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ABSTRACT. NO₃ radical oxidation of most monoterpenes is a significant source of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in many regions influenced by both biogenic and anthropogenic emissions, but there are very few published mechanistic studies of NO₃ chemistry beyond simple 1st generation products. Here, we present a computationally-derived mechanism detailing the unimolecular pathways available to the 2nd generation of peroxy radicals following NO₃ oxidation of Δ-3-carene, defining generations based on the sequence of peroxy radicals formed rather than number of oxidant attacks. We assess five different types of unimolecular reactions,
including peroxy and alkoxy radical (RO$_2$ and RO) hydrogen shifts, RO$_2$ and RO ring closing (e.g. endoperoxide formation), and RO decomposition. Rate constants calculated using quantum chemical methods indicate that this chemical system has significant contribution from both bimolecular and unimolecular pathways. The dominant unimolecular reactions are endoperoxide formation, RO H-shifts, and RO decomposition. However, the complexity of the overall reaction is tempered as only 1 or 2 radical propagation pathways dominate the fate of each radical intermediate. Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (CIMS) measurements using the NO$_3^-$ reagent ion during Δ-3-carene + NO$_3^-$ chamber experiments show products consistent with each of the three types of unimolecular reactions predicted to be important from the computational mechanism. Moreover, the SIMPOL group contribution method for predicting vapor pressures suggests that a majority of the closed-shell products inferred from these unimolecular reactions are likely to have low enough vapor pressure to be able to contribute to SOA formation.

INTRODUCTION

The 2013 IPCC assessment report illustrates that the greatest source of uncertainty in global climate modeling comes from aerosol.\(^1\) Particulate matter in the atmosphere can influence atmospheric radiative balance both directly by scattering or absorbing light and indirectly by affecting the formation, reflectivity, and lifetime of clouds, and it is therefore an important factor limiting our understanding of climate as a whole. Secondary organic aerosol (SOA), which forms through secondary reactions of gas-phase emissions such as oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), contributes a major fraction of the global submicron aerosol.\(^2\) It has been shown through numerous field studies, laboratory measurements, and the occasional modeling study that NO$_3^-$ radical oxidation of monoterpens is a significant, though often overlooked, source of SOA.\(^3\)–\(^5\) For example, Pye et al.\(^4\) incorporated NO$_3^-$ + monoterpene chemistry into
GEOS-Chem, parameterized using laboratory NO$_3$ + β-pinene SOA yields.\(^6\) They found that SOA from monoterpenes approximately doubled in regions where monoterpene chemistry is important, comparing to simulations where NO$_3$ chemistry was omitted. However, the significance of this chemistry is complicated by the fact that while most abundantly emitted monoterpenes (e.g. β-pinene, Δ-3-carene, and limonene) have moderate-to-high SOA yields with NO$_3$ radical, the single most abundantly emitted monoterpene (α-pinene) has a negligible SOA yield with NO$_3$.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^7\) This presents a challenge to modelers, since most models don’t consider NO$_3$ chemistry and those that do are subject to uncertainties due to poorly understood terpene species-dependence of SOA formation and corresponding poorly characterized regional variability of SOA precursors.

At a glance, the structural difference between α-pinene and other bicyclic monoterpenes that would lead to such large differences in condensable products is not obvious. Structures of two of the most prevalent monoterpenes, α-pinene and Δ-3-carene, are shown in Figure 1a, highlighting that these molecules differ only by the location and size of the small secondary ring structure. Both of these molecules are expected to undergo the same known atmospheric oxidation pathways in the gas phase, which is summarized in Figure 1b, where products may contribute to SOA formation or growth through thermodynamic partitioning or reactive uptake. For NO$_3$ radical-initiated oxidation, the NO$_3$ radical adds to the double bond, forming an alkyl radical (R). In the oxygen-rich atmosphere, oxygen rapidly adds to the alkyl radical, forming a peroxy radical (RO$_2$).\(^8\) The peroxo radical will likely undergo a bimolecular reaction with another radical species, which, at night when NO$_3$ chemistry is most important, is predominantly NO$_3$, HO$_2$, or RO$_2$. These reactions lead either to alkoxy radicals (RO), or to closed-shell products like hydroperoxides, alcohols, and aldehydes or ketones.\(^9\) Under conditions where the RO$_2$ lifetime is
long enough (on the order of seconds), research in the last few years has demonstrated that per oxy radicals with suitable substituents are able to undergo internal hydrogen shifts, regenerating an alkyl radical, which subsequently forms a new peroxy radical, thus initiating a chain of “autoxidation.” Alkoxy radicals are short-lived, and can either react with oxygen, decompose, or isomerize. RO reactions often lead to closed shell products, though both decomposition and isomerization reactions can also lead to the formation of new alkyl radical, and subsequently peroxy radical, species. Since multiple cycles of radical propagation reactions may occur before terminating to a closed shell product, we will differentiate between different peroxy or alkoxy radicals as different generations, with the counter triggered by a backward arrow to an RO₂ in Figure 1b.

**Figure 1.** (a) Structures of α-pinene and Δ-3-carene highlighting the secondary rings in red to show the only structural variation between the two prevalent monoterpenes, and (b) the general oxidation scheme of NO₃ oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere. We note that not all pathways are available to all VOCs and some pathways may only become available for later generations of chemistry.

