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Abstract

Context: The importance of fasting glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) in altered metabolic outcomes has been questioned.

Objective: This work aimed to assess whether fasting GLP-1 differs in children and adolescents with overweight/obesity compared to a population-based reference, and whether concentrations predict cardiometabolic risk (CMR) factors.
Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) is a peptide hormone with numerous physiological functions, including enhanced glucose-sensitivity of pancreatic β cells, satiety, suppression of gastric emptying, and possibly cardioprotection and neuroprotection (1). GLP-1 is a product of the proglucagon gene (Gcg), expressed in the enteroendocrine L cells of the intestine, in the α cells of the pancreas, and in a set of neurons in the brainstem (2). GLP-1 exerts insulinotropic actions via 2 mechanisms: 1) GLP-1 secreted from the gut activates receptors expressed in the nodose ganglia of the brain, which potentiates glucose-dependent insulin secretion through the vagus nerves, and 2) GLP-1 secreted from the pancreatic α cells activates receptors expressed in the β cells in a paracrine-dependent manner (3). The majority of circulating GLP-1 is derived from the intestinal L cells, which increase in density from the proximal to the distal colon, with the highest concentration located in the rectum (4). The early-phase GLP-1 response has largely been attributed to secretion from the proximal intestine shortly after a meal. It would seem unlikely that GLP-1 secreted from the colon long after a meal could contribute to altered metabolic outcomes (5). However, in addition to nutrient-stimulated GLP-1 response, a basal (tonic) secretion seems to occur, as infusions of somatostatin, a potent inhibitor of L cell secretion (6), lower basal concentrations of fasting GLP-1 (7). Selective reduction of Gcg expression in the distal gut of mice reduces fasting active GLP-1, together with impaired oral glucose tolerance, and accelerated gastric emptying, but normal food intake/body weight (8). Selective stimulation of colonic L cells in mice increases fasting insulin concentrations and improves glucose tolerance (5). In a murine model of chronic hyperinsulinemia, higher concentrations of insulin corresponded to higher fasting GLP-1 and impaired GLP-1 secretion following an oral glucose challenge (9). Moreover, left colectomy patients exhibit a higher hazard ratio of clinically recorded type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) compared with noncolectomy patients (10). Colectomy patients have also been found to exhibit higher insulin and C-peptide levels compared to patients with resections of the small intestine, inactive Crohn patients (no surgery), and healthy controls, but demonstrated no differences in active GLP-1 concentrations (11). Together, these findings suggest that colonic GLP-1 secretion may contribute to altered metabolic phenotypes, potentially in an insulin-dependent manner.

A discrepancy exists in adults with T2DM and obesity, whereby both no differences (12, 13) as well as higher (14, 15) concentrations of fasting plasma total GLP-1 have been observed. Obesity during childhood increases the risk of long-term obesity (16-18), as well as the risk for cardiometabolic complications and mortality into adulthood (19-23). It remains unclear whether fasting GLP-1 concentrations are altered in pediatric obesity and if this may play a role in modifying cardiometabolic risk (CMR) outcomes. A limited number of small studies have examined changes in fasting GLP-1 concentrations in children and adolescents with obesity (24-26). Similar concentrations
of fasting active GLP-1 were observed in children with obesity (median 6.2 pmol/L; interquartile range (IQR), 3.3–9.1 pmol/L; N = 42) vs normal weight (NW) (IQR, 5.7 pmol/L; 3.3–8.1; N = 16; P = .36); however, GLP-1 concentrations were positively associated with insulin levels and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (24). Following 1 year of significant weight loss, fasting active GLP-1 concentrations were lower compared to baseline and positively associated with insulin and HOMA-IR (24). A study investigating GLP-1 response to a standardized breakfast and lunch found higher fasting total GLP-1 concentrations in children with overweight (mean [SE]: 23.5 [4.9] pmol/L; N = 12) compared to NW children (11.5 [2.4]; N = 20; P = .02) (25). Adolescents with obesity and normal glucose tolerance had higher fasting active GLP-1 concentrations (median 0.53 pmol/L; IQR, 0.32–0.75 pmol/L; N = 23), than the adolescents with obesity and impaired glucose tolerance (IQR, 0.25 pmol/L; 0.23–0.44 pmol/L; N = 19), obesity and T2DM (IQR, 0.20 pmol/L; 0.13–0.43 pmol/L; N = 4), and NW adolescents (IQR, 0.32 pmol/L; 0.18–0.53 pmol/L; N = 19, P < .05) in the Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Childhood Obesity (26).

