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We propose an operator product expansion for planar form factors of local operators in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory. This expansion is based on the dual conformal symmetry of these objects or, equivalently, the conformal symmetry of their dual description in terms of periodic Wilson loops. A form factor is decomposed into a sequence of known pentagon transitions and a new universal object that we call the “form factor transition.” This transition is subject to a set of nontrivial bootstrap constraints, which are sufficient to fully determine it. We evaluate the form factor transition for maximally helicity-violating form factors of the chiral half of the stress tensor supermultiplet at leading order in perturbation theory and use it to produce operator product expansion predictions at any loop order. We match the one-loop and two-loop predictions with data available in the literature.
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Introduction.—The past ten years saw huge progress in our understanding of null polygonal Wilson loops, which was primarily motivated by the fact that these objects describe color-ordered scattering amplitudes in planar \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory. Another motivation lies in them controlling a certain limit of correlation functions of local operators in this theory [1–5]. A further class of fundamental observables with a dual description in terms of certain null polygon Wilson loops are form factors (FFs) [6–10]; in terms of complexity, they lie somewhere in between scattering amplitudes and correlation functions.

The FF \( \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{O} \) describes the overlap of a state created by a local operator \( \mathcal{O} \) with an \( n \)-particle asymptotic state:

\[
\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{O}(k_1, \ldots, k_n; q) = \int dx^4 e^{-ixq} \langle k_1, \ldots, k_n|\mathcal{O}(x)|0\rangle, \tag{1}
\]

which has support on \( q = \sum_i k_i \). While \( k_i^2 = 0 \), generically \( q^2 \neq 0 \). Because of the dependence on the local operator FFs are richer than scattering amplitudes, which themselves can be thought of as FFs of the identity operator. The simplest nontrivial operator to consider is the chiral half of the stress tensor supermultiplet, which contains the self-dual part of the Lagrangian, \( \mathcal{F}_L \). Operators in this multiplet preserve half of the supersymmetry and their FFs can be classified according to the helicity of the external particles.

In this Letter, we focus on the simplest, maximally helicity-violating (MHV) configuration of the color-ordered form factor, \( \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{MHV}}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) \), which in many ways resembles MHV scattering amplitudes.

Many of the perturbative methods for computing scattering amplitudes have been generalized to FFs, see the recent review [11] for a detailed account. Moreover, integrable structures have been identified in FFs at strong coupling [7,12] as well as at weak coupling [13].

At the nonperturbative level, the only systematic method of studying scattering amplitudes is the operator product expansion (OPE), which is based on dual conformal symmetry [14]. This powerful property of planar amplitudes is nothing but the conformal symmetry of their dual description in terms of null polygonal Wilson loops. The momenta of the particles, \( k_i \), determine the positions of the cusps of this Wilson loop by the simple rule \( x_{i+1} - x_i = k_i \). For \( \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{MHV}} \), the dual Wilson loop is determined in the same way. However, because the total momentum \( q \neq 0 \), the corresponding contour is not closed, but periodic: \( x_{i+n} - x_i = q \). The periodicity is also imposed at the quantum level and mixes the spacetime translation with the color trace [9,15]. As a result, this periodic Wilson loop is only defined in the planar limit. We will also refer to it as a wrapped polygon, since it is wrapped once around a cylinder topology. Similar to amplitudes, FFs are invariant under a version of dual conformal symmetry—one that acts on both the cusps \( x_i \) of the wrapped polygon and its periodicity constraint [9,10,16]. The existence of this nontrivial symmetry suggests that the OPE method can be extended to FFs. In this Letter, we present this extension explicitly.
The OPE is a decomposition of the FF into two types of universal blocks. One of them is the pentagon transition, which is independent of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ and has been bootstrapped at finite coupling in Refs. [17–26] using the integrability of the Gubser-Klebanov-Polyakov (GKP) flux tube. The other building block is the form factor transition that we introduce here, which encodes the transition flux tube. The other building block is the FF. The pentagon transitions are analogous to the three-point functions, while the FF transitions are analogous to the one-point function that can be absorbed by the two-sided periodic Wilson loop. We call this final step the form factor transition. In summary, this sequence of transitions and propagation can be written as

$$W_n = \sum_{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{n-2}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \left(-E_i \tau_i + i p_i \sigma_i + i m \phi_i\right)} \times P(0|\psi_1) \ldots P(\psi_{n-3}|\psi_{n-2}) F(\psi_{n-2}).$$

Here, $P$ denotes the pentagon transition and $F$ is the form factor transition.

