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Abstract: The marine-derived fungus Stilbella fimetaria is a chemically talented fungus producing several classes of bioactive metabolites, including meroterpenoids of the ascochlorin family. The targeted dereplication of fungal extracts by UHPLC-DAD-QTOF-MS revealed the presence of several new along with multiple known ascochlorin analogues (19–22). Their structures and relative configuration were characterized by 1D and 2D NMR. Further targeted dereplication based on a novel 1,4-benzoquinone sesquiterpene derivative, fimetarin A (22), resulted in the identification of three additional fimetarin analogues, fimetarins B–D (23–25), with their tentative structures proposed from detailed MS/HRMS analysis. In total, four new and eight known ascochlorin/fimetarin analogues were tested for their antimicrobial activity, identifying the analogues with a 5-chloroorcylaldehyde moiety to be more active than the benzoquinone analogue. Additionally, the presence of two conjugated double bonds at C-2′/C-3′ and C-4′/C-5′ were found to be essential for the observed antifungal activity, whereas the single, untailored bonds at C-4′/C-5′ and C-8′/C-9′ were suggested to be necessary for the observed antibacterial activity.
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1. Introduction

Ascochlorin (1) is a meroterpenoid, comprised of 5-chloroorcylaldehyde with C-3 substitution of a C6′–C11′ cyclized sesquiterpene side chain [1]. Ascochlorin was first isolated from a soil isolate Ascochyta viciae (later re-identified as Acremonium sclerotigenum) in 1968 [2,3], with the absolute structure determined by X-ray crystallography a year later [4]. Subsequently, numerous related analogues have been isolated from the original fungus [5,6], as well as several other fungi within the Hypocreales order, such as Cylindrocladium ilicicola (=Calonectria pyrochroa) [7], Nectria galligena (=Neonectria ditissima) [8], Fusarium sp. [9] of terrestrial origin, and sponge-derived Acremonium sp. [10] and Stachybotrys sp. [11] of marine origin. The majority of the analogues constitute simple backbone modifications, such as halogenation, dehydrogenation, hydroxylation, as well as acetylation of an alcohol group (2–9), with alternative sesquiterpene cyclization to form an oxygen-containing heterocycle between 8′OH and C-11′ (10–11) or a linear sesquiterpene chain being the minor analogues (12–17; Figure 1) [5,9,10,12,13]. Furthermore, similar compounds with a single isoprene or monoterpene side chain have also been reported [8,14–16].

Ascochlorin derivatives were originally known for their antibacterial [17], anticancer, and antiviral activities [1,2,18]. Additionally, a series of physiological modulation activities have also been reported, such as anti-inflammatory [19,20], hypolipidemic [5], and antidiabetic activities [21,22]. Notably, ascoufnalone (11) is a promising candidate against the
neglected tropical disease, African trypanosomiasis [23,24]. The biosynthesis of ascochlorin (1) and ascofuranone (11) in Acremonium egyptiacum (=Acremonium sclerotigenum) [25] has recently been elucidated, which has made it possible to specifically target the production of desired bioactive analogues [26,27]. Interestingly, the biosynthesis of both 1 and 11 starts by farnesylation of orsellinic acid to form the meroterpenoid 12, which upon further modifications result in 13, 14, and 15. The ilicicolin A epoxide (15) is the point of divergence, where 1 is produced through intermediate 5, and 11 through intermediates 16 and 10 (Figure 1). Therefore, by targeted knockout, selective production of either 1 or 11 can be achieved [27].

Recently, the marine-derived fungus Stilbella fimetaria was shown to be a chemically talented fungus, producing several classes of bioactive compounds, such as antibacterial peptaibiotics, antifungal PKS-NRPS hybrids of the ilicicolin H family, and cytotoxic pimarane-type diterpenoids [28]. In this study we follow up on our previous Stilbella fimetaria investigation with ascochlorin and related analogue analysis by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography diode array detection quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometry (UHPLC-DAD-QTOF-MS). In particular, we report the discovery of a novel 1,4-benzoquinone sesquiterpene derivative, fimetarin A, along with MS/HRMS-based tentative identification of three additional analogues, fimetarins B–D. The antimicrobial activities of all isolated compounds, including fimetarin A, three new, and eight known ascochlorin analogues, were evaluated.