Kurtén et al. used computational chemistry to compare rate constants for the 1st generation radical (RO₂ and RO) rearrangement reactions following NO₃ oxidation of α-pinene and Δ-3-carene. They found that the potential unimolecular reactions of the 1st generation Δ-3-carene
peroxy radicals were calculated to be too slow to contribute significantly to the final product distribution. Instead, they identified the 1st generation NO₃-substituted RO as the point where the mechanisms for these two monoterpenes diverge, likely explaining the large difference in SOA yields from the two. As shown in Figure 2, the α-pinene-derived O₂NO-RO overwhelmingly favors the pathway leading to closed-shell pinonaldehyde, whereas the corresponding Δ-3-carene-derived O₂NO-RO is more likely to undergo the radical-retaining pathway, enabling further generations of RO₂ and RO radical rearrangement reactions, which we expect to lead to condensable products. In this study, we expand upon the mechanism presented in Kurtén et al.¹⁵ and calculate rate constants for various unimolecular reactions of the probable 2nd generation RO₂ and RO generated by Δ-3-carene + NO₃ chemistry. We then use these rate constants to predict the most probable 2nd generation reaction products. These predicted products are then compared to compounds observed in the gas phase during chamber experiments of Δ-3-carene + NO₃ chemistry.

**Figure 2.** Schematic showing the RO scission of α-pinene + NO₃ mechanism (black) compared to Δ-3-carene + NO₃ mechanism (red), comparing scission of the right (top) or left (bottom) C-C bond. The dashed arrow for the left scission of the α-pinene RO indicates this reaction is not
expected to be competitive with the right scission due to the unfavorability of the alkyl radical on
the strained ring. All other reactions (solid arrows) are expected to occur, with an approximate
50/50 branching for the two Δ-3-carene RO pathways.15

Beginning from the radical endpoints from the Kurtén et al.15 Δ-3-carene mechanism shown in
Figure 3a (mechanism leading to these radical endpoints shown in black in Scheme 1), we
developed a computational mechanism for the next generation of chemistry, surveying five
different types of unimolecular reactions as shown in Figure 3b: internal RO₂ and RO hydrogen
shifts (H-shifts), RO₂ and RO ring closing, and RO decomposition. Published structure activity
relationships (SARs) exist for many, though not all, of the possible reaction pathways assessed in
this study.16,17 However, explicit calculations of reaction barriers and rate constants for case
studies such as this are valuable for several reasons. First, SARs are not available for any RO₂
reactions, and existing SARs for alkoxy reactions lack certain substituent effects like the
influence of -ONO₂ groups on RO H-shifts.17 Additionally, while it has been observed that
unimolecular reaction rates increase with increased functionalization,10,13 this phenomenon has
not been systematically quantified, so computing rate constants for case studies like the complex
multifunctional compounds in this study (i.e. a C₁₀ organonitrate) improves our understanding of
these processes for more atmospherically relevant species.12 Finally, results from this study can
provide additional validation of the applicability of existing SARs to more complex molecules.
Figure 3. (a) Radical endpoints from Δ-3-carene + NO₃ mechanism in Kurtén et al.,¹⁵ which comprise our reactants in this study, with hydrogens investigated in this study labeled and color-coded to differentiate Reactant 1 and Reactant 2, and (b) schematic showing types of rearrangement reactions included in this study.

Accounting for all plausible hydrogen shifts, we compute forward reaction barrier heights and rate constants for all relevant reactions using quantum chemical methods that include coupled-cluster energy corrections. For a few reactions, higher-level calculations were omitted as the barrier heights computed at a lower level of theory indicated that they would not be competitive. The resulting detailed mechanism could be applied to a kinetics model or used to develop a parameterization that can be implemented in regional or global models in order to improve estimates of SOA formation.
Since our mechanism includes predictions of the distribution of product isomers, the results of this study are important for the interpretation of experimental data. The widespread application of chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) measurements to atmospheric chemistry has enabled characterization of the multitude of oxidized organic molecules resulting from complex chemistry. However, while some techniques can be applied to obtain chemical information beyond molecular formula, such as the use of multiple reagent ions selective toward different functional groups,\textsuperscript{18} mass spectra alone do not provide enough information to explain observed SOA formation.\textsuperscript{19} Two molecules with the same formula can vary by orders of magnitude in vapor pressure, depending on the identity and location of functional groups. In this study we compare our computational mechanism to laboratory measurements of the gas phase products of NO\textsubscript{3} oxidation of \(\Delta-3\)-carene performed by CIMS using NO\textsubscript{3}– reagent ion. Since NO\textsubscript{3}– is selective toward highly oxidized organic species and only a small number of other molecules such as sulfuric acid, it is particularly suited to validate this mechanism focusing on autoxidation pathways.\textsuperscript{13,20,21}

