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Abstract: Metabolic dysfunction is a comorbidity of many types of cancers. Disruption of glucose metabolism is of concern, as it is associated with higher cancer recurrence rates and reduced survival. Current evidence suggests many health benefits from exercise during and after cancer treatment, yet only a limited number of studies have addressed the effect of exercise on cancer-associated disruption of metabolism. In this review, we draw on studies in cells, rodents, and humans to describe the metabolic dysfunctions observed in cancer and the tissues involved. We discuss how the known effects of acute exercise and exercise training observed in healthy subjects could have a positive outcome on mechanisms in people with cancer, namely: insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and cachexia. Finally, we compile the current limited knowledge of how exercise corrects metabolic control in cancer and identify unanswered questions for future research.
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1. Cancer Survival Depends on Better Metabolism Management Strategies

The disruption of glucose homeostasis in patients with cancer was already described in the early 20th century [1]. Those observations were later confirmed by studies showing decreased glucose tolerance and reduced insulin responsiveness in patients with various cancers [2,3]. Since then, more cancer site-specific studies have emerged, including insulin resistance and/or glucose intolerance in cancers of the breast [4], pancreas [5], lung [6–8], gastro-intestine system [7–9], and colon/rectum [8,10]. As cancer survival rates have been increasing, it is important to recognize that cancer survivors often develop obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D) [11,12], suggesting that metabolic dysregulation persists during the entirety of the cancer diagnosis and even after end of treatment.

While dysregulated metabolism in patients with cancer has been recognized for a long time, it was only recently established that metabolic derangements are associated with a higher risk of cancer incidence and lower survival rates. Obesity, hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and T2D have all been associated with an increased risk of several cancers [13–16]. A meta-analysis showed that cancer incidence increased by 20–50% for each 5 kg/m² increase in BMI [17]. Thus, for a 70 kg person with a BMI of 23 (height: ~175 cm), a 15 kg weight gain, resulting in a BMI of 28, would markedly increase the cancer incidence for several of the most common cancers, including those of the breast and colon. Thus, dysregulated metabolism can be seen as an oncogenic condition.

Even more strikingly, once diagnosed with cancer, metabolic dysfunction is associated with poor cancer outcomes, including increased cancer mortality [17–24]. For example,
the risk of death from cancer for patients with obesity is 52 percent higher for men and 62 percent higher for women than mortality rates in patients of healthy weight [20,21]. More recent studies also show that diabetes is associated with a 10–30% increased overall risk of cancer death, although the risk markedly varies by cancer site, sex, and treatments [22,25,26]. In fact, cancer has now overtaken vascular disease as leading cause of excess death associated with diabetes [27], clearly illustrating the need for better metabolic management in cancer. Furthermore, metabolic dysregulation persists in patients who survive their cancer [11,12]. As metabolic dysfunction in cancer survivors increases recurrence rates [24,28], better metabolic management is also warranted in cancer survivorship. Exercise is a safe treatment option that addresses several facets of the underlying metabolic abnormalities in cancer as will be discussed below.

The metabolic dysfunctions in cancer (described in detail in Sections 3.1–3.5) include reduced insulin sensitivity, hyperlipidemia, impaired mitochondrial function, chronic inflammation, and cancer cachexia (Figure 1). To date, there have been no satisfactory answers to the fundamental question of what initiates the metabolic dysfunctions observed in patients with cancer. Possibilities include tumor-derived factors, cancer treatment side effects, and/or the fact that metabolic dysfunction is associated with increased risk of cancer.

Better metabolic management strategies are needed for people diagnosed with cancer because it may improve overall survival. Therefore, the aims of this review are to: (i) compile the current data on the processes that are dysfunctional in cancer, (ii) to describe the known mechanisms by which exercise enhances metabolic regulation in healthy people, and (iii) to extrapolate such mechanisms to discuss how exercise could be a panacea for metabolic dysregulation in cancer.

2. Exercise as a Panacea in Metabolic Dysregulation in Cancer

A multiplicity of health benefits arises from exercise during and after cancer treatment [29,30] likely due to improved metabolic regulation. Yet, the effect of exercise on metabolism in cancer is surprisingly understudied. Regarding metabolic control, skeletal muscle is of special interest because it is a major site for insulin- and meal-dependent glucose disposal from the blood in humans [31,32]. Exercise potently improves insulin sensitivity, hyperlipidemia, mitochondrial function, inflammation, muscle mass, and strength (Figure 1) [33,34]. Because of those potent effects, which are superior to any known drug, exercise is the standard of care in several conditions associated with dysregulated metabolism, including T2D, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity [33].