![Figure 1. Examples of the most common ascochlorin analogues.](image)

**2. Results and Discussion**

In the investigation of the secondary metabolite profile of Stilbella fimetaria, the fungus was initially cultivated on yeast extract sucrose (YES) and Czapek yeast extract agar (CYA) media for 9 days at 25 °C in the dark, resulting in a combined extract. The crude extract was fractionated by reversed phase (RP) flash chromatography, where three out of the six resulting fractions showed cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities [28]. The subsequent auto-
mated in-house MS/HRMS fungal secondary metabolite library search [29] revealed that along with the broad spectrum antimicrobials helvolic acid and ilicicolin H [28], smaller amounts of ascochlorin and related analogues could also be observed in the same bioactive fractions. In our previous study, different fungal cultivations were prepared to target different bioactive compound classes [28], and it was found that incubation on YES agar medium for 9 days at 25 °C in the dark results in higher and more diverse production of ascochlorin analogues. Analysis by UHPLC-DAD-QTOF-MS based on the characteristic UV absorption (λ_{max} 240, 290, and 346 nm) [2] and/or the similarity of the MS/HRMS fragmentation pattern to that of ascochlorin led to dereplication of the majority of the aforementioned analogues. Consequently, eight known compounds (1, 3, 5, 6, 8–11) were isolated and their structures were confirmed by 1H NMR and HSQC data (Tables S1–S3). Moreover, four new ascochlorin analogues, ascochlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19), 4′-ketoascochlorin (20), and 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-formylascochlorin (21), as well as a novel benzoquinone equivalent of ilicicolin F (3), fimetarin A (22), were structure elucidated by 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Table 1. 1H and 13C NMR data for ascochlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19), 4′-ketoascochlorin (20), 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-formylascochlorin (21), and fimetarin A (22).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pos.</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>δC</td>
<td>δH, mult</td>
<td>δC</td>
<td>δH, mult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1CHO</td>
<td>112.9</td>
<td>9.90, s</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td>10.17, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>9.90, s</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>10.17, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2OH</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>12.76, s</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>12.67, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>163.1</td>
<td>6.44, brs</td>
<td>163.1</td>
<td>6.38, brs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>113.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>138.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.51, s</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.51, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>3.34, dd(13.6, 9.0)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>3.34, dd(13.6, 9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>5.70, t(7.3)</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>5.70, t(7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.45, dq(13.1, 4.9)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.45, dq(13.1, 4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.75, m</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.75, m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. 1H and 13C NMR data for ascochlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19), 4′-ketoascochlorin (20), 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-formylascochlorin (21), and fimetarin A (22).

- CD3OD; b CDCl3.
2.1. Dereplication and Structural Elucidation of New Analogues

The molecular formula \( \text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{44}\text{ClNO}_{10} \) of ascochlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19) was established based on HRESIMS (\( m/z \ 626.2727 \ [M + H]^+ \)). The automated in-house MS/HRMS library search revealed that one of the major fragments of 19 matched against the data of an ascochlorin standard, suggesting that 19 contains the ascochlorin backbone, as well as an easily cleavable moiety with a molecular formula of \( \text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{52}\text{NO}_{6} \ (m/z \ 222.0975 \ [M + H]^+) \). This hypothesis was further supported by the similarity of the UV absorption patterns of the two compounds. Subsequent \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR data analysis confirmed the presence of the ascochlorin backbone with an additional amino sugar unit at the C-4′ position (Table 1).

The planar structure of the aglycone was confirmed by \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) chemical shift similarity to that of 4′5′-dihydro-4′-hydroxyascochlorin (6) characterized in this work (Table S3) as well as previously published values \([1,6]\). The relative stereochemistry of the cyclohexanone moiety was also found to be identical to that of ascochlorin (1) based on the NOESY correlations (Figure 3) \([1]\).