METHODS

Computational

The computational mechanism presented in this study was developed according to the methods described in Møller et al.\textsuperscript{22} and incorporating many of the modifications described in Kurtén et al.\textsuperscript{15} due to the size of the molecules studied here. Since our reactant RO\textsubscript{2} structures are composed of 17 non-hydrogen atoms, use of the multi-conformer transition state theory (MC-TST) framework was prohibitively expensive at any reasonable level of theory. We therefore made some additional modifications to the computational approach and calculated all rate constants using the lowest-conformer transition state theory (LC-TST) framework.\textsuperscript{22} These
modifications lead to a much larger uncertainty for the absolute rate constants (approximately an order of magnitude) than the complete treatment prescribed in Møller et al.\textsuperscript{22} However, we expect the uncertainty from each modification to systematically bias absolute rate constants in the same direction for each reaction. For example, MC-TST accounts for the full population of conformers, so only including the lowest energy conformer by calculating rate constants with LC-TST will bias the absolute rate constants high. Likewise, Møller et al.\textsuperscript{22} showed that for these types of systems, increasing the level of theory decreases the calculated absolute rate constant. Therefore, this approach should provide reasonable relative rate constants, which likely overestimate the absolute rate constants, but are sufficient for comparative mechanism development.\textsuperscript{15} Additionally, due to the size of our reactants, and thus computational cost, we have chosen to investigate only the S-nitroxy stereoisomer of (+)-\(\Delta\)-3-carene since the (+)-\(\Delta\)-3-carene enantiomer was used in the experimental portion of this study. Given that the stereocenter is far from the peroxy/alkoxy group and is freely able to rotate (since the 6-membered ring in \(\Delta\)-3-carene has already opened for both of our reactants), we do not expect qualitative differences in the overall mechanism for the R-nitroxy stereoisomer.

Systematic conformer sampling for all reactants and products was performed with the Spartan’16 (Wavefunction, Inc.) software using the MMFF force field and ensuring the correct neutral charge was applied to all radical centers using the FFHINT keyword.\textsuperscript{22} MMFF conformer sampling for the transition state structures was performed using constrained optimizations, with the relevant bond lengths (and/or angles) constrained based on a B3LYP/6-31+G(d) transition state optimization of an arbitrary conformer using Gaussian 09 Rev. D.01.\textsuperscript{23–25} Constraints for H-shift, C-C scission, and addition reactions are included in Table S1. In addition, partial bonds were drawn in Spartan to describe the breaking and/or forming bonds of the transition state. This
improves the MMFF optimization of the conformer sampling and the resulting geometries are
closer to the local energy minima than those from a conformer sampling where the bonds of the
transition state are drawn according to either the reactant or the product. Following the MMFF
conformer sampling for reactants, products, and transition states, we calculated B3LYP/6-31+G(d) single point energies for all conformers and applied a 5 kcal/mol cutoff at this stage, as
suggested in Moller et al.\textsuperscript{22} for larger molecules. The use of partial bonds was tested with an H-shift reaction between a hydroxy and a peroxy radical group of a model compound, and all of the
unique transition state conformers (within 2 kcal/mol of the lowest energy conformer after the
B3LYP/6-31+G(d) optimization) were found using a 5 kcal/mol energy cut-off after the
B3LYP/6-31+G(d) single-point calculation. For reactants and products, we performed
B3LYP/6-31+G(d) optimizations, and for transition states we performed B3LYP/6-31+G(d)
constrained optimizations, using the constraints mentioned above, on all structures within 5
cal/mol of the lowest single point energy. For transition state structures, we performed full
transition state optimizations with frequency calculations on unique conformers (determined by
energy and dipole moment) following the constrained optimizations. Intrinsic reaction
coordinate (IRC) calculations were then performed on the lowest-energy transition states at the
B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level to verify that they connect the desired reactants and products. Due to
the high cost of heavier calculations for molecules of this size, optimization and frequency
calculations at the ωB97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ level\textsuperscript{26–28} were only performed for the lowest-energy
structures found in the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) optimizations.

While ωB97X-D yields reasonable geometries and frequencies, the single point energies
should be corrected using a highly correlated wavefunction-based method, such as CCSD(T).\textsuperscript{29}
However, the computational cost of canonical coupled cluster scales exponentially with molecule
size and therefore cannot be applied for the studied system sizes. Therefore, on top of the ωB97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ structures, DLPNO-CCSD(T) single point energy calculations were performed using ORCA 4.0.1.2 instead of the prohibitively expensive canonical CCSD(T) calculations. The DLPNO-CCSD(T) method scales nearly linearly with system size, while still keeping the accuracy of its canonical counterpart, and has therefore become a popular choice to correct electronic energies of large molecular systems. In order to check whether a single-reference method can be used for these systems, we tested both restricted open-shell and unrestricted Hartree-Fock (ROHF and UHF, respectively) reference wave functions for the DLPNO-CCSD(T) calculations, and also studied the T1 and T2 diagnostics, as well as the relative difference in DPLNO-CCSD and DLPNO-CCSD(T) total atomization energies. We found that ROHF and UHF wave functions yield similar DLPNO-CCSD(T) single point energies, with a mean absolute error of 0.2 kcal/mol, and both T1 and T2 amplitudes and total atomization energies are within acceptable ranges (see Section S1), thus confirming that single-reference methods can safely be applied. The final single point energy corrections are therefore calculated using ROHF-DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ with tight pair natural orbital criteria.

In the final LC-TST calculations, tunneling was treated using the Eckart model. This requires information on the energy differences (forward and reverse barriers) between the lowest-energy transition state, and the reactants and product connected by IRC paths to this transition state – which may not be the lowest-energy reactants and products used in the overall LC-TST rate expression. Due to computational cost, the forward and reverse barriers needed for the tunneling calculation were estimated using a combination of approaches. Specifically, the ωB97X-D zero-point corrected barriers (energy differences between the lowest-energy transition state and the lowest-energy reactant or product) was corrected by the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) energy difference
between the lowest-energy conformer, and the conformer actually connected to the transition state via an IRC path.