![Figure 1. Cancer is often associated with reduced insulin sensitivity, hyperlipidemia, impaired mitochondrial function, chronic inflammation, as well as lowered muscle mass and strength (cancer cachexia). Exercise powerfully improves all of these conditions in healthy humans, suggesting that exercise could be a strategy to counter metabolic derangements in cancer.](image-url)
3. Potential Mechanism Underlying Cancer-Associated Metabolic Dysregulation

Whole-body metabolic homeostasis is tightly balanced. In response to a meal, insulin is secreted from the pancreatic β-cells and reduces circulating glucose and fatty acids by promoting uptake into skeletal muscle [35] and adipose tissue while inhibiting hepatic glucose output and adipose tissue lipolysis [36]. These mechanisms contribute to restoring homeostasis after a meal and impairments in these processes will therefore produce metabolic dysregulation, such as hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia, and hyperinsulinemia. Cancer cells rely heavily on glucose metabolism to sustain the continuous proliferation and cell growth described as the Warburg Effect [37], which might result in divergence of glucose from other tissues to the cancer cells as suggested in for example leukemia [38]. Yet, the cause of metabolic derangements in cancer has not been identified. Obesity is a risk factor of several cancers [17] and, therefore, obesity per se might contribute to metabolic derangements often observed in patients with cancer. Nevertheless, molecular and metabolic processes within skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and the liver are markedly influenced by cancer, as illustrated in Figure 2. Emerging evidence suggests that cancer-associated metabolic dysfunction is due to insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and/or muscle wasting (cachexia). These processes will now be discussed.

Figure 2. Cancer is associated with adverse changes of metabolic important tissues, including skeletal muscle (myocytes), adipose tissue (adipocytes), and the liver (hepatocytes). Those changes include insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, mitochondria dysfunction, inflammation, and muscle mass loss (cancer cachexia) and there is significant tissue crosstalk. Abbreviations: ATGL; adipose triglyceride lipase, HSL; hormone sensitive lipase, ROS; reactive oxygen species.

3.1. Insulin Resistance

Insulin resistance is a condition of reduced responsiveness to insulin. Thus, more insulin is needed to obtain a given physiological outcome, such as lowering of blood glucose. In insulin-resistant conditions, the β-cells of the pancreas compensate by escalating insulin secretion to produce hyperinsulinemia. As long as compensatory hyperinsulinemia is main-
tained, normoglycemia can be upheld. Yet, hyperinsulinemia can be detrimental in cancer, because insulin and IGF-1 promote cancer cell growth [39]. In obesity and T2D, skeletal muscle insulin resistance is considered a primary cause of dysregulated metabolism [31,35], yet, the contribution from skeletal muscle insulin resistance to the metabolic derangements associated with cancer has not been directly determined. Using the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp, the gold standard for measuring insulin sensitivity, whole-body insulin resistance has been established in pancreatic [5], lung [6–8], gastrointestinal system [7–9], and colorectal [8,10] cancers; although none of those studies determined tissue-specific glucose uptake. Recent data from preclinical models of cancer have shed some light on this. Using isotopic tracers, we recently showed that insulin-stimulated glucose uptake into skeletal muscle and adipose tissue was markedly reduced in Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LLC) bearing mice [40]. Preclinical rodent models of cancer also recapitulated the reduced blood-glucose-lowering effect of insulin, finding insulin resistance [40–42] and glucose intolerance [40,43] compared to controls.

The cause of reduced skeletal muscle glucose uptake in cancer is incompletely defined but was observed with a concurrent decrease in insulin-stimulated microvascular perfusion of muscle in tumor-bearing mice, which is similar to what has been observed in insulin-resistant obese subjects [44]. In healthy skeletal muscle, insulin stimulates the translocation of the glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) to the sarcolemma and transverse tubules of the muscle membrane to facilitate glucose uptake (reviewed in [35]). This process is, in part, regulated by the intracellular activation of Rac1, Akt, and TBC1D4 [45–48]. However, despite impairments in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in tumor-bearing mice, signaling via Akt and TBC1D4 was, surprisingly, elevated [40]. Thus, the mechanisms by which cancer causes insulin resistance in vivo seem to be different from those causing insulin resistance in obesity and T2D, where muscle Akt and TBC1D4 signaling is either unaffected [49,50] or reduced [51,52].

In addition to skeletal muscle and adipose tissue insulin resistance, data also suggest hepatic insulin resistance in cancer, although the studies are few. Increased basal hepatic glucose production and increased hepatic gluconeogenesis have been reported in patients with lung cancer [53,54]. Similarly, we observed 45% elevated basal hepatic glucose production in tumor-bearing female mice [40] and, while we found a preserved inhibitory effect of insulin on hepatic glucose output [40], Lang et al. reported impaired insulin suppression of hepatic glucose production in tumor-bearing rats [42]. Increased hepatic glucose output may be due to increased gluconeogenesis because increased metabolic-intermediates and increased gene-expression of markers of gluconeogenesis have been observed in the liver of tumor-bearing animals [55]. A recent proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR) metabolomics study of cachexia in the mouse colon carcinoma 26 (C26) model revealed reduced glucose metabolism and increased lipid metabolism in the liver [56]. Whether hepatic metabolic changes in cancer is induced by insulin resistance or is a contributing factor to insulin resistance remain unidentified.