The first aim of the present study was to investigate whether fasting plasma concentrations of total GLP-1 in children and adolescents with overweight/obesity differs in comparison to a population-based control group. The second aim was to evaluate whether fasting GLP-1 concentrations predict CMR factors. It was hypothesized that children and adolescents with overweight/obesity would have lower fasting concentrations of GLP-1 compared to the general population that would be associated with worsened CMR factors.

Materials and Methods

Study Populations

Two groups of children and adolescents were included in the present study: (1) an obesity clinic group (N = 1978), the members of which followed a multidisciplinary obesity treatment program at Copenhagen University Hospital Holbæk (body mass index [BMI] > 90th percentile) and (2) a population-based group (N = 2334), recruited from schools and high schools in 11 municipalities in Zealand, Denmark. Both groups were enrolled in The Danish Childhood Obesity Data- and Biobank between August 2007 and April 2019, and have been previously described (27-29). Exclusion criteria were (a) age younger than 6 or older than 19 years; (b) ethnicity other than Danish or North-European (self-reported country of origin and ancestry); (c) diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus; (d) diagnosed T2DM; (e) treatment with insulin, liraglutide, or metformin; and (f) meeting T2DM criteria based on the blood sample taken for this study (fasting plasma glucose > 7.0 mmol/L and/or glycated hemoglobin $A_1c$ [HbA1c] > 49 mmol/mol).

Ethics

According to the Declaration of Helsinki, informed consent was obtained from all participants, while written consent was obtained either from parents of participants younger than 18 years or from the participants when 18 years or older. The study was approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee of Region Zealand, Denmark (protocol No. SJ-104) and by the Danish Data Protection Agency.

Anthropometrics and Blood Pressure

Anthropometrics included height, weight, BMI SD score (BMI SDS), waist circumference (WC), as well as measurements of blood pressure (BP). In the obesity clinic group, anthropometrics were obtained as a part of a clinical examination, whereas the population-based group was examined in a mobile laboratory by trained medical professionals. Height was measured by a stadiometer to the nearest 0.1 cm. With the participants wearing light clothing without shoes, weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a Tanita Digital Medical Scale, WB-110 MA (Tanita Corp) for the obesity clinic group, and a Tanita BC-418 Scale (Tanita Corp) for the population-based group. To calculate BMI SDS, the lambda-mu-sigma method was used, based on a Danish reference population (30). WC was measured post exhalation in a standing position at umbilical level, measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. BP was measured 3 times on the right upper arm after 5 minutes of rest with the participant in supine position using the oscillometric device Omron 705IT (Omron Health Care Co Ltd). An average value of the last 2 measurements was calculated and converted to BP SDS based on age-, sex-, and height-specific reference values from the American Academy of Pediatrics (31).

Biochemical Analyses

A venous blood sample was collected from all participants between 7 and 9 AM, following an overnight fast (32, 33). The participants were offered a local anesthetic prior (lidocaine/prilocaine mixture, EMLA, AstraZeneca). Immediately after collection, samples were processed and stored at −80 °C until further analysis. The Department of Clinical Biochemistry of Copenhagen University Hospital Holbæk performed analyses of all biomarkers, except for fasting plasma total GLP-1 measured at the Novo
Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, University of Copenhagen, and serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) measured at the Statens Serum Institute, Denmark (34). Fasting biochemical measurements described previously by our group include serum insulin, serum C-peptide, plasma glucose, whole-blood HbA1c (33), plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), plasma triglycerides (TGs) (32), plasma alanine transaminase (ALT) (35), and serum hs-CRP (34). Plasma concentrations of GLP-1 were measured using a total GLP-1 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Mercodia) (36). The analyses were performed in duplicate and run on the SpectraMax iD3. Outliers were automatically remeasured. The interassay and intra-assay coefficient of variation was 3.0% and 3.4%, in the concentration range (0.904-940 pmol/L). The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of the assay is 1.0 pmol/L; values below this (N = 5) were assigned half the LLOQ, 0.5 pmol/L.

Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry

Whole-body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was performed on 1754 patients from the obesity clinic group and 291 participants from the population-based group, on a GE Lunar Prodigy (DF + 10031, GE Healthcare) until October 2009 and thereafter on a GE Lunar iDXA (ME + 200179, GE Healthcare), as previously described (37), yielding data on body fat percentage (BF%) (38, 39).

Defining Cardiometabolic Risk Profiles

We defined insulin resistance as HOMA-IR values above the 90th percentile for age and sex (33). HOMA-IR was calculated as insulin (mU/L) x glucose (mM)/22.5. We defined dyslipidemia as values beyond the 95th percentile according to the American Heart Association (40), corresponding to total cholesterol above 5.2 mM (200 mg/dL), LDL-C above 3.4 mM (130 mg/dL), HDL-C below 0.9 mM (35 mg/dL), and/or TGs above 1.7 mM (150 mg/dL). Increased ALT was defined as ALT above 24.5 U/L in girls and ALT above 31.5 U/L in boys, which was determined to be the optimal cutoff for identifying hepatic steatosis by our group (liver fat content of > 5% measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in 458 children and adolescents) (35). We defined hyperglycemia as fasting blood glucose between 5.6 and 6.9 mmol/L and/or HbA1c between 39 and 47 mmol/mol, according to the American Diabetes Association guideline for prediabetes (41). We defined hypertension as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) above the 95th percentile for age and sex (42).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R statistical software, version 4.0.2 (43). Normality of data was evaluated by Q-Q plots and histograms. Continuous variables were described as median with IQR for nonnormally distributed variables and categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages (%). P values for continuous variables between the 2 groups were determined by Wilcoxon rank sum tests and categorical variables were assessed by chi-square tests.

Age- and sex-specific percentile curves for fasting total GLP-1 concentrations were calculated using the R “Generalized Additive Models for Location, Scale and Shape” package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gamlss/) (44), using the Box-Cox transformation distribution family to account for skewness, with the best fit determined by the Akaike Information Criterion (45) (Supplementary Fig. 1) (46). Linear regression models were performed to calculate effect sizes and 95% CIs for adiposity measures as a predictor of fasting plasma total GLP-1 concentrations according to sex (Supplementary Fig. 2) (46).

Linear regression models were performed to calculate effect sizes and 95% CIs for fasting GLP-1 concentrations as a predictor of CMR factors (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1) (46). CMR factors included anthropometric measurements (BMI SDS, WC, and BF% by DXA), BP (SBP SDS and DBP SDS), measures of glucose metabolism (HOMA-IR, insulin, C-peptide, glucose, and HbA1c), lipid profile (HDL-C, LDL-C, TGs), and liver and inflammation markers (ALT and hs-CRP, respectively). Nonnormally distributed CMR factors were log-transformed. The obesity clinic and population-based groups were pooled to estimate the effect sizes for CMR factors. Pooled linear regression formulas in R were general linear model (glm) (CMR factor ~ GLP-1 [SD units] + age + sex + BMI SDS). Interaction analyses were performed to estimate whether the group indicator (obesity clinic group/population-based group) modified the association between GLP-1 and CMR factors. Interaction linear regression formulas in R were glm(CMR factor ~ GLP-1 [SD units] + group indicator variable (0/1) + group indicator variable × GLP-1 [SD units] + age + sex + BMI SDS).

Logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs of fasting total GLP-1 as a predictor of CMR features (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 2) (46). CMR features were defined as the absence or presence of insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, increased ALT, hyperglycemia, and hypertension. Pooled logistic regression formulas in R were glm(CMR feature (0/1) ~ GLP-1 [SD units] + age + sex + BMI SDS). Interaction logistic regression
Additional linear and logistic regression models were performed to examine the role of fasting insulin concentrations, in relation to GLP-1 as a predictor of CMR (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4) (46).

Statistical significance was set at $P$ less than .05. Correction for multiple testing was controlled for using the Bonferroni-Holm method for all regression modeling.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the Study Groups**

There were no significant differences in age between the 2 groups (Table 1). Sex differed across groups ($P = 4.1E-04$). Patients in the obesity clinic group had higher weight, BMI SDS, WC, and BF% than participants in the population-based group (all $P < 2.2E-16$). Patients in the obesity clinic group exhibited worse CMR profiles, with a higher incidence of insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, increased ALT, hyperglycemia, and hypertension than the participants in the population-based group (all $P < 2.2E-16$).