**Experimental**

We conducted chamber experiments to validate the computational mechanism. Experiments were performed in a 560 L stainless steel chamber, described in detail in De Haan, et al.,\(^{35}\) operating in flow-through mode with a 23 minute residence time. NO\(_3\) radical was formed in situ by combining O\(_3\) (~370 ppb) with NO\(_2\) (~200 ppb). Ozone was generated by irradiating purified air produced by a zero air generator (model 737-13, Aadco Instruments) with ultraviolet light produced by a PenRay lamp (model 97-0066-01, Analytik Jena). NO\(_2\) was provided by a commercially prepared gas cylinder (2.56 ppm in air, Airgas Corp.). The concentrations of these compounds in the chamber were monitored with commercial O\(_3\) (Model 106-L, 2B Technologies) and NO\(_x\) (Model 405 nm, 2B Technologies) analyzers. While we did not have NO\(_3\) radical or N\(_2\)O\(_5\) measurements available, we estimated steady state concentrations of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) (~50 ppb) and NO\(_3\) (~0.6 ppb) using the home-built kinetics box model described in Draper et al.\(^{36}\) Once the oxidants reached steady state, \(\Delta\)-3-carene (~50 ppb) was added to the chamber for an approximate 1:1 \(\Delta\)-3-carene to NO\(_3\) ratio (including both NO\(_3\) and N\(_2\)O\(_5\)). The \(\Delta\)-3-carene source consisted of a home-prepared gas cylinder containing 23 ppm of (+)-\(\Delta\)-3-carene (>90% purity, TCI America.) in nitrogen. Particle number-size distribution in the chamber was monitored with a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS) consisting of a Differential Mobility Analyzer (Model 3081, TSI, Inc.) and Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counter (Model 3020, TSI, Inc.). Gas-phase products, specifically the highly oxidized molecules that are the focus of the computational mechanism, were measured by chemical ionization mass spectrometry with the NO\(_3\)\(^-\) reagent ion (NO\(_3\)\(^-\) CIMS). This instrument employs a commercial CIMS inlet
(Aerodyne, Corp.) based on the design by Eisele and Tanner\textsuperscript{37} coupled to a high resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometer (LTOF analyzer, Tofwerk AG). Kinetics modeling confirmed that >98\% of oxidation was NO\textsubscript{3} radical-initiated, and comparison with an experiment conducted using only O\textsubscript{3} and Δ-3-carene confirmed that the product distribution for the NO\textsubscript{3} experiment did not have any significant contribution from O\textsubscript{3} + Δ-3-carene products (see Section S2).

NO\textsubscript{3}− CIMS mass spectra were analyzed in Igor Pro 6.3 (WaveMetrics, Inc.) using the Tofware analysis platform. Mass spectral data from m/z 4 to m/z 800 were processed, with most peaks >1000 ion counts identified and fit using high resolution peak fitting. Isotopes were constrained during peak fitting and accounted for when determining parent peak concentrations. The minimum detection limit is taken as 3 standard deviations above the baseline.

Log files from calculations and processed data from these experiments are publicly available and archived on the University of California Dash data publication service.\textsuperscript{38}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Derived Reaction Mechanism**

A summary of the dominant pathways in the Δ-3-carene + NO\textsubscript{3} mechanism leading to the 3\textsuperscript{rd} generation of peroxy radicals is shown in Scheme 1. The complete Δ-3-carene + NO\textsubscript{3} mechanism including minor channels and all reactions calculated in this study is included in Scheme S1. Black colored structures are reproduced from Kurtén et al.,\textsuperscript{15} and green and purple structures differentiate pathways originating from the two reactant peroxy radicals (Reactant 1 and 2, respectively) studied in this work (Figure 3a, Scheme 1). The complete list of rate constants calculated in this study are compiled in Tables 1 & 2. While each generation of chemistry creates the potential for an exponentially increasing number of products, this mechanism demonstrates
that this potential is tempered because each intermediate will often favor a specific reaction channel.

Scheme 1. Summary of dominant pathways in Δ-3-carene + NO₃ mechanism.*

*Black structures and arrows indicate reactions inferred from literature, culminating in the radical endpoints in Kurtén et al.,¹⁵ which serve as the “reactants” in this study. Green and purple
structures and arrows indicate reactions calculated in this study originating from Reactant 1 and Reactant 2, respectively. For complete mechanism, including all pathways assessed in this study, refer to Scheme S1.

**Table 1.** Barriers (E_b, zero-point corrected transition state – reactant electronic energy differences) and lowest-conformer transition state theory (LC-TST) rate constants (298.15 K) calculated for RO_2 H-shifts and RO_2 ring closing. Calculations were performed at the ωB97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ level with single point energy corrections at the ROHF-DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction Description</th>
<th>E_b (kcal mol^{-1})</th>
<th>k (s^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO_2 H-shifts (R8 in Table S4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.3 × 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H2</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>5.5 × 10^{-30}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>6.7 × 10^{-13}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>2.9 × 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H5</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>5.8 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H6</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>4.2 × 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>8.0 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>6.4 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>5.4 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO_2 Ring Closing (R9 in Table S4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 6-membered endoperoxide</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>4.7 × 10^{-2}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RO_2 fate**