3.2. Hyperlipidemia

Hyperlipidemia is an umbrella term that refers to conditions associated with levels of lipid (free fatty acids (FFA), cholesterol, and triglycerides) circulating in the blood that is persistently elevated above baseline. In cancer, hyperlipidemia has been reported in human cancers [57–60] and pre-clinical cancer models [40,43,61–65]. Cancer-associated hyperlipidemia is likely caused by accelerated lipolysis in adipose tissue due to the upregulation of the key lipolytic enzymes, AGTL and HSL in both humans [61,66] and rodent pre-clinical models [61].

Hyperlipidemia in cancer could also be implicated in cancer cachexia in addition to the development of insulin resistance. Regarding the latter, high levels of circulating FFA have been linked to muscle and liver insulin resistance in obesity and T2D [67–69]. Thus, hyperlipidemia could contribute to insulin resistance in cancer. Accordingly, fatty acid inhibition by etomoxir or lipolysis inhibition by nicotinic acid largely restored insulin
sensitivity and glucose intolerance in tumor-bearing mice [40]. The relationship between hyperlipidemia and insulin resistance is bi-directional as a key physiological function of insulin is to restrain lipolysis and to promote fat storage in adipose tissue in the postprandial state [70], making it likely that insulin resistance contributes to hyperlipidemia in cancer. Excessive fatty acid turnover is in particular associated with cancers causing cachexia. In patients with cancer, total lipase, ATGL, and HSL activities were significantly higher in visceral white adipose tissue compared with individuals without cancer [66]. When stratifying the results between patients with and without cachexia, lipase activities were significantly higher in patients with cancer cachexia compared with patients without cachexia [66]. Accordingly, high levels of circulating sphingolipids was observed in murine and human cancer cachexia [71]. Hyperlipidemia also seems to reach the muscle, as the number of intramyocellular lipid droplets was increased in patients with cancer and positively correlates with involuntary weight-loss [72]. Altered lipid profile might be a causative mechanism of cancer cachexia (further discussed in Section 3.5), because blockade of fatty acid oxidation or suppression of lipolysis in adipose tissue prevents cachexia in tumor-bearing mice [61,73].

Under pathological conditions of excessive adipose tissue lipolysis, the liver acts as a major sink for adipose tissue–derived FFA. Accordingly, hepatic lipids and triglycerides were elevated 3–5 fold in colon (C26) tumor-bearing mice, an effect that was not due to reduced food intake [56,74]. The hepatic steatosis could be explained by a reduction in carnitine and carnitine biosynthesis, decreased VLDL excretion, reduction in lipogenic genes, and an upregulation fatty acid transporters in the liver [56,74]. Within the hepatocyte, non-esterified FAs are oxidized to mitochondrial acetyl CoA resulting in elevated gluconeogenic flux. This, together with net glycogenolysis, facilitates hepatic glucose production [75] whereby excessive hepatic lipid accumulation could contribute to the elevated hepatic glucose output in cancer described in Section 3.1. Thus, there is potentially a contributing role of tissue crosstalk between adipose lipolysis and hepatic gluconeogenesis that contributes to metabolic dysfunctions in cancer.

3.3. Mitochondrial Dysfunction

Mitochondrial dysfunction might contribute to muscular insulin resistance in the presence of ectopic accumulation of fat [76]. Mitochondria generate most of the cell’s supply of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), used as a source of chemical energy, but mitochondria are also important signaling organelles that integrate metabolic signals to provide important cues to muscle mass maintenance [77]. Recent proteomic analyses of skeletal muscle from patients with gastrointestinal [78] or breast [79] cancer found reduced expression of mitochondrial proteins and proteins involved in oxidative phosphorylation. Furthermore, skeletal muscle mitochondrial dysfunction has been confirmed in multiple rodent preclinical models of cancer [80–89] and drosophila [90]. Additionally, swollen mitochondria and increased mitochondrial area have been observed in skeletal muscle from patients with gastric or colon cancer [91]. But this is not a consistent finding since a decreased mitochondrial area has been observed in muscle from patients with breast cancer [92], although function was not tested.

Dysfunctional mitochondria can lead to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress, as seen in metabolic diseases such as T2D [93]. Together with increased lipid flux to muscle, mitochondrial dysfunction and/or elevated production of ROS likely contribute to the diminished glucose uptake response of insulin-resistant muscle [94]. Similarly, cachectic rodent muscle develops oxidative stress [95–97], which may further compromise cellular functions.