Patients in the obesity clinic group had higher fasting plasma total GLP-1 concentrations (median 3.3 pmol/L; IQR, 2.3-4.3 pmol/L) than participants in the population-based group (median 2.8 pmol/L; 2.1-3.8 pmol/L; $P < 2.2E-16$). Age- and sex-specific values for fasting GLP-1 concentrations are illustrated with 2.5, 50, and 97.5 percentile curves for the obesity clinic and the population-based groups (Supplementary Fig. 1) (46). BMI SDS, WC, and BF% were significant predictors of fasting GLP-1 concentrations in boys and girls (Supplementary Fig. 2) (46).

Fasting Total Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 as a Predictor of Cardiometabolic Risk Factors

GLP-1 concentrations were positively associated with BMI SDS, fasting serum insulin, HOMA-IR, serum hs-CRP, serum C-peptide, plasma TG, DBP SDS, SBP SDS, plasma ALT, BF%, and whole-blood HbA1c, adjusted for age, sex, and BMI SDS, except for BMI SDS and BF%, which were adjusted for age and sex only (see Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1) (46). GLP-1 was not significantly associated with WC, plasma glucose, plasma HDL-C, or plasma LDL-C, adjusted for age, sex, and BMI SDS.

When assessing the interaction between GLP-1 and the study group, GLP-1 concentrations in the obesity clinic group were positively associated with HOMA-IR, insulin, C-peptide, hs-CRP, SBP SDS, TG, ALT, and WC, compared to the population-based group, which instead was negatively associated with glucose and positively associated with BMI SDS.
with HDL-C\textsubscript{log} (all interaction \( P < .05 \)), adjusted for group, age, sex, and BMI SDS (see Supplementary Table 1) (46).

To examine the role of insulin in relation to GLP-1 as a predictor of CMR factors, the previously mentioned models were further adjusted for fasting insulin values (Supplementary Table 3) (46). GLP-1 remained positively associated with hs-CRP\textsubscript{log}, BMI SDS, TG\textsubscript{log}, DBP SDS, and ALT\textsubscript{log}. GLP-1 was positively associated with HDL-C\textsubscript{log} and negatively associated with blood glucose\textsubscript{log}. The relationship between GLP-1 as a predictor of BF\%\textsubscript{log}, SBP SDS, and HbA\textsubscript{1c}\textsubscript{log} was attenuated, in addition to WC\textsubscript{log} and LDL-C\textsubscript{log} remaining nonsignificant.

### Table 1. Descriptive information on obesity clinic and population-based groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Obesity clinic group</th>
<th>Population-based group</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, boys (%)</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>4.1E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma total GLP-1, pmol/L</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI SDS</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC, cm</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>2314</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMR features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin resistance, n (%)</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>2269</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased ALT, n (%)</td>
<td>1933</td>
<td>2277</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia, n (%)</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>2251</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>2232</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2E-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous values presented as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables presented as frequencies (percentage; %). \( P \) values were determined by Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous data and chi-square tests for categorical data. Insulin resistance was defined as homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance values above the 90th percentile for age and sex. Dyslipidemia was defined as values beyond the 95th percentile, corresponding to total cholesterol above 5.2 mM (200 mg/dL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol above 3.4 mM (130 mg/dL), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol below 0.9 mM (35 mg/dL), and/or triglycerides above 1.7 mM (150 mg/dL). Increased ALT was defined as ALT above 24.5 U/L in girls and ALT above 31.5 U/L in boys, which was determined to be the optimal cutoff for identifying hepatic steatosis (liver fat content of \( > 5\% \) measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in 458 children and adolescents). Hyperglycemia was defined as fasting blood glucose between 5.6 and 6.9 mmol/L and/or glycated hemoglobin A\textsubscript{1c} between 39 and 47 mmol/mol. Hypertension was defined as a systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure above the 95th percentile for age and sex.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; BF\%, body fat percentage; BMI SDS, body mass index SD score; CMR, cardiometabolic risk; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; WC, waist circumference.

