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ABSTRACT
The streaming instability (SI) has been extensively studied in the linear and non-linear regimes as a mechanism to concentrate solids and trigger planetesimal formation in the mid-plane of protoplanetary discs. A related dust settling instability (DSI) applies to particles while settling towards the mid-plane. The DSI has previously been studied in the linear regime, with predictions that it could trigger particle clumping away from the mid-plane. This work presents a range of linear calculations and non-linear simulations, performed with FARGO3D, to assess conditions for DSI growth. We expand on previous linear analyses by including particle size distributions and performing a detailed study of the amount of background turbulence needed to stabilize the DSI. When including binned size distributions, the DSI often produces converged growth rates with fewer bins than the standard SI. With background turbulence, we find that the most favourable conditions for DSI growth are weak turbulence, characterized by α ≲ 10−6 with intermediate-sized grains that settle from one gas scale height. These conditions could arise during a sudden decrease in disc turbulence following an accretion outburst. Ignoring background turbulence, we performed a parameter survey of local 2D DSI simulations. Particle clumping was either weak or occurred slower than particles settle. Clumping was reduced by a factor of 2 in a comparison 3D simulation. Overall, our results strongly disfavour the hypothesis that the DSI significantly promotes planetesimal formation. Non-linear simulations of the DSI with different numerical methods could support or challenge these findings.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The behaviour of solids in young, protoplanetary discs (PPDs) is of paramount importance for interpreting observations and developing theories of planet formation. The settling of solids – dust and ice grains and larger pebble-sized agglomerates – towards the mid-plane is likely one of the first steps in the assembly of planetesimals and planetary cores. Thus, many previous works have focused on dust dynamics near the mid-plane of PPDs, where dust densities are naturally highest and could trigger a range of instabilities, including gravitational and vertically shearing (Safronov 1969; Goldreich & Ward 1973; Weidenschilling 1984; Goodman & Pindor 2000; Youdin & Shu 2002; Gómez & Ostriker 2005). In particular, the streaming instability (SI) has been proposed as a mechanism to concentrate solids and trigger gravitational collapse into planetesimals (Youdin & Goodman 2005, hereafter YG05).

However, PPD mid-planes are not the only location where dust-gas instabilities might occur. Squire & Hopkins (2018b) showed that dust moving through gas can trigger a variety of Resonant Drag Instabilities (RDIs), whenever the frequency of a fluid wave matches the pattern speed of dust drifting along that wave. While RDI theory strictly holds in the limit of small dust-to-gas ratios, it can usually be extrapolated to larger dust-to-gas ratios and thus larger growth rates.

RDI theory reproduces the SI and also predicts a related dust settling instability (hereafter DSI) (Squire & Hopkins 2018a, hereafter SH18). The DSI includes both the radial drift of the SI and the vertical settling of grains, which becomes significant far from the disc mid-plane. The DSI also operates without radial drift (Zhuravlev 2019), e.g. in a disc radial pressure maximum (Pinilla & Youdin 2017). In the absence of rotation (Keplerian for the DSI) particle sedimentation does not produce a linear instability, but can trigger a non-linear drafting instability that clumps solids (Lambrechts et al. 2016).

SH18 predicted that the DSI could concentrate solids away from the mid-plane, based on rapid linear growth rates at one gas scale height. SH18 further suggest that the DSI might kickstart planetesimal formation either by triggering gravitational collapse directly or by creating particle concentrations that settle to the mid-plane and seed the SI. Even without significant particle concentration, the DSI might trigger weak turbulence away from the mid-plane, which could affect the coagulation of dust grains as they settle (e.g. Krijt & Ciesla 2016; Blum 2018). Dust growth away from the mid-plane also affects opacities that are important for PPD observations (e.g. D’Alessio et al. 2006; Rettig et al. 2006).

While the linear growth properties of the DSI are intriguing, non-linear simulations are needed to determine the expected levels of particle clumping and turbulence. SH18 argue that previous
simulations of gas and dust in PPDs lacked the resolution and or vertical extent to capture the DSI, motivating the targeted simulations presented here. Moreover, since DSI growth is strongest on the smallest scales, it is crucial to examine the stabilizing effects of turbulence. While the effects of turbulence on the linear DSI have been studied in various limiting cases (SH18, Zhuravlev 2020), a systematic exploration of turbulent stability limits is needed and presented in this work. Finally, since dust grains have a range of sizes, and particle size distributions significantly influence SI growth rates (Krapp et al. 2019, hereafter K19), we examine how particle size distributions affect the DSI.

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic analytic model of the DSI. We extend the work of SH18 by exploring the linear phase of the DSI for particle-size distributions rather than monodisperse populations in Section 3. In Section 4, we analyse the non-linear growth and saturation of the instability using 2D and 3D numerical simulations. We then reconsider the behaviour of the DSI in the presence of a turbulent background in Section 5, identifying a very limited parameter space in which the linear phase of the instability persists at all. We apply our results to planetesimal formation and dust evolution in Section 6. Future perspectives and major caveats of our work are addressed in Section 7.

2 MODEL EQUATIONS AND CONTROL PARAMETERS

In this section, we present the model equations that reproduce the DSI of SH18. We generalize their model of a gas and dust disc to include N dust species, following the framework of Benítez-Llambay, Krapp & Pessah (2019, see their section 3.5). In this work, the local model is centred at a height $z_0$ below the mid-plane to include vertical particle sedimentation, the crucial ingredient that distinguishes the orbital speed of the gas below the Keplerian speed, $\eta v$ amount of this reduction, $DSI$ of SH18 from the SI of YG05.

To introduce the problem, we present the relevant scales and dimensionless control parameters. Like the SI, the DSI relies on the pressure support of the gas disc. In hydrostatical radial, turbulent radial pressure gradients, which are negative in an unperturbed disc, reduce the orbital speed of the gas below the Keplerian speed, $\eta v$. The amount of this reduction, $\eta v_K$, sets the velocity scale of the problem, where $\eta$ is one-half of the ratio between the radial pressure and gravitational forces (see e.g. Nakagawa, Sekiya & Hayashi 1986).

The effects of compressibility are parametrized by $\Omega_0 = \frac{\eta v_K}{c_s}$, where $c_s = \frac{H \Omega_0}{c}$ is the sound speed, $H$ is the gas scale height and $\Omega_0 = \frac{\nu_k}{r_0}$, the orbital frequency at the reference disc radius $r_0$. The time-scale is given in units of $\Omega_0^{-1}$, and because the velocity scale is $\eta v_K$, the reference length scale is thus $\eta r_0$, which is (3/2 times) the radial distance between Keplerian and pressure-supported orbits of the same speed. SH18 assumed $\eta = \left(\frac{H \Omega_0}{c_s}\right)^2$, fixing $\eta = 10^{-3}$ in the numerical evaluations. We adopt this value in our numerical examples to facilitate comparison. Thus, the corresponding numerical value of our fiducial parameter is $\Pi = 10^{-3/2}$.

We define the dimensionless reference height for the dust particles settling via the parameter $\xi = \frac{z_0}{\eta r_0}$. In well-mixed gas-dust regimes, particles settle from $z_0 < H$ if turbulent diffusion is strong enough to remove grains from the mid-plane (e.g. Dubrulle, Morfill & Sterzik 1995; Youdin & Lithwick 2007). Settling from $H$ without (or with very weak) turbulence is not a consistent equilibrium state due to particle sedimentation. We discuss in Section 5 how this initial condition could arise from time-variable accretion and turbulence. This work considers the limit of no background turbulence, until Section 5.

