement, has not been taken into consideration with respect to vitamin C recommendations, even in the face of the looming global obesity pandemic. The present review examines the discrepancies in vitamin C dietary recommendations of international authorities and critically discusses representative examples of criteria and the underlying health perspectives used to derive current recommended intakes of vitamin C. New biological signatures of vitamin C nutrure are also explored with regard to their potential use for future updates of dietary recommendations.

\textbf{Introduction}

The human need for vitamin C to prevent the ultimately fatal disease scurvy has been undisputed for almost a century. Nevertheless, the actual amount necessary for optimal health remains widely debated, and various arguments and approaches have been adopted by authorities around the world leading to very different recommended daily intakes depending on country, region and organization. This diversity of guidelines ranging from 40 to 220 mg vitamin C per day may be surprising at first, since the actual data underlying and presumably supporting the various recommendations are indeed more or less the same. However, one major explanation for the differences can be identified by looking more closely at the health perspectives forming the basis for the various recommendations. These can be summarized into four main categories: (i) preventing scurvy, (ii) partly saturating immune cells with vitamin C, whilst limiting its urinary excretion, (iii) replacing the daily turnover of vitamin C to maintain ‘adequate’ plasma vitamin C status, and finally (iv) attempting to optimize health of the individual by ingestion of the ‘optimal’ rather than a sufficient amount of vitamin C.

The Food and Nutrition Board of the United States National Academy of Sciences first established RDAs for selected nutrients, including vitamin C, in the early 1940s as a way to ensure superior nutrition for civilians and avoid nutrition problems in connection with National Defense (Food and Nutrition Board of the National Research Council 1943; National Academy of Sciences 1989). The RDAs established for vitamin C in 1943 were 70 mg/d for women and 75 mg/d for men (Food and Nutrition Board of the National Research Council 1943). The Food and Nutrition Board have subsequently revised the RDAs every five to ten years, with the RDA for vitamin C being decreased to 60 mg/d in 1968 (7th edition) (Sebrell 1968; Staff of National Academy of Science 1968), decreased again to 45 mg/d in 1974 (8th edition) (Committee on Dietary Allowances 1974; Pauling 1974), then increased back to 60 mg/d in 1980 and 1989 (9th and 10th editions) (Food and Nutrition Board of the National Research Council 1980, National Academy of Sciences 1989; Young 1996). This value was based on an intake level that would prevent the development of scurvy for about one month on a diet lacking vitamin C (National Academy of Sciences 1989). There are a number of authorities around the world that continue to base their RDA for vitamin C on the prevention of deficiency, including the Food and Agriculture Organization...
of the World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) (Food and Agriculture Organization 2001). As such, the RDA for vitamin C in these countries remains at 40–45 mg/d (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991; Indian Council of Medical Research 2010). However, lack of overt deficiency does not necessarily equate to adequacy of intake (Levine et al. 1995).

During the most recent revision of the US RDA for the antioxidant nutrients (2000, 11th edition) the Food and Nutrition Board sought to change the criteria for establishing the RDA from prevention of deficiency disease to evaluation of their potential for prevention of chronic disease. Although the RDA for vitamin C was subsequently increased to 75 mg/d for women and 90 mg/d for men (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000), the criteria used were still based on indicators of status rather than health effects. More recently, some health authorities, such as the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and Germany, Austria and Switzerland (DACH), modified their criteria for deriving the RDA for vitamin C from prevention of deficiency to maintaining ‘adequate’ plasma vitamin C status and, as such, increased their RDA from 45 mg/d in the 1990s (Scientific Committee for Food 1993) to 95 mg/d for women and 110 mg/d for men in 2013 (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). Other countries have adopted two recommendations, one for prevention of deficiency and a higher recommendation for decreasing non-communicable and chronic disease risk (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Chinese Nutrition Society 2014).

This review outlines the various vitamin C dietary recommendations of international authorities and critically discusses representative examples of criteria and the underlying health perspectives used to derive current recommended intakes of vitamin C.

**Vitamin C dietary reference intakes defined**

The terminology for ‘recommended dietary allowance’ (RDA) (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000) varies by country and organization, e.g. ‘recommended dietary intake’ (RDI) (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006), ‘recommended nutrient intake’ or ‘reference nutrient intake’ (RNI) (Food and Agriculture Organization 2001; Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991), or ‘population reference intake’ (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). This has resulted in calls for alignment and harmonization of recommendations (Van’t Veer et al. 2013; King and Garza 2007; International Life Sciences Institute Southeast Asia Region 2005). For the purposes of this review, we will use the term RDA. The RDA is defined as the “average daily dietary intake level that is sufficient to meet the nutrient requirements of nearly all (97–98%) healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group” (Figure 1) (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). The RDA is derived from the estimated average requirement (EAR), which is defined as the “daily nutrient level estimated to meet the requirements of half the healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group” (Table 1). If the standard deviation (SD) of the EAR is available and the requirement for the nutrient is symmetrically distributed, the RDA is set at 2SD above the EAR (i.e. RDA = EAR + 2SD_{EAR}). If data about variability in requirements are insufficient to calculate a SD, a coefficient of variation (CV) is used; twice that amount is added to the EAR to derive the RDA (i.e. RDA = EAR + 2CV). An average intake (AI) is used when an RDA cannot be determined, for example, in the case of infants (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006). Different criteria have been used by various authorities to determine the EARs for vitamin C, which has resulted in quite different RDAs globally.