### Discussion

The present study reports 3 main findings that were opposite to and hence disproved the proposed hypothesis. First, we demonstrated that patients in the obesity clinic group had higher fasting total GLP-1 concentrations than participants in the population-based group. Higher BMI SDS, WC, and BF\% predicted higher GLP-1 concentrations both in girls and boys. Second, GLP-1 concentrations were positively associated with BMI SDS, insulin, HOMA-IR, hs-CRP, C-peptide, TG, DBP SDS, SBP SDS, ALT, BF\%, and HbA\textsubscript{1c}. GLP-1 remained a significant predictor of some but not all CMR factors when adjusted for fasting insulin. Third, a 1-SD increase in GLP-1 concentrations was associated with a greater incidence of insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, increased ALT, hyperglycemia, and hypertension. When controlling for fasting insulin...
concentrations, GLP-1 no longer remained a significant predictor of CMR features, with the exception of hypertension.

The observation of elevated fasting GLP-1 together with overweight/obesity, and the more pronounced CMR outcomes in childhood obesity, is intriguing. It may be an essential feature of obesity and require further investigation to elucidate the mechanisms. One possibility is to assume a primary role of the higher fasting GLP-1, which may increase circulating insulin, potentially contributing to insulin resistance, and in turn enhancing CMR among patients with overweight/obesity (47). Increased adiposity as a result of high insulin has been established through several well-known mechanisms, including increased lipogenesis, reduced lipolysis, and stimulation of adipogenesis (48). According to the adipose expandability theory (49), lipotoxicity induced when the adipose tissue cannot expand any further may induce low-grade systemic inflammation and hepatosteatosis (47). Mice fed a high-fat diet for 16 weeks displayed a 2-fold increase in basal GLP-1 secretion from colonic cultures (50). Moreover, interleukin-6 released from white adipose tissue is thought to stimulate L-cell and α-cell GLP-1 production and secretion, subsequently enhancing insulin secretion (51-53); however, some studies showed a lack of replication of this effect (54, 55).

In the present study we observed a significant positive association between fasting total GLP-1 as a predictor both of hs-CRP and ALT in children and adolescents with overweight/obesity, surrogate markers of systemic inflammation, and hepatosteatosis (35, 56). In fact, a recent study found fasting total GLP-1 to be among the top 20 predictors of magnetic resonance imaging–derived liver fat content in a machine learning and validation model composed of 185 different omics and clinical input variables in the IMI DIRECT prospective cohort study (57).

Furthermore, the microenvironment in the gut is thought to be an important factor of L-cell function. The microbial composition in the small and large intestine are considerably different. Microbial cells are in direct contact with the epithelium and L cells in the small intestine, whereas the mucus layer separates cells from the microbiota in the colon (58). Obesity is associated with intestinal dysbiosis, including impaired mucus production and thickness, as well as decreased expression of tight junction proteins (59), which may provide the gut microbiota more direct contact with colonic L cells when in an obesogenic state. Various endogenous factors influenced by the gut microbiota, such as short-chain fatty acids and bile acids, have been shown to alter GLP-1 secretion (1). For example, ingestion of propionate ester, a stimulator of GLP-1 released from the colonic L cells via free fatty acid receptor 2, reduced energy intake, and prevented weight gain in humans (60). Rectal administration of the bile acid taurocholate in obese T2DM patients increased active GLP-1 in a dose-dependent manner and decreased blood glucose and food intake, likely occurring via the G-protein–coupled bile acid receptor 1, GPBAR1 (61).

It remains unclear how fasting GLP-1 concentrations under physiological conditions differ from that of pharmacological treatment. GLP-1 receptor agonists (GLP1-RAs) are well established as a treatment for T2DM by selectively activating GLP-1 receptor signaling to potentiate glucose-dependent insulin secretion, which achieves not only glycemic control, but also reduced risk for cardiovascular disease, with the mechanism most likely acting through reduced body weight and BP, lowered LDL-C and glucose, as well as suppression of low-grade inflammation, vasodilation, and natriuresis (62, 63). GLP1-RAs have also emerged as therapeutic strategies for pediatric obesity, and several randomized, placebo-controlled trials have been conducted in which reductions in BMI were achieved; however, significant heterogeneity in weight loss response along with gastrointestinal adverse effects were observed, similar to what is seen in adult populations (64).

