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Abstract

Understanding the origin of chondritic components and their accretion pathways is critical to unraveling the magnitude of mass transport in the protoplanetary disk, as well as the accretionary history of the terrestrial planet region and, by extension, its prebiotic inventory. Here we trace the heritage of pristine components from the relatively unaltered CV chondrite Leoville through their mass-independent Cr and mass-dependent Zn isotope compositions. Investigating these chondritic fractions in such detail reveals an onion-shell structure of chondrules, which is characterized by 54Cr- and 66Zn-poor cores surrounded by increasingly 54Cr- and 66Zn-rich igneous rims and an outer coating of fine-grained dust. This is interpreted as a progressive addition of 54Cr- and 66Zn-rich, CI-like material to the accretion region of these carbonaceous chondrites. Our findings show that the observed Cr isotopic range in chondrules from more altered CV chondrites is the result of chemical equilibration between the chondrules and matrix during secondary alteration. The 54Cr-poor nature of the cores of Leoville chondrules implies formation in the inner solar system and subsequent massive outward chondrule transport past the Jupiter barrier. At the same time, CI-like dust is transferred inward. We propose that the accreting Earth acquired CI-like dust through this mechanism within the lifetime of the disk. This radial mixing of the chondrules and matrix shows the limited capacity of Jupiter to act as an efficient barrier and maintain the proposed noncarbonaceous and carbonaceous chondrite dichotomy over time. Finally, also considering current astrophysical models, we explore both inner and outer solar system origins for the CV chondrite parent body.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Protoplanetary disks (1300)

1. Introduction

Chondrites are fragments of primitive planetesimals and represent sedimentary agglomerates comprising the solar system’s oldest and least altered planetary building blocks. They primarily consist of three components, namely, chondrules, matrix, and less abundant refractory inclusions, which represent the first formed solids in the protoplanetary disk (Connelly et al. 2012). Chondrules are spherical objects believed to have formed from the melting of fine-floating dust agglomerates during transient heating events in the disk. The matrix present in chondrites is thought to consist of relatively fine-grained, unaltered material that surrounds the other chondrite components. Collectively, chondrites and their components provide a unique time window into the early evolution of the gaseous protoplanetary disk when the planets accreted their main masses. These objects are typically divided into noncarbonaceous (NC) and carbonaceous chondrites (CC), and it has been suggested that the former accreted in the terrestrial planet region (i.e., sunward of Jupiter), whereas the latter accreted in the accretion region of gas-giant planets. This divergent heritage of chondrites is based on the relatively volatile-rich nature of CCs, the distribution of chondritic components in the chondrite groups, and their distinct isotope systematics relative to NCs (Warren 2011; Buddle et al. 2016).

The proposed early establishment of such a dichotomy through the rapid accretion of Jupiter (Kruijer et al. 2017) implies limited or no chemical and/or isotopic exchange between the NC and CC reservoirs. This model is in line with the proposed elemental and isotopic complementarity between the chondrules and matrix, which suggests that chondrite components are genetically linked and formed from a common disk reservoir. Both the complementarity (Hezel & Palme 2010) and the dichotomy model do not allow for mass transport in the protoplanetary disk but view planetary accretion within a more static environment, where planetary building blocks are sampled within the direct vicinity of the accreting object. The assumed absence of significant mass transport between the inner terrestrial planet accretion region and the outer solar system is inconsistent with recent isotopic evidence indicating protracted accretion of volatile-rich matter to the terrestrial planets during the lifetime of the protoplanetary disk (Schiller et al. 2020, 2018). In detail, the nucleosynthetic Ca and Fe isotope composition of the Earth requires mixing between an inner solar system–derived end-member and a CI-like end-member from the outer solar system. The CI (Ivuna-type) chondrites are volatile-rich (i.e., rich in water and organics) meteorites closely matching the composition of the solar photosphere and consist mainly of fine-grained dust (i.e., matrix). Although it has been postulated that a sharp Mo isotopic dichotomy exists between inner and outer solar system
objects (Budde et al. 2016), the observation that Earth falls in between NC and CC trends (Budde et al. 2019) appears to contradict the view that these two reservoirs existed in the inner and outer solar system, respectively, and maintained isolation from each other. This observation has been interpreted as evidence for an outer solar system origin for the Moon-forming impactor, which was admixed to Earth’s mantle during a giant impact. However, this hypothesis is unlikely, since the Moon and Earth are isotopically nearly identical for most elements (Zhang et al. 2012; Mastrobuono-Battisti et al. 2015). Alternatively, the Mo isotope composition of Earth may reflect progressive admixing of volatile-rich matter to the inner solar system as inferred from the terrestrial Fe and Ca nucleosynthetic compositions. A better understanding of the extent of mass transport between the NC and CC reservoirs is critical to elucidate Earth’s accretion history, including the nature of volatiles and prebiotic molecules delivered to our planet. For example, in a model of limited mass transport between the inner and outer solar system, delivery of abundant prebiotic molecules such as complex organic compounds critical to life occurs by stochastic processes late in the history of the terrestrial planets. In contrast, progressive inward mass transport of outer solar system material during the disk lifetime raises the possibility that the delivery of prebiotic molecules to the terrestrial planets is a natural consequence of their main accretion phase, rather than a stochastic coincidence.

Recent astronomical observations of young protoplanetary disks and planet formation simulations suggest that the growth of rocky planets occurs via the highly efficient mechanism of pebble accretion, that is, the accretion of millimeter- to centimeter-sized particles onto planetesimal seeds during the ∼5 Myr protoplanetary disk lifetime (Johansen et al. 2015, 2021). In the inner solar system, this process is believed to be driven by the accretion of millimeter-sized chondrules. Both the petrology and individual Pb–Pb ages of chondrules (Bollard et al. 2017) indicate that these objects experienced multiple melting events. For example, igneous rims surrounding chondrule cores in CV (Vigarano-type) chondrules record the accretion of additional dust to the chondrules followed by a heating event that likely postdates the melting of the corresponding cores (Rubin & Wasson 1987; van Kooten & Moyney 2019). Moreover, chondrules are often characterized by fine-grained dust rims (FGRs), which surround the igneous rims and represent an addition of even more pristine dust to the chondrule system. Thus, individual chondrules are time-sequenced samples that can provide insights into the secular composition and isotopic evolution of disk solids that accreted to planets. In particular, the onion-shell structure of a chondrule and its rims can be regarded as a miniature planet and its feeding zones. Thus, by sampling the individual accretion layers of the chondrule system, it is possible to reconstruct the flux of material in the early solar system during the time when the terrestrial planets acquired their main mass.

Here we have sampled chondrule cores and their igneous rims, as well as their FGRs and the more coarse-grained intrachondrule matrix (ICM) from the relatively unaltered CV3.1 chondrite Leoville. The aim is to investigate their genetic heritage and volatile-related processes through mass-independent Cr and mass-dependent Zn isotope systematics, respectively. In detail, nucleosynthetic Cr isotope signatures have been used on bulk chondrites and chondrules in the past to trace the origin of their precursor materials within the protoplanetary disk (Trinquier et al. 2007; Warren 2011; Olsen et al. 2016; van Kooten et al. 2016), whereas Zn isotopes have been used as a probe for the evaporation and condensation processes of chondrites and chondrules (Luck et al. 2005; Pringle et al. 2017; van Kooten & Moyney 2019). Hence, combining these isotope systems will provide us with useful information regarding the formation location and corresponding thermodynamic conditions of various chondritic components, as well as the degree and direction of their inferred mass transport. The CV chondrites have been chosen for this study because of the large size of their components relative to other CCs, which allows for detailed sampling. We show that from such a detailed investigation of one of the least altered CV chondrites, a completely new picture emerges for the accretion history of the CV chondrite parent body that impacts our understanding of the accretion history of terrestrial planets.

