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Abstract

Exposure of marine life to low oxygen is accelerating worldwide via climate change and localized pollution. Mass coral bleaching and mortality have recently occurred where reefs have experienced chronic low oxygen events. However, the mechanistic basis of tolerance to oxygen levels inadequate to sustain normal functioning (i.e. hypoxia) and whether it contributes to bleaching susceptibility, remain unknown. We therefore experimentally exposed colonies of the environmentally resilient Acropora tenuis, a common reef-building coral from the Great Barrier Reef, to deoxygenation–reoxygenation stress that was aligned to their natural night–day light cycle. Specifically, the treatment involved removing the ‘night-time O2 buffer’ to challenge the inherent hypoxia thresholds. RNA-Seq analysis revealed that coral possess a complete and active hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-mediated hypoxia response system (HRS) homologous to other metazoans. As expected, A. tenuis exhibited bleaching resistance and showed a strong inducibility of HIF target genes in response to deoxygenation stress. We applied this same approach in parallel to a colony of Acropora selago, known to be environmentally susceptible, which conversely exhibited a bleaching phenotype response. This phenotypic divergence of A. selago was accompanied by contrasting gene expression profiles indicative of varied effectiveness of their HIF-HRS. Based on our RNA-Seq analysis, we propose (a) that the HIF-HRS is central for corals to manage deoxygenation stress and (b) that key genes of this system (and the wider gene network) may contribute to variation in coral bleaching susceptibility. Our analysis suggests that heat shock protein (hsp) 70 and 90 are important for low oxygen stress tolerance and further highlights how hsp90 expression might also affect the inducibility of coral HIF-HRS in overcoming a metabolic crisis under deoxygenation stress. We propose that differences in coral HIF-HRS could be central in regulating sensitivity to other climate change stressors—notably thermal stress—that commonly drive bleaching.
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INTRODUCTION

Ocean warming and coastal eutrophication are driving the dissolved oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) inventory below levels required to meet biological O\textsubscript{2} demands of many cornerstone marine life forms (Hughes et al., 2020; Jackson, 2008; Keeling et al., 2010). Ocean deoxygenation of up to 7% globally, relative to current levels, is predicted by 2100 under different climate change scenarios (Keeling et al., 2010). Such declines in dissolved O\textsubscript{2} will, in turn, amplify the frequency and intensity with which marine life is subjected to O\textsubscript{2} supply insufficient for normal biological functioning (i.e. hypoxia; Breitburg et al., 2018; Schmitzko et al., 2017). Reef-building corals in shallow tropical reefs already persist under highly dynamic O\textsubscript{2}, pH, temperature, and nutrient regimes (Greenstein & Pandolfi, 2008; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007), suggesting an inherently evolved capacity to thrive under routine low O\textsubscript{2} cellular supply (Camp et al., 2017). Complex metabolic interplay among the cnidarian host and the microbial symbionts living within its tissues (Matthews et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2020) and skeleton (Bernasconi et al., 2019; Pernice et al., 2020; Ricci et al., 2019) further drive O\textsubscript{2} fluctuations in coral tissue from hypoxia during the day to hypoxia throughout the night (Kühl et al., 1995, 2008). However, recent natural deoxygenation events on reefs have resulted in high coral bleaching-induced mortality via transient hypoxia (Altieri et al., 2017), a phenomenon akin to the globally recognized mass coral bleaching events under transient heat waves (Hughes et al., 2018). The extent with which corals are at risk from future declines in background O\textsubscript{2} saturation will rest on the extent and scope of their hypoxia detection and hypoxia response systems (HRS)—a process that remains at present entirely unknown (Hughes et al., 2020).

Cellular detection of reduced O\textsubscript{2} levels from ion channel modulation is highly conserved in both plants and animals (López-Barneo et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2017). However, the molecular repertoire orchestrating HRS varies across kingdoms, whereby ethylene response factor (ERF-VII) and hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) act as the master transcriptional regulators, respectively (Hammarlund et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2018). In metazoans, the hypoxia-inducible factor subunit alpha (HIF\textalpha) and prolyl hydrolyse domains (PHDs) form the primary functional axis of the HRS (Kaelin & Ratcliffe, 2008; Loenzar et al., 2011; Rytkönen et al., 2011). HIF\textalpha is constitutively transcribed via a series of signalling events by growth factors and kinases (receptor tyrosine kinase [RTK], phosphatidylinositol-4, 5-bisphosphate-3-kinase [PI3K], mitogen-activated protein kinases [MAPK] and mammalian target of rapamycin [mTOR]; Bruck & McKnight, 2001; Glück et al., 2015).