The upper level of intake (UL) is defined as the “highest average daily nutrient intake level likely to pose no adverse health effects to almost all individuals in the general population. As intake increases above the UL, the potential risk of adverse effects increases.” (National Health and Medical

![Dietary reference intakes](Figure 1. EAR = estimated average requirement (meet requirement of 50% healthy individuals); RDA/A = recommended dietary intake (meet requirement of 97.5% healthy individuals); UL = tolerable upper intake level (highest intake likely to pose no adverse health effects). Figure is from (Zimmerman and Snow 2012) (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0).)
Research Council 2006; Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). Vitamin C has an excellent safety profile, primarily due to its high water solubility and rapid clearance of excess levels by the kidneys. As such, it is not possible to establish an UL for vitamin C. Nevertheless, values of 1000–2000 mg/day have been suggested as prudent limits by some countries, based on a potential risk of osmotic diarrhea and related gastrointestinal disturbances in some people at doses higher than these (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; International Life Sciences Institute Southeast Asia Region 2005; Nutrition Information Centre of the University of Stellenbosch (NICUS) 2019; Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals 2003; Scientific Committee on Food Scientific Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2006). Others have argued that the scientific evidence does not allow for defining an UL for vitamin C (Italian Society of Human Nutrition (SINU) 2014; Nordic Council of Ministers 2014).

Overview of global recommendations

Overall, the current global RDAs for vitamin C vary by three fold between different regions of the world, from 40 mg/d in the UK and India to 110 mg/d in a number of European countries (Table 2). Countries and authorities that base their RDAs on the prevention of deficiency, e.g. India, United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, and FAO/WHO, have RDAs of 40–45 mg/d. These RDAs are based on an EAR that is defined as “the intake at which body content is halfway between tissue saturation and the point at which clinical signs of scurvy appear” (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2004). This was assumed to equate to a body content of 900 mg, i.e. halfway between tissue saturation (1500 mg) and the point at which clinical signs of scurvy appear (300–400 mg) (Food and Agriculture Organization 2001). However, the theoretical basis for the estimation of these body content values appears to be highly questionable as discussed later. Although the Netherlands also based their RDA on body pool, their recommended intake of 75 mg/d was to ensure maintenance of the total body pool (estimated to be 1500 mg) (Health Council of the Netherlands 2018).

In contrast, other countries, such as the USA and Canada, considered the potential health effects of vitamin C when deriving the RDAs. Their current RDA (90 mg/d for men and 75 mg/d for women) is based on an “amount of vitamin C that is thought to provide antioxidant protection as derived from correlation of such protection with neutrophil ascorbate concentrations” (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). Specifically, the criterion chosen for the EAR is “the vitamin C intake that maintains near-maximal neutrophil concentrations with minimal urinary excretion of ascorbate”. These criteria will be discussed in more detail below. Japan and the Nordic countries have also used the antioxidant effects of vitamin C, as well cardiovascular disease prevention or decreased risk of cardiovascular or cancer mortality and morbidity, resulting in RDAs of 75–100 mg/d (Nordic Council of Ministers 2014; Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare 2018; Sasaki 2008). More recently, EFSA and DACH published new criteria based on an EAR determined from the “quantity of vitamin C that balances metabolic vitamin C losses and allows the maintenance of an adequate body pool characterised by fasting plasma ascorbate concentrations at around 50 μmol/L” (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013; German Nutrition Society 2015a). The resultant RDAs for these countries (110 mg/d for men and 95 mg/d for women) are presently among the highest in the world (Table 2).

Factors affecting RDAs: gender and age

Epidemiological studies have indicated that women tend to have higher vitamin C status than men, despite lower dietary intakes (Canoy et al. 2005; Galan et al. 2005; Hampl, Taylor, and Johnston 2004). This is thought to be partly due to the larger body and fat-free mass of men compared to women (Jungert and Neuhauser-Berthold 2015). The gender difference has been taken into account by some authorities, with lower recommendations for women based on their lower body weight (Table 2). The EAR values for children and adolescents are usually extrapolated from adult values and are based on their lower body weight (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic

---

**Table 1. Definitions of vitamin C dietary reference intake terminology.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated average requirement (EAR)</td>
<td>Daily nutrient level estimated to meet the requirements of half the healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended dietary allowance (RDA)</td>
<td>Average daily dietary intake level that is sufficient to meet the nutrient requirements of nearly all (97–98%) healthy individuals in a particular life stage and gender group (RDA = EAR + 2SD_{EAR} or RDA = EAR + 2CV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average intake (AI)</td>
<td>Average daily nutrient intake level based on observed or experimentally-determined approximations or estimates of nutrient intake by a group (or groups) of apparently healthy people that are assumed to be adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper level of intake (UL)</td>
<td>Highest average daily nutrient intake level likely to pose no adverse health effects to almost all individuals in the general population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested dietary target (SDT); Proposed intake (PI)</td>
<td>Daily average intake from food and beverages for certain nutrients that may help in prevention of chronic disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation; CV = coefficient of variation (often assumed to be 10 to 20%).
Factors requiring increased intake: pregnancy and lactation

Due to the needs of the developing fetus and the growing infant, vitamin C requirements are enhanced for pregnant and lactating women. The vitamin C status of pregnant women is often lower than non-pregnant women, presumably due to hemodilution and active transfer of vitamin C to the fetus (Juhl et al., 2017a). Women with complications of pregnancy can have lower vitamin C status still (Kiondo et al., 2012). A significant correlation was recently reported between poor vitamin C status and increased risk of complications in pregnant women with type 1 diabetes (Juhl et al., 2017b). Supplementation of pregnant women with vitamin C can potentially decrease the risk of pregnancy-related complications such as premature rupture of membranes (Rumbold et al., 2015). It is noteworthy that the recently discovered epigenetic regulatory activities of vitamin C could also have important roles to play in fetal development (Camarena and Wang, 2016). Most authorities have taken the enhanced needs of pregnant and lactating women into consideration, with recommendations of an additional 10–20 mg/d for pregnant women and an additional 20–60 mg/d for lactating women (Table 3).

Factors requiring increased intake: smoking

Smoking is known to increase oxidative stress and enhance the utilization of vitamin C (Lykkesfeldt et al., 2000; Marangon et al., 1998; Schectman, Byrd, and Gruchow, 1989; Lykkesfeldt, Viscovich, and Poulsen, 2004; Lykkesfeldt, Viscovich, and Poulsen, 2003) and supplementation with vitamin C has been shown to decrease oxidative DNA damage in mononuclear blood cells of smokers (Moller et al., 2004; Lykkesfeldt et al., 2000). Smoking is therefore another risk factor requiring increased vitamin C intake.

Table 2. Examples of global RDAs for vitamin C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (Authority, Year)</th>
<th>RDA (mg/d)</th>
<th>PI/SDT (mg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Union (EFSA, 2013) (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013)</td>
<td>Men 110, Women 95</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, Austria, Switzerland (DACH, 2015) (German Nutrition Society 2015a; German Nutrition Society 2015b)</td>
<td>Men 110, Women 95</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (SINU, 2014) (Italian Society of Human Nutrition 2014)</td>
<td>Men 105, Women 85</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (CBS, 2014) (Chinese Nutrition Society 2014)</td>
<td>Men 100, Women 100</td>
<td>200, 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa (NICUS) (Nutrition Information Centre of the University of Stellenbosch (NICUS) 2019)</td>
<td>Men 90, Women 90</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States, Canada (IOM, 2000) (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000)</td>
<td>Men 90, Women 75</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden (NRR, 2012) (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014)</td>
<td>Men 75, Women 75</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (HCN, 2018) (Health Council of the Netherlands, 2018)</td>
<td>Men 75, Women 75</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia (ILSI, 2005) (International Life Sciences Institute Southeast Asia Region 2005)</td>
<td>Men 70, Women 70</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia (NCCFN, 2005)</td>
<td>Men 70, Women 70</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines (FNRI, 2015) (Food and Nutrition Research Institute 2015a; Food and Nutrition Research Institute, 2015b)</td>
<td>Men 70, Women 60</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2015) (Moreiras et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Men 60, Women 60</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia (MOH, 1994) (Teo, 2009)</td>
<td>Men 60, Women 60</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia, New Zealand (NHRMC, 2006) (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006)</td>
<td>Men 45, Women 45</td>
<td>220, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (DOH, 1991) (Dietary reference values for energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991; Department of Health Panel on Dietary Reference Values 1991)</td>
<td>Men 40, Women 40</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (ICMR, 2010) (Indian Council of Medical Research, 2010)</td>
<td>Men 40, Women 40</td>
<td>–, –</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As such, smokers have higher requirements for the vitamin than nonsmokers (Schectman, Byrd, and Hoffmann 1991; Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1981; Lykkesfeldt and Tveden-Nyborg 2019). Moreover, smokers generally have a lower dietary intake of vitamin C further contributing to a poor vitamin C status (Lykkesfeldt et al. 1996). Some authorities have considered these factors with higher recommendations for smokers (Table 4). For example, the United States and Canada have recommended an additional 35 mg/day vitamin C intake for smokers to compensate for increased oxidative stress and loss of vitamin C (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). Several EU countries have recommended intakes of 120–155 mg/day for smokers, comprising additional intakes of 20 to 80 mg/d over the RDA for adults in these countries (Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991; Martin 2001; German Nutrition Society, 2015b). However, it is likely that smokers need substantially more vitamin C than these recommendations (see details below). Although there has been a general trend toward decreased prevalence of smoking globally, some countries continue to show an increased trend, which could potentially impact on their population vitamin C status and requirements (GBD 2015 Tobacco Collaborators 2017).