Because the DSI growth-rate increases with the vertical height, consistent with the SH18 linear analysis, we fix $z_0 = H$ to obtain the fastest possible growth. Note that this assumption gives $\xi = \frac{H(\eta r_0)}{\eta}^{-1/2}$, thus, many of the analytic scalings from SH18 can readily be generalized to different $z_0$ by the replacement $\eta^{-1/2} \rightarrow 1/\xi$. The variables describing gas and dust $\frac{j}{N}$-species density and velocity are defined as $\rho_j$, $v_j$, and $\rho_j$, $v_j$, respectively. For each dust species indexed by $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we define the steady-state density $\rho_j^0$, and the aerodynamic stopping time as $t_{stop,j}$. This drag time is approximately constant if gas density varies little (appropriate for the local and nearly incompressible motions considered here as is shown in Section 4) and the drag law is linear in velocity (appropriate for small dust and pebbles, see e.g. Adachi, Hayashi & Nakazawa 1976; Chiang & Youdin 2010). Thus, for each of the $N$ dust species, we introduce two dimensionless parameters: $T_j = \frac{\rho_j \Omega_0}{t_{stop,j}}$ and $\epsilon_j = \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_j^0}$, with $\rho_j^0$ the steady-state gas density at $z = z_0$. The drag acceleration on each dust species $j$ and the back-reaction on the gas are

$$F_j = -\frac{\rho_j^0}{T_j} (v_j - v_k),$$

$$F_g = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\rho_k \Omega_0}{t_{stop,j}} (v_k - v_k),$$

in addition to being horizontally local), the vertical gravitational acceleration is constant and added to all the dust species as

$$a_j = \frac{z_0 \Omega_0^2}{\epsilon_j},$$

(e.g. Epstein 1924; Whipple 1972). Since the model is vertically local (in addition to being horizontally local), the vertical gravitational acceleration is constant and added to all the dust species as

$$a_g = \frac{2 \eta v_K \Omega_0 e_s - \epsilon z_0 \Omega_0^2 e_s},$$

with $e_s$ the radial unit vector, and acceleration is positive for the region below the mid-plane. The gas also experiences an external acceleration of

$$a_g = \frac{2 \eta v_K \Omega_0 e_s - \epsilon z_0 \Omega_0^2 e_s},$$

with $e_s$ the radial unit vector and $\epsilon = \sum \epsilon_j$. The radial acceleration term in this equation (familiar from studies of the SI) must be added to the local model to account for acceleration by a global radial pressure gradient (see e.g. Youdin & Johansen 2007). There is no corresponding term for large-scale vertical pressure gradients, which hydrostatically balance the vertical gravity, so the terms cancel. The vertical acceleration above arises instead from the effects of vertical particle settling. Each particle species has an equilibrium (terminal) velocity of $\nu_{sett,j} = \frac{\rho_j \Omega_0}{t_{stop,j}}$, which causes a back-reaction acceleration of $\epsilon_j \Omega_0^2 z_0$ on the gas. The pressure gradient that balances this acceleration in equilibrium must be added by hand to a local model. Summing over species gives the vertical term in equation (4). Note that there is no corresponding radial back-reaction term, because radial (and azimuthal) drift is not balanced hydrostatically, but by gas flow (i.e. Nakagawa et al. 1986).

Treating the dust species as a pressureless fluid (YG05) in a Keplerian shearing box (Goldreich & Lynden-Bell 1965), the gas and dust continuity and momentum equations are as follows:

$$\frac{\rho_j^0}{T_j} (v_j - v_k),$$

$3$This replacement is valid because the dynamics is nearly incompressible and thus $\Pi \rightarrow 0$ should not appear to lowest order.

---

1 Without loss of generality, below is chosen so settling speeds are positive. 2 In other works (e.g. Bai & Stone 2010b; Abod et al. 2019) where $\Pi$ is the scale length, $\Omega$ sets the strength of the radial pressure support. Since we normalize to the radial pressure gradient, our interpretation in terms of compressibility is more appropriate.
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \rho_j + \nabla \cdot (\rho_j v_j) = 0, \quad (5)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \rho_g + \nabla \cdot (\rho_g v_g) = 0, \quad (6)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\partial_t v_j + v_j \cdot \nabla v_j = 3 \Omega_0^2 x e_x - 2 \Omega_0 e_z \times v_j + F_j + a_d, \quad (7)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\partial_t v_g + v_g \cdot \nabla v_g = 3 \Omega_0^2 x e_x - 2 \Omega_0 e_z \times v_g + F_g + a_g - \frac{\nabla P}{\rho_g}, \quad (8)
\end{equation}
for \( j = 1, \ldots, N \). The gas pressure, \( P \), is defined as \( P = c_s^2 \rho_g \) with constant sound speed in our isothermal analysis.

As we mentioned, this multispecies framework is adopted from Benítez-Llambay et al. (2019). Setting the number of dust species to one and, with changes to the included accelerations, these model equations\(^4\) have been used to study the SI (Johansen & Youdin 2007; Youdin & Johansen 2007) and a variety of RDIs Seligman, Hopkins & Squire (2019), Moseley, Squire & Hopkins (2019), and Hopkins, Squire & Seligman (2020) in the linear and non-linear regime.

The local approximation of this model does not include gas or dust vertical stratification. Thus, the applicability is limited to length scales where the vertical gravitational acceleration is nearly constant and to time-scales less than the settling time across this length. In PPDs, such length scales are much smaller than the gas (\( H \)) or dust scale heights. Therefore, we limit our study to vertical wavelengths smaller than \( H \), consistent with the adopted shearing-box equations (see e.g. Latter & Papaloizou 2017). We furthermore limit our analysis to small solids with \( T_s < 0.5 \), so that particles settle gradually towards – instead of oscillating about – the mid-plane (e.g. Weidenschilling 1980; Youdin 2010). Such oscillations would undermine the fluid approximation for solids.

### 3 LINEAR STABILITY

We use equations (5)–(8) to study the linear growth of the DSI. Details of the linear analysis are presented in Appendix A. In Section 3.1, we reproduce the case of a single dust species, before generalizing it to multiple dust species in Section 3.2, following the techniques of K19.

Our analysis is axisymmetric for simplicity, to facilitate comparison with previous work, and to focus on exponential growth instead of the transient amplification of non-axisymmetric shearing waves. Waves in our local model thus have a radial, \( k_r = 2 \pi \nu / \lambda_z \), and a vertical, \( k_z = 2 \pi \nu / \lambda_z \), wavenumber, with \( k^2 = k_r^2 + k_z^2 \). While the system supports multiple unstable eigenmodes, we present the fastest growing mode, which we identify as a DSI mode because, in the single species case, growth matches the predictions of the RDI theory of SH18 (see Section 3.1). In our study, we always include the radial external acceleration, however, a pure settling instability occurs in the case with no radial-drift (Zhuravlev 2019). This may be relevant for PPDs at pressure bumps, where the analysis presented by Auffinger & Laibe (2018) is more suitable.

#### 3.1 Monodisperse dust populations

Fig. 1 shows the DSI growth rates for particles with a Stokes number\(^5\) \( T_s = 10^{-2} \) and dust-to-gas mass ratio \( \epsilon = 10^{-3} \). Particles are assumed to settle from a height \( z_0 = H \). The parameters match a case considered by SH18 in their fig. 4 and equation (32).

The growth rates in Fig. 1 are largest along the dashed curve, which follows the resonant condition of SH18:

\begin{equation}
k \cdot w = \frac{\pm k_z}{\sqrt{k_r^2 + k_z^2}} \Omega_0. \quad (9)
\end{equation}

This condition matches the Doppler frequency \( k \cdot w \) on the left-hand side – for the drift speed \( w \) defined in equation (A8) – with the Epicyclic frequency on the right-hand side.

The dashed curve is obtained after solving the resonant condition (equation 9) for \( k_r \) at a given \( k_z \) (with \( k_0 = 0 \) from axisymmetry). The general features of this curve can be explained by examining which two of the three terms in equation (9) are largest, with the \( k_r, w \) term always significant in the large \( \zeta \) regime – i.e. far from the mid-plane – considered here. When \( k_z < k_r \), the resonant vertical wavenumber becomes constant, that is \( k_z \to \Omega_0 / w_z \). For small \( k_z \), but non-zero, the approximate solution follows as \( k \sim \Omega_0 / w_z \). When \( k_z > k_r \) the Epicyclic frequency goes to zero and the solution becomes \( k \to -k_r, w / w_z \). SH18 refer to this regime as ‘double-resonant.’ The transition between the regimes occurs near \( k_r \sim 2 \Omega_0 / w_z \).

SH18 give simple analytic expressions for the resonant DSI growth rate, i.e. the growth rate when equation (9) is satisfied, to leading order in both \( \epsilon \ll 1 \) and \( T_s \ll 1 \). We verified that the SH18 expressions held in the appropriate regimes. For example, the triangle in Fig. 1 shows the growth rate for a resonant angle of \( \theta_k = \tan^{-1}(k_z / k_r) = 70^\circ \). We found a value of \( \sigma(\theta_k) = 0.023 \Omega_0 \), in excellent agreement with the leading order analytic solution (equation 32 in SH18) that scales as \( \sigma / \Omega_0 \sim \sqrt{\kappa_z / k} \).