2. Results

2.1. The Petrology and Compositions of Leoville Fractions

We have investigated the petrology and elemental composition of Leoville fractions using high-resolution backscattered electron (BSE) images, elemental maps, laser ablation (LA), and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) analyses (for a detailed description, see Appendix B and Tables 3 and 4) in this and previous studies (van Kooten et al. 2019; van Kooten & Moyney 2019). Our data demonstrate that Leoville, classified as a reduced CV3.1 chondrite (Bonal et al. 2016), is one of the least altered CV chondrites (Figure 1 versus Figure 2) when compared to other CV chondrites such as Vigarano (CV3.1–3.4) and Allende (CV3.6). Here we focus on chondrules with simple core–igneous rim pairs (Figures 1 and 6). All chondrules are surrounded by FGRs, and the space between the FGRs is occupied by ICM, which includes chondrule fragments and larger sulfide and metal grains. We note that for more altered CV chondrites, such as Vigarano and Allende, these two types of matrix become indistinguishable (Figure 2). The fluid-assisted thermal metamorphism results in a coarsening and dehydration of the entire matrix toward a homogeneous texture of phyllosilicates and larger grains of anhydrous Ca-rich pyroxene. This alteration begins with the leaching of siderophile elements from the oxidized/sulfurized metal into the matrix and modification of the chondrule mesostasis (Figure 2(b)) and concludes with the larger-scale elemental exchange between the chondrules and matrix (Figures 2(d) and 2(f)). Furthermore, we observe a transfer of a sulfur-rich matrix to the production of sulfide grains in the chondrules (Figure 2(e); van Kooten & Moyney 2019). The pristine Leoville chondrite overall lacks these alteration features; thus, by focusing on this chondrite, we can be confident that the chondrules and their rims record primary chemical and isotopic information.

2.2. Cr Isotope Signatures of Leoville Components

We have measured the Cr isotope compositions of 11 ICM fragments and one FGR (Appendix A for detailed methods, Table 1, Figure 3), which have also been analyzed for their Zn isotope compositions on the same aliquots. Given that the average thickness of the FGRs is ∼100 μm, which is comparable to that of the microdrill bits, it was not possible to sample additional FGRs without contamination from
surrounding materials. However, we note that the chemical composition of the FGRs in Leoville and similarly unaltered sections of Vigarano is identical, and, as such, the obtained isotope compositions of the FGRs are likely representative of CV chondrites in general (van Kooten et al. 2019). All errors reported here are 2 sigma standard deviation from the mean value (2SD). We distinguish between clean and contaminated (chondrule fragments present) ICM (see Appendix B). We show that the average $\epsilon^{54}\text{Cr}$ value of the clean ICM (0.70‰ ± 0.37‰) is indistinguishable from that of our bulk CV chondrite value of 0.81‰ ± 0.28‰ and average literature values of 0.86‰ ± 0.06‰. The $^{55}\text{Mn}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratios (0.59 ± 0.24) and $^{53}\text{Cr}$ ($^{53}\text{Cr}$ being the decay product of $^{53}\text{Mn}$ with a half-life of 3.74 Myr) signatures (0.20‰ ± 0.51‰) of the clean ICM are also consistent with a bulk CV chondrite signature. The contaminated ICM is more variable and ranges between $-2.20 ± 0.35‰$ and $0.51 ± 0.23‰$, with most $^{52}\text{Cr}$ values being negative (Table 1). The FGR has an $\epsilon^{54}\text{Cr}$ value of 1.26‰ ± 0.32‰, which is within the error of the CI chondrite value of 1.54‰ ± 0.30‰ measured in this study and the

---

Figure 1. The BSE images and Mg–Ca–Al–S (red–green–blue–yellow) elemental maps from the Leoville (CV3.1) chondrules and matrix. (a) Various components of Leoville, including (1) the chondrule core with a barred olivine texture (sample Ach4); (2) the metal/sulfide rim around the core; (3) the igneous rim with forsterite, low-Ca pyroxene, and abundant metal/sulfide grains; (4) an FGR; and (5) the ICM. (b) Elemental map of panel (a), where yellow reflects the sulfides. The bright blue grain in the upper right corner is a CAI. (c) Close-up of panel (a), in which the distinction between an FGR and ICM is highlighted. (d) An AI-rich chondrule (sample Bch6) with a single olivine grain and pyroxenes, surrounded by a metal rim and an FGR. The distinction between an FGR and ICM is highlighted. (e) Elemental map of panel (d). (f) Close-up of panel (d), which highlights the borders between three FGRs and the ICM. For more images of Leoville components sampled in this study, see Appendix B.
literature values (Trinquier et al. 2007; Qin et al. 2010; Schiller et al. 2014). Moreover, its $\varepsilon^{53}$Cr signature ($0.24^{\pm}0.12^{\%}e$) and $^{55}$Mn/$^{52}$Cr ratio of 0.86 also correspond to CI chondrites (Qin et al. 2010; Shukolyukov & Lugmair 2006; van Kooten et al. 2020). The type I chondrule cores have an average $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr of $-0.58^{\%}e \pm 0.16^{\%}e$ ($n = 13, 2SD$), with a range between $-1.00^{\%}e \pm 0.30^{\%}e$ and $-0.09^{\%}e \pm 0.30^{\%}e$. This overlaps with the range of previous individual CV chondrule Cr isotope analyses ($\varepsilon^{54}$Cr = $-0.79^{\%}e \pm 0.10^{\%}e$ to $2.01^{\%}e \pm 0.10^{\%}e$, Olsen et al. 2016), but the distribution of the $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr values and the average obtained for the Leoville chondrules is not in agreement with previous data sets (Kadlag et al. 2019; Olsen et al. 2016; Williams et al. 2020). In detail, the average $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr values of the Leoville chondrule cores are significantly more negative than for Vigarano chondrules ($\varepsilon^{54}$Cr = $0.26^{\%}e \pm 0.28^{\%}e$, $n = 10$; Olsen et al. 2016), NWA 3118 ($\varepsilon^{54}$Cr = $0.77^{\%}e \pm 0.28^{\%}e$, $n = 6$; Olsen et al. 2016), and bulk Allende chondrules ($\varepsilon^{54}$Cr = $0.90^{\%}e \pm 0.28^{\%}e$, $n = 100$; Kadlag et al. 2019). The $\varepsilon^{53}$Cr signatures of the chondrule cores are $-0.20^{\%}e \pm 0.09^{\%}e$, within the error of the solar system initial $\varepsilon^{53}$Cr value of $-0.18^{\%}e$ (Göpel et al. 2015), and the cores have an
### Table 1
Mass-independent Cr and Mass-dependent Zn Isotope Data of Leoville Components and Reference Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Materials</th>
<th>Aliquots</th>
<th>δ⁵⁷Zn</th>
<th>ε⁵⁴Cr</th>
<th>ε⁵³Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NWA 12523 (CV bulk)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>± 0.19</td>
<td>± 0.28</td>
<td>± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>± 0.23</td>
<td>± 0.15</td>
<td>± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>± 0.09</td>
<td>± 0.01</td>
<td>± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHVO-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>± 0.08</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach1</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach7</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
<td>± 0.39</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach8</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.85</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach9</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>± 0.13</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch3</td>
<td>Al-rich core</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch6</td>
<td>Al-rich core</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>± 0.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach2</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.77</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.77</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach1mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach2mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach3mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach7mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmx1</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmx2</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmx3</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo2ch1mx1</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo2ch1mx2</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo2ch2mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo2ch3mx</td>
<td>IC mx</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch1mx</td>
<td>FGR</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch2mx</td>
<td>FGR</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cores (WM) | −0.84 | ± 0.10| −0.58 | ± 0.16| −0.20 | ± 0.09|
| Rims (WM)  | −0.01 | ± 0.18| −0.11 | ± 0.26| −0.32 | ± 0.07|
| FGR        | 0.24  | ± 0.12| 1.26  | ± 0.32| 0.24  | ± 0.12|
| ICM (clean)| −0.10 | ± 0.14| 0.70  | ± 0.37| 0.20  | ± 0.51|

Note. For Cr isotope analyses, in the case of samples measured on multiple filaments, the error is taken as the 2SD of the weighted mean; otherwise, the error reflects the external reproducibility of the measurements taken from the standards (30 ppm [2SD] on ε⁵³Cr). WM = weighted mean. An asterisk indicates data from van Kooten & Moynier (2019). The column “aliquots” for the standards reflects the number of aliquots processed through chemistry (see Appendix A for a detailed explanation).
average $^{55}\text{Mn}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of 0.20 ± 0.14. The five igneous rims have higher $^{54}\text{Cr}$ values with an average of 0.10‰ ± 0.24‰ and ranging between −0.46‰ ± 0.30‰ and 0.22‰ ± 0.30‰. The $^{54}\text{Cr}$ value of each individual chondrule rim is higher than that of the corresponding core (Table 1). The $^{53}\text{Cr}$ signatures of rims vary little and average −0.32‰ ± 0.11‰, despite exhibiting variable $^{55}\text{Mn}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratios between 0.10 and 0.77. Finally, we have measured two bulk chondrules (i.e., core with igneous rim) with $^{54}\text{Cr}$ values of 0.02‰ ± 0.40‰ and 0.09‰ ± 0.18‰ and two Al-rich chondrules with $^{54}\text{Cr}$ values of −1.30‰ ± 0.11‰ and 1.63‰ ± 0.30‰.