The fate of HIF\textalpha is primarily regulated by the cellular O\textsubscript{2} microenvironment. HIF\textalpha degradation involves PHD proteins under normoxia, but as O\textsubscript{2} availability becomes increasingly limited, PHD degradation capacity is disrupted and HIF\textalpha can accumulate (Kaelin & Ratcliffe, 2008). HIF\textalpha proteins reach their governing potential once in the nucleus, forming part of the ‘active HIF complex’ together with HIF\textbeta subunit, cAMP response element binding protein (CREB/p300) and hypoxia-responsive elements (HREs). Heat shock protein 90 (hsp90) also directly interacts with HIF\textalpha, acting as a protein stabilizer and induces conformational changes in its structure critical for coupling with HIF\textbeta to form the ‘active HIF complex’ (Gradin et al., 1996; Hur et al., 2002; Isaacs et al., 2002; Katschinski et al., 2004). Cohorts of genes are then targeted for transcription by the ‘active HIF complex’ to activate hypoxia stress-mitigating mechanisms (Dengler et al., 2014), mainly directed at remodelling mitochondrial activity. Metabolic reprogramming is enabled by HIF-regulated glycolytic enzymes (pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase [PDK]) and lactate dehydrogenase A [LDHA], enolase [ENO1], glyceraldehyde-phosphate dehydrogenase [GAPDH], fructose-biphosphatase aldolase [ALDO], hexokinase [HK] and phosphofructokinase [PFK] to promote anaerobic respiration while demoting tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) activity in the mitochondria (Benita et al., 2009). HIF also selectively targets PTEN-induced kinase-1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy via balances of the anti-death protein B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2) and the pro-death protein Bcl2 nineteen-kilodalton interacting protein (BNIP3; Yang et al., 2009; Zhang & Ney, 2009). Both mechanisms provide a means to reduce levels of detrimental mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) and to encourage remodelling of bioenergetic pathways in the absence of O\textsubscript{2} in an attempt to sustain metabolic demands (Thomas & Ashcroft, 2019). Such a means of hypoxia management appears essential for corals attempting to alleviate bleaching under environmental stress; for example, host mitophagy (Dunn et al., 2012) and an upregulation of glycolytic enzymes (Leggat et al., 2011) as a response to thermal stress. Similarly, cellular hypoxia management is generally accompanied by systems dissipating ROS (Blokhina et al., 2003; Lushchak & Bagnyukova, 2006). Most metazoans have procured a network of antioxidant enzymes to coordinate redox homeostasis by detoxifying ROS by-products of mitochondrial respiration, and efficient control of ROS accumulation is considered essential in mitigating physiological cascades that result in coral bleaching under thermal stress (Suggett & Smith, 2020). Furthermore, light-independent coral bleaching (DeSalvo et al., 2012; Tolleter et al., 2013), when cells are in a hypoxic state, would suggest that regulation of an HIF\textbeta mitochondrial system in corals is thus integral to their capacity to respond to stress by reducing mitochondrial ROS production rather than promoting ROS detoxification. Consequently, identifying the genes acting as ‘effectors’ in coral HRSs could also help unravel the inherent molecular regulation of coral susceptibility to cascades of warming, acidification and hypoxia events (Hughes et al., 2020). Evolutionary change in ‘hypoxia effector genes’, for example, HIF has likely been influenced by the extent of cellular compartmentalization, which ultimately plays a role in O\textsubscript{2} availability (Gabaldón & Pittis, 2015). While HIF indeed appears present in reef-building corals based on transcriptome mining (DeSalvo et al., 2012; Levy et al., 2011; Ruiz-Jones & Palumbi, 2015; Yum et al., 2017; Zoccola et al., 2017), it may not necessarily be functionally active in hypoxia, as evident for marine invertebrates such as *Tigriopus californicus* subjected to highly variable O\textsubscript{2} availability (Graham & Barreto, 2019). Consequently, it is unclear whether corals drive their HRS via a
functional HIF similar to other metazoans or rather conform to the ‘earliest metazoans’ (i.e. Porifera) that simply rely on \( \text{O}_2 \)-dependent enzymes and sulphides (Mills et al., 2018; Rytkönen et al., 2011).

To identify the gene suite responsible for the HRS of the reef-forming coral *Acropora tenuis* (common to the Great Barrier Reef, GBR), we conducted a deoxygenation–reoxygenation stress experiment aligned to their natural night–day light cycle, with time-series sampling for bleaching indicators (cell density and Chlorophyll content) and RNA-Seq analysis. Specifically, we subjected replicate genets to deoxygenated seawater at night-time—that is, coinciding with their routine cycle of intra-tissue deoxygenation (Kühl et al., 1995). Removal of their ‘night-time \( \text{O}_2 \) buffer’ (NTOB) was intended to challenge inherent hypoxia thresholds and intensify their oxygen debt state. The coral species, *A. tenuis*, was reported in the 2016–2017 mass bleaching events on the GBR (Hughes et al., 2017) to exhibit inherently greater capacity to resist heat stress-induced bleaching compared to the closely related *Acropora selago* (Hoogenboom et al., 2017). Thus, following the same experimental design in parallel using clonal ramets from a bleaching-susceptible *A. selago* colony, we explored whether any deviations to the HIF-driven stress regulation occurred compared to the more stress-resistant species, *A. tenuis*.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental design

Coral fragments (~4 cm in length) were collected from *A. tenuis* and *A. selago* colonies in November 2018 originating from the northern GBR (Vlasoff Reef). A replicate coral fragment of *A. tenuis* was taken from each of five separate neighbouring colonies (\( n = 5 \) genets), whereas five replicate fragments for *A. selago* were taken from fragmentation of a single colony (\( n = 5 \) ramets) due to limited colony availability. As such, our experiment was conducted within the constraints of the different replication, with the aim to build knowledge of the HIF-HRS from *A. tenuis* colonies but subsequently explored in the context of a different species colony with known differences in heat-induced bleaching susceptibility. Examining intracolonial genetic variability in corals has indeed been shown previously to provide validation for genetic analyses on replicates from a single coral colony for evidence of divergent stress responses (Schweinsberg et al., 2015).

All coral replicates were acclimated for >1 week in a shaded main system outdoor closed-circulation aquarium (James Cook University, Cairns; 22–40°C min–max daily air temperature range, average water temperature of 28°C and dissolved oxygen [DO] of ~6 mg \( \text{O}_2 \)/L maintained via continuous aeration) before moving fragments to experimental incubation chambers in late November 2018. Incubation conditions were then established to mimic in situ reef conditions from where corals were sourced, with the exception of DO concentration. Deoxygenated treatment was achieved via flushing of seawater with \( \text{N}_2 \) prior to additional flushing with \( \text{CO}_2 \) to account for subsequent increase in pH as per Klein et al. (2017). Both control and treatment conditions consisted of diel time of day alignment, maintenance in closed vessels to drive continuous \( \text{O}_2 \) drawdown throughout the night and subsequent reoxygenation in open vessels under daylight (returning to their starting DO level of ~6 mg \( \text{O}_2 \)/L). Deoxygenation stress treatment was superimposed onto the ambient night-time intra-tissue hypoxia (Kühl et al., 1995), and so removal of the inherent ‘NTOB’ to drive intensification of an \( \text{O}_2 \) debt state. It is currently unknown the duration of either acute or chronic hypoxia events on reefs (Hughes et al., 2020), and we therefore employed a relatively short exposure time (12-hr deoxygenation) compared to those typically used for heat stress studies (1–2 weeks; Bellantuno et al., 2012) given that our experiment was designed to initiate and capture the gene response to low \( \text{O}_2 \) stress without the coral potentially acclimating to the stress (e.g. in the case of the more tolerant species), and that the sensitivity in gene regulation in response to the loss of \( \text{O}_2 \) is likely to be greater for an organism compared to heat stress (López-Barneo et al., 2001).