\( \text{Figure 1.} \) The colourmap shows the growth rate, \( \sigma \), as a function of the normalized wavenumber (and wavelength) for a dust species with \( T_s = 10^{-2} \) and \( \epsilon = 10^{-3} \). The white regions indicate stable modes (\( \sigma < 0 \)) or secular modes (\( \sigma < 10^{-3} \Omega_0 \)). The dashed line shows the resonant condition (see equation 9) where laminar growth rates are largest. The dark triangle demarcates a case considered by SH18 (and utilized for comparison here) with \( \theta_k = \tan^{-1}(k_z / k_r) = 70^\circ \) and \( \sigma(\theta_k) = 0.023 \Omega_0 \). Due to the absence of stabilizing effects (i.e. turbulence) on small scales, growth rates increase without bound as \( k \) increases along the resonant condition.

\( \text{Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/497/3/2715/5865136 by Danish National Library of Science and Medicine user on 08 December 2020} \)
As $k_\epsilon$ increases, SH18 found that $\sigma/\Omega_0 \approx (\epsilon T k_\eta \eta \sigma)^{1/3}$ in the double-resonant regime. In this regime, the DSI growth rates increase without limit towards smaller scales, which can only happen because small-scale dissipation is ignored. In our example, the growth rate is $\sigma = 0.45 \Omega_0$ at $k_\eta \eta \sigma \approx 10^4$. This gives a growth rate 40 times larger than the settling rate, and, as mentioned, larger values can be obtained by increasing the value of $k_{s,max}$, which in absence of dissipation is an arbitrary choice.

As suggested by SH18, this rapid linear growth could have implications for planetesimal formation during the settling of small dust particles. However, as we will show in Section 4, strong clumping of small grains is absent. Furthermore, even weak background turbulence significantly reduces even the linear growth, as shown in Section 5.

### 3.2 Particle-size distribution

We now generalize our study of the linear DSI to include a range of particle sizes. We assume a power-law distribution of particle-size $a$, with a number density $N(a)\propto a^s$ fixing $s = -3.5$ as the index of differential number counts, the standard result for collisional equilibrium (Dohmancy 1969). As previously noted, we neglect small gas density fluctuations so that particle size is proportional to $T_\epsilon$.

Integrating the mass in this size distribution between neighbouring dust species gives the dust-to-gas mass ratio of $k$th bin as

$$\epsilon_k = \epsilon_k = \frac{T_{s,k+1}^{s+1} - T_{s,k}^{s+1}}{T_{s,max}^{s+1} - T_{s,min}^{s+1}}.$$  \hfill (10)

Larger particles contribute more to the integrated dust mass since $4 + s > 0$. The Stokes numbers, $T_{s,k}$, are sampled on a log-uniform scale between $T_{s,min} = 10^{-5}$ and $T_{s,max}$ which we vary from $[10^{-4}, 0.5]$. Each species, $k$, is given the maximum Stokes number of the corresponding bin, i.e. $T_{s,k+1}$. This bin edge approximation, like other aspects of the discretization, converges with the number of species.

We vary the total dust-to-gas mass ratio as $\epsilon \in [10^{-3}, 1]$. We solve the linear problem defined by equations (A9)–(A12) and search for the fastest growth rate over a domain $[k_{s,min}, k_{s,max}] = [k_{s,min}, k_{s,max}] = [2\pi H/1, 10^3]$, discretized in $256 \times 256$ mesh points. As with the single-species case, the fastest growth was at the largest $k_s = 2\pi 10^3/H$. The choice of the maximum wavenumber is arbitrary in the absence of dissipation, however, it does affect the main results discussed below.

In Fig. 2, we compare the maximum growth rates to the settling rates, as a function of $\epsilon$ and $T_{s,max}$. The left-hand panel corresponds to the case of a mono-disperse dust population, while the central panel considers 128 dust species. We discuss convergence properties in detail below but first address growth rates.

In absence of a sustained particle inflow, the DSI growth rates must at least be faster than settling rates for growth to occur before solids approach the mid-plane. Moreover, growth must occur several times faster if non-linear saturation is to be achieved. Fig. 2 shows that smaller solids (i.e. distributions with smaller $T_{s,max}$) meet this growth condition more readily because they settle more slowly. In principle, faster growth is possible for larger wavenumbers. However, as we shall show in Section 5, the role of diffusion on small scales sharply curtails growth rates.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 2 examines the convergence of growth rate with the number of dust species, for the case of $\epsilon = 0.02$ and several $T_{s,max}$ values. With the exception of the larger particle case with $T_{s,max} = 0.5$, convergence is achieved for $N \geq 32$ species. In addition, we find that larger dust-to-gas mass ratios, $\epsilon > 0.05$, ensure convergence of the growth-rate for $T_{s,max} = 0.5$ with $N \geq 100$ species.

The convergence of DSI growth rates (with the number of particle species) differs from the standard SI case (K19). Compared to the DSI case above (with $\epsilon = 0.02$), the SI growth rates do not converge (up to $N = 2048$ species) for $T_{s,max} \geq 10^{-2}$ (see the top row of fig. 4 from K19 for low $\epsilon = 0.01$ and 0.1). In other words, when resolving a size distribution at low $\epsilon$ values, the DSI converges for larger $T_{s,max}$ and with significantly fewer size bins, that is $N \geq 16–32$. We stress that the SI is most significant for particle clumping at larger $\epsilon$ of 0.5 (Johansen & Youdin 2007), where K19 found good convergence for the growth rate for $T_{s,max} \leq 0.1$, but not for $T_{s,max} = 1$ (similar to the $T_{s,max} = 0.5$ DSI case studied here).

Convergence seems to be achieved when the distance between the resonances is shorter than their width. When increasing the number of species, the width of the existing resonances is divided into new resonances. This width scales with the dust-to-gas mass ratio of the species, $\epsilon_j$, and thus it decreases as more species are included. DSI resonant modes have a much broader width in comparison with the SI at low $k_s$ values, which helps the resonances to overlap once a few species are included ($N \approx 16$). Moreover, for the DSI at large $k_s$ (where the double-resonant condition $k \cdot u = 0$ is satisfied), the resonant wavenumbers of each species are independent of $T_{s,j}$. This is because both the radial and vertical drift speeds scale linearly with the Stokes number (to leading order). Besides, the resonance width is less sensitive to $\epsilon_j$ at these scales. Thus, at a fixed wavenumber, all the particle species are still very close to resonance. In contrast, for the SI the resonances are more widely separated, and thus the distribution of particle sizes will span both resonant and non-resonant parts of parameter space. Consistent with this picture, choosing a larger $\epsilon$ value increases the resonant width in the SI, eventually allowing overlap and thus convergence for $T_{s,max} \lesssim 0.1$.

We conclude that the inclusion of a standard particle-size distribution has a minor impact on the linear growth of the DSI. Furthermore, the time and length scales of the instability are comparable to those from the monodisperse case. We will then focus on the non-linear dynamics of the DSI including one dust species in our simulations and leave the multi-species case for future work.

### 4 NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

We study the non-linear evolution of the DSI in both 2D and 3D shearing boxes using the multifluid version of the publicly available code FARGO3D$^6$ (Benitez-Llambay & Masset 2016; Benitez-Llambay et al. 2019) using the FARGO orbital advection scheme (Masset 2000). These simulations allow us to measure the strength of dust concentration in the saturated state and the level of turbulence generated by the DSI. We focus on dust-to-gas mass ratios and Stokes numbers, where the saturation time is faster than the settling time, and relatively strong clumping develops.

