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Background and purpose: Adjuvant radiotherapy of internal mammary nodes (IMN) improves survival in high-risk early breast cancer patients but inevitably leads to more dose to heart and lung. Target coverage is often compromised to meet heart/lung dose constraints. We estimate heart and lung dose when target coverage is not compromised in consecutive patients. These estimates are used to guide the choice of selection criteria for the randomised Danish Breast Cancer Group (DBCG) Proton Trial.

Materials and methods: 179 breast cancer patients already treated with loco-regional IMN radiotherapy from 18 European departments were included. If the clinically delivered treatment plan did not comply with defined target coverage requirements, the plan was modified retrospectively until sufficient coverage was reached. The choice of selection criteria was based on the estimated number of eligible patients for different heart and lung dose thresholds in combination with proton therapy capacity limitations and dose–response relationships for heart and lung.

Results: Median mean heart dose was 3.0 Gy (range, 1.1–8.2 Gy) for left-sided and 1.4 Gy (0.4–11.5 Gy) for right-sided treatment plans. Median V17Gy/V20Gy (hypofractionated/normofractionated plans) for ipsilateral lung was 31% (9–57%). The DBCG Radiotherapy Committee chose mean heart dose and/or lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥ 37% as thresholds for inclusion in the randomised trial. Using these
1. Introduction

Irradiation of lymph nodes including the internal mammary nodes (IMN) improves overall and disease-free survival rates in high-risk breast cancer patients in a meta-analysis of the randomised EORTC 22922/10925 and NCIC-CTG MA.20 trials [1–4]. This is consistent with results from the population-based Danish Breast Cancer Group (DBCG) IMN trial demonstrating that IMN irradiation improves overall survival and reduces breast cancer mortality [5]. However, IMN irradiation can cause larger radiation exposure to the heart which in turn increases the risk of radiation-induced toxicity [6–10]. Likewise, lymph node irradiation increases the dose to the lung which can lead to second lung cancer [11,12]. Modern radiotherapy techniques can improve the balance between target coverage and exposure to heart and lung [13–15]. Still, it is sometimes necessary to compromise the IMN coverage to comply with dose constraints for heart and lung defined in treatment planning guidelines. Proton therapy has not been widely used for adjuvant breast cancer radiotherapy, thus the evidence supporting its clinical use is limited [16–23]. The properties of spot-scanning proton therapy allow adequate target coverage and low dose to the heart and lung compared with photon radiotherapy, independently of patient anatomy as demonstrated in a comparative planning study with 41 consecutive high-risk breast cancer patients [24]. Hence, there is no need for immediate individual proton therapy comparison if a photon radiotherapy plan has a high heart or lung dose. Recent treatment planning studies show limited benefit of spot-scanning proton therapy in deep inspiration breath-hold (DIBH) versus free breathing for patients with breast cancer [25,26].

The majority of breast cancer patients receive exemplary treatment with state-of-the-art photon radiotherapy techniques [13–15], but a subgroup of patients may have an additional clinically relevant benefit from proton therapy [24–29]. The strategy in the DBCG Proton Trial is to randomise highly selected patients between photon radiotherapy and proton therapy (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04291378) [30]. The DBCG Radiotherapy Committee decided that patients with treatment plans showing high dose to heart and/or lung to fulfil adequate target coverage should be offered participating in the trial. No treatment plan comparison will be needed before randomisation in the trial and assuming that proton therapy provides low exposure of heart and lung [24]. Compromises in target coverage to reduce dose to heart or lung are allowed after randomisation in the photon therapy plan, because that reflects clinical practice according to the DBCG guidelines.

In this study, we estimate heart and lung dose when target coverage is not compromised in consecutive breast cancer patients receiving loco-regional irradiation to guide the choice of dose selection criteria for the DBCG Proton Trial. The choice of dose selection criteria was based on these heart and lung dose estimates in combination with capacity limitations for proton therapy and considerations of dose–response relationships for heart and lung. The trial is open for international participation, and the trial protocol is available here [30].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

Patient data were collected retrospectively from 18 radiotherapy departments in six European countries including all seven Danish departments (see list of departments in Supplementary Materials). Breast cancer patients who were treated with whole breast or chest wall loco-regional radiotherapy including IMN were included in the study. Tumour bed boosts were allowed. Each department identified in May/June 2018 the five most recently treated patients with left-sided breast cancer and the five most recently treated patients with right-sided breast cancer for the retrospective analysis. If patients were re-planned during the course, the plan used for most fractions was chosen. Target contour delineations were performed following the ESTRO consensus guidelines [31]. Planning target volumes (PTV) in 3DCRT were not used in all centres; a distance of 10 mm between CTV and multileaf collimator (MLC) was applied instead.