### Prevention of scurvy

Scurvy can be effectively prevented with relatively little vitamin C – some have estimated as little as 10 mg/day (Krebs 1953; Hodges et al. 1971). Although multiple potential health benefits of vitamin C have been suggested in the literature (reviewed in (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013)), scurvy remains the only globally accepted consequence of severe and prolonged vitamin C deficiency. As scurvy is an ultimately fatal condition, authorities using scurvy prevention as the health target of their recommendation have allowed for a relatively large safety margin with respect to scurvy risk (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991; World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2004; Department of Health Panel on Dietary Reference Values 1991). Unfortunately, the specific determinations for the EARs are most likely flawed as they are based on body pool estimations from a time when the complexity of vitamin C metabolism was not yet well understood.

---

**Table 3. Increased RDAs for pregnancy and lactation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (Authority, Year)</th>
<th>Pregnancy (mg/d)</th>
<th>Increase (mg/d)</th>
<th>Lactation (mg/d)</th>
<th>Increase (mg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France (AFSSA, 2001)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>+20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union (EFSA, 2013)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>+30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany, Austria, Switzerland (DACH, 2015)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>+30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany Nutrition Society, 2015b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>+50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (HSB, 2018)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>+40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore (MOH, 2015)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (SINU, 2014)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia (NCCFN, 2005)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2015) (Moreiras et al. 2016)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines (FNRI, 2015)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia (MOH, 1994)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam (NIN, 1996)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (ICMR, 2010)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia, New Zealand (NHMRC, 2006)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (DOH, 1991)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>+30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations see Table 2.
pharmacokinetics was not fully understood (discussed below) (Lykkesfeldt and Tveden-Nyborg 2019). Using a more appropriate body pool target would in fact result in recommendations similar to that of EFSA and the comparable European countries (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013; German Nutrition Society 2015a; Martin 2001; German Nutrition Society 2015b; Blonk Environmental Consultants 2012).

**Replacing daily turnover of vitamin C to maintain body Pool**

Body pool estimations and turnover of ascorbate have been used as a basis for a number of international RDAs, particularly those based on the prevention of deficiency e.g. UK, AUS/NZ, FAO/WHO (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006; Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom 1991; World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2004; Department of Health Panel on Dietary Reference Values 1991). These values were determined in a series of studies in the 1960s and 1970s primarily using $^{14}$C-labelled ascorbate (Baker et al. 1969; Baker et al. 1971; Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1977; Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1979; Tolbert et al. 1967). Most of these studies arrived at a body pool of vitamin C of about 2 mg/100 g body weight corresponding to about 1500 mg for a 75 kg individual (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1979).

According to the US RDA document, Kallner "reported that the body pool of vitamin C was saturated at an intake of 100 mg/d in healthy non-smoking men" (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). However, there are major methodological issues associated with the calculations because the true complexity of vitamin C pharmacokinetics were not known at the time (Padayatty et al. 2004). First, the calculations were based on compartment kinetics or non-compartment kinetics, both of which require that a terminal 1st order kinetics exists. For vitamin C, this is not the case within the physiological concentration range (Lykkesfeldt and Tveden-Nyborg 2019). Second, excretion was measured not as vitamin C but rather nonspecifically as radioactivity. This suggests that a variety of compounds including breakdown products may have contributed to the radioactivity. Thirdly, for ethical and safety reasons, the radioactive dose was limited to 20 μCi. As was rightfully admitted by Kallner et al, this greatly limited the period of time that vitamin C kinetics could be studied (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1980). Fourthly, the sodium dependent vitamin C transporters, that actively transport vitamin C from the extracellular to the intracellular space against a concentration gradient, were not known at the time. These transporters are high affinity transporters that are capable of maintaining a many fold higher intracellular concentration of vitamin C compared to plasma even during periods of reduced intake (reviewed in (Lindblad, Tveden-Nyborg, and Lykkesfeldt 2013)). As studied in detail in guinea pigs, that like humans do not have the ability to biosynthesize vitamin C, the brain is a particularly relevant example as it has a very high vitamin C content and a high preference in the systemic homeostasis of vitamin C (Hasselholt, Tveden-Nyborg, and Lykkesfeldt 2015; Frikke-Schmidt et al. 2011). Such deep compartments do not equilibrate rapidly with blood and other compartments and are therefore not assessed in short-term isotope dilution experiments such as those conducted by Kallner and others.

With our present knowledge, it is clear that the blood concentration of vitamin C cannot be used as a surrogate marker of the tissue or body concentration or content. The consequence of the methodological problems of these early studies is that the total body pool most likely has been significantly underestimated. Indeed, these calculations were immediately questioned by Ginter, who based his simple calculations on available data from human tissue samples and organ weights and arrived at a body pool of about 5000 mg (Ginter 1979; Ginter 1980), i.e. more than three times the number of Kallner et al (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1979). Very recently, modeling studies of the human body pool has resulted in similar estimates (Nygaard 2019).