Incubation set up consisted of 10 × 4 L transparent screw-top vessels (five for each of control and treatment) placed in a large water bath, with each coral species sampled on consecutive days. Pumps were installed in the vessels to ensure sufficient mixing and to minimize diffusive boundary layer thickness. To prevent build-up of waste products in the seawater over time, we utilized a large water volume to biomass ratio (Camp et al., 2015), with three coral fragments per 5 × 4 L control and 5 × 4 L treatment incubation vessels. Temperature was maintained at 28°C using 2 × 300 W aquarium bar heaters. A photon scalar irradiance (PAR, 400–700 nm) of ~180 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) (with 4 hr ramp) was provided by Hydra52 LEDs and measured with a calibrated underwater scalar irradiance sensor (LiCor LI-193) connected to a light meter (LiCor Li-250A). Dark conditions were created by black-out material placed over the incubation vessels throughout the 12 hr night period and vessel lids were sealed with parafilm. In this way, we allowed for a continued lowering of both DO and pH, as recommended by Klein et al. (2017) to study hypoxia during night-time with appropriate ecological relevance (see corresponding time point pH data in Figure S1). This was followed by a 12 hr ‘recovery’ phase in the light with opened vessels to promote reoxygenation via water surface agitation with the ambient air. Samples were collected from acclimation tanks for T0 ~1 hr prior to deoxygenation exposure, 0.5 hr into (T1) and at the end (12 hr, T2) of the deoxygenation night-time phase, and finally after 12 hr exposure in the light (T3). This 12 hr ‘recovery’ phase, where both control and treatment experienced LED light exposure and ‘normal’ DO levels were critical to determine the recovery capacity after exposure to the different low \( \text{O}_2 \) exposures. One coral fragment from each vessel was removed at each time point T1–T3 (as well as from the acclimation system at T0) and immediately snap-frozen for subsequent molecular analysis. Further details of experimental design and corresponding measured \( \text{O}_2 \) and pH for each incubation chamber across time points are provided in Figure S2 and Table S1a–c.
2.2 | Chlorophyll extraction and cell density

Coral tissue was air-picked from the skeleton using a sterile filtered airflow from a 1 ml pipette tip connected via a rubber hose to a bench top air pressure valve and approximately 10 ml of PBS. For each coral sample, Symbiodiniaceae cell density was counted three times using a Neubauer counting chamber as per Camp et al. (2019: Table S2a,b). A 10 ml subsample was taken from each homogenate, immediately centrifuged at 6000 g for 10 min (4°C) and the supernatant removed. The pellet containing the Symbiodiniaceae was sonicated then resuspended in 100% acetone for 24 hr (at 4°C) in the dark to extract chlorophylls a and c2. The extracts were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min and absorbance was read at 630, 663 and 750 nm. Chlorophyll concentrations were determined according to the spectrophotometric equations for dinoflagellates (Jeffrey & Humphrey, 1975; Data S3). Chlorophylls a and c2 are given as total chlorophyll (Table S3a,b). All measurements were normalized to skeletal surface area, determined via the paraffin single wax-dipping method (Veal et al., 2010; Table S4a,b; Figure S3). Two-way ANOVA was performed in R to statistically compare Symbiodiniaceae cell density and chlorophyll concentration over time between species with Tukey’s post-hoc testing upon detecting significance.

2.3 | RNA isolation and sequencing

Frozen coral fragments were immersed in RLT buffer (Qiagen) within zip-lock bags and the tissue was air-picked from the skeleton for a maximum of 3 min. Total RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy mini kit (Protocol S1). RNA concentrations were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000, and RNA quality was evaluated via the Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Total RNA isolation and sequencing libraries for each sample with an average library size of 364 bp. An Illumina TruSeq RNA prep kit was used to separate the mRNA from the total RNA via polyA selection and to generate 2 × 150bp long paired-end libraries for each sample with an average library size of 364 bp. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer at the BioScience Core Lab (BCL) at the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST).

2.4 | Sequence data processing and analysis

Paired-end reads were quality assessed using FastQC v0.11.5 (Andrews, 1973). Trimmomatic v0.38 (Bolger et al., 2014) was applied to trim off the Illuma adaptors and low-quality regions. Each read was scanned using a 4-base window and cut if the quality of Phred score dropped below 15 (SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15). Leading and trailing bases were removed if quality dropped below a score of 3 (LEADING:3 TRAILING:3). Trimmed reads with resulting lengths shorter than 50 bases were excluded (MINLEN:50). Each sample retained >90% of the paired-end read counts. Trimmed reads were then mapped using Bowtie2 v2.3.5.1 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) to the reference genomic gene set (n = 28,188 genes) of Acropora millepora (Fuller et al., 2018). Mapping files were processed with SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) for the generation of a bam file and alignment quality check. From the portion of mapped reads, the distribution of mapping quality scores (i.e. the probability of a correct match) and alignment scores (i.e. how similar the sequence read is to the mapping reference) were used to assess mapping performance of the experimental coral species to the reference sequences (Figure S4). Genes that were only mapped by one but not the other experimental coral species (‘structural zeros’) were removed from downstream analysis (Table S5). Samples with <5 million mapped reads were not considered for downstream analysis. Four A. selago samples were removed from downstream analysis: T1 control (x1), T2 treatment (x2) and T3 treatment (x1) (Table S6a,b). Read counts were calculated via eXpress-1.5.1 (Roberts & Pachter, 2013). The batch expectation-maximization algorithm was applied in eXpress to resolve and quantify ambiguously mapped sequence reads. Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs; Benjamin-Hochberg, FDR < .05) between treatment and control groups for each time point and coral species were determined using DESeq2 in R (Love et al., 2014). PCA plots for each time point were created using DESeq2 in R. The Gene Ontology (GO) annotations were derived from the EggNOG annotated A. millepora genomic gene set. GO enrichment analysis was performed using topGO (Alexa & Rahnenführer, 2019) in R and applied to the set of differentially expressed genes. Annotation of the GO term for ‘response to hypoxia’ (GO:0001666) was specifically assessed in the DEG lists (Table S11b). KEGG mapper was then used to assess presence of genes for different pathways based on the KEGG Ortholog (KO) annotations from EggNOG with particular focus on the HIF-1 signalling pathway map, KEGG map04066 (Kanehisa & Sato, 2020). FPKM expression estimates were generated via eXpress. Transcripts annotated to the same gene name (e.g. hsp90) were pulled together to represent one gene. See Table S7 for KO annotations used for the genes of interest based on the KEGG map04066 and referenced HIF target or stress-associated genes that were used for FPKM analysis over time. RNA-Seq reads from A. tenuis and A. selago were also mapped to the SymbPortal ITS2 reference sequence database (Hume et al., 2019) to assess the putative algal species associated with both coral hosts based on ITS2 type (LaJeunesse et al., 2018).