2.2. Treatment plan modification

If fully or partly field-based planning was used (i.e. planned without target contours), missing target contours were delineated retrospectively for the purpose of this study. Target contours were re-delineated if they had been delineated without following the ESTRO consensus guidelines. Treatment plans were modified if they did not comply with the DBCG constraints below for the clinical target volumes (CTV):

a) 95% of the IMN CTV covered by 90% dose
b) 95% of the lymph node CTV covered by 90% dose
c) 95% of the whole breast CTV or chest wall CTV covered by 95% dose

Treatment plan modifications were made at the treating department. Modifications for 3D conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT) technique were performed by re-fitting the MLC to cover the whole target if parts of the target had been shielded by the MLC to reduce dose to heart or lung (see Fig. 1). Extra segments were added if the modified treatment plan still did not comply with the defined target constraints. Treatment plan modifications for inverse planning techniques were made by re-optimising the plan such that it met the defined target constraints.

2.3. Data reporting

Dose-volume data were reported as DICOM files through the Danish treatment plan bank (seven departments), by text files with dose-volume histogram data (five departments) or by dose reporting scheme (six departments) during May and June 2018. See dose reporting schemes in Table A1–A3 in Supplementary Materials. The following additional information about the treatment was reported: laterality, surgery, irradiated lymph node levels, number of intercostal spaces in the IMN CTV, dose-fractionation scheme, boost, treatment planning technique, use of breath-hold or gating.
and whether the treatment plan had been modified to meet the defined target constraints. Dose-volume data from treatment plans prior to modification were not included. Mean doses to heart and lung were re-normalised to prescription dose of 40 Gy such that mean doses from 50 Gy plans were reduced to 80% in Fig. A1 in Supplementary Material.

2.4. Choice of patient selection criteria

The DBCG Radiotherapy Committee chose to focus on heart and lung dose estimates from departments having 3DCRT and DIBH or enhanced inspiration gating (EIG) [15] as standard since these techniques by far are the most predominant in all Danish departments. To simplify the use of the selection criteria in daily clinical routine, the Committee decided that the dose thresholds should be the same for normofractionated and moderate hypofractionated dose-fractionation schemes and that the thresholds should be integer numbers. To guide the choice of patient selection criteria, the DBCG Radiotherapy Committee balanced the estimated number of eligible patients for different dose thresholds with limitations in capacity for proton therapy at the Danish Centre for Particle Therapy and the current knowledge of dose–response relationships for heart [6–10] and lung [11,12]. The assumptions regarding the number of eligible patients needed were as follows: We assumed that the majority of patients with excessive heart and lung exposure would be patients requiring loco-regional irradiation and additional patients with synchronous bilateral breast cancer or with complex anatomy, such as pectus excavatum [32]. The capacity limitation at Danish Centre for Particle Therapy was approximately 100 patients per year corresponding to 200 patients included in the trial each year when randomising patients 1:1. We assumed that roughly 75% of the eligible patients would willing to participate in the trial. More than 3500 breast cancer patients receive adjuvant radiotherapy in Denmark each year, and approximately 35% of the patients have indication for loco-regional irradiation. Following these assumptions, we should identify roughly 22% of the patients requiring loco-regional irradiation (corresponding to approximately 8% of all breast cancer patients referred to radiotherapy) as candidates for proton therapy.

3. Results

A total of 180 breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant loco-regional radiotherapy including the IMN were included in the study. One patient was excluded due to technical problems with data reporting. The prescription dose ranged from 39.9 Gy to 51.52 Gy in 15 to 28 fractions. Planning techniques included 3DCRT and several inversely optimised techniques: volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT), hybrid planning technique (combination of 3DCRT and VMAT), intensity modulated tomotherapy and step & shoot intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) + static supraclavicular field. Sixty-three percent of the patients were treated in DIBH or EIG. Nine of the participating departments aimed at treating patients with 3DCRT using DIBH/EIG; 98% of the patients from these nine departments were treated with 3DCRT and 93% of them were treated using DIBH/EIG. Forty out of 90 plans were normofractionated and 50 were hypofractionated. The remaining nine departments treated mainly patients with inverse optimised techniques; 98% of these patients were treated with inverse optimised techniques, and 31% of the patients were treated using DIBH/EIG.

Target contours were (re-)delineated in 30 treatment plans from three departments, and these plans were all modified to fulfil the DBCG target coverage constraints. Additional 41 treatment plans needed modification to comply with the target coverage constraints. Treatment characteristics are provided in Table 1.

It was not possible to achieve the required coverage of the whole breast or chest wall CTV (95% of the whole breast or chest wall CTV should be covered by 95% dose) for five patients in whom V95% (the volume percentage that received 95% of the prescription dose) ranged from 87% to 94% due to underdosage in superficial parts of the target.