Kallner’s body pool estimates have been used by several authorities as the basis for the calculation of the amount necessary to replace the daily turnover of vitamin C and consequently directly translated into the RDA. As mentioned earlier, the recommendation of e.g. WHO/FAO is based on the objective of preventing vitamin C deficiency (World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2004). More specifically, the calculation has been based on a value half way between body pool saturation in men (1500 mg) and the point where deficiency occurs (about 300–400 mg), i.e. a value of 900 mg (9). Multiplying with the rate of turnover (2.9% per day) and correcting for absorption efficiency (85%) and twice the estimated coefficient of variation (estimated at 20%) results in 44 mg/day rounded up to the recommendation of 45 mg/day.
In contrast, EFSA’s calculation of the RDA for vitamin C is based on maintaining a near saturation of the body pool assuming that this is optimal for vitamin C functions (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). EFSA used the following rationale: the body pool in men (1500 mg) is multiplied by the metabolic loss (2.9% per day) and compensated for the urinary loss (25% per day) and absorption efficiency (80%). Adding twice the estimated coefficient of variation (estimated at 10%) to include 97.5% of the population results in the recommendation of 110 mg/d for men (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). This sought to provide a plasma vitamin C concentration of >50 μmol/L, an amount which is considered to be “adequate” (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013). It is obvious from the RDA calculations based on body pool that a higher body pool estimate will result in correspondingly higher recommendations.

**Near saturation of neutrophils whilst limiting urinary excretion of vitamin C**

The criterion chosen for the US and Canadian EAR is “the vitamin C intake that maintains near-maximal neutrophil concentrations with minimal urinary excretion of ascorbate” (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). Near-maximal neutrophil ascorbate concentration was selected to be 80% of the maximal concentration observed in seven healthy men (Figure 2A) (Levine et al. 1996). This was not an actual data point, but rather an estimated midpoint between 60 mg/d (with little observed urinary excretion from ‘several volunteers’) and 100% maximal from 100 mg/d (with 25% urinary excretion). This equated to an intake of ~75 mg/d, which provides ~38 μmol/L plasma ascorbate (Figure 2B). However, it can be seen from the 60 mg/d intake data that there is large variability in plasma concentrations around these intakes (Levine et al. 1996). It was assumed that the extrapolated value “should potentially protect intracellular proteins from oxidative injury when these cells are activated during infectious and inflammatory processes”. Not only is it highly unusual to choose a point on an estimated graph that is not supported by direct data, but there is also no evidence that an intake of 75 mg/d protects neutrophil intracellular proteins from oxidation during activation of these cells.

The data utilized for ‘antioxidant protection’ was from an in vitro study using neutrophils stimulated in the presence of varying concentrations of extracellular vitamin C (28–284 μmol/L) (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; Anderson and Lukey 1987). The IOM stated that “antioxidant protection is increasingly provided as ascorbate concentrations increase with the greatest change in protection seen for ascorbate concentrations between 28 and 57 μmol/L.” However, the data shows maximal scavenging of superoxide generation by activated neutrophils at ascorbate concentrations between 57 and 114 μmol/L, which are saturating plasma concentrations (Figure 3). Furthermore, it should be noted that the researchers used only 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml, whereas plasma contains on average five times this many neutrophils per ml. Therefore, it is likely that these concentrations of vitamin C would be insufficient for maximal scavenging of the reactive oxygen species generated by 5 × 10⁶ cells/ml. Thus, the amount of vitamin C required for neutrophil antioxidant protection has likely been underestimated by the IOM (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000).

Minimal urinary excretion of vitamin C was the other criteria used by the IOM (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). However, the rationale that emerging urinary excretion is indicative of saturation of body stores is not in agreement with data from Levine and coworkers, who showed that plasma steady state concentrations plateaued at much higher intakes than those necessary to produce urinary excretion and also concluded that the bioavailability of a 200 mg dose was complete (Levine et al. 1996). Moreover, as mentioned above, the equilibration with so-called deep compartments may not be particularly quick less prioritized tissues such as muscle and thus, although accounting for a large part of the volume of distribution, will likely not have achieved steady state saturation when urinary excretion commences.
Levine’s data used as the basis for the IOM recommendation were based on data obtained from seven young healthy males, i.e. probably the ‘ideal’ situation representing a minimum requirement rather than a population average (Levine et al. 1996). In 2001, one year after the most recent IOM revision, Levine and coworkers published a follow up study conducted in healthy women (Levine et al. 2001). These data are similar to those obtained in men but are lighter being obtained from 13 individuals. The use of data based selectively on healthy young individuals may result in the requirement of the general population to achieve similar protection to be underestimated by the IOM. Moreover, choosing a target point on the steepest part of the dose versus concentration curve also results in relatively higher variation and may result in cases of deficiency even among individuals meeting the RDA (Figure 2B).