The remaining six carbon atoms at \( \delta_{\text{C}} 94.0, 55.3, 72.9, 72.6, 74.5, \) and 62.9 (C-1′′–C-6′′, respectively), as well as the amide carbonyl group at \( \delta_{\text{C}} 173.6 \ (2′\text{CO}) \) and the methyl group at \( \delta_{\text{C}} 22.8 \ (2′\text{OCH}_3) \), were assigned to the amino sugar unit \( \text{C}_{8}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_{6} \). The corresponding methines at H-1′′–H-5′′ and a methylene at H-6′′ constituted a single spin system, further supported by HMBC data (Figure 3A). The HMBC correlations from H-2′′ \((\delta_{\text{H}} 3.87)\) and the singlet methyl group at 2′\text{OCH}_3 \((\delta_{\text{H}} 2.00)\) to the amide carbonyl 2′\text{CO} \((\delta_{\text{C}} 173.6)\), indicated the NHCOCH_3 functionality being attached at C-2′′. Finally, the anomeric position of the amino sugar was assigned to the proton at H-1′′ \((\delta_{\text{H}} 4.77)\) based on the strong HMBC correlation to C-4′ \((\delta_{\text{C}} 78.9)\) of the ascochlorin backbone, further supported by a strong correlation observed between H-4′ and H-1′′ in the NOESY spectrum. The vicinal coupling constants of \( J_{1′-2′} = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{2′-3′} = 10.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{ and } J_{3′-4′} = 9.2 \text{ Hz} \) indicated an equatorial position for H-1′′ and axial positions for the remaining protons at H-2′′ to H-5′′ in a pyranose ring system. This was further supported with observed

**Figure 2.** Structures of new ascochlorin analogues isolated from *Stilbella f metaria.*

**Figure 3.** Key (A) COSY (bold), HMBC (solid arrows), and (B) NOESY (dashed arrows) correlations of ascochlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19).
NOESY correlations between H-1" and H-2", H-2" and H-4", H-3" and H-5", as well as H-4" and H-6" in the NOESY spectrum (Figure 3B). Based on these observations, the amino sugar was identified as N-acetyl-α-D-glucosamine. This is only the second reported case of monosaccharide incorporation in the 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-hydroxyascoclorin backbone, with the first being vertihemipterin A [1], both representing a rare fungal polyketide-sesquiterpenoid possessing a α-D-glucosamine unit.

The molecular formula of C_{23}H_{29}ClO_{5} for 4′-ketoascoclorin (20) was established based on HRESIMS (m/z 421.1776 [M + H]⁺). The observed UV absorption pattern was similar to that of ascoclorin, with λ_{max} 240, 290, and 340 nm. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 20 were highly comparable to the values of 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-hydroxyascoclorin (6) obtained in this work (Table S3). The major difference was the change of C-2′ from δ_C 74.6 in 6 to the downfield value of δ_C 200.9 in 20, indicating the presence of a keto group at this position. This is further supported by the significant downfield shift of nearby protons H-2′ (δ_H 6.62) and H-5′ (δ_H 2.74/2.51) for 20, in comparison to that observed in 6 (δ_H 5.48 and δ_H 1.66/1.46, respectively). Correlations observed in the COSY and HMBC experiments further validated the expected backbone, as well as the keto group placement at C-4′ by key HMBC correlations from H-2′ (δ_H 6.62) and H-5′ (δ_H 2.74/2.51) (Figure 4A).