The decomposition [Eq. (2)] applies to periodic Wilson loops in any conformal theory with a stable flux between fast-moving quarks. For the rest of this Letter we focus on $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, in which periodic Wilson loops are dual to form factors. Under this duality, the OPE maps to the expansion around the multicollinear limit. Moreover, the GKP flux-tube dynamics of this theory is integrable. Therefore, we expect to be able to bootstrap the building blocks entering Eq. (2) at finite 't Hooft coupling.

The basis of GKP eigenstates as well as their dispersion relations have been constructed in Ref. [27]. The pentagon top square in Fig. 1. It undergoes a series of pentagon transitions from one square to the next, with an eigenstate in the $i$th channel denoted as $\psi_i$. The propagation of this state results in the factor $\exp\{-E_i \tau_i + i p_i \sigma_i + i m \phi_i\}$, where $\{E_i, p_i, m_i\}$ are the GKP energy, momentum, and angular momentum, respectively. Finally, the state $\psi_{n-2}$ is absorbed by the two-sided periodic Wilson loop. We call this final step the form factor transition. In summary, this sequence of transitions and propagation can be written as
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The decomposition [Eq. (2)] applies to periodic Wilson loops in any conformal theory with a stable flux between fast-moving quarks. For the rest of this Letter we focus on $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, in which periodic Wilson loops are dual to form factors. Under this duality, the OPE maps to the expansion around the multicollinear limit. Moreover, the GKP flux-tube dynamics of this theory is integrable. Therefore, we expect to be able to bootstrap the building blocks entering Eq. (2) at finite 't Hooft coupling.
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The decomposition [Eq. (2)] applies to periodic Wilson loops in any conformal theory with a stable flux between fast-moving quarks. For the rest of this Letter we focus on $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory, in which periodic Wilson loops are dual to form factors. Under this duality, the OPE maps to the expansion around the multicollinear limit. Moreover, the GKP flux-tube dynamics of this theory is integrable. Therefore, we expect to be able to bootstrap the building blocks entering Eq. (2) at finite 't Hooft coupling.
transitions and integration (or square) measures have been bootstrapped in Refs. [17–26]. Hence, in order to compute planar form factors in $N = 4$ SYM theory, all that remains is to bootstrap one new building block—the form factor transition. This object is universal; it does not depend on the number of particles or their kinematical configuration, but only on the local operator and the GKP eigenstate. In the next sections, we study the FF transitions for the chiral part of the stress tensor supermultiplet. Before, let us set our notations, which are aligned with the ones introduced in Ref. [17].

The simplest form factor $F_C$ that admits a nontrivial OPE decomposition is the three-point MHV one. For this case, we have

$$W_3 = \sum_a \int du P_a(0|u)F_a(\bar{u})e^{-i\int dE(u) + isp(u)},$$

where we sum over the complete basis of GKP eigenstates. These states are parametrized by the number of excitations $N$, their species $a = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N\}$, and their flux-tube momenta or, equivalently, their Bethe rapidities $u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_N\}$, with $\bar{a} = \{a_N, \ldots, a_1\}$ and $\bar{u} = \{-u_N, \ldots, -u_1\}$. Here, $P_a$ are the pentagon transitions, and the integration measure is given by $du = N_a \prod_{i=1}^N \mu_i(u_i) (du_i/2\pi)$, with $\mu_i$ being the single-particle measures and $N_a$ being a symmetry factor. Lastly, $F_a$ are the FF transitions that will be studied in the following two sections.

**The form factor transition.** The FF transition computes the amplitude for a GKP in-state to be absorbed by the two-sided wrapped polygon, see Fig. 4. It is subject to a set of constraints that we list below. These constraints are similar to those obeyed by integrable two-dimensional form factors of a branch-point operator of angle $\pi$. In Ref. [28], we use them to bootstrap the FF transitions at finite ’t Hooft coupling.