Data generated from eXpress can be found at the GitHub repository available at https://github.com/reefgenomics/coral_deoxygenation_RNASeq/.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Divergent phenotypic response to deoxygenation

Removal of the NTOB resulted in a divergent phenotype for the bleaching-susceptible colony of A. selago compared to the bleaching
resistant *A. tenuis*. Only *A. selago* exhibited onset of a classic bleaching phenotype at T3—loss of Symbiodiniaceae cell content and chlorophyll from the host coral that is well described from heat stress studies (Suggett & Smith, 2020)—whereas *A. tenuis* maintained its original phenotype (Figure 1a,b). Both Symbiodiniaceae cell density and chlorophyll concentration exhibited a significant decline from T1 to T3 for the deoxygenation treatment of *A. selago* but not *A. tenuis* (Figure 1c; $F_{2,1} = 6.7$ and 9.7, respectively $p < .05$; Tables S8 and S9a,b; Figure S5). All control samples for both species remained unimpaired throughout the night to day transition (where NTOB was retained), with no deterioration of the algal symbiosis. In the control samples, *A. tenuis* hosted an overall 26% higher cell density of Symbiodiniaceae than *A. selago* throughout the diel time series (Figure 1c; Table S10a,b). Sequence read mapping to the SymPortal ITS reference sequence database indicated these contrasting hypoxia-driven bleaching responses corresponded with different Symbiodiniaceae associations for the two *Acropora* species, specifically a difference in the dominant species of *Cladocopium* (Figure S6).

### 3.2 Contrasting gene expression responses to deoxygenation exposure

To understand the divergent phenotypic response, we evaluated gene expression using RNA-Seq. After exposure to only a short period (0.5 hr) of night-time deoxygenation (T1), gene expression exhibited similarity across experimental conditions and species, with no clear clustering of samples (Figure 2a). Conversely, after 12 hr...
of continuous night-time deoxygenation (T2). *A. tenuis* control and treatment samples clustered separately with a high number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), whereas no apparent differences between *A. selago* samples could be observed by clustering and there were a low number of DEGs (Figure 3b). Finally, after 12 hr reoxygenation (T3), the opposing pattern was observed with separate clusters for *A. selago* control versus treatment, whereas all *A. tenuis* samples clustered together. Notably, the bleaching resistant species, *A. tenuis*, demonstrated a large transcriptional response to deoxygenation exposure while the bleaching-susceptible species, *A. selago*, only exhibited a large response after deoxygenation–reoxygenation exposure. On average, *A. tenuis* ramped up a greater transcriptional response at earlier time points compared to *A. selago*, with ~18 times more DEGs in *A. tenuis* than in *A. selago* at T2 (6,490 vs. 373 DEGs, respectively; Table S11a). In contrast, *A. tenuis* differentially expressed only two genes by T3 while *A. selago* had 3,737 DEGs. It is possible that this contrasting extent of DEGs for the two species here may partially be influenced by comparing genets (*A. tenuis*) versus clonal ramets (*A. selago*) as we only observe a high number of DEGs at T2 in *A. tenuis*; however, we also observe an equally high number of DEGs expressed in the clonal ramets at T3. Alternately, the gene pattern found for *A. selago* could be explained by the similarity in response to low O$_2$ by both the control and treatment samples by T2, highlighting a potentially ‘delayed’ or insufficient response to the deoxygenated conditions for the treatment samples. Gene ontology term for ‘response to hypoxia’ (GO:0001666) was annotated to only three DEGs when comparing control versus treatment at T2 for *A. selago*, whereas there were 33

**FIGURE 3** Coral HIF pathway and gene expression response to hypoxia. (a) Conceptual model of the coral hypoxia response system (for details on genes refer to the main text). (b) Gene expression dynamics across time points for *Acropora tenuis* (left) and *Acropora selago* (right) for key genes of the HIF system: (i) HIFα, (ii) hsp90AB1/B1, (iii) oxygen-sensitive K2P potassium ions (TASK-like), (iv) glycolytic enzymes (LDHA and PDK), (v) hypoxia-induced mitophagy (BNIP3/Bcl2 + Bclxl), (vi) hsp70-driven protein quality control, (vii) lipid uptake via scavenger receptor (CD36) and (viii) cell cycle arrest (p27). FPKM: Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads. Asterisk indicates statistical significance following FDR correction, $p < 0.05$, comparing same time point control and treatment. Error bars denoting standard error with $n = 5$ for each condition group, except for T1 control and T3 treatment ($n = 4$) and T2 treatment ($n = 3$) for *A. selago* (see main text).
DEGs annotated when comparing treatment T1 versus T2, indicating a response to hypoxia at T2 but only to a similar extent as the control samples (Table S11b).

We used KEGG BRITE to functionally interrogate the gene expression responses of both species with specific attention to genes associated with HRS sensitivity (ion channels), metabolic reprogramming (metabolic enzymes) and genetic information processing (e.g. transcription factors, membrane trafficking and DNA repair; Table S12). After 0.5 hr of deoxygenation (T1), only A. tenuis exhibited DEGs encoding for ‘signalling ion channels’ and expressed ~13 times more metabolic enzymes compared to A. selago (911 and 75, respectively) after 12 hr of continuous night-time deoxygenation (T2). We observed 10-fold more differentially expressed genes associated with genetic information processing, including genes involved in ‘mRNA biogenesis’, ‘spliceosome’, ‘chromosome and associated proteins’, at T2 for A. tenuis compared to A. selago (Table S12). Conversely, only by T3 did A. selago display its highest number of DEGs encoding for ion channels, metabolic enzymes and genes involved in genetic information processing, suggesting a delayed response to deoxygenation and/or an increased and continuous requirement for transcriptional remodelling even after 12 hr of reoxygenation compared to A. tenuis (Table S12).