2.3. The Zn Isotope Compositions of Leoville Components

We have measured the Zn isotope compositions of 11 ICM fragments (see Appendix A for detailed methods). Previous measurements of the Leoville matrix include two FGRs (Table 1, Figure 7; van Kooten & Moynier 2019). Both $^{68}\text{Zn}$ values determined for FGRs are indistinguishable (0.14‰ ± 0.12‰ and 0.24‰ ± 0.12‰) and form an average of 0.19‰ ± 0.14‰. The ICM samples are generally lighter, with values ranging between −0.43‰ ± 0.12‰ and 0.14‰ ± 0.12‰. Samples regarded as representing pure ICM return an average $^{68}\text{Zn}$ composition of −0.10‰ ± 0.14‰, whereas contamination results in more variable $^{68}\text{Zn}$ values that also push the average to a lighter average value of −0.15‰ ± 0.44‰. We can relate these variations to chondrule/calcium-aluminum-rich inclusion (CAI) contamination or heterogeneities of the matrix. The positive end-member $^{68}\text{Zn}$ value of the ICM (0.14‰ ± 0.12‰) coincides with a large sulfide grain in the Bmx2 sample, whereas the more negative $^{68}\text{Zn}$ values correlate with observed chondrule contamination. Collectively, pure ICM has a light Zn isotope composition relative to the FGRs and the bulk CV chondrite, and the heaviest FGR (Ch2mx; Table 1) has a $^{68}\text{Zn}$ value that is within the error of CV and CI bulk chondrites. In addition to the matrix, we have sampled a large fraction of a chondrule core and two whole chondrules (i.e., combined core and igneous rim) to verify the Zn isotope compositions reported for singularly small samples from Leoville chondrules (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). These chondrule cores are very Zn-depleted, and previous data were obtained on only 1–5 ng of Zn, thus involving large blank contributions of about 20%. The Zn isotope analyes of a larger Leoville chondrule core (10 ng Zn) in this study shows that, after blank correction, the large core composition ($^{68}\text{Zn} = −0.94‰ ± 0.12‰$) is indistinguishable from the previous measurements ($^{68}\text{Zn} = −0.81‰ ± 0.18‰$; van Kooten & Moynier 2019). Moreover, the bulk chondrule compositions measured here are in agreement with previous analyses of Allende bulk chondrules (Pringle et al. 2017). Furthermore, we analyzed an Al-rich chondrule, which, in contrast to the other chondrule cores, has a positive $^{68}\text{Zn}$ value of 0.10‰ ± 0.12‰.

3. Discussion

3.1. The Effect of Secondary Alteration

Prior to making an attempt to understand the origin of chondritic components through their (nucleosynthetic) isotope systematics, it is of critical importance to recognize the effects of elemental and isotopic exchange between these components during secondary alteration (e.g., thermal metamorphism and/or aqueous alteration). Although the use of nucleosynthetic isotopes is often perceived as providing a means to assess the heritage of precursor materials to thermally processed chondritic components independent of secondary processes, this method is not assumption-free. Notably, isotopic fractionation occurs during elemental exchange between chondritic components, and the magnitude and kinetics of this fractionation are subject to the redox conditions, fluid composition, and
temperature of alteration. Given that alteration is typically a low-temperature process where equilibrium processes dominate, the resulting mass-dependent isotope fractionation is not necessarily appropriately accounted for by the typical kinetic mass fractionation laws applied to correct stable isotope data obtained by mass spectrometry. Indeed, mass-dependent isotope fractionation at low-temperature conditions, such as those experienced during moderate secondary alteration on CC parent bodies, theoretically and empirically results in large isotopic variations. Especially for the redox-sensitive chromium, extreme fractionations up to 7‰ (at 298 K, per 1 amu) have been predicted (Schauble et al. 2004). Since every 0.1‰ of fractionation can generate a potential bias on the mass-independent $^{54}$Cr of 0.04, the total effect of inappropriately corrected equilibrium isotope fractionation between chondritic components can potentially result in epsilon-level shifts in the $^{54}$Cr value (Schiller et al. 2014; van Kooten et al. 2017). The fact that Cr is highly mobile even at the earliest stages of alteration, which is highlighted by the fact that the chromium content and distribution in olivine phenocrysts from ordinary and CV chondrule chondrules has been used to determine the degree of thermal metamorphism (Grossman & Brearley 2005), reinforces that extreme caution is needed when utilizing the Cr isotope composition of the individual chondrules and matrix to make inferences about their genetic relationships.

What is unambiguous from our Cr isotope measurements of the Leoville chondrule cores is that their $^{54}$Cr values are significantly lower than those reported for chondrules from more altered CV chondrites. With an increasing degree of thermal metamorphism (Leoville < Vigarano < NWA 3118 ~ Allende), the average chondrule $^{54}$Cr value approaches that of the bulk CV chondrite (Figure 3). This suggests that the Cr isotope signatures of the chondrules and matrix are progressively homogenized during thermal metamorphism. The major implications of these results are that (1) the previously reported range of $^{54}$Cr values is to some extent the result of secondary alteration (Olsen et al. 2016; Kadlag et al. 2019), (2) the $^{53}$Cr values from chondrules used for isochrons in the Mn–Cr decay system need to be reassessed according to their level of alteration (Zhu et al. 2019), and (3) other isotope systems, such as Fe, W, and Mo, that are redox-sensitive may also need to be revisited. For example, stable Fe isotopes variations between the chondrules and matrix from the moderately altered CM chondrite Murchison have been interpreted in favor of chondrule–matrix complementarity (Hezel et al. 2018). Furthermore, complementary mass-independent isotope variations of W and Mo have been proposed between the chondrule and matrix from the altered CV chondrite Allende (Budde et al. 2016). We suggest that the Leoville chondrule cores, igneous rims, and matrix provide accurate constraints on the origin and formation mechanisms of these components through their Cr and Zn isotope signatures, respectively. 

3.2. The Origin of CV Chondrite Components

The first-order observation emerging from our work is that the chondrule-rim systems in the pristine Leoville CV chondrite span a range of $^{54}$Cr compositions that is similar to that observed for the solar system’s planets and asteroidal bodies, namely, from ureilite-like to CI-like signatures. The onion ring–like structure of individual chondrules records an increasingly $^{54}$Cr-rich composition from core to outer rim. This observation firmly establishes that NC and CC material coexisted in space and time, which has far-reaching implications for understanding mass transport processes and the origin of chondritic components that we discuss below.