In addition to muscle, dysregulated mitochondria are present in the liver of preclinical cancer models [86,98,99] and in hepatocytes incubated with various cancer-conditioned media [100]. Interestingly, the opposite is true for adipose tissue, which displays increased mitochondrial respiration [86,101] and mitochondrial proteins in rodent cancer cachexia. Furthermore, a switch from white to brown fat has been proposed to increase energy
expenditure in cancer-associated cachexia [101] but the current human data implies a limited role for fat browning in cancer [102,103].

3.4. Chronic Inflammation

Chronic inflammation is well described in cancer [104,105]. Whether caused by tumor-extrinsic and/or -intrinsic factors, chronically elevated circulating levels of IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α would be expected to skew immune cells residing within skeletal muscle towards proinflammatory phenotypes [76,106]. By secreting proinflammatory molecules, immune cells may induce myocyte inflammation, adversely regulate myocyte metabolism, and contribute to insulin resistance via paracrine effects. Increased influx of fatty acids and inflammatory molecules from other tissues, particularly visceral adipose tissue, can also induce muscle inflammation and negatively regulate myocyte metabolism, leading to insulin resistance. Yet, inflammation did not seem to be a main driver of insulin resistance in a recent study [40]. Plasma IL-6 and TNF-α were similarly increased in mice with small and large tumors, while only the mice with large tumors displayed reduced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscle and adipose tissue [40]. This agrees with data showing that lipid-induced insulin resistance is not always accompanied by inflammation in humans [68].

In contrast to insulin resistance, cancer cachexia may be driven by inflammation as and IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α per se can cause muscle catabolism [107,108]. In preclinical models, antibody administration against IL-6 [109,110] or IL-6 knockout [111] prevents cancer cachexia, although anti-inflammatory treatments have shown less positive outcomes in human trials (reviewed in [112]). Thus, more studies are warranted to determine if inflammation contributes to dysregulated metabolism in cancer.

3.5. Cancer-Associated Cachexia

Cancer-associated cachexia, which is the involuntary progressive loss of both muscle and fat mass [113], affects 50–80% of all patients with cancer. Cachexia is associated with specific tumor types such as pancreatic, oesophageal, gastric, lung, and liver, and patients with these malignancies have the highest degree of weight loss. Cachexia is defined as loss of >5% of body mass within six months [113], which is associated with poor metabolic control, reduced quality-of-life, treatment intolerance, and increased mortality [8,10,114–116].

Cachexia is associated with altered protein metabolism, including decreased basal protein synthesis and accelerated skeletal muscle protein degradation through mechanisms reviewed in [117–121]. In cachectic skeletal muscle, basal protein synthesis is decreased in both humans [122] and rodent [110,123–125] models. Molecularly, this could be due to decreased mTORC1-S6K signaling, which has been observed in skeletal muscle from patients with lung cancer cachexia [126].

In addition, cachexia is associated with accelerated protein degradation indicated by increased proteasomal degradation and increased autophagy in humans [91,127–129]. What is perplexing is that not all cancer patients with a similar tumor burden develop cachexia. Because skeletal muscle is a major site for glucose disposal, muscle mass loss in cachexia could contribute to dysregulated metabolism in cancer. While this remains to be proven, age-associated unwanted muscle mass loss, sarcopenia, is associated with increased HOMA-IR (a measure of insulin resistance) and hyperglycemia [130], suggesting that muscle mass loss per se could lead to a dysregulation of glucose metabolism. "Anabolic resistance" has been described in older subjects with sarcopenia, which manifests as a lack of increase in muscle protein synthesis in response a meal, which has been partially ascribed to insulin resistance [131–133]. Whether similar mechanisms are in play in cancer cachexia have not been established but is imperative as anabolic resistance in cancer could contribute to cachexia. The relationship between cancer cachexia and insulin resistance is bi-directional as shown in Figure 2. Thus, insulin resistance by itself has been suggested to be a causative factor for cancer cachexia in drosophila flies [90,134]. Once insulin
Resistance was genetically corrected in these models, cancer cachexia was also abolished. Accordingly, cancer-associated insulin resistance occurs before the onset of muscle mass loss in rodent cancer models [40,41], and targeting insulin resistance might provide new treatment options for cancer cachexia.