We carry out single dust species runs, where density, velocity, and Stokes numbers are denoted by $\rho_d$, $\nu_d$, and $T_{s,d}$, respectively. We adopt code units such that $\Sigma_0 = 1$, $\nu_0 = 1$, and the gas initial density is $\rho^0_g = 1$. The initial dust density is defined as $\rho^0_d = \rho^0_d \epsilon$, and the radial and azimuthal velocities are initially set to the steady-state solutions shown in equations (A4)–(A6), with the additional shear velocity in the azimuthal direction. As described in Appendix A, we adopt a coordinate system where $v^\phi_d = 0$ and $v^z_d = T_{s,d} \Omega_0 \epsilon_d$. We set the

$^6$fargo3d.bitbucket.io, fargo.in2p3.fr

$^7$We omit the dust species subscript $j = 1$ used in the previous section.
The dispersion relation of the DSI implies a faster growth with higher $\epsilon$. In Fig. 3, we show the dust densities at time $60\Omega_1^{-1}$ for the six runs of the series s2D (see Table 1). Upper and lower panels correspond to different dust-to-gas mass ratios, with the lower $\epsilon = 0.01$ corresponding to standard Solar abundances (still perhaps an overestimate if size distributions are considered) and the higher $\epsilon = 0.1$, which is chosen as an attempt to trigger stronger concentrations, but would require an unspecified mechanism to concentrate dust not just in the mid-plane, but up to $z_0 = H$. Columns from left to right show the results for different Stokes numbers. For all of the cases tested, we find only moderate enhancements in the dust-to-gas ratio in the saturated state. Starting at $\epsilon = 0.01$, this ratio remains near $\sim 1$ per cent for $T_s = 10^{-1}$ increasing to $\sim 20$ per cent at $T_s = 10^{-1}$, with larger initial $\epsilon$ values producing stronger clumping for all Stokes numbers. In agreement with the assumption of low compressibility of Section 2, time average of $\sigma_{\epsilon} = \left(\rho_{\epsilon} - \rho_{\epsilon}^0\right)$ is below 1 per cent for $\epsilon = 0.01$ and increase up to 8 per cent for $\epsilon = 0.1$.

Properties of the $T_s = 10^{-1}$ simulations lead us to conclude that the strongest clumping seen in this case is not achievable in practice. Thus (of the cases considered), $T_s = 10^{-2}$ would give the strongest clumping in practice. To explain, first note that the $T_s = 10^{-1}$ simulations used a more extended vertical domain of $\Delta z \simeq 0.5H$, compared to $\Delta z \simeq 0.1H$ for the other runs. The motivation was to fit both the rapidly growing linear modes with large $\lambda_s$ (see Fig. 5) and also more of the vertically elongated non-linear structures seen in Fig. 3. In such a tall box, the use of a constant vertical acceleration should be replaced by the stratified potential and, correspondingly, a non-periodic vertical boundary condition. While beyond the scope of this work, the buoyancy in a stratified model should inhibit the clumping seen in our $T_s = 10^{-1}$ local simulations. Secondly, and more definitively, the clumping seen for $T_s = 10^{-1}$ is too slow relative to particle settling, as we describe next. Thus, we caution that the clumping in our $T_s = 10^{-1}$ simulations should not be taken at face value.

4.1 2D simulations

In Fig. 3, we show the dust densities at time $60\Omega_1^{-1}$ for the six runs of the series s2D (see Table 1). Upper and lower panels correspond to different dust-to-gas mass ratios, with the lower $\epsilon = 0.01$ corresponding to standard Solar abundances (still perhaps an overestimate if size distributions are considered) and the higher $\epsilon = 0.1$, which is chosen as an attempt to trigger stronger concentrations, but would require an unspecified mechanism to
Table 1. Numerical simulations with FARGO3D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>(L_x × L_z)/H</th>
<th>N_x × N_y × N_z</th>
<th>ϵ</th>
<th>T_s</th>
<th>α_{xy}</th>
<th>δv_{xy}^2/c_s^2</th>
<th>δv_{z}^2/c_s^2</th>
<th>max(ρ_4)/ρ_g^0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s2De1T1</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.5</td>
<td>1024 × 2560 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>4.4 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.9 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.9 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.7 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2De1T2</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>1024 × 1024 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.5 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2De1T3</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>1024 × 1024 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.7 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.0 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.1 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2De2T1</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.5</td>
<td>1024 × 2560 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>1.2 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>8.0 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.1 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2De2T2</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>1024 × 1024 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.1 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>7.0 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2De2T3</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>1024 × 1024 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-3}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>5.0 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2De1T2</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>2048 × 2048 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>3.4 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2De1T3</td>
<td>0.01 × 0.01</td>
<td>1024 × 1024 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>1.1 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.1 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c2D</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>256 × 256 × 1</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.4 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.9 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c3D</td>
<td>0.1 × 0.1 × 0.1</td>
<td>256 × 256 × 256</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>10^{-2}</td>
<td>6.2 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.3 × 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. α_{xy}, δv_{xy}^2/c_s^2, δv_{z}^2/c_s^2, and max(ρ_4)/ρ_g^0 correspond to the time-averaged values obtained between times 60Ω_s^{-1} and 80Ω_s^{-1}, except for the runs c2D and c3D where the time average was obtained between times 50Ω_s^{-1} and 60Ω_s^{-1}, and run n2De1T3 where time average was obtained between times 10Ω_s^{-1} and 30Ω_s^{-1}. For the 3D run, the domain size is expanded in the azimuthal direction with a size of L_z = 0.1H, while for the 2D runs the value of L_z is omitted.

Figure 3. Dust-density snapshots during the saturated state of local, non-linear DSI simulations of dust settling at H away from the mid-plane. Top and bottom rows correspond to dust-to-gas mass ratios of ϵ = 10^{-3} and ϵ = 10^{-2}, respectively. From left to right, the Stokes numbers are T_s = 10^{-3}, T_s = 10^{-2}, and T_s = 10^{-1}. The colourbars for the dust density (scaled to the average gas density) vary for each panel to capture the range of density fluctuations. The maximum density and other details are in Table 1 for these runs (the ‘s2D’ series) and others. Only the top right case (T_s = 10^{-2} and ϵ = 10^{-1}) produces significant clumping (ρ_4 ≥ 10ρ_g). However, the clumping time is longer than the settling time for this case (see Fig. 4), so the clumping seen in our local simulations would not occur in a real disc (with vertical structure). The prediction that DSI would produce strong particle clumping is not supported by these simulations, with very weak clumping for the most interesting case of Solar abundances (ϵ ∼ 0.01 at H) and small solids.

saturates much faster than the settling time, for both ϵ values. When ϵ = 10^{-1}, saturation occurs within one vertical dust-crossing time, t_{cross} = L_z/v_z^0. For a more realistic solid fraction for young PPDs, ϵ = 10^{-2}, saturation is still reached within one settling time, but this now corresponds to a few vertical crossing times. Therefore, the fully saturated turbulent regime of the DSI may be only obtained if there is a substantial inflow of particles at z > H. To properly capture the DSI in stratified numerical simulations requires resolution comparable to
our local simulations as well as a vertical domain that spans above and below $H$, where DSI growth is optimized (assuming that sufficient amount of dust is stirred to $H$).

To better compare with previous work (Johansen et al. 2007; Bai & Stone 2010a; Benítez-Llambay et al. 2019), we also characterize the DSI clumping properties via the cumulative-particle-density distribution (CPD), shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 4. We compute the CPD by first defining 400 log-spaced density bins in a range of $[10^{-4} \epsilon, 2 \times 10^{-2} \epsilon]$ and then counting the cells whose density value is above the specified threshold. All distributions are normalized such that they integrate to unity. We omit runs with $T_i = 10^{-2}$ and $T_i = 10^{-3}$ (respectively), while dashed and solid lines correspond to $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-1}$, respectively. Left: Time evolution of the maximum dust density. The vertical dashed line shows the settling time for $T_i = 0.1$, showing that clumping in these runs takes longer than a settling time. The settling time for $T_i = 0.01$ is $100/\Omega_0$, and thus (weak) clumping occurs faster than settling in this case. Right: The cumulative probability distribution of particle density.

4.1.1 Turbulence in the saturated state

In addition to measuring clumping properties, we can also characterize the self-generated turbulence due to the DSI in the saturated state. We measure the dimensionless Reynolds stress component, $R_{xy}$, together with the gas velocity fluctuations. The dimensionless Reynolds stress is calculated as follows:

$$R_{xy} = \frac{\int \rho v_x v_y \left( \bar{v}_x - \bar{v}_g \right) dV}{c_{T_x}^2 \int \rho c_{T_g} dV}, \tag{11}$$

whereas the velocity fluctuations are obtained after subtracting the mean velocity, $\bar{v}_g$, that is, $\delta v_x = v_x - \bar{v}_g$. The dimensionless Reynolds stress is usually adopted as a proxy for the turbulent viscosity as $\alpha_{xy} \equiv \langle R_{xy} \rangle\tau$, where the brackets denote time average. The gas velocity fluctuations are omitted because they differ from those of the gas by an order unit factor. The saturated regime of the DSI can induce a low-to-moderate turbulent viscosity for Stokes numbers $T_i \gtrsim 10^{-2}$, that is $\alpha_{xy} \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$, depending on the dust-to-gas mass ratios. Comparable values (within a factor order unity) are obtained for the radial and vertical gas velocity fluctuations.