In detail, the $^{54}$Cr values of Leoville chondrule cores define a relatively narrow and negative range compared to previous measurements (Olsen et al. 2016; Kadlag et al. 2019). Interestingly, the range of $^{54}$Cr values of the cores corresponds to that of inner solar system materials, such as eucrites, angrites, ordinary chondrites (Trinquier et al. 2007), and ureilites ($^{54}$Cr = $−0.91\%±0.15\%$; Zhu et al. 2020b), of which the latter are suggested to represent an end-member composition of planetary bodies that accreted in the terrestrial planet region (Schiller et al. 2018). The ureilite parent body likely formed <1 Myr after CAI formation (van Kooten et al. 2017), and its low bulk $^{54}$Cr value is interpreted to reflect (1) a formation location in the asteroid belt before injection of $^{54}$Cr-rich material into the protoplanetary disk (Goodrich et al. 2015) or (2) formation close the Sun, where its $^{54}$Cr value is the result of removal of the $^{54}$Cr-rich carrier, perhaps via thermal processing, from the dust (Larsen et al. 2011). However, since astrophysical models of injection of supernova-derived dust to the disk show a very low probability of explaining the high abundance of supernova-derived, short-lived radionucleides (and, by extension, $^{54}$Cr; Ouellette et al. 2010), it is more likely that the low $^{54}$Cr values of the chondrules reflect a formation location close to the proto Sun. Either way, the Cr isotope composition of Leoville chondrule cores establishes that these objects initially originated in the terrestrial planet formation region, as opposed to the outer solar system, which is characterized by positive $^{54}$Cr values (van Kooten et al. 2016). The oxygen isotope systematics of the least altered CV chondrite chondrules support relatively dry conditions during their final melting event (Hertwig et al. 2018), in agreement with an inner solar system origin of these chondrules. Furthermore, the Zn isotope compositions of the chondrule cores are remarkably similar (Table 1; van Kooten & Moyner 2019). The Zn stable isotope fractionation during chondrule formation is thought to occur through either volatile loss from the chondrule or partitioning of Zn to the metal/sulfide melt and subsequent metal-silicate separation. Hence, our data suggest a very similar formation mechanism for these chondrules from the same spatiotemporal reservoir. Exceptions to this are the Al-rich chondrules Bch6 and Bch3. The Al-rich chondrules are thought to have formed from CAI-like precursor materials (Zhang et al. 2014), which have very negative $^{66}$Zn values (Luck et al. 2005). In agreement with the presence of a CAI precursor, Bch3 has a very high $^{54}$Cr value (Zn isotope composition not measured). Chondrule Bch6 is distinct from this in that it has both the lowest $^{54}$Cr value measured here and a positive $^{66}$Zn value, unlike the more typical type I chondrules (Figure 4) and contrary to the prediction based on a CAI precursor. However, it is also possible that Bch6
The igneous rims have higher $\delta^{66}$Zn and $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr values compared to the chondrules cores. These rims are thought to represent chondritic dust that is thermally processed during transient heating events in the disk prior to accretion onto chondrule cores as volatile depleted forsterite-bearing grains (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). Subsequently, the grains react with surrounding volatile-rich gas, which progressively enriches the igneous rims in heavy Zn isotopes, as well as moderately volatile elements. This results in a near-chondritic elemental composition of the igneous rims, as well as forsterite relict grains overgrown by low-Ca pyroxene coexisting with troilite/metal assemblages. Based solely on the Zn isotope data, igneous rims may have formed concurrently with chondrule cores. However, the $^{54}$Cr enrichment of the rims suggests that they reflect separate and less energetic heating events than those that formed chondrule cores. During this second heating event, increasingly $^{54}$Cr-rich material was added to the chondrule growing reservoir. The higher $\varepsilon^{54}$Cr signature of chondrule rims relative to their cores cannot result from inappropriately corrected mass-dependent equilibrium isotope fractionation, since the estimated temperatures of igneous rim formation by sintering ($T < 1000$–$1200$ K; Jones et al. 2018; Rubin & Wasson 1987) are too high to cause significant fractionation during gas-melt interaction between CrO (liquid) in chondrule silicates and CrO$_2$ (gas), and the expected fractionation is predicted to result in a lighter final silicate (Sossi et al. 2018). Hence, the $^{54}$Cr enrichment of the rims over the cores must be the product of the addition of $^{54}$Cr-rich material to the chondrule-forming reservoir. This also implies that the stable isotope enrichment in $^{66}$Zn is not necessarily the product of gas-melt interaction but may also reflect a change in the chemical nature of the precursor materials. The presence of the igneous rims reflects the reheating of chondrules at relatively low temperatures in a less energetic disk environment with lower gas densities, which could have been (1) in an older disk and/or (2) at larger orbital distances. The FGRs that accreted around the chondrules have a very homogeneous CI-like chemical composition (van Kooten et al. 2019) and corresponding high $\delta^{54}$Cr and $\delta^{66}$Zn values. We note that even though we only measured the Cr isotope composition of a single FGR, the mass balance of all CV components requires FGRs to have CI-like Cr isotope signatures, since the chondrules and ICM have similar or lower $\delta^{54}$Cr values than bulk CV chondrites, and addition of
refractory solids is insufficient to explain the bulk value (Appendix C). Collectively, the nucleosynthetic Cr isotope systematics of the FGRs agree with being derived from pristine CI-like dust, and mass-dependent Zn isotope systematics suggest that the FGRs did not experience significant volatile gain or loss. This is in agreement with the model that the matrix and chondrules are not complementary to each other (van Kooten et al. 2019). Rather, our Cr isotope data suggest that the CV chondrule reservoir was increasingly enriched in CI-like dust from the outer solar system.

Finally, the Cr isotope composition of the pure ICM is indistinguishable from that of bulk CV chondrites (Figure 3), whereas the Zn isotope composition is typically lighter. This appears to be the result of an addition of CAI-like material to the ICM (Figure 4). Overall, petrological and isotopic characteristics suggest that the ICM represents a mixture of chondrule, CAI, and matrix fragments. The visually “contaminated” ICM including chondrule fragments typically has more negative Cr and Zn isotope values, with one of the matrix samples being significantly more negative than the chondrules. Similar to the Al-rich chondrule Bch6, this may be the result of the addition of FUN-CAI-like material. Collectively, the nature of the ICM implies that this material is not by itself a primary nebular product but reflects a mixture of all CV chondrite components. This, in turn, indicates that the CV ICM is a parent body feature that formed during accretion and physical erosion of the CV chondrite components. Hence, although the fine-grained dust within the ICM may still retain some primary features (Haenecour et al. 2018), the overall composition is not primary, and this material should not be used as such.

3.3. Massive Outward Transport of Inner Solar System Chondrules

The current paradigm proposes that CCs, including CV chondrites, formed in the outer solar system, beyond the accretion region of Jupiter. Convincing arguments in favor of this model include the petrologic and isotopic dichotomy of bulk NCs and CCs (e.g., Mo, O, Cr, Ca, and Ti; Budde et al. 2016; Schiller et al. 2018; Warren 2011). Additionally, previous Cr isotope measurements of individual CV chondrules have been interpreted to indicate that CV chondrules accreted in a spatially distinct reservoir from inner solar system materials (Olsen et al. 2016). At face value, our results suggest that CV chondrites do not contain outer solar system–derived chondrules but instead represent hybrid bodies consisting of inner solar system chondrule cores and outer solar system–derived CI-like dust. If CV chondrites are representative of other chondrule-bearing CCs, this implies that chondrules did not form beyond the accretion region of Jupiter. The exceptions to this are the metal-rich CCs (i.e., CR, CB, and CH), for which the chondrules contain exclusively 54Cr-rich outer solar system signatures. These chondrules likely formed beyond the accretion region of the gas giants (van Kooten et al. 2020). Hence, the major chondrule-forming factory may have been restricted to the inner solar system such that outer solar system chondrules only represent a minority of objects, perhaps formed by impacts (i.e., CH and CB; Krot et al. 2005) or bow shocks (i.e., CR; Morris et al. 2012; van Kooten et al. 2016) or in the vicinity of the giant planets (Bodénan et al. 2020).

Previous studies have proposed that CC chondrules are the product of outer solar system precursor dust mixed to various degrees with 54Cr-rich refractory inner solar system grains, such as spinels and/or another unknown carrier (Gerber et al. 2017; Williams et al. 2020). In this model, CC chondrules are generally viewed as outer solar system products with an incorporated “nugget effect.” In detail, CC chondrule formation is suggested to be in situ (i.e., where the CCs accreted) and ascribed to mixing, agglomeration, and subsequent heating of inner and outer solar system dust. If correct, this model predicts that a range of 54Cr values should be observed for CV chondrules. Although a compositional range has been reported for altered CV chondrites such as Allende and NWA 3118, we attribute this observation to secondary alteration (Figure 3). Our data based on the pristine Leoville CV chondrite firmly establish that chondrule cores are 54Cr-depleted and, as such, cannot have formed from outer solar system precursors. An important implication of our results is that massive outward transport of inner solar system chondrules to the accretion regions of CCs must have taken place during the early evolution of the protoplanetary disk (Figure 5, model 2). Thus, outward mass transport was not limited to refractory inclusions and micron-sized dust but rather included the most abundant chondrite component, namely, chondrules.

The U-corrected Pb–Pb age dating of inner solar system chondrules supports the hypothesis that these objects formed early and contemporaneously (Bollard et al. 2017; Connelly et al. 2012). Indeed, the absolute chronology of individual ordinary chondrite chondrules indicates that the bulk of these objects formed within 1 Myr of solar system formation. As discussed above, Leoville chondrule cores were likely formed in the inner solar system and presumably in the accretion region of uarellites, relatively close to the protosun. The Cr, O, and Zn isotope data of the most unaltered CV chondrule cores suggest that these components formed from a single population (Hertwig et al. 2018; this study). This is in agreement with the model of thermal processing, where the precursor material of these cores consisted of 54Cr-depleted thermally processed dust complementary to the 54Cr-rich gas from which the CV CAIs originally condensed (Larsen et al. 2011). Hence, similar to ordinary chondrite chondrules, the formation of CV chondrules may have overlapped with that of CAIs and was restricted to the first megayear of solar system formation (Bollard et al. 2017; Connelly et al. 2012). We note that the individual Pb–Pb ages of CV chondrules are rare and only exist for the moderately altered Allende (Connelly et al. 2012); These chondrules agreeably have ages that are indistinguishable from CAI formation. These age-dated Allende chondrules were selected based on their low degree of alteration and coincidentally also have negative 54Cr isotope signatures relative to Earth, in agreement with our results and interpretations.