3.3 | An HRS similar to other metazoans

We annotated the expressed coral genes using the HIF signalling pathway (KEGG map04066) and additionally referenced HIF-associated genes, which revealed that both corals possess a complete HIF pathway from the signalling molecules to the effectors (Figure S7). Based on this, we constructed a conceptual model of coral HRS (Figure 3a) that was subsequently analysed over time to elucidate the mechanistic basis of the coral hypoxia response and gain insight on the HIF transcriptional response to deoxygenation exposure (Figure 3b).

3.4 | Divergent expression of HRS genes

3.4.1 | Hypoxia-inducible factor alpha subunit

Gene expression of HIFα 1 hr prior to night-time deoxygenation (T0) in A. tenuis was significantly twofold higher than that in A. selago, indicative of a greater baseline expression (Figure 3bi; log2 fold change \(FC_{\log2} = 1.2, \text{FDR}<0.05; \text{Data S1A}\)). HIFα showed dynamic expression over time points for both species with a significant increase in expression from T1 to T2 (A. tenuis \(FC_{\log2} = 0.72\) and A. selago \(FC_{\log2} = 1.02, \text{FDR}<0.05; \text{Data S1A,B}\)), suggesting a strong inducibility and response of this gene to hypoxia, as expected. HIFα did not exhibit significant differences between conditions across time points given that control samples also upregulated HIFα expression. Both species peaked in HIFα expression, across the experimental time points, after 12 hr of night-time deoxygenation (T2). For A. tenuis, HIFα gene expression was greater after 0.5 hr night-time deoxygenation exposure (T1) but lower after 12 hr (T2) compared to corresponding control samples, which could be indicative of treatment samples peaking in HIFα expression earlier in response to reaching cellular hypoxic stress faster. Conversely, we observed similar HIFα expression in A. selago between treatment and control at T1, while by T2 expression was higher under deoxygenation stress, suggesting a ‘delayed’ or an extended response to deoxygenation exposure (Figure 3bi). Under the assumption that PHD degradative activity on HIFα is inhibited under hypoxia, we did not focus on the gene expression of PHDs but is reported in Figure S8.

3.4.2 | Heat shock protein 90

Expression of genes encoding for hsp90 (hsp90A1 and hsp90B1), a protein identified to facilitate the formation of the ‘active HIF complex’ (Gradin et al., 1996; Hur et al., 2002; Isaacs et al., 2002; Katschinski et al., 2004), followed a pattern similar to HIFα over time points only in A. tenuis, with a significant increase from T1 to T2 in treatment samples (hsp90A1 FC\(_{\log2}\) = 2.69 and hsp90B1 FC\(_{\log2}\) = 2.02 FDR < 0.05; Data S1A). However, at T2, the expression of hsp90 had significantly increased under deoxygenation exposure (Figure 3bi; hsp90A1 FC\(_{\log2}\) = 1.08, FDR < 0.05; Data S1A). hsp90 expression at T2 was twofold higher under deoxygenation exposure in A. tenuis compared to A. selago, suggesting an increased ability for A. tenuis to facilitate formation of the ‘active HIF complex’ in response to 12 hr of continuous night-time deoxygenation, as well as manage general proteotoxic stress. Notably, hsp90 encoding genes showed a significantly higher baseline expression of ~twofold (T0) in A. tenuis compared to A. selago (hsp90A1 FC\(_{\log2}\) = 1.18 and hsp90B1 FC\(_{\log2}\) = 0.92, FDR < 0.05; Data S1A), indicative of a greater ability in A. tenuis to form active HIF complexes prior to the deoxygenation exposure. Also, in contrast to A. tenuis, hsp90 gene expression remained high or increased even after exposure to 12 hr of reoxygenation (T3) in A. selago highlighting possible extension of hsp90-facilitated ‘active HIF complex’ formation by T3 in attempt to continue utilizing HIF-targeted stress mitigation but also prolonged response to proteotoxic stress under reoxygenation. Together these data suggest that A. selago harbours a lesser ability to ramp its HIF-HRS and sufficiently respond to proteotoxic stress under deoxygenation–reoxygenation stress.

3.4.3 | HIF target genes

Expression of genes encoding for K2P K+ ion channels (TASK-like) was consistent over time for both species, irrespective of experimental condition (Figure 3biii). Only A. tenuis showed a peak in expression after 0.5 hr of deoxygenation (T1), thus indicating a greater HIF-induced sensitivity in response to short-term deoxygenation (Iorio et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2014). Genes encoding for the glycolytic enzymes lactate dehydrogenase B (LDH) and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (PDK) that are key to promoting anaerobic respiration exhibited dynamic expression changes over time. Most notably, LDH expression was observed to increase by T1 under deoxygenation exposure only in A. tenuis. Furthermore, A. tenuis treatment samples appeared to plateau in
LDH expression by T2, whereas A. selago treatment reached a peak in expression at T2 similar to A. tenuis under control settings. For A. tenuis, genes encoding PDK exhibited a greater expression consistently over all time points and conditions compared to A. selago, highlighting a higher baseline level of pyruvate dehydrogenase degradation, reducing the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-coA and thereby lowering the influx of key acetyl groups into TCA cycle in A. tenuis (Figure 3civ). Moreover, PDK expression was higher in A. tenuis treatment samples than in control samples after 12 hr of deoxygenation (T2) and exhibited a twofold higher expression compared to A. selago T2 treatment samples. These expression patterns of LDH and PDK indicate an earlier and a more substantial shift to anaerobic respiration in A. tenuis under deoxygenation exposure.