![Figure 4. Key COSY (bold) and HMBC (solid arrows) correlations for (A) 4′-ketoascoclorin (20), and (B) 4′,5′-dehydro-4′-formylascoclorin (21).](image)

4′,5′-dihydro-4′-formylascoclorin (21) exhibited the expected UV absorption (λ_{max} 236, 292, and 342 nm) and a molecular formula of C_{24}H_{30}ClO_{6} established from HRESIMS (m/z 473.1699 [M + Na]⁺). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 21 were fairly identical to those of 4′,5′-dihydro-4′-hydroxyascoclorin (6), with the main difference being an additional carbon atom (δ_C 160.2) and a proton (δ_H 8.03) observed, indicating the presence of a formyl ester (4′-OCHO). A proton shift change at H-4′ from δ_H 4.21 in 6 to δ_H 5.49 in 21 suggested the formyl group to be placed at C-4′. Subsequent assignment of COSY and HMBC correlations confirmed the expected backbone and the formyl group placement at C4′ by HMBC correlations from the proton at 4′OCHO (δ_H 8.03) to C-4′ (δ_C 75.9) and from H-4′ (δ_H 5.49) to the carbonyl at 4′OCHO (δ_C 160.2; Figure 4B). However, we speculate that 21 might be an extraction artefact rather than a true biosynthetic product, due to the acidic extraction containing formic acid. Although no NOESY experiments for either 20 or 21 were acquired, the relative stereochemistry is expected to be the same as that of previously discussed analogues.

The major halogen-containing ascoclorin analogue fimetarin A (22) displayed a different UV absorption pattern (λ_{max} 236 and 282 nm) to that of previously described ascoclorin analogues (λ_{max} 240, 290, and 346 nm), and a molecular formula of C_{23}H_{29}ClO_{6} was established from HRESIMS (m/z 449.1725 [M + H]⁺). Notably, 22 showed an in-source fragment with corresponding molecular formula C_{22}H_{25}ClO_{4} (m/z 389.1518 [M + H]⁺), indicating the loss of an acetoxy moiety. The acquired spectroscopic data for 22 revealed similarities to ilicicolin F (3) data reported in this work (Table S1) as well as previously published data [8,30]. Namely, the ¹H and ¹³C shifts of the sesquiterpene chain with an 8′-OAc moiety for 22 were comparable to those of 3 and were subsequently confirmed by COSY and HMBC correlations (Figure 5A). However, instead of the 5-chlorooxycaldehyde moiety observed in other ascoclorin analogues, the spectroscopic data of 22 revealed the presence of a 2-chloro-3-methyl-5-hydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone ring system. This was indicated by a downfield shift of the six remaining carbon atoms (Table 1), and HMBC
correlations from H-1’ (δ_H 3.30) to C-2 (δ_C 154.9), C-3 (δ_C 120.6), and C-4 (δ_C 181.1), as well as correlations from 6’CH₃ (δ_H 2.15) to C-1 (δ_C 182.6), C-5 (δ_C 139.9), C-6 (δ_C 142.5), and a weak 1J correlation to C-4 (Figure 5A).

Figure 5. Key (A) COSY (bold), HMBC (solid arrows), and (B) NOESY (dashed arrows) correlations of fimetarin A (22).

The relative stereochemistry of 22 was proposed based on ¹H coupling constants and NOESY correlations (Figure 5B). NOESY correlations of 3’CH₃ (δ_H 1.89) with H-1’ (δ_H 3.30) and H-5’ (δ_H 5.44), as well as correlations between H-2’ (δ_H 5.45) and H-4’ (δ_H 5.95) indicated an E configuration for both double bonds at C-2’/C-3 and C-4’/C-5. The coupling constant of Jₚᵧ = 11.5 Hz placed H-8’ and H-7’ in axial positions in the cyclohexanone ring. This was further supported by NOESY correlations between 6’CH₃ (δ_H 0.74) with 7’CH₃ (δ_H 0.87), H-8’ (δ_H 4.84), 11’CH₃ (δ_H 0.82) as well as H-4’, placing all three methyl groups on the same side of the plane, opposite to H-7’ (δ_H 2.09), H-11’ (δ_H 2.62), and 8’OAc. The latter was further supported by NOESY correlations of H-7’ with H-5’ and H-11’.