**Watson:** Reordering two adjacent excitations within a state is equivalent to acting on it with the $S$ matrix. This property is inherited by the FF transition:

$$F(\ldots, u_j, u_{j+1}, \ldots) = S(u_j, u_{j+1})F(\ldots, u_{j+1}, u_j, \ldots),$$

where we have suppressed the species index.

$$F_a(\bar{u}) = \cdots \frac{1}{\mu_{a_1}(u_1)} \int du_2 \cdots du_N \mu_{a_2}(u_2) \cdots \mu_{a_N}(u_N) F_{a_2, \ldots, a_N}(u_2, \ldots, u_N) e^{-i\int dE(u) + isp(u)} \cdots$$

FIG. 4. The FF transition is given by the ratio between the expectation value of the two-sided wrapped polygon with and without GKP excitations inserted on its base.

Singlet: The two-sided wrapped polygon is invariant under a $U(1)_\phi \times SU(4)_R$ symmetry, where the $U(1)_\phi$ factor corresponds to rotations in the two-dimensional transverse plane and $SU(4)_R$ is the $R$-symmetry group. As a result, the FF transition must be a $U(1)_\phi \times SU(4)_R$ singlet:

$$F_{a_1, \ldots, a_n}(u) = \mathcal{M}_{a_1}^{b_1} \cdots \mathcal{M}_{a_n}^{b_n} F_{b_1, \ldots, b_n}(u),$$

where $\mathcal{M} \in U(1)_\phi \times SU(4)_R$. As such, it can only absorb singlet states.

As the fundamental GKP excitations are all charged under $U(1)_\phi \times SU(4)_R$, the FF transition cannot absorb a single-particle excitation. Moreover, only singlet states with even Born-level energy can contribute to the FF transition [28]. As a result, at any loop order only even powers of $e^{-\tau}$ can appear in the large $\tau$ expansion Eq. (3).

**Reflection:** In addition to the continuous symmetries above, the two-sided wrapped polygon is also invariant under a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. It acts by flipping the direction of the two edges. This transformation has the effect of inverting the $\sigma$ direction. As a result, the FF transition is subject to the relation.

$$F_a(\bar{u}) = F_{\bar{a}}(\bar{u}).$$

**Square limit:** The FF transition and the measure are related by

$$\lim_{u_i \rightarrow u_n} F_a(\bar{u}) = \frac{-i\delta_{a_1, a_2} F_{a_2, \ldots, a_n}(u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1})}{\mu_{a_1}(u_1)} \frac{u_1 - u_n - ie}{u_1 - u_n + ie} \pm \left( S(u_1, u_n) \prod_{1 \leq j < n} S(u_1, u_j) S(u_j, u_n) \right) \frac{-i\delta_{b_1, b_2} F_{b_2, \ldots, b_n}(u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1})}{\mu_{b_1}(u_1)} \frac{u_n - u_1 - ie}{u_n - u_1 + ie},$$

where the plus sign is for bosons and the minus sign for fermions. This relation represents a factorization limit in which a pair of excitations decouples from the rest [28].

FIG. 5. Applying a mirror transformation to the first excitation is equivalent to transporting it to the neighboring edge on the left. After two successive mirror transformations, or a crossing transformation, the first excitation becomes the last one.
Crossing: The most nontrivial constraint has to do with the crossing symmetry of the transition and is depicted in Fig. 5. It reads

$$F(u_1^{2n}, u_2, \ldots, u_n) = F(u_2, \ldots, u_n, u_1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here, $u'$ is a mirror transformation such that $p(u') = iE(u)$ and $E(u') = ip(u)$, see Ref. [27].

**FF transitions at Born level.**—The leading contribution to the OPE Eq. (3) comes from the lightest singlet state. In perturbation theory, this contribution stems from three two-particle singlet states and two one-particle effective excitations, but the latter do not contribute at leading order [29]. All of them have the same tree-level energy $E = 2$. Each of the three two-particle singlet states is a superposition of all possible singlet combinations of two scalar $(\phi \phi)$, two fermion $(\psi \bar{\psi})$, and two gluon $(FF)$ fields inserted on the base of the wrapped polygon in Fig. 4. These states differ in the asymptotic limit, in which the two fields are taken far apart. Only one out of the three pairs of fields survives in this limit, and this is the pair that labels the state.