Hypoxia-induced mitophagy was determined as the ratio of the expression of the pro-apoptosis gene bnip3 (coding for the Bcl-2 nineteen-kilodalton interacting protein) over the combined expression of the anti-apoptosis genes bcl2 and bclxl (coding for Bcl2 and B-cell lymphoma extra-large, respectively; Pernice et al., 2011; Zhang & N ey, 2009). Only in A. tenuis samples under deoxygenation exposure did the mitophagy ratio remain high by T1. Furthermore, the lowest mitophagy ratio for A. tenuis was observed at T2 irrespective of condition, while for A. selago this occurred at T1 (Figure 3cv), suggesting A. tenuis experienced earlier hypoxia stress alleviation via mitophagy compared to A. selago. HIF-targeted expression of genes encoding hsp70, a protein quality control gene (Honjo et al., 2015), peaked at T2 in A. tenuis treatment samples and were differentially expressed by conditions (FC\textsubscript{log2} = 0.75, 0.32 and 0.78, FDR < 0.05; Data S1A). Whereas A. selago exhibited differential expression of hsp70 encoded genes by T3 with treatment samples higher than control (FC\textsubscript{log2} = 1.81, 0.35, 2.07 and 1.80, FDR < 0.05; Data S1B), suggesting a greater extent of proteo-toxic stress management in response to 12 hr of continuous deoxygenation exposure in A. tenuis.

The scavenger receptor, CD36, uptakes lipids for cellular energy use and oxidized lipids to be degraded (Febbraio & Silverstein, 2009). CD36 gene expression increases by T1 only in A. tenuis, implying an earlier promotion of lipid uptake (Figure 3cvi). Furthermore, CD36 expression peaked and was differentially expressed by conditions at T2 for A. tenuis only, as A. selago treatment samples exhibited highest CD36 expression by T3 with differential expression between conditions, indicating lipid uptake to continue increasing only in A. selago even after 12 hr of reoxygenation (FC\textsubscript{log2} = 0.60 and 0.93, FDR < 0.05, respectively; Data S1A,B). Finally, the expression of the cell cycle arrest gene p27 peaked at T2 for both species irrespective of condition. However, at this time point p27 expression levels for A. selago were greater in treatment samples compared to control, suggesting a greater extent in arrest of cell cycling, possibly for a more ‘extreme’ means of cellular protection by preventing new cells from damage (Figure 3cvii).

3.5 | Divergent hypoxia-induced stress response

Finally, to assess processes driving bleaching of the A. selago coral replicates but not those of A. tenuis, we examined genes indicative of hypoxia-induced stress responses and HIF-HRS functioning (Figure 4). This section considers genes involved in regulating mitochondrial

**FIGURE 4** Hypoxia-induced stress response of Acropora tenuis and Acropora selago (left and right graph in each panel, respectively). Expression of the following genes associated with stress in coral: (a) RNA Polymerase Mitochondrial (POLRMT), (b) NADPH dehydrogenase (NDUF), (c) O\textsubscript{2-} consuming molecules (arachidonate lipooxygenase; ALOX5), Coenzyme Q6 monoxygenase (COQ6), lactase hydrolase (LH) and polyamine oxidase (PO), (d) Growth Arrest and DNA Damage (GADD45), (e) actin beta/gamma (ACTBG1), and (f) terminal cell death protease (Casp3). FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads. Asterisk indicates statistical significance following FDR correction, p < .05, comparing same time point control and treatment. Error bars denoting standard error with n = 5 for each condition group, except for T1 control and T3 treatment (n = 4) and T2 treatment (n = 3) for A. selago (see main text).
biogenesis (POLRMT) and complex activity (NADPH dehydrogenase), DNA repair (GADD45), cytoskeleton repair (Actin gamma), cell death (casp3), as well as genes coding for molecules that consume O$_2$

POLRMT expression in A. tenuis declined at T2 irrespective of condition, implying a reduction in mitochondrial formation as a more ‘extreme’ means of protecting cells from ROS-derived stress under hypoxic conditions when mitophagy is not sufficient. In contrast, POLRMT expression declined at both T1 and T2 in A. selago and was notably lower for treatment samples compared to control over time points 1–3, suggesting a greater and earlier reduction in mitochondrial formation for A. selago compared to A. tenuis (Figure 4a). Expression of NADPH dehydrogenase (coding for a key enzyme regulating the influx of NADPH-sourced electrons to the mitochondrial complex I) decreased from T0 to T2 in both species’ and treatment groups (Figure 4b). This indicates a reduced mitochondrial complex I activity in response to increased deoxygenation exposure and may reflect both the lower mass and activity of mitochondria implemented by HIF under hypoxia. Furthermore, genes encoding NADPH dehydrogenase were differentially expressed in A. tenuis between conditions at T2 (FC$_{log2}$ = −0.55, −0.60, −0.41 and −0.54, FDR < 0.05; Data S1A). We only observed differential expression of genes encoding O$_2$--consuming molecules (arachidonate lipoxygenase, monooxygenase, lactase hydrolase and polyamine oxidase) in A. tenuis between conditions at T2, where treatment samples showed lower expression levels than control (FC$_{log2}$ = −1.36, −0.50, −1.36 and −1.47, FDR < 0.05, respectively; Data S1A). This indicates that A. tenuis might have experienced a decline in O$_2$-consuming reactions in response to night-time deoxygenation (Figure 4c).

The expression of the gene GADD45 (involved in growth arrest and DNA damage), an indicator of DNA repair, remained largely constant across time points between conditions for A. tenuis. By contrast, GADD45 expression in A. selago under deoxygenation was twofold higher compared to control samples at T3, indicating an increase in DNA damage and repair after night-time deoxygenation and subsequent daytime reoxygenation (Figure 4d). The actin gamma gene ACTGB1 (involved in cytoskeleton rebuilding) exhibited a greater expression in A. selago treatment compared to control settings at T2 and T3, indicating an increase in cytoskeleton repair in response to deoxygenation exposure but possibly also a roll-on-effect of the induced stress to T3 (Figure 4e).

Finally, the casp3 gene (encoding the terminal protease for cell death) exhibited a similar expression pattern to actin gamma in A. selago (Figure 4e,f). casp3 expression was greater under treatment settings at T2 and T3 compared to control, with differential expression between conditions at T3 (FC$_{log2}$ = 0.40, FDR < 0.05; Data S1B). Furthermore, A. tenuis also differentially expressed casp3 between conditions at T2 with greater treatment compared to control (FC$_{log2}$ = 0.65, FDR < 0.05; Data S1A). This expression pattern of casp3 suggests that only A. selago increased cell death in response to the deoxygenation and subsequent reoxygenation phases of the experiment, thereby reinforcing reduced ability to return to ‘normal’ state.