Our study has strengths and limitations. The obesity clinic and population-based groups entail a much larger and more extensive pediatric study than previously reported (24-26). In addition to our analysis of fasting GLP-1 concentrations in 4312 individuals, we have investigated associations with quantitative CMR factors. Individuals from both groups were recruited from the same geographical area. Individuals with diseases or intake of medications known to influence GLP-1 concentrations were excluded. Other strengths include standardized blood sample collection and samples assayed for GLP-1 in duplicate, minimizing variation that may be caused by nonbiological factors. We used a well-documented and validated assay with high specificity and sensitivity for analyzing plasma samples for GLP-1 (36). We measured total GLP-1 (ie, sum of both the intact and metabolite forms). Measurement of total GLP-1 provides better information about the secretion of the hormone and its effects, compared to measurements of intact (or active) GLP-1 because of the extensive degradation of the latter and longer survival of the metabolites in circulation (65). The limitations of the present study affect its mechanistic interpretation and broader applicability. This includes the cross-sectional rather than longitudinal study design, which does not allow the elucidation of the time sequence in the development of these associations. Single measurements of fasting GLP-1 for each participant does not allow adjustments in the natural meal-related diurnal or day-to-day variation.

Conclusions and Future Directions

Fasting plasma total GLP-1 concentrations were higher in children and adolescents with overweight/obesity than in a
population-based reference group. Elevated fasting GLP-1 concentrations in children and adolescents are positively associated with CMR factors, including higher HOMA-IR, fasting values of insulin, hs-CRP, C-peptide, TGs, ALT and HbA1c, SDS of DBP and SBP, BMI SDS, and BF%. This elevation in GLP-1 concentrations is directly associated with increased odds of exhibiting CMR features such as insulin resistance and, to a lesser degree, dyslipidemia, increased ALT, hyperglycemia, and hypertension. The associations with markers of dysmetabolism appear in part to depend on fasting insulin concentrations.

Significant findings should be confirmed in replication studies. Future studies should aim to examine the mechanisms in longitudinal settings, including lipotoxicity and the role of additional inflammatory factors contributing to associations of GLP-1 with childhood overweight/obesity. Genetic studies should be performed, including mendelian randomization to investigate the possible causal role of fasting GLP-1 in the development of insulin resistance, overweight/obesity, and enhanced CMR profiles.

**Acknowledgments**

This study is part of the research activities in TARGET (The Impact of our Genomes on Individual Treatment Response in Obese Children), Tripartite Immunometabolism Consortium (TiIC), and MicrobLiver. The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research is an independent research center at the University of Copenhagen partially funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation. This research has been conducted using the Danish National Biobank resource, supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation.

The authors would like to acknowledge the contribution by the staff at the Department of Biochemistry at Copenhagen University Hospital Holbæk, and especially thank Birgitte Holløse and Tanja Larsen for their assistance with blood sampling and database logistics, Pia Ø. Lind, and Thomas Nyegaard Beck for their technical assistance.

**Financial Support:** This work was supported by the Innovation Fund Denmark (grant No. 0603-00484B; TARGET), the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant No. NNF15OC0016544), the MicrobLiver Challenge (grant No. NNF15OC0016692), the Danish Heart Foundation (grant No. 18-R125-A8447), and the Novo Nordisk Foundation Copenhagen Bioscience PhD Programme, (grant No. NNF18CC0033668).

**Clinical Trial Information:** The Danish Childhood Obesity Biobank, ClinicalTrials.gov identifier number NCT00928473, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00928473 (registered June 2009).

**Additional Information**

**Correspondence:** Torben Hansen, MD, PhD, The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, DK-2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark. Email: torben.hansen@sund.ku.dk.

**Disclosures:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

**Data Availability:** Restrictions apply to the availability of some or all data generated or analyzed during this study to preserve patient confidentiality. The corresponding author will on request detail the restrictions and any conditions under which access to some data may be provided.

**References**


47. Sørensen TI, Virtue S, Vidal-Puig A. Obesity as a clinical and public health problem: is there a need for a new definition based on lipotoxicity effects? Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1801(3):400-404.