Considering the average vertical velocity fluctuations, we estimate turbulent diffusion coefficients $D_{DSC} \sim 10^{-4} c_T^2 \Omega_0$ and $D_{DSC} \sim 10^{-5} c_T^2 \Omega_0$ for Stokes numbers $T_i = 10^{-2}$ and $T_i = 10^{-3}$, respectively. These values are not large enough to prevent the settling of particles because the settling time-scale is faster than the diffusion time-scale (across the relevant length $H$). Comparable values are obtained for the radial and vertical gas velocity fluctuations.

As a consequence of this low – but non-negligible – particle diffusion, the DSI may have implications for the coagulation and sticking of mm to $\mu$m-sized particles in PPDs, depending on the particle relative velocity induced by the turbulence (e.g. Ormel & Cuzzi 2007; Birnstiel, Fang & Johansen 2016). As we discuss Section 5, this level of turbulence also necessitates a reconsideration of the linear phase of the instability in the non-laminar case.

4.1.2 Dust density power spectrum

In Fig. 5, we show the power spectra of the dust density, i.e. the squared FFT amplitudes, for the simulations with $\epsilon = 0.1$. Though such high dust abundances are unlikely to occur at $z_0 =$...
During the initial growth phase of the DSI, for $T_s = 10^{-1}$ to $10^{-3}$, the maximum dust density as a function of time for the runs s2De1T3 (solid blue line), n2De1T3 (solid orange line), and for comparison the $T_s = 10^{-2}$ case from Fig. 4 (run s2De1T2, dashed green line). The right-hand panel illustrates the lack of strong clumping for $T_s = 10^{-3}$ in a snapshot of the dust density at time $30 \Omega_{\nu}^{-1}$ for run n2De1T3. Decreasing the box size from $L = H/10$ to $L = H/100$ results in a maximum density increase of roughly a factor of 2 (see Table 1 for reference).

The maximum power can be seen in intermediate scales of $k \eta_{T_s} \sim 10$. The corresponding snapshot in Fig. 3 consistently shows that the dominant eddies are much smaller than the domain. These large-scale eddies are well resolved with $\sim 100$ cells (see Fig. 6 for a higher resolution simulation).

The 2D spectra also clearly indicate the damping of power on small scales. In the linear regime, modes with $k_\perp \eta_{T_s} \gtrsim 400$ show reduced power despite their large growth rates, consistent with numerical dissipation. Since these scales have $<16$ cells per (radial) wavelength, the damping agrees with the linear convergence study in Appendix C. The saturated state reveals a combination of numerical and physical damping at small scales. While turbulent gas motions are damped by numerical viscosity in these inviscid simulations, the turbulent motions also diffuse small scale dust concentrations.

### 4.2 Comparison with 3D simulations

We extend our analysis to 3D, including the full azimuthal dynamics for the most promising clumping case with $T_s = 10^{-2}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-1}$ (see runs s2De1T2 and c3D in Table 1). For this 3D run, we decrease the resolution and consider a box with 256$^3$ cells. Thus, for comparative purposes, we also add a 2D run with 256$^2$. In Fig. 7, we show snapshots of the dust density for the c2D and c3D run at the integration time $30 \Omega_{\nu}^{-1}$. See the last two rows of Table 1 for more on these runs. The left-hand panel shows the evolution of the maximum dust density for both runs; clearly, the 3D run shows a maximum dust density reduced roughly a factor of ~2. A similar decrease was also seen by Johansen & Youdin (2007) when studying an unstratified SI simulation with $\epsilon = 1$ and $T_s = 10^{-1}$ (AB run). Turbulence properties are also affected by the inclusion of the extended azimuthal domain. The turbulent kinetic energy is reduced in the 3D run, by nearly one order of magnitude for the vertical component, and nearly two orders of magnitude for the radial and azimuthal components, as shown in Table 1.

### 4.3 Numerical convergence

We carry out a brief study of the non-linear convergence of 2D simulations. First, we vary the number of grid cells at fixed box size for $T_s = 10^{-2}$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-1}$ (runs s2De1T2, n2De1T2, and c2D).
Figure 7. A comparison of particle clumping in 2D versus 3D simulations at the same resolution (runs c2D and c3D in Table 1). Left: Time evolution of the maximum dust density, showing that clumping in 3D is both weaker on average and more constant in time than in 2D. Centre and right: Snapshots of the 2D and 3D simulations, respectively, after 50Ω−1, using the same colourbar. As the turbulent scales are significantly smaller than in 2D (despite identical resolution) and clumping is a factor of ~2 weaker.

5 LINEAR GROWTH WITH TURBULENCE

In the previous sections, we studied the linear and non-linear behaviour of the DSI in the absence of background turbulence, i.e. turbulence from sources other than the settling instability itself. Such turbulence is relevant because it is expected in realistic discs, and also because it can lift particles away from the mid-plane, offering opportunities for the DSI after the initial sedimentation phase. We thus consider the role of turbulence both for particles settling at ~H and for cases where the turbulence self-consistently sets the thickness of the dust layer, Hd. In each case, we show that the turbulence has a strong stabilizing effect on the DSI, by damping growth at shorter wavelengths.

We characterize the turbulence with the standard α parameter, which sets the turbulent gas viscosity ν = αcSH (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). The diffusion of dust, D = ν, takes the same value, a reasonable approximation for small, well-coupled grains (Youdin & Lithwick 2007). The diffusion approximation only holds on scales larger than the large ‘outer scale’ eddies that dominate the turbulent energy (see e.g. Fan & Zhu 1998). Following Dubrulle et al. (e.g. 1995), we set the outer scale to

\[ l_{\text{eddy}} = \sqrt{\alpha H}. \]  

(12)

Thus for wavelengths larger than \( l_{\text{eddy}} \), we modify the laminar equations of motion (equations A9–A12) to include turbulent viscosity and dust diffusion as described in Appendix B. For scales smaller than \( l_{\text{eddy}} \), the turbulence damps modes on the time-scale of eddy turnover, at that scale, as described in SH18 and discussed here in Appendix B1. There we will show that this small-scale damping is strong enough that the long wavelength, i.e. diffusive, regime \( \lambda > l_{\text{eddy}} \) is most relevant.
5.1 Settling at H

We first consider dust particles settling at one gas scale height from the mid-plane. This case was considered by SH18 and in the previous sections of this work; it is appropriate when well-stirred particles begin to settle to the mid-plane.

Fig. 8 presents two examples of DSI growth rates versus wavenumbers in the presence of turbulence. In both, growth only occurs in the diffusive regime, i.e. with $k < 2\pi/\lambda_{eddy}$. The leftmost plot adds turbulence with $\alpha = 10^{-6}$ to the case considered in Fig. 1. Turbulence restricts the growth to longer wavelengths, as expected for diffusion. In this case, growth is centred on the resonance condition. The peak growth rate in this case, $\sigma \simeq 0.009\Omega_0$, is only slightly slower than the settling rate of $T_{sett} = T_s = 0.01$. Thus, very little DSI growth would occur before particles reach lower $z_0$, where growth is slower.

The right plot in Fig. 8 considers stronger turbulence, $\alpha = 10^{-4}$, where larger values of $\epsilon$ and $T_s$ are chosen to allow DSI growth. In this case, the peak growth rates are no longer on the resonant curve, but rather occur for smaller $k$. Specifically, growth is maximized at the largest allowed wavelength $\lambda_z = H$. This result complicates efforts to find (analytically) the maximum level of turbulence that permits DSI growth, as growth away from the resonant condition must also be considered.