In the revised treatment plans, median mean heart dose was 3.0 Gy (range, 1.1 to 8.2 Gy) for left-sided breast cancer and 1.4 Gy (0.4 to 11.5 Gy) for right-sided breast cancer (see Fig. 2). Median mean heart dose was 2.8 Gy (1.1 to 7.4 Gy) for left-sided breast cancer using DIBH or EIG (35% of all patients) and 5.2 Gy (2.2 to 8.2 Gy) for left-sided breast cancer not using DIBH or EIG (15% of all patients). Median mean dose to ipsilateral lung was 13.4 Gy (5.1 to 24.9 Gy). Median V17Gy/V20Gy (V17Gy for hypofractionated plans and V20Gy for normofractionated plans) for ipsilateral lung was 31% (9 to 57%). Fig. A1 in Supplementary Material.
Material shows mean doses for heart and ipsilateral lung re-normalised to a prescription dose of 40 Gy in 15 fractions.

The percentage of plans exceeding several heart and lung dose thresholds in departments having 3DCRT and DIBH/EIG as standard can be seen in Table 2. The DBCG Radiotherapy Committee decided that the patient selection criteria for the DBCG Proton Trial were mean heart dose ≥ 4 Gy and/or ipsilateral lung V17Gy/V20 Gy ≥ 37% corresponding to 22% of the patients (20 out of 90 patients) being eligible for the trial. Twelve of these 20 patients had left-sided breast cancer, 11 had a mean heart dose ≥ 4 Gy (9 out of 11 had left-sided breast cancer) and 12 had lung V17Gy/V20 Gy ≥ 37%. For the nine departments using inversely optimised treatment techniques as standard, 54% of the patients had mean heart dose ≥ 4 Gy and/or lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥ 37%. In total, 68 of out of 179 patients exceeded the dose thresholds for heart and lung defined in patient selection criteria for the DBCG Proton Trial.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left-sided breast cancer</td>
<td>89 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-sided breast cancer</td>
<td>90 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose-fractionation scheme*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Gy in 25 fractions</td>
<td>69 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Gy in 15 fractions</td>
<td>101 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dose-fractionation schemes</td>
<td>9 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour bed boost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes§</td>
<td>112 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>67 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3DCRT</td>
<td>90 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMAT</td>
<td>26 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>17 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity modulated tomotherapy</td>
<td>35 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step &amp; shoot IMRT + static SCF</td>
<td>11 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBH or EIG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes§</td>
<td>30 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>149 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified treatment plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes§</td>
<td>71 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>108 (60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

This retrospective study estimates heart and lung doses when target coverage is not compromised in 179 high-risk breast cancer patients receiving loco-regional IMN radiotherapy. Sixty percent of the departments used heart dose thresholds as standard, 54% of the patients had mean heart dose ≥ 4 Gy and/or lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥ 37%. For the nine departments using inversely optimised treatment techniques as standard, 54% of the patients had mean heart dose ≥ 4 Gy and/or lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥ 37%. In total, 68 of out of 179 patients exceeded the dose thresholds for heart and lung defined in patient selection criteria for the DBCG Proton Trial.
term cancer survivors [2,4,5]. This means that even small excess absolute risks for late radiation-induced toxicity should be considered. The study by Darby et al. estimates that a mean heart dose of 4 Gy in a 50-year-old breast cancer patient with cardiac risk factor before the age of 80 years from 8.0% to 10.2% and increase her risk of death from ischemic heart disease before the age of 80 years from 3.4% to 4.3% [6]. Likewise, the study by Taylor et al. estimates that a mean lung dose of 5 Gy to the whole lung in a 50-year-old ever-smoker breast cancer patient would increase her risk of death from lung cancer before age of 80 years from 9.4% to 13.8% [12].

The DBCG Radiotherapy Committee did consider using predicted benefit in normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) for patient selection in the DBCG Proton Trial following the Dutch model-based proton selection strategy [36,37] of radiation-induced ischemic heart disease as criteria. In the Netherlands breast cancer patients can be referred to proton therapy if they have a predicted DNTCP/C21 >2% of acute coronary event at age 80 as defined in the study by Darby et al. [6]. Model-based selection allows the inclusion of patient-specific factors, such as age and cardiac risk factors. However, it was decided to use only dosimetric parameters due to large uncertainties in the risk modelling (especially, if including cardiac risk factors). Hopefully, the extensive data collection of baseline information about lifestyle and comorbidities in the DBCG Proton Trial will provide data for establishing NTCP models in the future. The UK Breast Proton Beam Therapy Group will use internationally harmonised dose thresholds (mean heart dose of ≥4 Gy and/or ipsilateral lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥37%) in the trial PARABLE (Proton beAm theRApy in patients with Breast cancer: Evaluating early and Late-Effects). The committee acknowledges that there is currently no optimal objective answer to the best patient selection criteria for proton therapy. The DBCG Proton Trial has recruited patients since June 2020. In conclusion, breast cancer patients (including patients referred to breast only radiotherapy or with bilateral breast cancer) with a mean heart dose of ≥4 Gy and/or ipsilateral lung V17Gy/V20Gy ≥37% are candidates for the randomised DBCG Proton Trial. We estimate that 22% of all patients requiring loco-regional IMN radiotherapy will be eligible for the trial in departments treating with 3DCRT in breath-hold.
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