Starting with Reactant 1 and Reactant 2, we began by surveying the pathways available to these RO_2 radicals. Since our mechanism explicitly calculates only the unimolecular reaction rate
constants, we have estimated the pseudo-1st order rate constant for bimolecular reactions to be of order $10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ based on literature rate constants ($k_{RO2+RO2} \sim 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molec$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{RO2+NO3} = 2 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molec$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{9,21}$ and radical concentrations representative of the chamber experiments in this study ($[RO_2] \approx 0.7$ ppb, $[NO_3] \approx 0.4$ ppb) (see Figure S4). Incidentally, this is a reasonable estimate under certain ambient conditions as well since HO$_2$ is a significant bimolecular sink for RO$_2$ in the atmosphere (assuming a “typical” ambient $[HO_2] \sim 100$ ppt and $k_{RO2+HO2} = 2 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molec$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)$^{9,39}$ but $[HO_2]$ is assumed to be negligible in these chamber experiments. Therefore, to be competitive, RO$_2$ unimolecular rate constants must be comparable to or faster than $10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**RO$_2$ H-shifts**

Hydrogen shifts were calculated for all unique hydrogens greater than a 1,4-H-shift apart, with the exception of methyl hydrogens, which have been shown to be slow in other studies,$^{11}$ and hydrogens on the strained 3-membered ring, which were shown in Kurtén et al.$^{15}$ to lead to a highly unstable alkyl radical. This includes all hydrogens labeled in Figure 3a except for rH0 and rH8. The fastest RO$_2$ H-shifts in each reactant were for the hydrogen $\alpha$ to the -ONO$_2$ group and $\beta$ to the =O group (H7 and rH7). These H-shifts are of order $10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and therefore are competitive with bimolecular reaction. However, the alkyl radical at this site leads to rapid loss of NO$_2$ and termination of the radical as a carbonyl group, so while this pathway contributes to the final product distribution, it does not lead to further oxidation.$^{11}$ The remaining RO$_2$ H-shifts range from approximately $10^{-13}$ - $10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ and therefore are too slow to contribute significantly to the final product distribution.

While the majority of these rate constants are too slow to be competitive, it is instructive to look at which of these H-shifts are more favorable than others for this complex, multifunctional
molecule. Although a SAR does not currently exist for RO₂ H-shifts, Otkjaer et al.¹¹ tested the effects of different substituents and transition state ring size for RO₂ H-shifts. Considering only the substituents and transition state ring sizes relevant for this study, we would predict that the C=O group would increase H-shift rates and the -ONO₂ group is unlikely to have any significant effect. With respect to transition state ring size, the slowest reactions would be 1,4 H-shifts, and 1,6 H-shifts are likely to be the fastest but comparable to 1,5 and 1,7 H-shifts. Additionally, H-shifts are faster on tertiary carbons and slower on primary carbons.¹¹ Our results are qualitatively consistent with the trends described in Otkjaer et al.¹¹ and references therein. The two fastest H-shifts (H7 and rH7), which are somewhat competitive in our mechanism, are α to a nitrate (-ONO₂) group and β to a carbonyl (=O), and we attribute the fast rate to the proximity to the carbonyl. After H7 and rH7, the fastest H-shifts were the 1,6-H-shifts from the secondary carbon, β to the nitrate group in Reactant 2 (rH4, rH5). Since we do not expect the nitrate group to have a significant effect, these two hydrogens provide a good baseline of sorts for a molecule of this size, where additional substituents or more strained transition states will provide an enhancement or depression of the H-shift rate. The H-shifts of these corresponding hydrogens in Reactant 1 (H4, H5), are 1-2 orders of magnitude slower, perhaps because they are 1,5-H-shifts, which is a slightly more strained transition state. The hydrogen on the tertiary carbon in Reactant 1 (H6) has a rate constant comparable to H4 and H5, likely due to a net cancellation of the enhancement of the more weakly bound tertiary hydrogen by the more highly strained transition state of the 1,4-H-shift. Overall, the H-shifts from the double bonded carbons (H1, H2, H3) are the least favorable of the ones tested, which is not surprising since the vinyl C-H bonds are comparatively strong.

**RO₂ Ring Closing**
Reactant 1 arises from the opening of the 3-membered ring, which forms a new double bond. We therefore tested whether the peroxy radical is able to add to the double bond, forming a 6-membered endoperoxide ring. While it is also possible to add to the other side of the double bond, forming a 5-membered ring, this scenario results in a smaller ring and a primary alkyl radical, so we would expect this pathway to be slower than formation of the 6-membered endoperoxide. This type of endoperoxide formation reaction has been suggested to occur fairly rapidly (up to $\sim 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$) in the ozonolysis of a cyclodiene as well as in the photooxidation of $\alpha$-pinene.\textsuperscript{40,41} As with the more well-known H-shift reactions, this reaction results in a similar autoxidation process, with O$_2$ adding to the newly formed alkyl radical. We calculated this ring closing reaction to have a rate constant of approximately $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for Reactant 1, making it competitive with bimolecular reaction, and consistent with the $\alpha$-pinene endoperoxide formation reactions in Xu et al.\textsuperscript{41} that result in secondary radicals, which are somewhat slower than those leading to tertiary radicals.