Fig. 9 explores more systematically how turbulence affects the growth of DSI (still at $z_0 = H$), for three different cases: $\alpha = 10^{-6}$, $10^{-5}$, and $10^{-4}$. For each turbulence case, a dense grid of $\epsilon$ and $T_s$ values was considered. For each parameter pair, the fastest growth rate was found over a range of radial and vertical wavenumbers (as in Fig. 2 without turbulence). Specifically $[k_{s,\text{min}}, k_{s,\text{max}}] = [k_{c,\text{min}}, k_{c,\text{max}}] = 2\pi/[1/z_0, 1/\lambda_{eddy}]$, with $z_0 = H$ here. This upper range of wavenumbers is sufficient because the fastest growth is safely in the diffusive regime, giving no reason to consider $k \gtrsim 2\pi/\lambda_{eddy}$. The lower range of wavenumbers is justified as in Section 3. To judge the significance of growth rates, all panels have contours for a growth rate equal to the settling rate (only a small amount of growth) and 10 times the settling rate (more significant growth).

For weak turbulence with $\alpha = 10^{-6}$, significant DSI growth requires $\epsilon \gtrsim 0.02$ and $T_s \sim 0.001$–0.01. We consider this case in some detail, as it is the most favourable that we find. To judge whether such conditions are likely would require a detailed disc evolution and coagulation model, which we leave to future work. Nevertheless we note several factors that make DSI growth challenging, even in this favourable low turbulence case and ignoring (for now) that growth does not equal clumping. The overall abundance of solids at $H$ would need to be above Solar abundances at $H$ implying that very little settling has occurred. However, to reach these values of $T_s$, significant particle growth would have to occur. While particle sizes for a given $T_s$ depend on highly uncertain gas densities, sub-micron ISM grains should have $T_s \gtrsim 10^{-3}$–$10^{-5}$ from 100 to 1 au (i.e. larger $T_s$ at larger radii) in a young massive disc (Youdin 2010). Particle coagulation by several orders of magnitude in size (more in the inner disc) is unlikely to occur during the early evolution of PPDs.

We thus describe the following scenario as most favorable to DSI growth. First, grains grow either (a) with turbulence of $\alpha \gtrsim T_s$ so that grains have $H_s \sim H$ or (b) grains grow in lower turbulence and are then lofted to $H_s \sim H$ by an increase in turbulence to $\alpha \gtrsim T_s$. Subsequently, in less than one settling time, i.e. in $\lesssim 1/(2\pi\Omega_0) \sim 10–100$ orbital periods, turbulence decreases drastically to $\alpha \ll T_s$, allowing DSI growth. Such a scenario is consistent with turbulent-quiescent limit cycles (Martin & Lubow 2011) that could be responsible for FU Orionis outbursts and related variability phenomena (Hartmann, Herczeg & Calvet 2016). However, even in this favorable scenario, DSI growth may not trigger strong clumping, according to the results of Section 4, because $\epsilon$ and $T_s$ values would not be large enough.

Fig. 9 (in the centre and right-hand panels) shows that as turbulence increases, the conditions required for DSI growth become more extreme. Strongly super-Solar dust-to-gas mass ratios are required to obtain a growth-rate significantly larger than the settling rate.
Specifically, significant growth requires $\epsilon \gtrsim 0.1$ (0.5) for $\alpha = 10^{-5}$ ($10^{-4}$, respectively), while the required range of $T_s$ increases only modestly.

When we fit the left edge of the colourmap of the growth rate, we get a turbulent stability boundary in Fig. 9 of

$$\frac{T_{s,\text{min}}}{10^{-2}} \approx 0.93 \left(\frac{\alpha}{10^{-7}}\right)^{0.99} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-7}}\right)^{-1.0},$$

for the smallest solids that allow DSI growth. Including statistical uncertainty, the coefficient and exponents above are, respectively, $(0.93 \pm 0.06), (0.992 \pm 0.008), (-1.0 \pm 0.1)$. For comparison, SH18 estimated that the effects of turbulence would be important near

$$T_{s,\text{SH18}} \approx 0.02 \left(\frac{\alpha}{10^{-7}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-7}}\right)^{-3/4}.$$  

We plot both our fit and the previous estimate in Fig. 9. The SH18 estimate is reasonably accurate given that different assumptions were made and numerical analysis was not used. The empirical fit, by design, gives a better criteria over the range of parameters considered.

The growth of DSI with turbulence could be further limited because our most unstable modes have $\lambda_s \sim H$, our imposed upper limit across most of the parameter space. At this scale, vertically global effects, specifically stratification, could reduce growth rates, e.g. due to the stabilizing effects of buoyancy. Therefore, a global analysis is warranted to examine this effect.

5.2 Particle scale height set by turbulence

Fig. 10 presents the conditions for DSI growth when the particle scale height is set by the level of turbulence. In this case, particles settle from the equilibrium dust scale height, $H_d = \sqrt{\alpha/T_sH}$ (Dubrulle et al. 1995; Youdin & Lithwick 2007), a result that assumes $\alpha \lesssim T_s$, which is indeed required for DSI growth. Contrary to the previous case, particles should persist at $H_d$ over much of the lifetime of the disc, i.e. apart from sudden changes to $\alpha$ due to accretion outbursts. This analysis sets $z_0 = H_d$, which affects both settling speeds and the allowed wavenumbers, as described above for the $z_0 = H$ case.10

Fig. 10 shows that when considering settling from smaller $z_0$, very large dust-to-gas mass ratios are needed for DSI growth. For $T_s = 10^{-4}$, significant growth requires $\epsilon \gtrsim 30$ for all turbulent strengths. Larger grains (i.e. large $T_s$) require even more extreme dust-to-gas mass ratios. This results shows that the DSI is not relevant in gas rich discs when particles are allowed to settle. It arises for several physical reasons. First, as particles settle from smaller $H_d$, the settling speeds that drive the DSI are reduced. Secondly, with reduced $H_d$, only smaller vertical wavelengths can grow, but these smaller wavelengths are more readily damped. Changing the strength of turbulence has little effect because stronger turbulence increases diffusive stabilization while weaker turbulence allows more settling. Unlike standard mid-plane SI, increasing $T_s$ towards unity does not help DSI growth, again because $H_d$ is reduced.

Thus, we have shown that even weak turbulence strongly stabilizes the DSI, both when considering settling from $H$ and $H_d$. If dust settles from the equilibrium height $H_d$, DSI growth with any turbulence requires extreme $\epsilon$ values. While settling from $H$ allows more turbulence and lower $\epsilon \sim 0.02$, these settling conditions only arise briefly after a sharp drop in $\alpha$ following an accretion outburst. Finally, even in this favourable growth regime, our numerical simulations indicate that the DSI only drives weak particle clumping.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper studies the linear and non-linear behaviour of the dust settling instability (DSI) of SH18. We conclude that the DSI is unlikely to produce sufficient particle clumping to aid planetesimal formation. We posit that the DSI could produce turbulence that affects

---

9SH18 estimated a range of pre-factors, 0.003–0.03. We take the median of $\sim 0.01$ for simplicity.

10As with the $z_0 = H$ case, the most unstable radial wavelengths are found to satisfy $\lambda_s < z_0$, so there is no need to consider smaller radial wavenumbers.
the collisional evolution of dust, but only in a fairly specific scenario, e.g. during a period of weak turbulence immediately following an accretion disc outburst that stirs larger dust grains away from the mid-plane. We briefly summarize and contextualize these results.

Planetesimal formation via the rapid gravitational collapse of solid particles can only occur if particle densities exceed the Roche density (e.g. Sekiya 1983; Youdin 2011), or equivalently if the radius of an overdense clump is within its own Hill Sphere. The Roche density exceeds the mid-plane gas density, by factors $>100$ for standard ‘minimum mass’ disc models (Hayashi 1981; Chiang & Youdin 2010). In more massive discs, the Roche density is closer to the gas density, becoming similar for gravitationally unstable gas discs. The particle clumping driven by the non-linear evolution of the SI can be strong enough to form planetesimals (Johansen, Youdin & Mac Low 2009; Schäfer, Yang & Johansen 2017; Simon et al. 2017; Li, Youdin & Simon 2019; Nesvorný et al. 2019), even with moderate background turbulence of $\alpha \approx 10^{-1.5}$ (Gole et al. 2020). The two-fluid SI is most effective when a significant mass of solids first grows to large sizes with $T_s \sim 0.1-1$ (Yang, Johansen & Carrera 2017).

In contrast, the linear analysis of the DSI without turbulence indicates that it might concentrate solids with smaller $T_s$. Thus, the DSI could in principle aid planetesimal formation, either by triggering direct collapse above the mid-plane or by seeding the SI (SH18). However, our FARGO3D simulations of the non-linear evolution of the DSI in the laminar case find that particle clumping is weak. Significant particle clumping was seen only in local simulations with large dust-to-gas ratios ($\epsilon = 0.1$) and large particles ($T_s = 0.1$). However, the settling time of these particles is faster than the time for significant clumping. The only simulated case with significant clumping would not occur a real disc.