Outward mass transport of solids is modeled to be most efficient in the earliest evolutionary stage of the protoplanetary disk either via stellar and disk outflows or, alternatively, during viscous expansion of the disk (Shu et al. 1996; Cuzzi et al. 2003; Ciesla 2007, 2010; Haugbølle et al. 2019). Thus, outward mass transport of inner solar system chondrules to the outer solar system likely occurred prior to the formation of Jupiter, that is, within the first megayear of disk evolution. Once the CV chondrules were transported and stored at the pressure trap created by a planetary gap opened by Jupiter, the chondrule cores were coated by a progressive addition of CI-like dust (Figure 5, model 2). The chondrules probably
experienced brief heating events that formed the igneous rims in the outer solar system. Finally, after the last coating of fine-grained dust, the CV chondrules ground together within rubble pile asteroids located at Jupiter’s pressure trap (Eriksson et al. 2020), thereby forming a second generation of dust: the ICM. These products cemented together, forming the CV chondrites. Importantly, Jupiter’s pressure trap does not prevent fine-grained dust from being transported through the gap (Haugbølle et al. 2019; Weber et al. 2018). This implies that if coating of chondrules by CI-like dust occurred beyond Jupiter’s orbit, the same process would be experienced by chondrules present in the terrestrial planet region. Hence, if Earth indeed accreted its main mass through pebble accretion, specifically by chondrules (Johansen et al. 2015), it would have been progressively enriched by CI-like material (Figure 5, model 2). This is in agreement with the Fe isotopic data that predict that a significant part of Earth’s mass should be CI-like (Schiller et al. 2020). Although the formation of Jupiter may have aided the storage of significant amounts of millimeter-sized solids such as inner solar system chondrules and refractory inclusion beyond its orbit, Jupiter did not prevent the mass transfer of outer solar system CI-like dust to the inner solar system. Thus, in contrast to current belief, the early formation of Jupiter had a limited impact on the compositional gradient that exists between inner and outer solar system bodies.

Figure 5. Schematic representation of a protoplanetary disk showing two chondrite accretion scenarios, with Jupiter as a barrier separating NC and CC (model 2) and without Jupiter (model 1). At \( t < 1 \) Myr, CV (pink spheres) and OC chondrule cores (red spheres) form in the inner solar system in different oxidizing environments. The OC chondrules form close to the water-ice sublimation front, where they rapidly accrete FGRs (Krot et al. 1997) within the ice line in a high dust-to-gas region (Ida & Guillot 2016). The CV chondrule cores and CAIs are transported outward to (model 2) beyond Jupiter’s accretion region at 3–5 au or (model 1) outside the ice line but within Jupiter’s initial core accretion region at >30 au (Öberg & Wordsworth 2019). The CR chondrules (blue spheres) form beyond the gas-giant orbits (van Kooten et al. 2020). Earth’s initial feeding zone consists of CV-like type I chondrules surrounded by metal rims and with ureilite Cr isotope signatures. This is the metal that forms Earth’s core and leaves the mantle depleted in Fe (Schiller et al. 2020). In model 1, at \( t < 2 \) Myr, CV CAIs and chondrules accrete CI-like FGRs outside of the stagnant water-ice line (see text for further details) and grow to form planetesimals (Ros & Johansen 2013). The CAIs and other millimeter-to-centimeter-sized objects are caught in the rapid pileup of material in planetesimals, and a significant fraction of these objects do not pass the ice-line barrier. In both models, at \( t < 3 \) Myr, as the accretion of NCs and CCs is finalized, the ice line migrates further inward, and the chondrules in Earth’s feeding zone can accrete CI-like dust rims. These dust rims accrete to Earth’s final mass during pebble accretion and result in the Earth having a CI-like Fe isotopic composition of its mantle (Schiller et al. 2020). The metal-rich CCs accrete beyond Saturn’s (model 2) or Jupiter’s (model 1) orbit.
3.4. A Potential Inner Solar System Accretion Region for CV Chondrites?

The previous section assumes that Jupiter posed as a barrier that prevented the macroscopic components from the CCs (i.e., chondrules and CAIs) from drifting into the inner solar system and, based on this assumption, that all CCs accreted beyond the orbit of Jupiter. However, considering our new results and the latest developments in astrophysical models, we explore whether the accretion region of CV chondrites and, by extension, that of other CCs is required to be in the outer solar system. Focusing solely on the Cr isotope compositions of unaltered CV chondrite components, it is not necessary to accrete CV chondrites in the outer solar system. Indeed, the observation that the $^{54}$Cr-poor compositions of the CV chondrules accrete CV chondrites in the outer solar system. Indeed, the observation that the $^{54}$Cr-poor compositions of the CV chondrule cores are mantled by increasingly more $^{54}$Cr-rich rims is consistent with the progressive addition of CI-like material to an inner solar system accretion region. If this is correct, then the other physicochemical constraints on the formation regions of NCs versus CCs should be met as well. Specifically, the observed isotopic and petrological dichotomy between these chondrite groups needs to be accounted for. For this purpose, we compare constraints from ordinary chondrites (NC) to those of CV chondrites (CC).