**RO fate**

Based on the calculated rate constants described above for RO$_2$ unimolecular reactions, we expect that the product distribution from Reactant 1 will have some contribution from the endoperoxide channel as well as some closed-shell C$_{10}$H$_{16}$O$_4$ from the H7 H-shift, but a significant amount of these RO$_2$ will undergo bimolecular reaction and become RO radicals. For Reactant 2, which does not have an endoperoxide channel available to it, some C$_{10}$H$_{16}$O$_4$ from the rH7 H-shift will form, and the significant remainder will be converted to RO by bimolecular reactions. We therefore assess the unimolecular reaction pathways available to these alkoxy radical products, including H-shifts, decomposition, and ring closing. Since published SARs are available for both alkoxy H-shifts and alkoxy bond scissions,\textsuperscript{16,17} we started by implementing
those to estimate which RO pathways are likely to be competitive for the Reactant 1 and Reactant 2 RO radicals.

Alkoxy scission reactions are influenced most strongly by the substituents on the α and β carbons, so any further substituents can be neglected when applying the SAR.\textsuperscript{16} The alkoxy radical formed from Reactant 1 has two alkyl groups on each the α and β carbons, which reduce the overall SAR-predicted reaction barrier to 6.5 kcal/mol, compared to the 17.9 kcal/mol “baseline” forward barrier for the unsubstituted RO CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}O. Reactant 2, on the other hand, has no substituents on the α carbon and a cyclopropyl ring on the β carbon. Scission of a C-C bond adjacent to a cyclopropyl ring leads to an alkyl radical on a highly strained ring, so this substituent results in an increase of the overall reaction barrier to 20.3 kcal/mol. H-shift favorability relies heavily on the structure of a molecule as a whole, since H-shifts can take place between carbons at different distances from the radical center (span) and are impacted by the location of functional groups (substituents).\textsuperscript{17} Due to the wider range of span and substituent permutations, this SAR is less complete, for example lacking effects from -ONO\textsubscript{2} groups, so we calculated these SAR-predicted rate constants assuming missing substituents would have a negligible effect. SAR-predicted forward energy barriers for alkoxy scission reactions and rate constants for alkoxy H-shift reactions are included in Table 2.

Table 2. Barriers (Eb, zero-point corrected transition state – reactant electronic energy differences) and lowest-conformer transition state theory (LC-TST) rate constants (298.15 K) calculated for RO H-shifts, ring closing, and decomposition for alkoxy radicals derived from Reactant 1 and Reactant 2. Calculations were performed at the ωB97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ level
with single point energy corrections at the ROHF-DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ level. SAR predictions of $E_b$ and $k$ are included when available.$^{16,17}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction Description</th>
<th>$E_b$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>SAR prediction $k$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO H-shifts (R10 in Table S4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.46 – 17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 H7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-2} – 0.51*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 rH8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO Addition</strong> (R12 in Table S4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 4-membered endo-ether</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 5-membered endo-ether</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO decomposition (R11 in Table S4)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAR predicted $E_b$ (kcal/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 1 C-C scission</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant 2 C-C scission</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Range of SAR-predicted $k$ values come from structures with H-shifts not easily described by the SAR and therefore calculated using two different assumptions.

**Note: these barriers were calculated for an arbitrary conformer at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level to confirm that the reaction would be slow relative to R1 C-C scission and thus unlikely to contribute to the final product distribution.
In spite of the increased uncertainty for the SAR-predicted H-shift rate constants due to missing substituents, the large predicted differences in barrier heights between the Reactant 1 and Reactant 2 alkoxy scission reactions makes it clear which pathway(s) will be favored by each reactant. We predict an extremely low barrier (6.5 kcal/mol) for the Reactant 1 RO scission, which we estimate to correspond to a rate constant of $\sim 1 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ from similar alkoxy scission barriers in Kurtén et al.\textsuperscript{15} SAR-predicted rate constants range from $10^{-2} – 20$ s$^{-1}$ for most available H-shifts in the Reactant 1 RO, with one notable exception. Following the qualitative trend of the RO$_2$ radicals, the hydrogen $\alpha$ to the -ONO$_2$ group and $\beta$ to the =O group (H7) is predicted to have a rate constant of order $10^7$ s$^{-1}$, which will be competitive with RO scission for this reactant. This H-shift leads to rapid loss of NO$_2$ and termination of the radical to closed-shell C$_{10}$H$_{16}$O$_3$, whereas the RO scission pathway will lead to continued radical propagation reactions, so we focus on the RO scission pathway for Reactant 1. In contrast, the predicted barrier for alkoxy scission for the Reactant 2 RO is quite high (20.3 kcal/mol) due to formation of a radical on the strained cyclopropyl ring. We can estimate this barrier to correspond to a rate constant of $< 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ using the RO$_2$ ring closing barrier/rate constant from Table 1 as an upper limit since neither the scission or ring closing reaction require significant tunneling corrections, unlike the H-shift reactions. Since SAR-predicted H-shift rate constants range from $10^{-2} – 10^6$ s$^{-1}$, with the majority of hydrogens having rate constants above $10^5$ s$^{-1}$, we can infer that the Reactant 2 RO will favor H-shifts over scission reactions.