4 DISCUSSION

O$_2$ availability has recently been highlighted as a critical but overlooked factor in affecting the susceptibility of coral to bleaching (Hughes et al., 2020; Suggett & Smith, 2020), and thus governing how corals will survive under climate change where reef waters are subject to parallel warming and deoxygenation (Altieri et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2020). In the present study, we explored (a) the fundamental cellular mechanisms employed by A. tenuis to cope with the large daily oscillations in O$_2$ availability and (b) whether the management of their night-time intracellular hypoxic state has the capacity to also support their cellular O$_2$ requirements when under further deoxygenation by removal of their NTOB. We discovered that corals possess a complete and active HIF-mediated HRS homologous to other metazoans. To determine how this observation applies to other corals, we exposed replicate fragments from a single A. selago (stress-susceptible) colony to the same experimental design to specifically examine for any deviations to the HIF-driven stress regulation found in the more stress-resistant Acropora tenuis species. As expected, A. selago, but not A. tenuis, exhibited a visible stress phenotype (bleaching) to a reduced O$_2$ environment. These divergent bleaching phenotypes aligned to differences in baseline expression of HIFα prior to stress exposure, the extent of HIFα expression by the end of deoxygenation stress (e.g. reflecting an ability to downregulate HIFα under low O$_2$) and the expression of HIF-dependent hsp90, which we suggest affected the effectiveness of the HIF response. Together, these findings suggest that corals can vary in their capacity to facilitate extended cellular metabolic reprogramming and damage control in response to stress imposed by strong deoxygenation.

Only A. selago exhibited a bleaching phenotype under NTOB removal after a single night–day cycle. In contrast, A. tenuis maintained its original phenotype throughout the experiment. These observed differences aligned with underlying differences in gene expression (Figure 2a). Only A. tenuis exhibited a clear clustering of control and treatment samples after 12 hr of continuous deoxygenation during the night-time (T2), followed by convergence of gene expression profiles between control and treatment samples after 12 hr of daylight reoxygenation (T3), as indicated by their clustering. Such gene divergence and subsequent convergence patterns infer ‘transcriptome resilience’, whereby the organism can successfully ramp a gene expression response to meet the altered metabolic states but returns back to ‘normal’ functioning upon cessation of the stressor (sensu Acropora hyacinthus; Seneca & Palumbi, 2015).

Differences in DEGs between treatment and control at T2 for A. tenuis indicated a reduction in transcribed O$_2$-consuming reactions (e.g. involving monooxygenases) and mitochondrial activity, while an increase in genetic information processing genes (such as retrotransposons and tandem repeats, i.e. armadillo repeats) highlighted the different molecular levels in which the removal of their NTOB could have been tolerated (Data S2A). In contrast, A. selago exhibited the largest response by means of DEGs at T3 inferring inferior capacity to deal with loss of their NTOB or a delayed response to low O$_2$-induced stress (Figure 2b). Furthermore, DEGs associated with cell stress,
damage and death (e.g. Death Domain including Ankyrin repeats) were only observed for A. selago when at T3, indicating the transition to a bleaching-susceptible state during their photosynthetically active period between T2 and T3 (Data S2B). This is consistent with the proposed bleaching trigger sequence of photo-oxidative stress reaching lethal capacity after a stress event (Cziesielski et al., 2019; Downs et al., 2013; Weis, 2008), in this case post-deoxygenation stress. So, how do these corals respond to deoxygenation stress and what attributes appear to regulate their different hypoxia tolerance?

While being closely related to the most primitive metazoans, Porifera (i.e. sponges) that lack key HIF system components (Mills et al., 2018), corals have a complete and functional HRS similar to other metazoans. We propose the first conceptual model for the mechanistic basis of the coral hypoxia response (Figure 3a) based on the presence of genes from the mammalian HIF signalling pathway, but also involving HIF interactive molecules such as heat shock proteins, which we explicitly considered and integrated, given high prevalence of their upregulation in thermally stressed corals (Bellantuno et al., 2012; Desalvo et al., 2010; Gates & Edmunds, 1999; Kenkel et al., 2013).

The conceptual model allowed us to query the expression of key genes over time, which highlighted several key characteristics: First, a significant increase in HIFα expression with increasing deoxygenation exposure for both Acropora species, and for both control and treatment corals throughout the night. However, A. tenuis showed higher HIFα expression at T1 and lower at T2 in treatment samples compared to controls indicative of an earlier induction and peak in response to cellular hypoxia stress under 12 hr of continuous deoxygenation. HIFα expression from the A. tenuis treatment samples exemplifies the importance of HIFα downregulation during low O2 conditions as a means to prevent harmful prolonged high expression levels or simply reflecting a more sufficient adjustment in response to hypoxia. Furthermore, HIFα expression in A. selago was lower under control settings at T2 compared to A. tenuis suggesting a decreased induction of HIFα expression in response to cellular hypoxia stress. As well as emphasizing the intracellular hypoxic state experienced by the coral during nighttime, our results also infer that A. selago experiences lower night-time hypoxia stress. This could be a consequence of lower cell densities of Symbiodiniaceae and so less dramatic night-time deoxygenation (Figure 1c), different populations of microbes (microalgae, bacteria, fungi or archaea) with alternate O2 changes in metazoans, thus greater expression of these potassium ion channels in response to deoxygenation is consistent with the notion of a more sensitive HIF-HRS for A. tenuis (López-Barneo et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2017). The HIF-associated metabolic reprogramming and mitochondrial quality control were more evident in A. tenuis. A critical metabolic shift to anaerobic respiration in A. tenuis when faced with limited cellular O2 was indicated by an increased expression of LDH after 0.5 hr deoxygenation exposure at T1 and increased PDK expression with continued deoxygenation (T2). In contrast, LDH expression in A. selago did not show an increase until T2 and PDK expression remained relatively constant implying a delay and/or an absence of a pivotal metabolic transition in response to deoxygenation. Interestingly, an increase in mitochondrial ROS has also been observed to accompany hypoxia (Chandel et al., 2000) potentially resulting in mitochondrial degradation (Dunn et al., 2012). Furthermore, high levels of Symbiodiniaceae-associated ROS are widely acknowledged as a core attributing factor to bleaching of corals under thermal stress (Suggett & Smith, 2020; Weis, 2008). Alongside a network of antioxidants, a strategy to combat high ROS levels in mammalian cells is to activate mitophagy to directly remove the main producer of ROS, that is, mitochondria. In agreement, hypoxia-induced mitophagy appeared to occur in A. tenuis at the onset of deoxygenation exposure (T1) but was then reduced following prolonged exposure (T2). While A. selago only appeared to increase hypoxia-induced mitophagy from T1 to T2. Corals may thus utilize mitophagy to remove unused, damaged or malfunctioning
mitochondria under oxidative and hypoxic stress when anaerobic respiration (e.g., indicated via LDH expression) is not yet sufficient.