The makeup of ordinary chondrites may not be very different from CV chondrites. The sizes (Friedrich et al. 2015) and Cr isotope compositions of OC chondrules overlap with those of CV chondrule cores (Figure 3). Oxygen isotope analyses of the least altered OC and CV chondrules suggest that these chondrules formed in different disk reservoirs and/or at different times. The $\Delta^{17}$O values of the Semarkona, Bishunpur, and Krymka (LL3.00–3.1; Kita et al. 2010) chondrules define a narrow range of $\sim$0.7‰, whereas the Kaba chondrules (CV3.1ox) have $\Delta^{17}$O values below the terrestrial fractionation line and on the PCM slope between $-$8‰ and $-$4‰ (Hertwig et al. 2018), although a fraction of OC chondrules has $\Delta^{17}$O values similar to CV chondrules (Hertwig et al. 2018). A plausible interpretation of the $\Delta^{17}$O systematics of OC chondrules is that these objects originated in an oxidizing environment, where $^{16}$O-depleted gas interacted with silicate dust of a similar isotope composition further away from the protosun. Alternatively, the relatively high $\Delta^{17}$O values of the OC chondrules reflect a higher silicate/dust ratio in the chondrule-forming region (Tenner et al. 2015). Such environments are predicted to exist at the sublimation front of the water-ice line (Figure 5, model 1), which would have been located near the current asteroid belt at the time of ordinary chondrite accretion (Dodson-Robinson et al. 2009). Given that our $^{54}$Cr isotope data indicate an inner solar system origin for CV chondrules, a possibility is that the lower $\Delta^{17}$O values of these objects reflect a formation region sunward of the OC chondrule-forming region (Figure 5, model 1). Within the FGRs of OCs, microchondrules are observed that match the petrology and oxygen isotope systematics of their host chondrules (Dobrică & Brearley 2016; Krot et al. 1997). Therefore, the accretion of fine-grained dust around these chondrules must have been practically concurrent with chondrule formation in OCs and, hence, also occurred near the water-ice line (Figure 5, model 1). These early-formed millimeter-sized chondrules and their rims would have drifted sunward until they encountered either a physical trap (i.e., a pressure bump) or a region of increased pebble density (i.e., a pileup zone) to store/accrete them, since the relatively rapid rate of inward radial drift would not allow them to remain in the disk long enough to form planetesimals otherwise (Desch et al. 2017). A pileup of pebbles has been theorized to exist at the sublimation front of the water-ice line by accumulation of decelerating sublimated silicates, which are piled up to form regions of high dust-to-gas ratio and, finally, gravitationally collapse to form planetesimals (Ida & Guillot 2016). Collectively, this would suggest early formation of OC chondrules and rapid accretion of their parent bodies. This is in agreement with the modeled accretion ages of ordinary chondrites, $<1.8$ Myr after CAI formation (earlier if assuming a heterogeneous distribution of $^{26}$Al; Appendix D; Doyle et al. 2015). The final accretion region of CV chondrites and, by extension, other CCs is inferred to be outward from the ordinary chondrite accretion region, since the CV parent bodies accreted later (Doyle et al. 2015) and contain a larger fraction of pristine CI-like matrix. Materials that are initially transported outward invariably drift inward toward the central star unless they can overcome the radial drift barrier, usually by decoupling from the gas by changing the solid-to-gas ratio in the disk (Gonzalez et al. 2017). Although various so-called “pressure traps” have been proposed in the past (i.e., long-lived vortices, etc.), the most popular barrier to separate NCs from CCs is invoked by the opening of a gap associated with giant planet formation (Krijger et al. 2017). In detail, the lack of CAIs in the terrestrial accretion region and the distinct isotopic makeup of concurrently accreting NCs versus CCs is attributed to the formation of Jupiter and the resulting separation of reservoirs (Haugbølle et al. 2019). The isotopic dichotomy is also observed for the iron meteorites that are linked to NCs and CCs by their Mo isotope signatures (Krijger et al. 2017). The Hf-W model ages of this iron and the chondrites suggest that a barrier should have been in place at $<1$ Myr after CAI formation. However, a potential problem with using Jupiter as a barrier is that its chemical composition does not allow its core to have formed at the proposed location of 3$-$5 au. In fact, an initial accretion location at $>30$ au is inferred from the position of N$_2$ and noble gas ice lines, as well as the asymmetry in its two populations of Trojan asteroids (Oberg & Wordsworth 2019; Pirani et al. 2019). This early and distal accretion is followed by $\sim$2 Myr of migration until final accretion of its envelope at 5 au. If Jupiter formed at $>30$ au, this requires that CAIs and chondrules were transported outward to such distances, which has been proposed to occur through jets and outflows (Haugbølle et al. 2019) or by outward turbulent diffusion (Ciesla 2007). In the former scenario, CAIs that are not accreted by the protosun are ejected as part of the outflow and either lost to the interstellar medium or distributed in the protostellar envelope as part of the entrainment and mixing of envelope and jet material (Haugbølle et al. 2019). They then return to the protoplanetary disk during the general accretion of the envelope in the protostellar phases on a timescale of 500 kyr (Haugbølle et al. 2019). In outward diffusion models developed by Ciesla (2007) and later models including particle growth during transport (Misener et al. 2019), materials can be exchanged over a radial span of 5$-$20 au on timescales of only $\sim$10$^3$ yr. Misener et al. (2019) suggested that a barrier must be in place within these spatiotemporal parameters to prevent mixing between NC and CC reservoirs. Therefore, if CAIs are transported radially by viscous temporal parameters to prevent mixing between NC and CC reservoirs. Therefore, if CAIs are transported radially by viscous
An alternative possibility to Jupiter is that the water-ice line acted as the barrier that separates the NC and CC reservoirs. Ice lines have been proposed to initiate rapid pileups of materials that form planetesimals and are related to the sublimation (Ida & Guillot 2016) and condensation (Dražkowska & Alibert 2017; Schoonenberg & Ormel 2017; Schoonenberg et al. 2018; Hyodo et al. 2019) of ice. In detail, while on the inside of the ice line, an increased solid-to-dust ratio is related to the deacceleration of sublimated particles, the outside of the ice line is defined by outward diffusion and condensation of water and resulting streaming instabilities. As we outline below, certain feasible disk models allow for the ice line to remain relatively stagnant and positioned within the asteroid belt throughout the accretion timescales of chondrite parent bodies (assuming internal heating of the disk; Béthune & Latter 2020). During the lifetime of the protoplanetary disk, the accretion rate to the central star is expected to decline (Hartmann et al. 1998), and, as the gas density decreases, the water-ice line migrates inward. As a result, within a <3 Myr period, the ice line is expected to be around 1 au in most disk models (Dodson-Robinson et al. 2009; Oka et al. 2011). The CV chondrites have modeled accretion ages of <2.6 Myr after CAI formation (Doyle et al. 2015; earlier depending on the distribution of $^{26}$Al in the disk; Appendix D); thus, the separation of macroscopic NC and CC components is expected to last at least that long. Hence, if the water-ice line indeed acted as a barrier, the rate of inward migration must have been slow enough to accommodate this separation. The speed of ice-line migration is highly dependent on the accretion rate onto the central star. This rate is highest during the class I stage ($10^{7}$ yr), where the protostar is embedded in the molecular cloud and the protoplanetary disk is replenished through infall from the envelope (Hartmann & Kenyon 1996). During this stage, FU Orionis–type outbursts can shift the ice line far outward, with retention rates of tens to hundreds of years. The accretion rate declines over time/with disk age, but this decline is not proven to be constant, and the uncertainty on its progression is more than an order of magnitude (Hartmann et al. 1998; Li & Xiao 2016). Indeed, for some stars, the accretion rate may still be $10^{-8} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ after 3 Myr, which would suggest that the water-ice line could be relatively stagnant during that time. Recent models also show that the position of the ice line is dependent on other disk parameters, such as the radial distribution of the turbulent viscosity parameter $\alpha$ in the disk and the growth rate of chondrules into asteroids (Kalyaan & Desch 2019). These parameters influence the balance between the outward diffusion of water vapor and the growth of icy pebbles outside of the ice line. These models show that it is possible to form the distribution of water-poor and water-rich asteroids in situ in the asteroid belt (Alexander et al. 2012) without a need for the scattering of asteroids by a Grand Tack (Walsh et al. 2011) or the fossilization of the water-ice line through the formation of Jupiter (Morbidelli et al. 2016). This ice-line fossilization was invoked to prevent icy particles from populating the terrestrial planet region (Morbidelli et al. 2016). If Jupiter indeed formed at large orbital distances, we consider the possibility that chondrite parent bodies formed in situ at their current positions in the asteroid belt and were chemically separated via the water-ice line that remained stagnant for a period <2.6 Myr. The efficiency of this chemical separation depends on the relative accretion timescales of NCs and CCs, as well as the rate of planetesimal accretion at the ice line. It is likely that at least some material will pass through the ice line. For example, chondrules have been found in enstatite chondrites with an outer solar system Cr isotope signature (Zhu et al. 2020a), and rare CAIs in ordinary chondrites have similar oxygen isotope systematics to those found in CCs (Huss et al. 2001).

4. Conclusions

Our detailed Cr and Zn isotope investigation of the relatively unaltered CC Leoville and its components sheds light on the origin and mass transport of the chondrules and matrix in the protoplanetary disk. The first-order observation emerging from our work is that Leoville’s chondrule-rim systems span a range of $^{54}$Cr compositions that is similar to that observed for the solar system’s planets and asteroidal bodies, namely, from ureilite-like to CI-like signatures. The onion-ring structure of individual chondrules records an increasingly $^{54}$Cr- and $^{66}$Zn-rich composition from the chondrule core to the outer rim. These data show that the observed Cr isotopic range in chondrules from more altered CV chondrites is the result of chemical equilibration between the chondrules and matrix during secondary alteration. We propose two scenarios that could account for the hybrid isotopic nature of CV chondrites, subject to the radial distance at which Jupiter originated. First, if proto-Jupiter opened a disk gap within <1 Myr after CAI formation at 3–5 au, CV chondrite accretion is best explained by massive outward transport of chondrules combined with an inward flux of CI-like dust. These components were then trapped beyond Jupiter and cemented together. In this interpretation, the CI-like fine-grained dust that accreted to the CV chondrules was also transferred inward across the gap and into the terrestrial planet region. Bidirectional transport of chondrules in outflows outward and this fine-grained matrix strongly coupled to the accreting gas inward take into account the full dynamics in the protosolar environment and gives nuance to the view of Jupiter as an efficient barrier for solids in the NC and CC reservoirs. Second, since our data do not require an outer solar system accretion region for CV chondrites, we consider an alternative model in which the water-ice line, rather than Jupiter, acted as a barrier between NC and CC reservoirs. This consideration is rationalized by recent models that propose that Jupiter originated from beyond 30 au. Indeed, a range of astrophysical conditions within the protoplanetary disk allow for a relatively stagnant ice line within the time frame of chondrite accretion. However, this scenario requires further verification via numerical simulations that explore the efficiency of ice-line pileups, as well as the transport and retention time of refractory solids within the protoplanetary disk. Finally, we note that astrophysical models show that water-rich planetesimals at the ice line may accrete rapidly, independent of the role of Jupiter in modulating mass transport between the inner and outer solar system. This implies that two populations of CCs should exist. The first one formed beyond Jupiter and contains chondrules and CAIs. The second one, if all chondrules and CAIs were blocked by Jupiter, contains only fine-grained dust coated by ice. Hence, CI chondrites, with their unique petrology relative to other CCs, could have formed at the ice line within Jupiter’s orbit.
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Appendix A
Materials and Methods
A.1. Samples
In this study, we have analyzed the Cr and Zn isotope composition, as well as the elemental composition, of a total of 37 fractions from the Leoville CV3.1 chondrite, which is a find that belongs to the reduced subgroup of CV chondrites. This CV chondrite was selected based on its low degree of secondary alteration relative to other CV chondrites (Bonal et al. 2016). Even though the Leoville chondrite experienced some terrestrial weathering in the form of calcium carbonate and Fe-oxide veins throughout the sample (Abreu & Brearley 2005), the boundaries of these veins are sharp, and care was taken to avoid these areas. We sampled a total of 11 matrix areas: three areas consist of purely ICM, five samples contain a mixture of FGRs and ICM, and another two samples are sampled from only the FGRs. In addition to these samples, we have measured the Cr isotope composition of an FGR previously analyzed for its Zn isotope composition (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). Likewise, we have analyzed the Cr isotope signatures of five chondrule cores (porphyritic olivine type) and five corresponding igneous rims, also previously measured for their Zn isotope composition (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). We have sampled an additional 14 chondrules, 11 of which do not contain igneous rims and two of which are Al-rich chondrules. We note that parts of these samples have been previously analyzed for their composition by LA ICPMS (van Kooten et al. 2019) or inductively coupled plasma quadrupole mass spectrometry (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). The Leoville fractions were extracted by the New Wave van Kooten et al. 2016).

