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ABSTRACT

We utilize deep near-infrared survey data from the UltraVISTA fourth data release (DR4) and the VIDEO survey, in combination with overlapping optical and Spitzer data, to search for bright star-forming galaxies at \( z \gtrsim 7.5 \). Using a full photometric redshift fitting analysis applied to the \( \sim 6 \) deg\(^2\) of imaging searched, we find 27 Lyman break galaxies (LBGs), including 20 new sources, with best-fitting photometric redshifts in the range \( 7.4 \leq z \leq 9.1 \). From this sample, we derive the rest-frame UV luminosity function at \( z = 8 \) and \( z = 9 \) out to extremely bright UV magnitudes \((M_{\text{UV}} \simeq -23)\) for the first time. We find an excess in the number density of bright galaxies in comparison to the typically assumed Schechter functional form derived from fainter samples. Combined with previous studies at lower redshift, our results show that there is little evolution in the number density of very bright \((M_{\text{UV}} \sim -23)\) LBGs between \( z \simeq 5 \) and \( z \simeq 9 \). The tentative detection of an LBG with best-fitting photometric redshift of \( z = 10.9 \pm 1.0 \) in our data is consistent with the derived evolution. We show that a double power-law fit with a brightening characteristic magnitude \((\Delta M^{*}/\Delta z \simeq -0.5)\) and a steadily steepening bright-end slope \((\Delta \beta/\Delta z \simeq -0.5)\) provides a good description of the \( z > 5 \) data over a wide range in absolute UV magnitude \((-23 < M_{\text{UV}} < -17)\). We postulate that the observed evolution can be explained by a lack of mass quenching at very high redshifts in combination with increasing dust obscuration within the first \( \sim 1 \) Gyr of galaxy evolution.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The study of galaxies at ultra-high redshifts has the potential to answer fundamental questions in the field of galaxy formation and evolution. As probes of the Universe at less than a billion years after the Big Bang, galaxies at redshifts \( z \gtrsim 7 \) (as well as other probes such as quasars and gamma-ray bursts; e.g. Bañados et al. 2018; Tanvir et al. 2018) give an insight into the formation of the first stars, dust and supermassive black holes, as well as the process of reionization. Over the past decade, the study of galaxies at these extreme redshifts has become possible with the advent of deep imaging in the near-infrared from the Hubble Space Telescope Wide Field Camera 3 (HST/WFC3) among other facilities. By combining optical and near-infrared imaging, it is possible to select star-forming galaxies at \( z \gtrsim 7 \) by identifying the strong Lyman break in the spectral energy distribution (SED) as it is redshifted beyond \( \lambda_{\text{obs}} = 1 \) \( \mu \)m. Galaxies discovered by applying this ‘Lyman break technique’ to deep HST survey data now number many thousands at \( z > 4 \) (e.g. McLure et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2015) with tens at \( z > 8.5 \) (e.g. McLeod, McLure & Dunlop 2016; Kawamata et al. 2018; Salmon et al. 2018). These samples have allowed increasingly precise measurements of the rest-frame UV luminosity function (LF) back to \( \sim 500 \) Myr after the Big Bang (e.g. Oesch et al. 2016; Bouwens et al. 2019). Using either an expanded colour–colour cut methodology (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2015; Ono et al. 2018) or a photometric redshift fitting analysis (e.g. Bowler et al. 2015; Finkelstein et al. 2015), the results of the past decade have revealed
a rapid evolution in the LF of these galaxies at $z \approx 4-10$. While the majority of previous studies agree within the errors at the binned level, the exact form of the evolution, for example whether the normalization ($\phi^*$) or characteristic luminosity ($L^*$) is the key driver, remains debated (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2015). A change in shape of the LF at these redshifts is postulated to signal a change in fundamental galaxy properties due to the young age of the Universe (Silk & Mamon 2012). For example, a reduced active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback efficiency, lack of dust content, or inefficient star formation (e.g. Bower, Benson & Crain 2012; Paardekooper, Khochfar & Dalla 2013; Dayal et al. 2014; Clay et al. 2015) can lead to a change in the relative number of bright and faint galaxies compared to lower redshifts.