**Effect of smoking on requirements**

Looking closer at attempts made to estimate the additional turnover of vitamin C due to smoking, different approaches have been used. Kallner et al. used 14C-labelled ascorbate to estimate the turnover of vitamin C in 17 male smokers aged 21–69 years and weighing between 55 and 110 kg (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1981). Urinary excretion of radioactivity was used to estimate the vitamin C pharmacokinetics using a three-compartment model. Based on these data, Kallner et al. concluded that smokers needed only about 35 mg more vitamin C than nonsmokers per day to compensate for their habit (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1981). This recommendation was later adopted by the IOM in their dietary reference intakes (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). As mentioned below, Lykkesfeldt et al. arrived at a higher turnover as estimated by steady state ascorbate oxidation (Lykkesfeldt et al. 1997). This was noted by the IOM but was not taken into account in their recommendation. However, as discussed earlier, several problems are associated with Kallner’s approach and these also apply to their estimations in smokers. Thus, it appears likely that the turnover in smokers was significantly underestimated by Kallner et al. (Kallner, Hartmann, and Hornig 1981).

In support of this premise, Schectman et al. analyzed the NHANES II data, comparing daily intake versus serum concentrations of ascorbate among 4182 smokers and 7020 nonsmokers. Using regression analysis, they were able to estimate that smokers would need an additional 130 mg vitamin C per day to overcome the effect of increased vitamin C turnover due to smoking per se (Schectman, Byrd, and Gruchow 1989). In a separate analysis, it was concluded that smokers need an intake >200 mg/d to lower the risk of vitamin C deficiency to that of nonsmokers (Schectman, Byrd, and Hoffmann 1991). These conclusions were later supported by measuring the steady state oxidation ratio of vitamin C among smokers and nonsmokers (Lykkesfeldt et al. 1997). It was found that smokers with poor vitamin C status had an increased steady state oxidation of their vitamin C pool compared to nonsmokers and it was estimated by regression that smokers need at least 200 mg vitamin C per day to compensate for the effect of smoking on the oxidation of vitamin C (Lykkesfeldt et al. 1997). This increased oxidation apparently occurs in spite of increased ascorbate recycling observed in smokers (Lykkesfeldt, Viscock, and Poulsen 2003).

**Effect of obesity on requirements**

Numerous epidemiological studies have found correlations between vitamin C status and body weight, with higher weight associated with lower status (Canoy et al. 2005; Galan et al. 2005; Jungert and Neuhauser-Berthold 2015; Langlois, Cooper, and Colapinto 2016; Schleicher et al. 2009; Pearson et al. 2017). Higher body weight can also attenuate the response to supplementation indicating higher requirements for the vitamin in people with increased body weight (Block et al. 1999; Carr et al. 2016). Initial vitamin C status and body weight were found to account for 30% of the lack of response to low-dose supplementation, likely due to insufficient tissue status (Carr et al. 2016). The generally larger body weight of men relative to women has been taken into account with respect to RDAs in many countries (Table 2).

However, the overall increase in body weight globally is reaching pandemic proportions, with the prevalence of obesity exceeding 50% in some countries (Ng et al. 2014; Yatsuya et al. 2014). Therefore, it would be prudent to ensure that global RDAs meet this markedly changing demographic. In 1999, Block et al. (Block et al. 1999) proposed that recommended vitamin C intakes should be based on a dose per kg body weight or in terms of desirable plasma concentrations, the latter of which has been used by EFSA and DACH for their most recent RDA determinations (European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 2013; German Nutrition Society 2015a; German Nutrition Society 2015b). Alternatively, recommendations specifically aimed at overweight or severely obese individuals are clearly needed, similar to those for smokers and pregnant women.
Optimizing health

A number of countries now base their RDAs for vitamin C on its potential health effects, including decreased risk of cardiovascular or cancer morbidity and mortality (Nordic Council of Ministers 2014; Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare 2018; Sasaki 2008). Although there is little evidence from randomized controlled intervention studies demonstrating additional benefits for healthy individuals of intakes of >200 mg/d, vitamin C is completely safe and the potential benefits appear to outweigh the potential negative consequences of inadequacy or suboptimal vitamin C status (Frei, Birlouez-Aragon, and Lykkesfeldt 2012). It should also be noted that most intervention studies have suffered from the fact that their study populations have been close to saturation and thus only small incremental improvements, if any, can be expected, albeit potentially important on a long-term basis (Lykkesfeldt and Poulsen 2010). Furthermore, several of the negative intervention studies actually allowed the placebo group to continue taking supplements up to the RDA further limiting the possibility of observing a short-term health benefit (Tveden-Nyborg and Lykkesfeldt 2013). In spite of these shortcomings, a meta-analysis of the effect of vitamin C on blood pressure did reveal a significant positive effect on both systolic and diastolic blood pressure with a median intake of 500 mg vitamin C/d (Jurakich et al. 2012).