4. Exercise Is the Most Powerful Means to Improve Metabolic Regulation

Exercise is a safe and effective intervention to improve metabolic health. Exercise is therefore part of the standard of care for several lifestyle-related conditions such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, and T2D [34,135,136]. Exercise improves metabolic regulation via both acute events as well as chronic adaptations as illustrated in Figure 3. The acute beneficial metabolic effects of exercise can be divided into two phases: (i) the physiological events that occur during the exercise bout, which includes an up to 50-fold increase in glucose uptake by the working muscles (Figure 3A), and (ii), the events occurring in the hours to days that follow a single bout of exercise, including a transient increase in insulin sensitivity (Figure 3B). The longer-term benefits from weeks and months of repeated bouts of exercise, termed exercise training (ET), include increased insulin sensitivity, improved mitochondrial volume and function, and increased muscle mass and strength from resistance exercise (Figure 3C). Thus, because exercise improves insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, mitochondrial function, inflammation, and promotes muscle mass growth, exercise might correct the majority of metabolic dysfunctions in cancer. Below we will describe the mechanisms of this novel treatment paradigm and place them in “the context of cancer” by presenting the current evidence that exercise can be used to treat the dysregulated metabolism in cancer.

4.1. Exercise Improves Insulin Sensitivity and Glucose Disposal

During an exercise bout (Figure 3A), the working muscles markedly increase the uptake of glucose and fatty acids [137]. Exercise-stimulated glucose uptake is independent of insulin [138,139], producing a powerful alternative mechanism to clear glucose from the blood in insulin-resistant skeletal muscle. The mechanisms by which exercise stimulates glucose uptake in skeletal muscle has been extensively reviewed in [140]. In short, exercise simultaneously increases nutrient and oxygen delivery to muscle and prompts a mechanical stress and a metabolic stress in muscle that activate separate but interacting signaling pathways including Rac1, the ROS-producing NADPH oxidase (NOX) 2 complex, and AMP-activated Protein Kinase (AMPK) [141–149]. After each exercise bout (Figure 3B), muscle insulin sensitivity is transiently increased [150–155] for up to 48 h in humans [156]. The mechanisms by which insulin sensitivity is increased following exercise are not completely understood but they include elevated microvascular recruitment due to increased vasodilatory effect of insulin [157] as well as AMPK-dependent intracellular signaling in muscle [153,158–160]. Conversely, physical inactivity, which is often a consequence of cancer, leads to decreased insulin action [161–163]. Thus, an acute bout of exercise instantly helps to remove glucose and lipids from the circulation and can mitigate insulin resistance by enhancing insulin-stimulated glucose uptake for up to two days after exercise cessation. As an example, subjects with T2D showed improved glycemic control and lower plasma insulin concentrations 24 h following an acute exercise session [164].

While a single bout of exercise causes a transient increase in insulin independent glucose uptake and subsequent gain in insulin sensitivity, repeated ET leads to a longer-lasting elevation in insulin sensitivity and substrate handling capacity [165–167] (Figure 3C). Underlying adaptations of the exercise-trained muscle include enhanced muscle protein expression of glucose-handling proteins (GLUT4, hexokinase II, Glycogen synthase, and pyruvate dehydrogenase), fat-handling proteins (CD36, FATP1/4, ATGL, and CPT-1), increased mitochondrial mass and function, as well as increased muscle capillarization (recently reviewed in [136]).

Taken together, exercise improves insulin sensitivity and glucose disposal, which would be expected to greatly benefit patients with cancer-associated insulin resistance and mitigate the oncogenic condition of hyperinsulinemia [39].
Figure 3. Illustration of the temporal metabolic benefits of exercise and molecular mechanisms. (A) One exercise bout elicits an acute and transient increase in muscle glucose uptake that is independent of insulin and persists in insulin-resistant subjects. (B) Insulin sensitivity (illustrated here as insulin-stimulated glucose uptake) is transiently enhanced for up to 48 h after the last exercise bout. (C) Repeated exercise training leads to longer-term adaptations, including increased expression of fat- and glucose-handling proteins and increased capillarization that improves insulin sensitivity and elevates muscle fat- and glucose-handling capacity. Abbreviations: AMP-activated protein kinase, FA; fatty acid, RAC1; Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1, ROS, reactive oxygen species, TBC1D1; TBC1 Domain Family Member 1.

Exercise and Insulin Sensitivity in the Context of Cancer

No study has, to our knowledge, determined the effect of an acute exercise bout on insulin sensitivity, nor the efficacy of exercise to stimulate glucose uptake in muscle in patients with cancer. Yet, emerging evidence suggests that longer term ET benefits metabolic regulation in subjects with cancer. For example in prostate cancer, insulin resistance and changes in body composition are side effects of androgen deprivation therapy, and aerobic training has been shown to increase peripheral tissue insulin sensitivity and elevate muscle protein content of GLUT4 [168].