A.2. Digestion and Purification
All extracted samples were first digested in concentrated mixtures of 1:1 HNO3/HF acids using Parr bombs at temperatures of 210°C for 48 hr to ensure complete digestion of potential refractory minerals located in the Leoville fractions. The samples were then dried down and taken up in aqua regia to dissolve fluorides formed during the first digestion step. The Leoville fractions were taken up in 200 μl 1.5M HBr, and 5% of the aliquot was saved for elemental composition analyses by the Agilent 7900 ICP-Q-MS at IPGP. The samples were first eluted on a 100 μl AGI1X8 (200–400 mesh) anion resin using 1.5M HBr to separate all elements from Zn, which was subsequently eluted in 0.5M HNO3 (van Kooten & Moynier 2019). This purification step was repeated to ensure the complete removal of all impurities from the Zn cut. The final Zn cut was between 5 and 15 ng for all Leoville fractions, and the procedural blank was <0.2 ng. The first HBr cut eluted from the anion resin was saved for further Cr purification. The second HBr cut of the repeat column was not added to the first cut, since it contains a negligible amount of Cr (0.3 wt.% of the total). These aliquots were dried down and taken up in 230 μl 2M HNO3 and 20 μl concentrated H2O2. The samples were left at room temperature for >1 week to ensure complete speciation of Cr to Cr3+. Similar to the Zn purification method (van Kooten & Moynier 2019), an adjusted Cr column chromatography was developed to accommodate the small sample sizes of ∼100 μg (∼30 ng Cr). This involves a two-in-one column procedure that is fast (3 hr cleaning, 3 hr elution), produces low blanks (<0.2 ng Cr), and avoids the risk of losing these small samples by repeated dry-down and take-up of acids. In detail, two columns are stacked on top of each other, with a TOGDA column (250 μl resin volume) on top of a biorad AG50X8 (200–400 mesh) cation (1 ml resin volume). The samples are loaded on the top column in their pretreatment solution of 2M HNO3/H2O2 and subsequently eluted with 1 ml of 2M HNO3, thereby efficiently retaining Ca on the resin. This elute drops directly onto a preconditioned cation column, and, after removing the top column, an additional 750 μl of 2M HNO3 are added. The total elution of 2 ml 2M HNO3 on the cation ensures the separation of Na and K. We note that the separation of Cr and matrix elements is also achieved by eluting the latter with a 2M HNO3/HF solution. However, we describe the procedure here to include the further separation of Na ± K (2 ml 2M HNO3), Fe–Ti–V–Al (5 ml 1M HF), and Mg–Ni–Mn (22 ml 1M HNO3) for future analyses. The final elution of Cr is preceded by a last cleanup of Fe, Ti, and V in 3 ml 1M HF (to avoid interferences on the Cr signal by TIMS) and is done using 10 ml of 6M HCl. The total recovery of Cr is >95%, which has been tested using various rock compositions, including BHVO-2, PCC1, bulk CV, and CI chondrites. After chemistry, the dried Zn and Cr cuts are finally taken up in 7M HNO3 and heated to 140°C for >24 hr before isotope analyses.

A.3. Neptune Plus MC-ICPMS and Triton TIMS
Zinc isotopes (64Zn, 66Zn, 68Zn) were measured using a Thermo Scientific Neptune Plus multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICPMS) at IPGP, and the analytical setup was done according to van Kooten & Moynier (2019). The BHVO-2 and CV bulk chondrite standards were
measured alongside the samples to provide an estimate of the accuracy and reproducibility of the analyses within each session. The samples were bracketed by the JMC-Lyon standard, and the data are reported in the delta notation as permil deviations from the standard ($\delta^{66}\text{Zn} = \left[ \frac{^{66}\text{Zn}_{\text{sample}}}{^{64}\text{Zn}_{\text{sample}}} / \frac{^{66}\text{Zn}_{\text{standard}}}{^{64}\text{Zn}_{\text{standard}}} \right] - 1 \times 1000$).

The mass-dependent relationship between the $\delta^{66}\text{Zn}$ and $\delta^{68}\text{Zn}$ data is shown in Figure 7.

The Cr isotope composition of all samples was measured by thermal ionization mass spectrometry (Triton TIMS) at the Centre for Star and Planet Formation (StarPlan, Denmark).
Chromium isotope analyses were conducted using a hybrid method of total evaporation and the standard-sample bracketing technique fully described in van Kooten et al. (2016). Samples typically contained 30–100 ng Cr and were measured on W-filaments with 15–30 ng per filament. Considering potential analytical biases related to the processing of such small sample sizes, we have thoroughly tested this method using different rock standards that encompass the chemical compositions of the various Leoville fractions. This included the Cr purification of five individual 100 μg (total sample) aliquots of the CI chondrite Ivuna (representing the matrix), which were measured on five individual filaments and yield an average \( ^{54}\text{Cr} \) value of 1.50‰ ± 0.23‰ (the epsilon notation reflects the parts per thousand deviation of the mass bias–corrected \( ^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr} \) ratio from the SRM 979 standard, where \( x = 53 \) or 54). We also processed three aliquots of 100 μg each from the bulk CV chondrite NWA 12523, as well as two aliquots from the PCC1 geological standard (serving as analogs for bulk chondrules), which yield average \( ^{54}\text{Cr} \) values of 0.81‰ ± 0.28‰ and 0.12‰ ± 0.09‰, respectively. Hence, we show that such small fractions (≈30 ng Cr) can be successfully measured with an external reproducibility of <30 ppm (2SD). Finally, as a last test to verify our TIMS results, we have put another, larger (≈2 μg Cr) aliquot of the same digestion used for TIMS analyses of the CV chondrite NWA 12523 through the same chemistry and measured the Cr isotope composition by MC-ICPMS (StarPlan). In addition, we were able to run the largest chondrule sample, LeoBch4, by TIMS, as well as MC-ICPMS. The MC-ICPMS analyses yield \( ^{54}\text{Cr} \) values that are indistinguishable from the TIMS data (CV: 0.92‰ ± 0.12‰, LeoBch4: 0.26‰ ± 0.30‰ [TIMS: 0.18‰ ± 0.30‰]).

**Appendix B**

**Petrology and Compositions of Leoville Fractions**

We have carefully examined the petrological fabric of all fractions before subjecting them to microdrill extraction and thus managed to sample a wide range of compositions and textures that together reflect the entirety of material accreted to form the CV chondrites (Figure 1). First, the chondrules of Leoville are typically between 1 and 3 mm in diameter and consist predominantly of porphyritic olivine textures. Approximately 10 vol.% of the chondrules have barreled olivine textures, 50 vol.% contain relatively fine-grained olivine–pyroxene igneous rims with abundant metal and sulfide, and about 30 vol.% contain mineralogical zonation of increasing pyroxene or olivine toward the chondrule core rim relative to the feldspathic mesostasis. Most chondrules contain one or more metal/sulfide rims around their primary or secondary cores, and the surface area of these rims often surpasses that of the cores (Figures 1 and 6). About 5 vol.% of all chondrules in our thick section of Leoville are Al-rich (i.e., >10 wt.% of Al₂O₃). Second, all chondrules are surrounded by FGRs, which are typically between 100 and 300 μm in diameter. The space between the FGRs is occupied by a more coarse-grained matrix (ICM), which includes chondrule fragments and larger sulfide and metal grains.