2.2. UV-VIS- and MS-Based Dereplication of Fimetarin A Analogues

Expanding the investigation into the production of other potential fimetarin A (22) analogues, the crude extract along with initial fractions were screened for candidates exhibiting the same UV absorption pattern as 22 (λ_max 236 and 282 nm), molecular formulae containing an even number of carbon atoms (in contrast to the odd number observed in ascochlorin analogues), and a single chlorine atom. In addition to 22, three other compounds met the selected criteria with the assigned molecular formulae, namely 23 with C_{22}H_{25}ClO_{4} (m/z 389.1515 [M + H]^+), 24 with C_{24}H_{31}ClO_{7} (m/z 467.1831 [M + H]^+), and 25 with C_{22}H_{27}ClO_{4} (m/z 391.1673 [M + H]^+). Based on the comparison of MS/HRMS spectra of these dereplicated compounds with those of 22, the structures of three new fimetarin A analogues could be tentatively proposed, namely fimetarins B (23), C (24), and D (25) (Figure 6). We hypothesize that their expected stereochemistry is the same as that of all previously discussed ascochlorin analogues.

Figure 6. Fimetarin B (23), C (24), and D (25) tentative structures proposed based on MS/HRMS.

The fragmentation patterns of 23 and 24 were found to be nearly identical to that of 22 (highlighted in blue and green; Figure 7 and Figure S8). The initial acetoxy loss in both 22 and 24, and an additional water moiety loss for 24 results in both compounds sharing the same major daughter ion of m/z 389.1514, whereas the same m/z ratio corresponds to the parent ion in 23. Subsequent sesquiterpene chain fragmentation in all three compounds occurs in the same manner, only with small discrepancies in fragment intensity and fragmentation corresponding to initial terpene ring opening. This suggests 23 to be
the deacetoxylated analogue of 22 and benzoquinone equivalent to illicicolin E (9). For 24, the proposed structure is the hydrated analogue of 22, with an alcohol group at C-4'. Since no ascochlorin analogues have been previously reported to be simultaneously substituted at both C-4' and C-8', we speculate that 24 is most likely yet another acidic extraction artefact. In contrast to 23 and 24, the MS/HRMS of 25 showed only partial similarity to 22, with the observed fragment ions being either identical (highlighted in green) or 2 Da higher (highlighted in red), indicating one degree of unsaturation less compared to 23 (Figure 7). Upon analysis of the individual MS/HRMS fragmentation patterns, it was found that all the fragments corresponding to the benzoquinone moiety with an associated linear terpenoid chain (highlighted in green) were common among all four compounds (22–25); however, the fragments corresponding to the cyclized terpene part of the molecule, irrespective of the associated linear terpene side chain, were always 2 Da higher for 25 (highlighted in red) in contrast to the other three compounds 22–24 (highlighted in blue; Figure 7 and Figure S8). This indicated the loss of a degree of unsaturation within the terpene ring, most likely at the C-8'/C-9' positions, resulting in a benzoquinone equivalent of illicicolin C (5).

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** MS/HRMS spectra at 10eV with ion abundance threshold of 2% for (A) fimetarin A (22), (B) fimetarin B (23), (C) fimetarin C (24), and (D) fimetarin D (25). Highlighted in green are the fragment ions common in all four compounds, in blue-fragment ions shared among 22–24, and in red-fragment ions unique to 25.

The crude extract along with initial fractions were additionally screened for the presence of 3-methyl-5-hydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone, as a potential starter unit for fimetarin biosynthesis, as well as the initial pathway intermediate with a C-3 substituted sesquiterpene side chain, however with unsuccessful results. This suggests the possibility of unknown enzymatic activity that is either modifying the final pathway products or intercepting the biosynthetic pathway of ascochlorin at the early stages of biosynthesis. In case of the latter, the potential reaction mechanism might be similar to that observed in oosporein biosynthesis, where salicylate hydroxylase (OpS4) activity results in orsellinic acid conversion to a substituted 1,4-benzoquinone moiety via an oxidative decarboxylation [31].
2.3. Biological Structure-Activity Relationship of Ascochlorin Analogues