Studies in cancer survivors, have reported indirect measures of insulin resistance, such as glucose tolerance or blood glucose and hormone measures. For example, a recent study found that prescribing 150 min/week of aerobic exercise for 6 months to colorectal
cancer survivors lowered serum insulin concentrations [169]. This is supported by data from a 12-week home-exercise intervention consisting of aerobic and bodyweight strength exercises that decreased plasma insulin-concentrations and improved the HOMA-IR index in colorectal cancer survivors [170]. In a recent randomized controlled trial, the effects of a 16-week combined aerobic and resistance exercise intervention on metabolic syndrome, sarcopenic obesity, and serum biomarkers was assessed in sedentary, overweight, or obese survivors of breast cancer [171]. In that study, metabolic regulation and circulating biomarkers such as insulin, IGF-1, leptin, and adiponectin, were significantly improved post-intervention compared with usual care. Yet, another study reported that 12-week aerobic ET had limited overall benefits on insulin concentrations following glucose ingestion in breast cancer survivors [172]. In female patients diagnosed with various cancers, 10-weeks of combined aerobic and resistance ET led to increased expression of skeletal muscle GLUT4 protein [173], suggesting that ET causes insulin-sensitizing molecular adaptations in skeletal muscle of cancer patients [173].

In mice, the cachexic Apc<sup>min/+</sup> mouse cancer model develops glucose intolerance concurrently with the development of cachexia [43]. Forced treadmill running ET did not restore glucose tolerance in this model [174]. Yet, ET also failed to improve glucose tolerance in the non-tumor control mice [174], which is in agreement with other reports that forced ET does not produce metabolic benefits in mice, likely due to stress [175]. In contrast, voluntary wheel running ET improves glucose tolerance in mice [176–180] and should therefore be the preferred model of choice to determine whether ET improves metabolic health and insulin sensitivity in preclinical models of cancer. Taken together, longer-term ET seems to benefit some metabolic parameters, such as lowering circulating insulin levels and elevating GLUT4 protein content in cancer patients or survivors, but it remains to be determined whether exercise elicits acute benefits for patients with cancer.

4.2. Hyperlipidemia Can Be Managed by Exercise

During acute prolonged exercise, plasma FFA levels increase primarily due to increased adipose tissue blood flow and lipolysis [181,182], partly via adrenergic signaling [183,184], which is also elevated in the recovery period after exercise cessation [182,185] to support the increased fat oxidation by muscle in response to exercise (reviewed in [186]). While acute exercise causes a transient increase in plasma FFA levels, long-term ET enhances insulin sensitivity and increases vascularization of adipose tissue [187], that in turn potentiates insulin’s inhibitory effect on lipolysis in response to for example a meal [188]. In addition, the effect of exercise on postprandial lipemia (excess of lipids in the blood) has been studied for many year [189]. Here, both acute exercise [190,191] and ET [192–195] reduce postprandial lipemia in humans. The ET effect is likely, in part, due to an up-regulation of fat-handling proteins in both skeletal muscle and adipose tissue including CD36, FATP1/4, ATGL and CPT-1 [196–200], thereby improving fat metabolism on a whole-body level.

In agreement with the fat-liver cross talk described in Section 3.2, emerging evidence clearly demonstrates that ET, can effectively reduce intrahepatic lipids in for example adults with T2D [201] and adolescent boys with obesity [202] with minimal changes in total body mass. Exercise uniquely prepares the liver for excess delivery of FFAs by leading to upregulation of hepatic fatty acid oxidation, improving mitochondrial respiration, and by increasing other associated mitochondrial outcomes such as citrate synthase activity, β-HAD activity, cytochrome c content, which has been reviewed in [203]. These increases in markers of hepatic oxidative capacity are retained chronically in the liver and could be beneficial for handling of the excessive circulating FFA and elevated hepatic TG content often observed in cancer.

Exercise and Hyperlipidemia in the Context of Cancer

In prostate cancer patients, a two-year home-based ET [204] or a 12-weeks endurance ET [168] intervention decrease body fat mass [168,204] and plasma triglycerides [204]. In a
preclinical hyperlipidemic rodent cancer model, an aerobic ET intervention lowered the elevated levels of plasma triacylglycerol and LDL and increased HDL [174]. Although not tested in the context of cancer, increasing adipose tissue insulin sensitivity by exercise could lower cancer-associated accelerated lipolysis to protect against cancer cachexia, as tissue loss can be prevented by lipolysis inhibition in preclinical rodent models [61, 73]. Lastly, cancer-associated glucose intolerance and insulin intolerance can be ameliorated by inhibition of fatty acid oxidation and lipolysis inhibition in mice [40], providing a rationale for managing hyperlipidemia with exercise in cancer. Clinical trials are needed to determine this in humans.