In 2006, Australia and New Zealand developed an additional reference value, the suggested dietary target (SDT), to address the issue of chronic disease prevention (see definition in Table 1) (Australian Government Department of Health, 2015-2017). The SDT relates to selected nutrients for which there was a reasonable body of evidence of a potential chronic disease preventive effect at levels substantially higher than the EAR and RDA or AI. The STD for vitamin C for healthy men and women was determined to be 220 mg/d and 190 mg/d, respectively (Table 2); this was equivalent to the 90th centile of intake in the Australian and New Zealand populations (National Health and Medical Research Council 2006). Similarly, China recently adopted a category known as a proposed intake (PI) (Chinese Nutrition Society 2014). The purpose for establishing a PI was to prevent or reduce the risk of non-communicable diseases. The PI target of 200 mg/d was to raise plasma vitamin C concentrations in healthy individuals to achieve 70 μmol/L, which is saturation. An intake of 200 mg/d can be obtained from a diet of five or more servings of fresh fruit and vegetables, provided that at least one serving is a high vitamin C food. Alternatively, it can be obtained through dietary supplements, which have comparable bioavailability to food sources of the vitamin (Carr and Vissers 2013). In smokers and other individuals with increased turnover and requirements, dietary supplements are probably necessary to achieve saturation.

Future directions

Although the antioxidant function of vitamin C is not disputed (Carr and Frei, 1999a; Carr and Frei, 1999b), and has been taken into consideration by a number of authorities during their development of RDAs (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000; Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare 2018; Sasaki 2008), the need for a concentration versus functional or clinical outcome measure remains. In 2000, the IOM pointed out that ‘despite the many known biochemical roles of ascorbic acid, no reliable biochemical or physiologically based functional measures of vitamin C nutriture have been established. Knowledge of vitamin C intakes needed to fulfill specific functional roles of ascorbate will allow more accurate and precise determinations of the individual and average population requirements of the vitamin’ (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000).

Vitamin C has long been known to be a cofactor for a family of metalloenzymes with various biosynthetic roles, including the synthesis of collagen, carnitine, catecholamines and peptide hormones (Englard and Seifter 1986). The IOM suggested that ‘some current candidates that could be used as functional measures include pathways related to collagen and carnitine metabolism’, although the methods by which these would be assessed are not clear (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). In the early 1990s, Levine and coworkers proposed determining vitamin C requirements via assessing the in vitro conversion of tyrosine to norepinephrine, which includes vitamin C-dependent steps (Levine et al. 1991). The experiments comprised incubation of adrenal medulla chromaffin cells in culture with increasing concentrations of vitamin C, which resulted in a dose-dependent increase in norepinephrine generation. However, it is not easy to translate the data generated from these in vitro experiments into whole body requirements. Other potential biomarkers include measurement of amidated peptide hormones or their non-amidated precursors as the enzyme peptidylglycine α-amidating monoxygenase is the only known enzyme in the body to generate carboxy terminal amidated hormones and vitamin C is a cofactor for the enzyme reaction (reviewed in (Carr et al. 2015)). However, hormones can be difficult analytes to measure as they are often transient and are usually only released under specific circumstances.

The IOM also highlighted that research on new functions of vitamin C is needed (Institute of Medicine Panel on Dietary Antioxidants and Related Compounds 2000). Since that statement was made, a plethora of new discoveries have been made with respect to the cofactor functions of vitamin C (Du, Cullen, and Buettner 2012). These include its pleiotropic gene regulatory roles, first discovered in the early 2000s, when vitamin C was found to downregulate the transcription factor hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) (Hirsila et al. 2003; Koivunen et al. 2004; Hirota and Semenza 2005). Vitamin C acts as a cofactor for the dioxygenase enzymes which hydroxylate specific proline and asparagine residues in HIF resulting in decreased DNA and coactivator binding and enhanced proteosomal degradation of the transcription factor (Hirsila et al. 2003; Koivunen et al. 2004; Hirota and Semenza 2005). HIF regulates numerous pro-survival genes and has been implicated in cancer cell survival and tumor growth (Du, Cullen, and Buettner 2012). More recent research has uncovered a role for vitamin C in epigenetic...
regulation via acting as a cofactor for DNA and histone hydroxylases, which belong to the same family of metalloenzymes as the collagen and HIF dioxygenases (Camarena and Wang 2016; Gillberg et al. 2017). The ten–eleven translocation (TET) dioxygenases hydroxylate methylated cytosine residues in DNA, generating hydroxymethylcytosine residues, whilst Jumonji C domain-containing histone demethylases (JHDM) hydroxylate methylated lysine and arginine residues in histones resulting in demethylation and subsequent alterations in gene transcription (Camarena and Wang 2016; Blaschke et al. 2013; Minor et al. 2013; Yin et al. 2013; Tsukada et al. 2006). Thus, vitamin C has the potential to up- and down-regulate many thousands of genes.