We provide the major and minor element compositions of Leoville chondrules, rims, and matrices in Table 3. Since the compositions are derived from solutions obtained through HF acid digestion, the exclusion of Si in these measurements prevented element normalization; hence, we discuss only element ratios. Foremost, these ratios can be used to compare LA ICPMS-derived compositions of Leoville fractions (van Kooten et al. 2019) to microdrill-extracted aliquots and potential contamination related to this technique. For example, the sampling of various types of matrix presents great difficulties due to the small size of the areas relative to the drill tip. While LA analyses of the FGRs show this matter to be CI-like, these drilled areas may include contamination from surrounding chondrules and/or CAIs. As a result, of the 10 attempts to sample these FGRs, only one proved successful. The matrix composition of Ch2 is the only sample with a near-solar Al/Mg ratio of 0.12 and a superchondritic Fe/Mg ratio of 3.4, which is indicative of pristine CV chondrite FGRs (van Kooten et al. 2019). Other matrix samples have higher Al/Mg ratios (indicative of CAI contamination) and/or lower Fe/Mg ratios (from chondrule contamination). These fractions have been designated either “contaminated” or “clean” ICM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Modal Abundance (vol.%)</th>
<th>( ^{54}\text{Cr} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chondrule core</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>45(^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrule rim</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(-0.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-rich chondrules</td>
<td>&lt;1(^{\circ})</td>
<td>(-0.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40(^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAIs/OAOs</td>
<td>10(^{\circ})</td>
<td>6.8(^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal(^{\circ})</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** The bulk CV \( ^{54}\text{Cr} \) value (<0.1) is calculated as if all of the matrix had the composition of the average ICM. The measured CV bulk value (0.8) is the measured \( ^{54}\text{Cr} \) value.

\(^{\circ}\) Modal abundances from (Scott and Krot 2014)

\(^{\circ}\) Metal not related to the chondrules

\(^{\circ}\) Modal abundance from (Zhang et al. 2020)

\(^{\circ}\) Mass balance where all matrix is has an ICM Cr isotopic composition

\(^{\circ}\) Data from (Larsen et al. 2011)

Figure 7. The Zn isotope analyses of the Leoville matrix and chondrule fractions, showing \( ^{64}\text{Zn}/^{66}\text{Zn} \) vs. \( ^{66}\text{Zn}/^{64}\text{Zn} \) values. The solid line reflects the mass-dependent relationship between both isotope ratios.
### Table 3
Elemental Compositions and Ratios of Leoville Drilled Fractions by ICP-Q-MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ach3</th>
<th>Ach1</th>
<th>Bch6</th>
<th>Ach7</th>
<th>Ach2</th>
<th>Bmx1</th>
<th>Bmx2</th>
<th>Bmx3</th>
<th>Ach7mx</th>
<th>Ach1mx</th>
<th>Ach2mx</th>
<th>Ach3mx</th>
<th>PGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>±42</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>±23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>±18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>±17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>±27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>±0.1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>±1.1</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>±9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>±12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>±15</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>±7</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>±7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>±17</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>±13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>±13</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>±0.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>±0.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>±1.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>±0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>±1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>±2.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>±2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>±1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>±1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>±0.2</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>±0.2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>±1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>±1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>±1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>±1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>±1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>±1.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>±0.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>±0.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>±28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>±16</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>±49</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>±11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>±34</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>±47</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>±54</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>±37</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>±57</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>±23</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>±2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>±9</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>±12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>±33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>±20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>±21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>±20</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>±14</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>±10</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fe/Mg   | 0.15  | ±0.7  | 0.16 | ±0.4  | 1.79  | ±0.5  | 0.50  | ±0.4  | 0.83   | ±0.3   | 2.26   | ±1.3   | 1.90 | ±0.2 |

$^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Mg | 0.04 | ±2    | 0.19 | ±1    | 0.81  | ±2    | 0.02  | ±1    | 0.11   | ±1     | 0.11   | ±4     | 0.10 | ±2   |

$^{55}$Mn/$^{54}$Cr | 0.33 | ±1    | 0.31 | ±1    | 0.21  | ±2    | 0.19  | ±2    | 0.18   | ±1     | 0.64   | ±2     | 0.58 | ±2   |

Note. See Appendix A for details. Concentrations are given in ppb together with the relative standard deviation (RSD%).
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Note. Maps = elemental maps from SEM, and WA = wet analyses by ICP-MS or HR-ICPMS (see Appendix A for detailed explanation). Analyses were done in this study [1], by van Kooten et al. (2019) [1], or by van Kooten & Moynier (2019) [2].

Table 4
Overview Table of Analyses Carried Out for Samples Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>BSE</th>
<th>Maps</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ach1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach7</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bch7</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach8</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach9</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core with rim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ach3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[1]
Ach9 x x
[1]
Ach3 x x
[1]
Ach10 x x x
[1]

ICM

Ach1mx x x x
[ ]
Ach2mx x x x
[ ]
Ach3mx x x x
[ ]
Ach7mx x x x
[ ]
Bmx1 x x
[ ]
Bmx2 x x
[ ]
Bmx3 x x
[ ]
Leo2ch1mx1 x
[ ]
Leo2ch1mx2 x
[ ]
Leo2ch2mx x
[ ]
Leo2ch3mx x
[ ]

FGR

C1 x x x
[2]
C2 x x x
[2]

Appendix C

Mass Balance Calculations of CV Chondrite Components

We outline here the mass balance of relatively unaltered CV chondritic components using the Cr isotope data from Leoville chondrules, igneous rims, Al-rich chondrules, FGRs, ICM, and CAIs (Table 2). We note that this mass balance is subject to the errors of the Cr isotope measurements and the estimations of the modal abundances of the components. We have calculated the bulk CV $^{54}$Cr value in a scenario where all of the matrix, including FGRs, have a $^{26}$Al composition similar to the average ICM ($^{26}$Al = 0.7%). We note that although the values of Al-rich chondrules may be widely variable (Table 1), possibly due to incorporation of different CAI- and FUN-CAI-like precursors, their total budget is estimated to be ~1 vol.% of all chondrules (Zhang et al. 2019) and is thus insignificant for the calculated bulk chondrule $^{26}$Al value, which is around ~0.5%. The bulk CV chondrite value including only the chondrules and matrix is then estimated to be ~0%, assuming both components have similar Cr contents (~3000 ppm). The CAIs and amoeboid olivine aggregates (AOAs) have much lower Cr contents (~200 ppm), and although their $^{26}$Al values are high (6.8% and 5.4%, respectively; Larsen et al. 2011), the mass balance of chondrules, matrix, and CAIs shows that the final calculated bulk $^{26}$Al value is <0.1% (Table 2). It is currently unknown what the contribution of the metal (not related to the chondrules) to the Cr isotope budget is. Leaching experiments of Leoville show that the metal may have a negative $^{54}$Cr signature (Trinquier et al. 2007). Hence, the mass balance of all CV chondrite components suggests that the CI-like $^{26}$Al value measured for the FGR in this study is likely applicable to all FGRs and is required to get a bulk CV chondrite value of 0.8%.

Appendix D

Implications from Potential $^{26}$Al Heterogeneity in the Protoplanetary Disk

Variations in $^{26}$Mg$^+$ (the decay product of $^{26}$Al) between bulk solar system materials and individual components such as chondrules have been interpreted to reflect $^{26}$Al (Larsen et al. 2011; Schiller et al. 2015; Larsen et al. 2016; Olsen et al. 2016; van Kooten et al. 2016, 2017, 2020; Bollard et al. 2017; Connelly & Bizzarro 2018; Connelly et al. 2017) and Mg isotope heterogeneity (Wasserburg et al. 2012; Budde et al. 2018). Typically, the accretion timescales of chondrites, achondrites, and their parent bodies are modeled assuming a homogeneous and canonical distribution of $^{26}$Al. However, if the interpretation of a reduced $^{26}$Al reservoir for chondrites is correct (Larsen et al. 2011; Schiller et al. 2015), then the accretion of chondrites was more rapid than assuming a canonical $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratio.
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