In Ref. [29], we have explicitly constructed the aforementioned superpositions that correspond to the three two-particle singlet states at Born level. We will now use them to compute the Born-level FF transitions we denote by $F_{\phi \phi}$, $F_{\psi \bar{\psi}}$, and $F_{FF}$.

At leading order in perturbation theory, the expectation value of the two-sided wrapped polygon is equal to 1. Hence, only the numerator in Fig. 4 contributes to the transition nontrivially. Consider the wrapped polygon with two conjugate fields inserted at positions $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ with $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1$. At Born level, we obtain the propagator between the field at $\sigma_2$ and the periodic image of the field at $\sigma_1$:

$$\langle FF|\Phi_s(\sigma_1)\Phi_s(\sigma_2)\rangle = (e^{i\pi / 2} + e^{i\pi - \sigma_1} - e^{i\pi - \sigma_2} + 2e^{i\pi - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2})^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\Phi_s$ is a field of conformal spin $s = 1/2, 1, 3/2$ for scalars, fermions, and gluons, respectively.

Convoluting the singlet states given in Ref. [29] with the propagator Eq. (9), we arrive at

$$F_{\phi \phi}(u, v) = -\frac{4}{g^2(u - v - 2i)(u - v - i)} \times \frac{\Gamma(iu - iv)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + iu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - iv)},$$

$$F_{\psi \bar{\psi}}(u, v) = \frac{2}{g^2} u \sinh(\pi u)\delta(u - v),$$

$$F_{FF}(u, v) = -\frac{2}{g^2} \left( u^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \cosh(\pi u)\delta(u - v),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $g^2 = [(g_{\text{SYM}}^2)/(16\pi^2)]$. Note that for two gluons and two fermions, the right-hand side of Eq. (7) reduces to a delta function divided by the measure. We see that for these states the full Born level result is given solely by this simple square limit contribution. This might be surprising, because the contribution of each type of fields to Eq. (10) is highly nontrivial, but they combine to an almost trivial result.

**Perturbative tests and predictions.**—We now perform a perturbative test of the FF OPE and use it to make higher loop predictions.

We start by extracting the OPE data from previously computed form factors [8]. At one-loop order, we find the ratio $W_{n=3}$ defined in Fig. 3 to be given by

$$W_3^{(1)} = 4\sigma^2 - 2Li_2(-e^{-2\tau}) + 2Li_2(-e^{-2\tau} - e^{2\tau})$$

$$+ 2Li_2[-e^{-2\tau} - e^{-2\tau}(1 + e^{-2\tau})^2] + \frac{\pi^2}{3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $W_3 = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g^{2\ell}W_3^{(\ell)}$. As expected from the singlet axiom, the large $\tau$ expansion of $W_3^{(1)}$ contains only even powers of $e^{-\tau}$, with the leading one given by

$$W_3^{(1)} = 2e^{-2\tau}[1 - 2\sigma e^{-2\sigma} - 4\cosh^2(\sigma) \log(1 + e^{-2\tau})]$$

$$+ O(e^{-4\tau}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

On the OPE side, we insert the Born-level FF transitions Eq. (10) into Eq. (3) and perform the integration over the two rapidities, finding a perfect match with Eq. (12).

Even without the higher loop FF transitions, we can already make certain all-loops predictions. Namely, at $\ell$-loop order we can predict the term with the highest power of $e^{-\tau}$, i.e., $e^{-\ell+1}e^{-2\tau}$. It is given by pulling down $(\ell - 1)$ powers of the one-loop correction to the energy $g^2[E_{2\ell}(u_1) + E_{2\ell}(u_2)]$ from the exponent $e^{-\ell}[E_{2\ell}(u_1) + E_{2\ell}(u_2)]$. The one-loop correction to the energy of the individual excitations is given by $E_{2\ell}(u) = 2[\psi(s + iu) + \psi(s - iu) - 2\psi(1)]$, where $\psi(s) = \Gamma'(s)/\Gamma(s)$ is Euler’s digamma function [27].

With the two-loop data available for the three-point form factor reminder function $R_3$ [30], we can test the OPE prediction for the $e^{-2\tau}$ term in $W_3$ at two-loop order. To do so, one first has to translate between these two finite dual conformally invariant functions, $R$ and $W$. They are related
as \( \mathcal{W}_\nu = \exp[(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\text{cusp}})] \times \mathcal{R}_\nu \), where \( \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} = 4g^2 + \ldots \) is the cusp anomalous dimension. Using this relation, we obtain the following result:

\[
\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_{\lambda, e^{-2\tau}} = -8(1 - (1 + e^{-2\sigma}) \log (1 + e^{2\sigma})) \times [1 - (1 + e^{2\sigma}) \log (1 + e^{-2\sigma})],
\]

which is in perfect agreement with the OPE prediction.