LC-TST calculated rate constants for alkoxy reactions are shown in Table 2. Alkoxy scission in Reactant 1 occurs at a calculated rate of $7 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$, confirming that H-shifts (except for H7) should be negligible in comparison. Rate constants for H-shift reactions in Reactant 2 alkoxy radicals were calculated for all hydrogens labeled in Figure 3a, this time also assessing whether
methyl hydrogens with rate enhancing substituents at β positions (rH0 and rH8) might also be competitive, since RO chemistry is typically much faster than RO$_2$ chemistry. Calculated rate constants range from $3 \times 10^4$ to $2 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$. As expected from the trends observed in the RO$_2$ H-shifts, rH4, rH5, and rH7 are among the fastest, with the methyl hydrogen rH0 being the slowest. Unexpectedly, however, the fastest RO H-shift is from the methyl hydrogen rH8, likely due to a combination of a very favorable transition state geometry, as well as some additional stabilization due to the strained ring β to the subsequent alkyl radical. Barrier heights were also calculated for RO addition over the double bond in Reactant 1, but these calculations indicated that this ether-forming reaction is not competitive with the extremely fast RO scission or H-shift reactions. Since our calculated rate constants span many orders of magnitude, it is clear which pathways will dominate, but we note that the barriers for any of the fast RO reactions are quite low and therefore these rate constants calculated using transition state theory will have even larger absolute uncertainties than for the higher barrier RO$_2$ reactions.

The LC-TST calculations confirmed the qualitative results of the SARs, with two H-shifts being 2-6 orders of magnitude faster than predicted by the SAR. The rate constants that deviated most significantly from the SAR predictions were those from methyl hydrogens that were seemingly better represented by the span and substituents included in the SAR. However, these deviations may have come from unincluded substituents like the cyclopropyl ring (rH8) and the fact that assumptions had to be made to combine substituent effects of the -C=O group at a 1,7 span (rH0). The SAR predicted rate constants well for the H-shifts where we had to assume that e.g. an -ONO$_2$ group has a negligible effect.

**Computational Summary**
From these results, it is evident that three dominant radical pathways emerge from Δ-3-carene + NO$_3$ 2nd generation RO$_2$ chemistry. Reactant 1 leads to radical products following RO$_2$ addition to an internal double bond, as well as alkoxy radicals from bimolecular reaction, which subsequently undergo scission reactions leading predominantly to easily identifiable C$_7$ radical intermediates. Reactant 2 proceeds straight to alkoxy radicals from bimolecular reaction, which predominantly undergo H-shift reactions, retaining their C$_{10}$ backbone. These pathways are summarized in Scheme 1, and thus a complete mechanism through 2nd generation products emerges that combines these results with those of Kurtén et al.$^{15}$, along with inferred closed-shell products based on known oxidation chemistry (Scheme S1). Even though assessing the 3rd generation of unimolecular chemistry for this system is computationally unfeasible, understanding the 2nd generation of chemistry helps us understand the balance between bimolecular and unimolecular reactions in the atmosphere, which is a crucial link between known oxidation chemistry and experimental results.

**Chamber experiments**

Chamber experiments focused specifically on gas-phase NO$_3^-$ CIMS measurements to provide comparative measurements of the highly oxidized products formed from NO$_3$ radical oxidation of Δ-3-carene. SMPS measurements showed new particle formation and subsequent particle growth during these experiments (see Figure S2), but particle-phase composition was outside the scope of this study. The complete table of identified products is included in Table S7, and Figures 4a and 4c show a mass spectrum averaged over the duration of the experiment with structures assigned to the highest intensity peaks. When comparing a CIMS spectrum to the proposed mechanism, we must take into consideration which molecules will be detectable by the selected reagent ion chemistry. NO$_3^-$ CIMS will only detect molecules that have a larger binding
energy with $\text{NO}_3^-$ than the binding energy of $\text{NO}_3^-$ with $\text{HNO}_3$. Hyttinen et al.\textsuperscript{20} showed that C\textsubscript{6} molecules containing at least two hydrogen bond (H-bond) donors will bind with $\text{NO}_3^-$, and are thus detectable by $\text{NO}_3^-$ CIMS, with increasing oxidation generally leading to stronger binding. While the products observed in this study seem to have a high enough oxidation state, many of these oxygens come from nitrate or carbonyl functionalities, so some pathways require multiple generations of chemistry to get even one H-bond donor. To ensure that we were assigning detectable structures to our $\text{NO}_3^-$ CIMS spectrum, we performed ion-clustering calculations on two expected products to investigate whether binding to $\text{NO}_3^-$ is, in fact, favorable. These results are shown in Section S3. For the highly oxidized compounds in this study (>O\textsubscript{7}), our results indicate that a single H-bond donor is sufficient to bind with $\text{NO}_3^-$. Interestingly, despite not containing any H-bond donors, the dipole-dipole interactions in the RO\textsubscript{2} formed following RO\textsubscript{2} ring closing from Reactant 1 are sufficient to make clustering with NO\textsubscript{3} competitive with HNO\textsubscript{3}(NO\textsubscript{3}) cluster formation.

Figure 4. (a) Monomer region of average mass spectrum from $\Delta$-3-carene + NO\textsubscript{3} chamber experiment with structures for highest intensity peaks consistent with computational mechanism.
M/z values reflect NO$_3^-$ adducts (M (NO$_3^-$)) as detected, and labeled peaks reflect the product (M) alone. C$_7$H$_{11}$NO$_8$ was not included in the mechanism in this study, but is expected to be a 3$^{rd}$ generation product related to C$_7$H$_{10}$NO$_6$. (b) Time series of the five highest intensity observed peaks as well as C$_7$H$_{11}$NO$_6$ since it is the closed-shell product of one of our explicitly calculated intermediates. (c) Dimer region of mass spectrum with highest intensity peak formulas labeled.