Our simulations do indicate that the DSI can drive moderate turbulence $\alpha \sim 10^{-4}$, for near Solar abundances, and values of $T_s$ corresponding to mm grains in the MMSN. Thus several orders of magnitude of grain growth are still required starting from the sub-micron-sized dust inherited from the ISM. The impact of DSI turbulence on disc evolution and grain growth is an area for future study.

We also explore the linear behaviour of the DSI with a dust-size distribution. We find that the mass and length scales of the instability are comparable when considering a dust-size distribution versus a monodisperse case. Finally, we show that even weak turbulence stabilizes the DSI over the majority of relevant parameter space. For particles settling from the equilibrium height $H_d \lesssim H$, the system is linearly stable for all expected dust-to-gas mass ratios (above the mid-plane), i.e. $\epsilon \lesssim 1$. For particles settling from $H$ the DSI could grow with weak turbulence, $\alpha \sim 10^{-6}$, if there is a significant abundance $\epsilon \sim 0.02$, of intermediate-sized grains with $T_s \sim 0.001–0.01$. However, these favourable growth conditions could only exist briefly, for $\sim 10–100$ orbital periods after a sharp drop in $\alpha$ following an accretion outburst.

7 CAVEATS AND FUTURE WORK

Our numerical simulations are a useful first step towards understanding which scales and parameters allow the growth of the DSI and the development of strong dust-density enhancements. We propose several promising areas for future study.

Our simulations have yet to fully converge as described in more detail Section 4.3. In 2D, the gas and dust turbulent velocities converge, but the maximum dust densities and non-linear growth rates do not, and in 3D, the saturated turbulent $\alpha$ values and dust concentrations are reduced compared to the equivalent 2D run. Confirmation of our results requires higher-resolution 3D simulations with both two-fluid and particle based codes, as well as different box sizes, boundary conditions, and ultimately self-gravity. Although the effect of stratification on the non-linear phase of the DSI should also be studied in future work, the local approximation employed here is justified for well-coupled grains settling from the gas pressure scale height or below.

We have studied the linear growth of the DSI in the presence of isotropic background turbulence. For all relevant cases (i.e. growing modes) we found that the eddy scales were smaller than the growing wavelengths. Thus, our treatment of turbulence as a diffusive process is consistent with standard Reynolds averaging.
Nevertheless the detailed interplay between a turbulent flow and the DSI should be addressed using numerical simulations, especially for large-scale and/or anisotropic turbulence. In particular, future work should self-consistently study the DSI in the presence of the Vertical-Shear-Instability (VSI Nelson, Gressel & Umurhan (2013)). The VSI induces weak – but non-negligible – turbulence, afflicting the settling of solids, as the large-scale eddies lift small dust grains above the mid-plane (e.g. Stoll & Kley 2016; Flock et al. 2017; Schäfer, Johansen & Banerjee 2020), and thus might alter the concentration and distribution of the small grains subject to the DSI. Notably, Lin (2019) has shown that dust feedback delays the growth of the VSI during the settling of particles, but found no evidence of the DSI in simulations. The lack of DSI growth could be attributable to resolution, as we show 1000 cells per \( H \) are needed.

While we showed that the growth rates of the DSI converge for particle size distributions, the different saturation timescales for different dust species leave open the possibility that systems with dust distributions might evolve to a different non-linear state, for example in terms of clumping. In particular, future multi-species simulations will shed light on whether the feedback between different dust species can either induce faster saturation or quench the turbulence and reduce the maximum density concentrations. Furthermore, the multiple-species DSI dynamics may be of particular interest when interpreting the role of small dust grains in scattered light disc images and SEDs (e.g. Dullemond & Dominik 2004). The action of the DSI in laminar accretion regimes might provide a source for well-mixed dust in disc atmospheres.
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APPENDIX A: LINEARIZED EQUATIONS

In this section, we present the linearized steady-state solution of the system of equations (5)–(8) in addition to the normalized linear system that we solve in Sections 3 and 5. We start by decomposing the fluid variables into an axisymmetric perturbation with complex amplitude $\tilde{f}$, and a constant background steady-state, $f^0$, as follows:

$$f = f^0 + \delta f e^{i k \cdot x - i \omega t},$$

with $k = (k_r, 0, k_z)$ the wavevector and $\gamma$ the mode eigenvalue. Assuming constant background densities for the gas and dust $j$th species $\rho_j^0$ and $\rho_0^j$, respectively, explicit analytical solutions for the steady-state radial and azimuthal velocities can be found for an arbitrary number of dust species (see section 3.5.2 of Benítez-Llambay et al. 2019). These solutions reduce to those obtained by Nakagawa et al. (1986) when having a unique dust species. For the purposes of this work, we present the solutions obtained by Benítez-Llambay et al. (2019), assuming Keplerian shear. Defining

$$\psi = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{e_k T_{sk}}{1 + T_{sk}} \right)^2 + \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{e_k^2 T_{sk}^2}{1 + T_{sk}} \right)^2,$$

the steady-state gas velocities normalized by $\eta v_k$ read as

$$\tilde{v}_{gs}^0 = 2\psi^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{e_k T_{sk}}{1 + T_{sk}},$$

$$\tilde{v}_{gy}^0 = -\psi^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{e_k T_{sk}}{1 + T_{sk}} \right),$$

while for the $j$th dust species we have

$$\tilde{v}_{js}^0 = \frac{1}{1 + T_{sj}} \left( \tilde{v}_{gs}^0 + 2 T_{sj} \tilde{v}_{gy}^0 \right),$$

$$\tilde{v}_{jy} = \frac{1}{1 + T_{sj}} \frac{\tilde{v}_{gs}^0}{\tilde{v}_{gy}^0} + \frac{1}{2} T_{sj} \tilde{v}_{gy}^0.$$

Thus, the normalized steady-state radial and azimuthal velocities are only a function of the dust-to-gas mass ratio and the Stokes number. For the vertical velocity, we consider a coordinate system where the gas is at rest while the dust species is settling from $z_z = H$ below the mid-plane. Thus, the gas and dust vertical velocities are

$$\tilde{v}_{gz}^0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_{jz}^0 = \zeta T_{sj},$$


APPENDIX B: DIFFUSION APPROXIMATION

In Section 5, we consider the effect of particle diffusion and turbulent viscosity. At scales larger than $l_{edd} = \sqrt{\alpha H}$, we adopt the diffusion only valid for dust species at the terminal velocity, i.e. species with $T_{\zeta} \ll 1$. The control parameter $\zeta = z_z / (\eta v_k)$ was introduced in Section 2. In the single dust species case (where we use the subscript 'd' to replace the numerical dust species label), the relative drift velocity that enters the RDI theory is

$$\mathbf{w} = \eta v_k \left( \tilde{v}_{gs}^0 - \tilde{v}_{gy}^0 \right).$$

Adding dust species introduces multiple drift speeds relative to the gas.

After replacing the gas and dust-species densities and velocities by $f$ in equations (5)–(8) ($\rho^0$ has to be replaced by the corresponding steady-state solution described above), the eigenvalue $\gamma$ and its associated eigenvector complex amplitude, $\delta f$, is found by solving the linear, dimensionless, and normalized system of equations:

$$i \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_g = i \gamma_\mathbf{k} \delta \rho_g,$$

$$i \epsilon_j \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_j = i \gamma_{\epsilon_j} \delta \rho_j,$$

$$i \phi \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_g = \gamma_\mathbf{\phi} \delta \mathbf{v}_g,$$

$$i \bar{\phi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_j = \gamma_{\bar{\phi}} \delta \mathbf{v}_j,$$

for $j = 1 \ldots N$ and where $\gamma_{\epsilon_j} = \gamma/a$ and $\gamma_\mathbf{\phi} = \gamma/\Omega_0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{\phi}$. The normalized eigenvalue and wavevector correspond to $\gamma_{\epsilon_j}/\Omega_0$ and $\mathbf{k} = kn_{\phi},$ respectively. The densities and velocities are normalized by $\rho_0^j$ and $\eta v_k$, respectively. In addition, the perturbed drag specific forces follow from:

$$\delta \mathbf{F}_g = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{e_k}{T_{sk}} \left( \delta \mathbf{v}_g - \delta \mathbf{v}_k \right) + \frac{1}{T_{sk}} \left( \tilde{v}_{gs}^0 - \tilde{v}_{gy}^0 \right) \left( \delta \rho_k - \epsilon_\rho \delta \rho_g \right),$$

$$\delta \mathbf{F}_j = \frac{1}{T_{sj}} \left( \delta \mathbf{v}_j - \delta \mathbf{v}_g \right).$$

where we neglect the perturbations to the Stokes number as appropriate for a linear drag law (i.e. Epstein’s Law) and for nearly incompressible motions. Thus, the particle size, $a$, is strictly proportional to the Stokes number $T_{\zeta} \approx a \rho_g/p_0^d$ (see e.g. Takeuchi & Lin 2002). It is important to stress that any velocity or density can be obtained by taking the real part of its corresponding complex analog $f$. Furthermore, because a given pair of wavelengths $\lambda_s = 2 \pi / k_s, \lambda_c = 2 \pi / k_c, \lambda_r = 2 \pi / k_r$ may admit several unstable solutions, we define the growth rate, $\sigma$, of the instability as the maximum imaginary part of all the obtained $\gamma$-values.