Regulation of the epigenome by vitamin C may comprise a major role in its pleiotropic health promoting and disease modifying effects. For example, reduced TET activity and hydroxylation of DNA results in the over-proliferation of various leukocyte precursors in bone marrow and the development of acute myelocytic leukemia and other myeloproliferative neoplasms (Magotra et al. 2016). Interestingly, researchers have found that vitamin C is present at very high concentrations in hematopoietic stem cells and this prevents their progression to a leukemic phenotype in a TET-dependent manner (Agathocleous et al. 2017; Cimmino et al. 2017). Pre-clinical models indicated that vitamin C administration was able to increase hydroxymethylation of peripheral blood DNA, suppress leukemia progression and improve animal survival (Agathocleous et al. 2017; Cimmino et al. 2017). Preliminary human intervention studies have indicated that intravenous vitamin C can improve clinical remission and survival in acute myeloid leukemia patients, particularly those with TET and related mutations (Zhao et al. 2018; Das et al. 2019). Also, normalization of vitamin C status using oral supplementation was recently shown to restore TET activity in myeloid cancer patients (Gillberg et al. 2019). Continuing research in this field will no doubt reveal new exciting insights and treatment options, particularly for non-communicable diseases such as myelodysplastic syndrome and other haematological cancers.

However, the utility of these more recently discovered gene regulatory functions of vitamin C for the assessment of dietary recommendations has not yet been elucidated. The concentrations of ascorbate that permit the HIF dioxygenases to achieve half maximal rates of reaction (i.e. Km) have been determined and are of the same order of magnitude as that required for collagen prolyl dioxygenase (180 μmol/L and 300 μmol/L, respectively) (Hirsila et al. 2003; Myllyharju and Kivirikko 1997). The Km of ascorbate with the TET dioxygenases has not yet been reported, however, this is likely to be of a comparable concentration to the other dioxygenases. Therefore, measurement of hydroxymethylcytosine marks in DNA may comprise a new physiological biomarker for the assessment of vitamin C requirements. Although methodologies exist for measuring global DNA hydroxymethylation, such as mass spectrometry and immunological methods, epigenetic modification of specific DNA regions may prove to be a more sensitive marker of vitamin C requirements than assessment of global hydroxymethylcytosine status. Next generation sequencing methodologies have proved useful for assessing specific epigenetic alterations (Meaburn and Schulz 2012). As such, it may be possible to assess differential hydroxylation of defined DNA regions in participants who have been supplemented with various doses of vitamin C. These studies would ideally be carried out in metabolic units in order to closely control the participants’ dietary intakes and to assure low baseline vitamin status.

Peripheral blood leukocytes could be utilized as surrogates to study vitamin C-dependent alterations to the epigenome (Hohos et al. 2016). Preliminary in vivo evidence for the involvement of vitamin C in the regulation of epigenetic DNA marks in leukocytes has come from an observational study carried out in healthy controls and patients with inflammatory bowel disease, adenomatous polyps and colorectal cancer (Starczak et al. 2018). In this study, the researchers observed significant positive correlations between vitamin C status and hydroxymethylcytosine and hydroxymethyluracil marks in leukocyte DNA, with participants with vitamin C concentrations greater than 40 μmol/L exhibiting significantly higher concentrations of these epigenetic marks than those with plasma concentrations less than the hypovitaminosis C concentration of 20 μmol/L (Starczak et al. 2018). Recently, a vitamin C dose of 500 mg/d was shown to induce epigenetic changes in patients with myeloid cancer (Gillberg et al. 2019). Further research may indicate the minimum dose at which epigenetic changes can be observed, and the impact on functional or clinical outcomes, which would help to inform vitamin C intake requirements for the prevention and treatment of non-communicable diseases.

Concluding remarks

Overall, there are large variations in global RDAs for vitamin C, despite comparable data from the literature being utilized for their determinations. This is primarily due to the underlying premises for the RDA criteria varying from prevention of scurvy (~45 mg/d) to optimization of health (~200 mg/d). A number of authorities recommend the minimum amount of vitamin C, however, this is likely not meeting the health needs of various subpopulations. For example, smokers and people with obesity have higher requirements than the general population. Smoking rates continue to increase in some countries and evidence suggests that smokers may benefit from daily intakes of at least 200 mg of vitamin C. With increasing worldwide rates of obesity, and obesity-related comorbidities such as metabolic syndrome, diabetes and cardiovascular disease, more consideration needs to be given to appropriate recommendations to optimize vitamin C status in this rapidly increasing subpopulation.

Furthermore, we have ascertained that many current recommendations are based on rationales that likely underestimate the RDA of vitamin C, including those derived from body pool estimations. As such, it would be prudent for
these authorities to reassess their dietary recommendations in accordance with correct assumptions in order for their RDAs to align appropriately with their stated health goals. To aid in this endeavor, specific biological signatures of physiologically sufficient versus insufficient vitamin C status and its potential relationship to specific disease conditions are needed. The recently identified epigenetic mark hydroxy-methylycystein may show promise as such a signature, and it is likely that other biomarkers of vitamin C function may yet be discovered.
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