Figure 4a shows that three of the five highest intensity observed peaks are consistent with products of the dominant reaction pathways identified in our computational mechanism. The highest intensity peak, C$_{10}$H$_{15}$NO$_7$ most likely arises from Reactant 2 undergoing bimolecular reaction to produce RO, which undergoes one of several possible H-shifts with subsequent O$_2$ addition. We then assume that the $\alpha$-OH H-shift available to this new RO$_2$ will be fast compared to other pathways and result in closed-shell C$_{10}$H$_{15}$NO$_7$ by reaction with O$_2$ and loss of HO$_2$. The second highest intensity peak, C$_{10}$H$_{16}$NO$_8$ must be a radical species given the even number of hydrogens and one nitrogen. This formula is consistent with the RO$_2$ radical formed from the RO$_2$ ring closing of Reactant 1 and subsequent O$_2$ addition. It is somewhat surprising that we would measure this particular RO$_2$ at such high intensity given that, as a radical intermediate, it is likely to react away relatively quickly. Additionally, the clustering calculations described above indicate NO$_3^-$ clustering with this radical may be competitive with HNO$_3$, but not strongly, so we would expect to detect this species with lower sensitivity than others with more favorable interactions relative to HNO$_3$. Looking at the time series of the species highlighted in Figure 4b, however, we see this ion appears earlier than any of the products postulated to come from RO unimolecular reactions, consistent with the fact that it would form directly from unimolecular reactions of Reactant 1 or 2.
Some products remain unexplained or unexplored by the proposed mechanism. For example, formation of a C₉ species is difficult to understand from the chemical pathways considered. Formation of dinitrate species (e.g. C₁₀H₁₆N₂O₁₀) was not explored computationally, but given that Reactant 1 and many of its subsequent products and intermediates contain a double bond, these are easily justified by a second NO₃ addition reaction. Dimer formation (for example via RO₂ + RO₂ reactions) was outside the scope of this study, but as seen in Figure 4c, most observed dimers are consistent with predicted monomer building blocks.

**Implications for SOA Formation**

The derived mechanism and experimental results in this study describe autoxidation processes and products in the gas-phase up to the formation of 2ⁿᵈ generation products. This part of the mechanism provides valuable insights into the SOA forming potential of Δ-3-carene + NO₃ and can be extrapolated to some of the other monoterpenes that form SOA from NO₃ oxidation. To start, we observe a number of dimer species, which have been correlated to new particle formation rates for other chemical systems.⁴²,⁴³ Since the dimers in our study are also likely to be the lowest volatility products, we assume that these are the products linked most strongly to initial particle formation and the earliest stages of growth. We have estimated vapor pressures for many of our expected closed-shell products using the SIMPOL.1 group contribution method⁴⁴ and tabulated these in Chart S1. For the monomer products with known structures, vapor pressures at 298 K range from $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ torr for caronaldehyde (C₁₀H₁₆O₂) to $5.1 \times 10^{-8}$ torr for C₁₀H₁₇NO₇ multifunctional hydroperoxides. If we consider “semi-volatile” vapor pressures (defined liberally, encompassing volatility ranges between primarily gas-phase and primarily condensed-phase) as ranging from $7.6 \times 10^{-2} - 7.6 \times 10^{-9}$ torr,⁴⁵ nearly all products in this mechanism would be expected to be able to partition into the particle-phase, at least to some
degree. Caronaldehyde, analogous to pinonaldehyde in the α-pinene + NO₃ system, is one of the few products that is too volatile to contribute significantly to the particle-phase under atmospherically-relevant aerosol mass loadings,⁴⁶ whereas many of the products arising from the unimolecular pathways explored in this study have estimated vapor pressures on the very low end of our defined semi-volatile range, and therefore will partition heavily into the particle-phase. The abundance of low volatility, highly oxidized monomers is consistent with observations of relatively small particle number concentrations but fast growth rates in other chamber experiments probing this chemistry.⁷,³⁶
CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have expanded upon the known 1st generation chemistry of Δ-3-carene + NO₃ to include five different unimolecular reactions available to 2nd generation RO₂ radicals. In doing so, we have also assessed some of the structural features hindering and enhancing unimolecular reactions for C₁₀ multifunctional molecules. In contrast to some of the chemical systems identified in recent years to undergo rapid and accelerating H-shift and autoxidation reactions leading to very highly oxidized and often extremely low volatility products,¹⁰,¹³ NO₃ + monoterpene oxidation products have a much more modest and balanced contribution from both unimolecular and bimolecular radical reactions. This is likely due to the combination of a strained secondary ring, which inhibits H-abstraction or alkyl radical-forming reactions on carbons within that ring, as well as the -ONO₂ group, which does not strongly enhance unimolecular reactions and is also prone to decomposing to NO₂ and a closed-shell product, thus terminating radical propagation. However, we also observed an unexpected enhancement of the RO H-shift rate from a methyl H β to the strained cyclopropyl ring. Understanding this balance between gas-phase bimolecular and unimolecular oxidation pathways is valuable for many chemical systems that, like Δ-3-carene + NO₃, produce SOA but do not necessarily have dominant autoxidation pathways available, whether due to structural features or due to decreased RO₂ lifetimes in more polluted environments.
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