Compounds 1, 3, 5, 6, 8–11, and 19–22 were tested for their antifungal and antibacterial activity against Aspergillus fumigatus, Candida albicans, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and Escherichia coli (Table 2). Strong inhibitory activities (1.25–4.1 µg/mL) were observed for compounds 1, 3, and 9, and moderate activity (20 µg/mL) for 22 was observed against A. fumigatus. Comparison of the structural activity relationship of 1, 3, and 9 suggested that the 5-chloroorcylaldehyde moiety along with the two double bonds at C-2'/C-3' and C-4'/C-5' with an E configuration are essential for the bioactivity against A. fumigatus, since no activities were observed in any of the other tested analogues with a saturated C-4'/C-5' bond. Moreover, slight modifications of the ascochlorin (1) backbone at the cyclic terpene part, namely acetylation at C-8' or dehydrogenation at C-8'/C-9', did not significantly affect compound activity. This is further supported by the significantly increased MIC value for 22, with the only difference to 3 being the benzoquinone moiety. The same four compounds (1, 3, 9, and 22) were also active against C. albicans, where 3 exhibited moderate activity (6.6–13.3 µg/mL), whereas the rest (1, 9, and 22) showed weak activities (66.6–133.3 µg/mL). Here, the same double bond positioning at C-2'/C-3' and C-4'/C-5' along with acetylation at C-8' are proposed to be essential for the observed bioactivity, since both non-acetylated analogues 1 and 9, showed weaker MIC values. Interestingly, 22 is in the same bioactivity range as 1 and 9, leading to speculations that the presence of the acetyl group at C-8' is equally if not more important than the presence of a 5-chloroorcylaldehyde moiety for the activity against C. albicans. In an anti-MRSA assay, 5 exhibited the strongest (1.25–2.5 µg/mL), whereas 8 and 11 showed moderate (26.6 and 40 µg/mL, respectively) and 20 exhibited weak (93.3 µg/mL) antibacterial activities. This suggests that the presence of non-modified single bonds at C-4'/C-5' and C-8'/C-9' is essential, since none of the other unsaturated analogues and/or modifications at either or both of these positions showed bioactivity at the tested concentrations. Moreover, with 8 being the non-halogenated precursor of 5, it is proposed that the presence of a chlorine atom is preferred for the higher potency of the compounds against S. aureus (MRSA). Finally, the more than 3-fold increase in MIC values from 11 to 10, with a single difference of an oxidized keto group, suggests that the keto group at C-10' is essential for ascofuranone (11) bioactivity.

Table 2. Antifungal and antibacterial activities of compounds 1, 3, 5, 6, 8–11, and 19–22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>A. fumigatus</th>
<th>C. albicans</th>
<th>MRSA</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25–2.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.66–3.33</td>
<td>6.66–13.33</td>
<td>&gt;53.33</td>
<td>&gt;53.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>1.25–2.5</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;25.66</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;106.70</td>
<td>&gt;106.70</td>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>&gt;106.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>66.67–133.3</td>
<td>&gt;133.3</td>
<td>&gt;133.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;133.3</td>
<td>&gt;133.3</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>&gt;133.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>&gt;186.70</td>
<td>&gt;186.70</td>
<td>93.33</td>
<td>&gt;186.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
<td>&gt;160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

All samples were analyzed on an Agilent Infinity 1290 UHPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a diode array detector (DAD), monitoring between 190 and 640 nm. Separation was achieved on an Agilent Poroshell 120 phenyl-hexyl column (150 × 2.1 mm, 1.9 µm particles) with a flow rate of 0.35 mL/min at 40 °C,
using a linear acetonitrile (MeCN)/water (both buffered with 20 mM FA) gradient of 10% to 100% MeCN in 10 min, followed by 2 min flush at 100% MeCN, returning to starting conditions in 0.1 min, and equilibration at 10% for 2 min before the following run. It was coupled to an Agilent 6545 QTOF MS equipped with a Dual Jet Stream ESI source with the drying gas temperature of 250 °C and gas flow of 8 L/min and sheath gas temperature of 300 °C and flow of 12 L/min, capillary voltage 4000 V, and nozzle voltage of 500 V. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive polarity, recording centroid data in the m/z range 100 to 1700 for MS mode, and 30–1700 for MS/MS mode, with an acquisition rate of 10 spectra/s. Automated MS/HRMS was performed for ions detected in the full scan above 50,000 counts, with a cycle time of 0.5 s and quadrupole width of m/z ± 0.65 using fixed CID energies of 10, 20, and 40 eV with a maximum of three precursor ions per cycle. A lock mass solution of 70% MeOH was infused in the second ESI sprayer, with an extra LC pump at a flow of 15 µL/min using a 1:100 splitter. The solution contained 1 µM tributylamine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 10 µM hexakis(2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropoxy)phosphazene (Apollo Scientific Ltd., Cheshire, UK) as lock masses. The [M + H]+ ions of both compounds (m/z 186.2216 and 922.0098, respectively) were used.