In this work, we solve the eigenvalue problem in a domain spanned between $[k_{\text{min}}, k_{\text{max}}]$, where $k_{\text{min}}$ and $k_{\text{max}}$ are specified in each section. To find the solutions, we use the public available multispecies linear solver with parallel capabilities. The core of the solver uses the function $\text{eig}$ of NumPy (Walt, Colbert & Varoquaux 2011), which uses LAPACK routines for complex non-symmetric matrices (Anderson et al. 1999).
approximation and include two additional terms in equations (A9)--(A12). The inclusion of gas viscosity modifies the steady-state solutions described in Appendix A (see e.g. Dipierro et al. 2018); however, this modification can be safely ignored for the parameters studied in Section 5. To better understand why these terms are negligible, recall that the linear growth of the DSI strongly depends on the vertical and radial drift velocities. In a standard viscous accretion model, the radial accretion flow is $v_{\text{visc}} \sim \alpha (H/n_0)^2 v_k$ giving a radial drift of $\tilde{v}_0^0 = \tilde{v}_0^0 + \frac{2\pi}{(1+\epsilon)+T_s} (1+\epsilon - T_s \alpha)$. Thus, the correction, which is $O(T_s \alpha)$, can be neglected in our analysis in Section 5, as $T_s \alpha \ll 1 + \epsilon$ for all cases. Furthermore, Takahashi & Inutsuka (2019) has proposed a revised treatment of dust diffusion that enforces momentum conservation. We neglect these terms to avoid the viscous instabilities that they can introduce. Thus, the dust continuity equation is modified including the particle-diffusion term

$$\partial_t \rho_j + \nabla \cdot (\rho_j \mathbf{v}_j) = \nabla \cdot \left( \rho_j D_j \nabla \left( \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_g} \right) \right),$$

which in linearized and non-dimensional form becomes

$$i \epsilon_j (\tilde{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j + i \tilde{D}_j \tilde{k}^2 \tilde{\rho}_j) = i (\gamma_j + i \tilde{D}_j \tilde{k}^2) \delta \rho_j ,$$

where $\tilde{D}_j = D_j (\eta_0)^{-2} \Omega_0^{-1}$ corresponds to the normalized diffusion coefficient for the $j$th dust species. Similarly, we modify the gas momentum equation to include the viscous term as follows:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}_g + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_g = 3 \Omega_0^2 \epsilon \mathbf{e}_x - 2 \Omega_0 \epsilon \mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{v}_g + F_g + a_g - \nabla P + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}_g .$$

Therefore, the viscous term is included in the linearized gas momentum equation (A11) by modifying $\tilde{v}_g$ as $\tilde{v}_g \equiv \gamma/\Omega_0 - \tilde{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_g + i \tilde{k} \tilde{D}_g$, where $\tilde{v} = v (n_0) - \Omega_0^{-1}$ the dimensionless gas viscosity. When including turbulence with Schmidt number $Sc = vD = 1$ in a regime where $T_s \ll 1$, the growth rate with turbulence agrees well with a simple correction, $\sigma_{\text{turb}} \equiv \sigma_{\text{inviscid}} - t_{\text{visc}}^{-1}$, to the laminar growth rate, $\sigma_{\text{inviscid}}$, with $t_{\text{visc}} = v \epsilon$. This result agrees with Zhuravlev (2020), who also investigates other $Sc$ values not considered here.

**B1 Stability for $\lambda \lesssim \tilde{l}_{\text{eddy}}$**

For modes with $k > 2\pi/\tilde{l}_{\text{eddy}}$ the diffusion approximation cannot be applied. Thus, to analyse the stability properties in this case, we follow SH18 in assigning a turbulent damping rate

$$t_{\text{damp}}^{-1} = \Omega_0最有(E/Hk/(2\pi))^{2/3},$$

which is the inverse turnover time ofeddies at scale $k$ in a Kolmogorov cascade. We also consider the approximate turbulent growth condition of SH18, that the non-turbulent growth rate must exceed the damping rate $t_{\text{damp}}^{-1}$, that is modes with growth rate, $\sigma$ (in the absence of turbulence) satisfy $\sigma > t_{\text{damp}}^{-1}$.

This comparison is done using the analytical estimation of $\sigma$ found by SH18. Assuming $z_0 = H$, for $\alpha \lesssim 10^{-3}$ species with Stokes numbers $T_s \lesssim 0.005$ will have a second and resonant modes in a regime where the diffusion approximation cannot be applied, while the rest of the resonant modes are at scales $\lambda > \tilde{l}_{\text{eddy}}$. Thus, we adopt an estimate for the growth rate of the form $\sigma \approx (\epsilon T_s \eta_0/\eta_0)^{1/3}$ with $k_s \sim k$; therefore, our discussion differs from that of SH18 because they assumed $\sigma \sim \sqrt{\epsilon k_s/k}$.

Combining the wavelength and damping rate restrictions, the instability will grow when the double inequality,

$$2\pi \eta_0/\tilde{l}_{\text{eddy}} < k_s \eta_0 \lesssim (\eta_0/H)^{1/2}(T_s \alpha)^{-1},$$

is met. This condition implies that $\sigma > (\epsilon T_s \eta_0/(2\pi H))^2$ leads to linear stability at scales $k_s \gtrsim 2\pi/\tilde{l}_{\text{eddy}}$. For instance, assuming $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $T_s = 10^{-2}$ we obtain a value of $\sigma \lesssim 10^{-11}$ for growth. Hence, at these scales we found a more stringent condition for stability in comparison with the results of Section 5.

**APPENDIX C: NUMERICAL TEST FOR LINEAR GROWTH**

In this section, we test the convergence of the growth rate with the number of grid cells for simulations with FARGO3D. We consider a dust-to-gas mass ratio of $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ and Stokes number of $T_s = 10^{-2}$. For a given pair of resonant wavenumbers $(k_s, k)$, each density and velocity perturbation is initialized with the eigenvector amplitudes as described in Appendix A. These perturbations are added to the steady-state solutions. The code set-up is equivalent to that adopted in Section 4 for the 2D simulations. However, for the purpose of this test, vertical and radial size correspond to the wavelengths of the chosen mode.

In Fig. C1, we show the results obtained for three different resolutions. Modes were well-resolved with either $N = 16$ or $N = 32$ cells per wavelength. Higher resolution is required for longer wavelengths (small $k$) presumably because slower growth rates are more numerically challenging.

![Figure C1. Numerical convergence test for the linear growth of the DSI with $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ and $T_s = 10^{-2}$. The solid blue curves correspond to the growth rate along the resonant modes. The top panel shows the growth rate as a function of $k_s \eta_0$, while the bottom panel indicates the corresponding growth rates for the resonant $k$. The cross, circle, and triangle symbols correspond to resolutions of 16, 32, or 64 cells per wavelength. Accurate recovery of linear growth rates for large wavenumber modes requires only 16 cells per wavelength; for smaller wavenumbers ($\lambda \sim H$) at least 32 cells per wavelength are necessary to capture growth rates. The higher resolution requirement is indicated by symbol clusters where crosses are not visible, as they are off the scale of the plot.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/mnras/staa1305)