The commonly expressed hsp gene involved in protein quality control, hsp70, is also described as a HIF-target gene (Honjo et al., 2015) along with the CD36 gene involved in lipid scavenging. The expression of both genes peaked after continued deoxygenation (T2) in A. tenuis but remained highly expressed by T3 in A. selago, indicative of high protein and lipid stress in response to 12 hr deoxygenation and subsequent 12 hr reoxygenation in this coral species. Importantly, only A. tenuis demonstrated an early increase in CD36 expression (T1). Furthermore, the gene encoding for hypoxia stress-induced cell cycle arrest, p27 was more highly expressed in A. selago after night-time deoxygenation indicative of a more ‘extreme’ stress-mitigating mechanism implemented to manage hypoxia stress by T2 in A. selago. Our results suggest the phenotypically tolerant A. tenuis can employ HIF-regulated hypoxia stress ‘counter-measures’ more quickly and more effectively, compared to the phenotypically susceptible A. selago.

Finally, the inducibility of the HIF-HRS between coral species was further reinforced by the observed temporal changes in expression of different coral stress genes. Most notably, only treatment samples for A. selago exhibited increased and sustained gene expression of the Actin gamma gene previously reported to be involved in coral cytoskeleton rebuilding (DeSalvo et al., 2008), the GADD45 gene involved in DNA repair (Liew et al., 2018), and the Casp3 gene involved in terminal cell death (Pernice et al., 2011) in response to 12 hr continuous deoxygenation and subsequent 12 hr reoxygenation exposure. Interestingly, A. tenuis exhibited a greater initial expression of Casp3 than A. selago, and such higher cell death cycling—especially under early stress—could potentially contribute to a more effectively stress-resistant phenotype (Ainsworth et al., 2011). Moreover, a mitochondrial biogenesis regulating enzyme (POLRMT) appeared to cease expression during T2 for both species, possibly reflecting the damaging or redundant actions of mitochondrial replacement given mitochondrial oxidative stress levels and diversion of the respiratory pathway from the mitochondria to only the cytosol (anaerobic) in response to such a hypoxic environment (Scarpulla et al., 2012). Lastly, gene expressions of O₂-consuming molecules (e.g., arachidonate lipooxygenase) were found to decrease under treatment relative to control settings only in A. tenuis after 12 hr of continuous deoxygenation exposure indicating an additional means of shifting the dependency on cellular O₂ to compensate while under hypoxia stress.

In summary, we present the first conceptual model of the coral HRS and highlight the key attributes found to differ in Acropora species with different bleaching phenotypes, which appear to potentiate or limit their success in responding to deoxygenation-driven hypoxia stress (Figure 5). We propose that the bleaching-tolerant coral had

![FIGURE 5](image-url) Differences in coral hypoxia response system (HRS) that could determine bleaching susceptibility. (a) Schematic of environmental factors contributing to the deoxygenation of coral reef water bodies; higher basal metabolic rates, ocean warming, less ocean ventilation and high eutrophication-driven microbial respiration. Orange arrows indicate interactive effects. Colour gradient of coral from darker pink to white indicates coral hypoxia tolerance variance. (b) The key attributes of the HIF-HRS found to differ in ‘tolerant’ and ‘susceptible’ bleaching phenotypes framed in this study in response to deoxygenation stress.
an effective HRS to lowered $O_2$ conditions (removed NTOB) and re-oxygenation, sustained through greater baseline expression of HIF$\alpha$ and HIF-dependent hsp90 to rapidly coordinate $O_2$-detecting potassium ion channels, a metabolic shift reducing mitochondrial aerobic activity, efficient use of mitophagy, enhanced protein quality control and lipid uptake, and finely regulated cell cycle and death. However, most importantly, low $O_2$ tolerance could be driven by the ability to both upregulate and downregulate HIF$\alpha$ under low $O_2$ conditions (e.g. via hsp70-dependent HIF$\alpha$ degradation) due to the detrimental metabolic depression that threatens cell survival during long periods of high HIF$\alpha$ expression and HIF system activation. Therefore, there is likely a threshold to what is a ‘beneficial’ baseline expression of HIF$\alpha$. Given how interlinked cellular management of hypoxia and oxidative stress appear to be in corals, and how the ‘Oxidative Stress Theory’ persists in current coral bleaching models (Cziesielski et al., 2019; Downs et al., 2002), these key attributes of the HRS may also point to a more fundamental metabolic basis of what drives coral susceptibility to stress-induced bleaching (Cziesielski et al., 2019; Suggett & Smith, 2020; Weis, 2008). However, detailed assessment across coral species and populations will be required to verify whether and how HIF-HRS shape could shape variation in bleaching susceptibility. It will be particularly critical to ascertain how such metabolic pathways manifest across the multiple stressors (e.g. high temperature-low $O_2$), which potentially co-regulate—and thus ultimately cross-talk—via mutual effects on HIF-HRS. Identifying such ‘common switch’ repertoire to stress may, in turn, provide novel means to identify genes of interest for coral management or as targets for genetic modification or selection (Anthony et al., 2017). Resolving how the HIF-HRS response may govern coral survival under RCP emission scenarios that concurrently drive widespread ocean warming and deoxygenation will be a critical step towards this goal.
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