1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance 800 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA), using standard sequence pulses. Samples were analyzed in a 3 mm TCI cryoprobe using either deuterated methanol (CD3OD) or chloroform (CDCl3), and referred to the residual solvent signals δH = 7.26 ppm and δC = 77.16 ppm for CDCl3, and δH = 3.31 ppm and δC = 49.0 ppm for CD3OD. J-couplings are reported in hertz (Hz) and chemical shifts (δ) in ppm.

Optical rotations were measured in methanol (MeOH) on a PerkinElmer 341 Polarimeter (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

3.2. Fungal Strain and Cultivation

*Stilbella fimetaria* (IBT 28361) is a marine-derived fungus from the IBT culture collection at DTU Bioengineering. For large-scale cultivation, the fungus was cultivated with 3-point inoculation on 200 YES agar plates, and incubated for 9 days at 25 °C in the dark.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

For the large-scale extraction, the agar plates were extracted twice with acidic (1% FA) EtOAc. Liquid-liquid partition was then performed on the dried crude extract with 90% MeOH:water and heptane, resulting in two phases; the 90% MeOH:water fraction was then diluted with water up to 50% and further extracted with dichloromethane (DCM), resulting in three phases overall. The DCM phase was dried before loading into a 50 g SNAP column (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden) with diol material (Isolute diol, Biotage). Crude fractionation was performed using an Isolera One automated flash system (Biotage) with stepwise increments of 25% and 50% at 40 mL/min in a heptane-DCM-EtOAc-MeOH system, starting at 100% heptane and finishing at 100% MeOH, resulting in 10 fractions overall of 300 mL each. Selected fractions of interest were further fractionated with a Waters 600 Controller (Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Waters 996 Photodiode Array Detector, with UV monitoring at 230 and 280 nm; a linear gradient of 60% to 80% MeOH/water (both +50 ppm TFA) over 20 min at a flow rate of 4 mL/min was run on a Kinetex RP C18 column (5 µm, 100 Å, 250 × 10 mm, Phenomenex) to yield 1, 5, and 11. Additional separation for the remaining compounds was achieved on an Agilent Infinity 1290 HPLC-DAD (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) system, with UV monitoring at 230 and 280 nm; a linear gradient of 45% to 65% MeOH/water (both +50 ppm TFA) over 30 min at a flow rate of 4 mL/min and a column temperature at 40 °C using a Kinetex RP C18 column (5 µm, 100 Å, 250 × 10 mm, Phenomenex).

Ascochlorin (1): pale yellow powder; UV (MeCN) λmax 240, 292, 342 nm; 1H and 13C NMR data, see Table S1; HRESIMS m/z 405.1826 [M + H]+ (calculated for C23H29ClO4, m/z 405.1827).
Ilicicolin F (3): white powder; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 240, 292, 341 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S1; HRESIMS m/z 463.1882 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{25}H_{31}ClO_{6}, m/z 463.1882).

Ilicolin C (5): white powder; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 239, 293, 339 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S1; HRESIMS m/z 407.1983 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{23}H_{31}ClO_{4}, m/z 407.1984).

4',5'-dehydro-4'-hydroxyascochlorin (6): pale yellow powder/oil; [α]_D^{20} +36.6° (c 0.8, MeOH); UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 235, 293, 341 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S3; HRESIMS m/z 445.1752 [M + Na]^+ (calculated for C_{29}H_{31}ClO_{3}Na, m/z 445.1752).