At three-loop order, we can predict the term proportional to \( \epsilon^2 e^{-2\tau} \):

\[
\mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{\lambda, \epsilon^2 e^{-2\tau}} = \frac{16}{3} \cosh^2(\sigma) \log (1 + e^{-2\sigma}) \{12\sigma(4 - 3\sigma) - 24 - \pi^2 \}
+ 8 \log (1 + e^{-2\sigma})[3 - 6\sigma - 2 \log (1 + e^{-2\sigma})] + \frac{4\pi^2}{3}
+ 24 - 16\sigma(4 - 3\sigma + 4e^{-2\sigma}) - 32\cosh^2(\sigma)\text{Li}_3(-e^{-2\sigma}).
\]

Similarly, we can produce higher loop predictions; we refrain from giving these explicitly due to their size.

**Discussion.**—In this Letter, we have introduced an operator product expansion for form factors in planar \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory. It reduces the computation of the dual periodic Wilson loop to known fundamental building blocks [17,19] and a single new universal building block—the FF transition.

We have calculated the two-particle FF transition at Born level, Eq. (10). A natural finite-coupling ansatz for the gluonic and fermionic two-particle FF transitions that is consistent with all the constraints is

\[
F_{\phi\phi}(u, v) \propto \frac{\delta[p_{\phi}(u) - p_{\phi}(v)]}{\mu_{\phi}(u)} \times \frac{\partial p_{\phi}(u)}{\partial u},
\]

where \( \Phi \in \{\psi, F\} \) and \( p_{\phi}(u) \) is the GKP momentum. Based on this conjecture, the bootstrap constraints for the FF transition that we formulated in this Letter, and the perturbative data available to us, we were able to fix the remaining scalar two-particle FF transition at finite coupling [28]. Transitions involving more than two flux-tube excitations can hopefully be fixed in terms of the two-particle ones using integrability. Our construction therefore opens the door for finite-coupling computations of FFs.

There are multiple future directions to pursue, some of which we list below. (i) At strong coupling, the FFs are computed by minimizing the area of a periodic string in AdS\(_3 \) [7,12]. We expect the corresponding Yang-Yang functional to be constructed from the gluon and fermion FF transitions, Eq. (15), along with the corresponding pentagon transitions and measures. (ii) In this Letter, we have only considered MHV FFs of the chiral part of the stress tensor supermultiplet. It would be interesting to extend our considerations to the N\(^4\)MHV case, for which the result is expected to be given by a version of the superperiodic Wilson loop introduced in Ref. [9]. In parallel, it would be interesting to bootstrap the corresponding charged FF transitions, in analogy to the charged pentagon transitions of Refs. [21,22]. (iii) Another interesting direction is to consider local operators other than the chiral part of the stress tensor supermultiplet; corresponding FFs have been studied in Refs. [31–41]. T duality is expected to map their higher integrability Yangian charges into dual ones [42,43] that are evaluated along one period of the dual Wilson loop. (iv) It is possible to extend the hexagon function program of Refs. [44–47] to analogous FF functions [48]. The interplay between the OPE and these FF functions provides a plethora of valuable checks of our predictions and vice versa. (v) Finally, it would be interesting to see if our considerations can be used for studying FFs in other theories like Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena theory [49]. We are very grateful to B. Basso for many valuable discussions and comments on the draft. A. T. and M. W. are grateful to CERN for hospitality. A. S. is grateful to Niels Bohr Institute for hospitality. A. S. was supported by the I-CORE Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee, The Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 1937/12), and by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 1197/20). A. T. received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Novel structures in scattering amplitudes (Grant Agreement No. 725110). M. W. was supported in part by the ERC starting Grant No. 757978 and the research Grants No. 00015369 and No. 00025445 from Villum Fonden. Please review the funding information section of the proof’s cover letter and respond as appropriate. We must receive confirmation that the funding agencies have been properly identified before the article can publish.