4.3. Skeletal Muscle Mitochondrial Volume and Function Is Upregulated by Exercise

First shown in 1967 by Prof. Holloszy [205], the mitochondrion is a highly exercise-sensitive organelle in skeletal muscle, where both mitochondrial volume, proteins, and function are potently upregulated by ET [206]. In addition to changes in the working muscles, ET also increases mitochondrial biogenesis in white adipose tissue in human [207–210] and in rodents [211–213] (although this is not a consistent finding in humans [214, 215]). Increased mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration in liver after ET has also been observed in rats [216, 217]. At a molecular level, ET leads to a bulk of signaling events, that include the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ co-activator-1α (PGC-1α), which induces mitochondrial biogenesis, as well as the activation of a myriad of other signaling pathways extensively reviewed in [218, 219] that conjointly increases mitochondrial volume, quality, and function [218, 219]. Considering the relationship between mitochondrial dysfunction and insulin resistance proposed in T2D [220], and the mitochondrial dysfunctions described in cancer (please see Section 3.3), ET-induced mitochondrial adaptation may improve metabolic regulation in cancer.

Exercise-Induced Mitochondrial Improvements in the Context of Cancer

Mitochondria are a putative target in relation to both the metabolic dysfunctions and the loss of muscle mass in cancer. Multiple studies have shown that ET in tumor-bearing animals leads to increased mitochondrial proteins and enzyme activity in skeletal muscle [88, 89, 97, 174, 221–224]. Furthermore, ET can reduce cancer-associated oxidative stress in preclinical models [95–97]. Whether enhanced mitochondrial function improves metabolic regulation in cancer is uncertain. For example, in the previously described study in Apcmin/+ mice, ET had no effect on glucose intolerance in a murine cancer model despite increased mitochondrial proteins in skeletal muscle [174]. In clinical studies, breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy had an increase in citrate synthase activity and protein expression of complexes in the electron transport chain in skeletal muscle, after 16-weeks of aerobic ET [225]. The same study also showed that, in relation to mitochondrial proteins, aerobic ET was superior to resistance ET [225], which is in accordance with studies in healthy humans. However, another study found no effect of ET on the expression of several mitochondrial proteins in skeletal muscle during chemotherapy for various cancer types [173]. This suggests that the cancer itself and/or the treatment could reduce ET-induced mitochondrial adaptations. Taken together, it is possible that ET may improve mitochondrial function in people with cancer but this benefit must be explored in detail.

4.4. Exercise Lowers Chronic Inflammation

Both aerobic- and resistance ET interventions decrease plasma markers of chronic inflammation such as C-reactive protein, IL-6, and interferon-γ in older-aged people with or without T2D [226, 227]. In mouse adipose tissue, ET leads to decreased expression of IL-1β [228], IL-12 [228], TNF-α [229], and MCP-1 [229, 230]. In humans with severe obesity, ET that improved glucose tolerance markedly reduced inflammation and macrophage infiltration in adipose tissue but not in skeletal muscle [231]. This is different to what is observed after an acute bout of exercise, where an increased infiltration of macrophages and an increase in genes related to inflammation is observed in human adipose tissue [232].
This suggests that adipose tissue and not skeletal muscle is a major contributor to the attenuation of whole-body chronic inflammation. While exercise can restore whole-body chronic inflammation, an acute bout of exercise also results in the release of IL-6 from skeletal muscle during exercise [233]. This transient elevation of IL-6 seems beneficial, as it promotes skeletal muscle glucose uptake and, as described below in the context of cancer, might help the immune system inhibit tumor growth [234].

Exercise Lowers Chronic Inflammation in the Context of Cancer

During high intensity and long-duration exercise, there is an acute up-regulation of IL-6 release, which might increase the infiltration of natural killer cells in cancer tissue and thereby decrease tumor growth, as has been shown in rodents [234]. In contrast to this beneficial acute spike in cytokines, a meta-analysis of current literature shows that long-term ET results in decreased systemic inflammation in cancer survivors evidenced by reduced C-reactive protein and TNF-α, with the strongest effects in breast and prostate cancer [235]. However, these data are inconclusive, as other studies report no improvement with ET on inflammation in patients with prostate cancer despite many other improvements [168,204]. A recent published study in patients treated with chemotherapy for breast cancer [236] showed that chemotherapy leads to an inflammatory environment, while a mix of resistance ET and high-intensity aerobic interval training can reduce the chemotherapy-induced inflammation and subsequent fatigue [236]. Similarly, in a phase II trial, aerobic ET (220 min/week for 12 weeks) after treatment for breast or colorectal cancer reduced the circulating levels of IL-6 with no additive effect of the anti-diabetic drug metformin in patients [237]. In rodent cancer models, aerobic ET has also been shown to decrease plasma IL-6 concentrations [238] and the cytokine content of adipose tissue [63]. Thus, ET is likely to treat chronic inflammation in patients as well as cancer survivors but whether this would impinge on metabolic function is unknown.