LL-Z1272 (8): pale yellow powder; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 234, 297, 335 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S1; HRESIMS m/z 437.2376 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{29}H_{33}O_{18}, m/z 437.2373).

Ilicolin E (9): white powder; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 242, 291, 342 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S1; HRESIMS m/z 403.1671 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{25}H_{27}O_{8}, m/z 403.1671).

Ascofuranol (10): yellow oil; [α]_D^{20} +10° (c 0.08, MeOH); UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 238, 293, 340 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S2; HRESIMS m/z 423.1934 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{23}H_{31}ClO_{5}, m/z 423.1933).

Ascofuranone (11): yellow oil; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 241, 292, 344 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table S2; HRESIMS m/z 421.1778 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{23}H_{29}ClO_{5}, m/z 421.1776).

Aschlorin N-acetylglucosamine (19): pale yellow solid; UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 230, 292, 344 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 626.2727 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{31}H_{44}ClO_{10}, m/z 626.2727); MS/HRMS data, see Figure S1.

4'-ketoascochlorin (20): yellow solid; [α]_D^{20} 0° (c 0.07, MeOH); UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 240, 290, 340 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 421.1776 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{23}H_{29}ClO_{5}, m/z 421.1776); MS/HRMS data, see Figure S2.

4',5'-diydro-4'-formylascochlorin (21): pale yellow oil; [α]_D^{20} +30° (c 0.17, MeOH); UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 236, 292, 342 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 473.1699 [M + Na]^+ (calculated for C_{24}H_{30}ClO_{6}Na, m/z 473.1701); MS/HRMS data, see Figure S3.

Fimetarin A (22): yellow solid; [α]_D^{20} −15° (c 0.05, MeOH); UV (MeCN) λ_{max} 236, 282, 328 nm; ^1H and ^13C NMR data, see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 449.1725 [M + H]^+ (calculated for C_{24}H_{29}ClO_{5}, m/z 449.1725); MS and MS/HRMS data, see Figure S4.

3.4. Antibacterial and Antifungal Assays

Compounds 1–3, 5, 8–11, and 19–22 were evaluated for their antimicrobial activity against the growth of fungi (A. fumigatus ATCC46645), yeast (C. albicans ATCC64124), Gram-positive (methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) MB5393), and Gram-negative (E. coli ATCC 25922) bacteria, based on previously described methods [32–34]. Briefly, each compound was serially diluted in DMSO with a dilution factor of 2 to provide 10 concentrations with varying starting concentrations depending on individual sample availability. MICs were defined as the lowest concentration of compounds, namely fimetarins A–D (22–25), comprised of a sesquiterpene-substituted seven carbon 1,4-benzoquinone moiety, was used for the first time. This implies that an additional oxidative decarboxylation step must be involved in the early stages of fimetarin biosynthesis, as compared to ascochlorins with an eight carbon 5-chloroocrylaldehyde moiety.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a marine-derived isolate of Stilbella fimetaria was found to be a prolific producer of a series of novel bioactive ascochlorin family compounds. Notably, a new sub-class of compounds, namely fimetarins A–D (22–25), comprised of a sesquiterpene-substituted seven carbon 1,4-benzoquinone moiety, was described for the first time. This implies that an additional oxidative decarboxylation step must be involved in the early stages of fimetarin biosynthesis, as compared to ascochlorins with an eight carbon 5-chloroocrylaldehyde moiety.
Upon antimicrobial activity testing, it was found that fimetarin A (22) expressed lower inhibitory activity in comparison to its orcyaldehyde equivalent, ilicicolin F (3), clearly indicating the orcyaldehyde moiety to be part of the pharmacophore. Additionally, it was proposed that the presence of two conjugated double bonds at C-2'/C-3' and C-4'/C-5' is essential for strong antifungal activity against A. fumigatus and C. albicans, whereas the single, untailored bonds at C-4'/C-5' and C-8'/C-9' are important for the antibacterial activity against S. aureus MRSA.
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