4.5. Exercise Increases Skeletal Muscle Mass and Strength

ET, especially resistance ET, leads to muscle hypertrophy and improved muscle strength [239]. This could help counter cancer cachexia and benefit metabolic regulation as skeletal muscle is a vital site for postprandial glucose uptake. Accordingly, resistance ET improves glycemic control in obese and T2D patients [240,241]. The mechanisms by which ET induces muscle hypertrophy is complex and involves multiple pathways including stimulating skeletal muscle protein synthesis, decreasing markers of protein degradation and the proteasome system (atrogin-1 and MuRF-1), reducing autophagy, and increasing mTORC1-S6K signaling, processes that have been extensively reviewed in [242–244].

Exercise Enhances Muscle Mass and Strength in the Context of Cancer

The effects of ET on muscle mass and strength in cancer cachexia is an emerging field because cancer causes negative net protein balance, while exercise could prevent this. A priority has been to establish the safety of exercise for the patients. A recent clinical trial in patients with cachectic lung and pancreatic cancer showed that a multimodal intervention, including physical activity, did not cause adverse events or poorer survival [245]. While the patients receiving the usual treatment lost body weight during the intervention period, patients receiving the multimodal intervention did not lose weight [245]. Therefore, regular exercise has potential to prevent cancer cachexia. Meanwhile, aerobic and resistance ET during and after chemo- and radiotherapy have been shown to increase lean body mass [246,247], maximal skeletal muscle strength [173,246,248,249], and myofiber cross-sectional area [173,225], while also preventing a decline in or even increasing VO2 peak [247–250]. Accordingly, a systematic review by Stene et al. showed that in patients with cancer, both aerobic and resistance ET, and a combination of these, improved upper and lower muscle strength compared to usual care [251]. Another more recent meta-analysis confirmed that muscle strength can be increased by resistance training in older patients with cancer, although muscle mass was not increased [252].
Mechanistic insight has mainly been obtained from preclinical models of cancer in which ET also increases muscle mass and strength [63, 97, 221, 238, 253–256]. ET was found to improve skeletal muscle protein synthesis [257], decrease markers of protein degradation and the proteasome system (atrogin-1 and MuRF-1) [222, 253], reduce markers of autophagy [97, 221, 222, 257], and increase mTORC1-S6K signaling [224, 253, 255, 257]. Based on those studies, the molecular machinery for exercise to increase muscle mass and strength seems to be intact in cancer supporting a role for exercise as a potent treatment for cancer-associated cachexia. Yet, presently there is a lack of molecular knowledge in humans related to the mechanisms that underlie cancer cachexia as well as ET-induced muscle mass regulation. It is also unknown whether an acute bout of exercise might increase anabolic sensitivity in people diagnosed with cancer, as it does for healthy subjects [258, 259] and elderly men [259], which could have implications for the timing of meals for patients with cachexia. Furthermore, whether acute exercise or ET would improve metabolic regulation in cachexia is unresolved.

5. Unanswered Questions
The following knowledge gaps hinder optimal treatment of metabolic dysfunction in cancer and hamper our ability to harness the beneficial effects of exercise:

1. The optimal exercise regimen for benefitting metabolic regulation in cancer remains to be established.
2. The appropriate implementation of exercise into the oncological treatment of cancer must be determined.
3. It is important to establish whether an acute exercise bout fully stimulates insulin-independent glucose uptake into the exercising muscles in cancer patients. This will be vital information in the daily life for cancer patients, as improved glycemic control is associated with the effectiveness of cancer treatment and improved survival.
4. It would have real-life patient benefits to determine whether the insulin-sensitizing effect of one bout of exercise exists in patients with cancer and can be exploited in relation to the timing between exercise and meals to maximize glucose disposal, reduce hyperinsulinemia, and elevate muscle protein synthesis.
5. For cancer patients with cancer cachexia, it is important to determine whether exercise can treat the loss of muscle mass and improve strength and which exercise regimen is most effective.
6. Deeper knowledge of the molecular mechanisms by which exercise benefits metabolic regulation is needed to identify novel therapeutically drug targets. This is especially important in patients with cancer cachexia who are unlikely to easily exercise [260].

6. Conclusions
The evidence is clear that dysregulated metabolism is a common feature of cancer. It manifests as peripheral insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and cachexia. Contemporary observational studies have shown that cancer survival depends on better metabolism management strategies, as metabolic dysfunctions are associated with reduced survival and increased cancer recurrence risks for most cancers. Exercise produces acute as well as longer-term metabolic benefits by improving insulin sensitivity, restoring hyperlipidemia, reducing inflammation, enhancing mitochondrial function, and increasing muscle mass and strength. Thus, exercise could be an important strategy to improve metabolic function in cancer but randomized controlled trials in patients with cancer are warranted. The American College of Sports Medicine updated its exercise guidelines for cancer treatment of a variety of cancer health-related outcomes including fatigue, anxiety, depression, sleep, function, and quality of life [261]. Improved metabolic regulation could likely be added to that list in the future, yet, current evidence is limited and many exciting discoveries lie ahead.
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