A key component in determining the form of any evolution is having sufficiently large samples of bright galaxies to accurately constrain the position of the knee in the LF. With HST alone this has been a challenge, even when a wide-area survey strategy is implemented, due to the small field of view of WFC3 (e.g. the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) covers only $\sim 0.2 \text{ deg}^2$). A powerful alternative approach has been to utilize the deep ground-based near-infrared data from the UK Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) and the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA). The YJHK$\text{-}L$ imaging provided by these facilities has resulted in the first statistical samples of Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) bright-ward of $M_\text{peak} \sim -21.5$ at $z \approx 7$ (Bowler et al. 2014, 2017) and more recently the detection of similarly bright $z \approx 8-9$ galaxies (Stefanon et al. 2017, 2019). Selected over several square degrees, these samples probe the very bright end of the LF and hence dramatically increase the dynamic range over which it can be constrained. Prior to these data, the high-redshift LF was typically fitted with a Schechter function ($\phi \text{d}L = \phi^* (L/L^*)^\alpha \exp(-L/L^*) \text{d}L$), which tends to a power law with slope $\alpha$ at faint luminosities, and has an exponential decline in the number of galaxies bright-ward of $L > L^*$. Using a sample of extremely luminous galaxies at $z \approx 7$, Bowler et al. (2014) found evidence for an excess of galaxies compared to that expected from the previous best-fitting Schechter function determined from fainter samples. The $z \approx 7$ LF was found to be better described by a double power law (DPL), potentially indicating a lack of quenching or dust obscuration at these redshifts (Bowler et al. 2015). This deviation from a Schechter form has also been found to continue to brighter magnitudes as demonstrated by samples derived from deep Hyper-SuprimeCam (HSC) $y$-band photometry (Ono et al. 2018). The DPL form ($\phi \text{d}L = \phi^* (L/L^*)^{-\alpha} + (L/L^*)^{-\beta} \exp(-L/L^*) \text{d}L$) removes the requirement for an exponential decline in the number of bright galaxies, and instead the slope of the bright end is governed by the power-law index $\beta$. If there is a change in shape of the LF at high redshift as a consequence of fundamental changes in astrophysical effects, it holds that a shallower decline in the number of very bright galaxies should also be seen at $z > 7$. To date, however, it has not been possible to determine fully the shape of the function at $z > 8$ due to a lack of dynamic range at the bright end (Stefanon et al. 2019; hereafter S19).

What shape do we expect the rest-frame UV LF to take at high redshift? The majority of cosmological hydrodynamic (e.g. Genel et al. 2014; Henriques et al. 2015) and analytic/semi-analytic models (e.g. Gonzalez-Perez et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2014) indeed show a power-law-like decline at the bright end, when galaxies are considered to be dust-free. In addition to showing a different shape, these models tend to overpredict the number density of the brightest galaxies by an order of magnitude in some cases (see comparison in Bowler et al. 2015). It is only after the inclusion of significant dust obscuration that these predictions are brought into agreement with the normalization and the steeper decline in the bright end of the observations. There is therefore considerable uncertainty in the expected rest-frame UV LF from simulations, as it depends sensitively on how dust attenuation is implemented. The underlying power-law-like LF predicted by the dust-free simulations more closely follows the underlying dark matter halo mass function. As observations push to higher and higher redshifts, where galaxies are becoming progressively less dusty (e.g. as suggested by the rest-frame UV colours, e.g. Dunlop et al. 2013), it is to be expected that a power law will better describe the observed rest-frame UV LF at the high-luminosity end.

Prior to the launch of Euclid and WFIRST, the premier near-infrared data on the degree scale come from surveys conducted with VISTA and UKIRT. While previously thought to be too shallow to find extremely high redshift galaxies, the detection of very bright $z \approx 7$ galaxies in UltraVISTA, in addition to the discovery of very bright LBGs in the small-area HST/WFC3 surveys (e.g. Roberts-Borsani et al. 2015; Morishita et al. 2018), has highlighted the potential of this ground-based data in finding $z > 7$ galaxies. In this work, we present the results of the widest area search to date for bright LBGs at $z = 8-10$. We use a total of 5.8 $\text{deg}^2$ of ground-based near-infrared survey data from the UltraVISTA (McCracken et al. 2012), the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey Ultra Deep Survey (UKIDSS UDS; Lawrence et al. 2007), and the VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO; Jarvis et al. 2013) surveys, in addition to deep optical and mid-infrared data from HSC and Spitzer, to search for high-redshift LBGs. The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we describe the ground-based data sets used in this analysis. In Sections 3 and 4, we present the selection procedure and the resulting $z > 7.5$ galaxy candidates. The new samples allow us to compute the bright end of the rest-frame UV LF at $z = 8-10$, which we present in Section 5. We end with a discussion of these results in Section 6 and our conclusions in Section 7. Throughout this work, we present magnitudes in the AB system (Oke 1974; Oke & Gunn 1983). The standard concordance cosmology is assumed, with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2 DATA

The two survey fields considered in this study are the COSMOS and the XMM–Newton Large-Scale Structure (XMM-LSS) fields. These fields were chosen as they contain the deepest near-infrared (YJHK$\text{-}L$) photometric data on the degree scale, in addition to other multiband data from the X-ray to the radio. The near-infrared data are essential to detect $z > 7$ LBGs as their rest-frame UV emission is redshifted beyond the red-optical bands. In addition, we require deep optical data to confirm the photometric redshift and to remove red contaminants such as cool brown dwarfs. Photometric data in the mid-infrared from Spitzer/Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) are also key in the removal of low-redshift dusty galaxy contaminants, which typically have much redder near-to-mid-IR colours than $z > 7$ galaxies. The overlap of these various data sets leads to a variety of regions analysed in this work. In Table 1, we summarize these regions and their area.

2.1 The XMM-LSS field

The full XMM-LSS field has been imaged in the YJHK$\text{-}L$ bands as part of the VIDEO survey (Jarvis et al. 2013). As shown in Fig. 1, the full near-infrared mosaic is comprised of three completed...
The footprint of the optical/near-infrared data sets in the XMM-LSS field utilized in this study. The VIDEO near-infrared data are shown as the background image, which cover an area approximately 3× that of the COSMOS field shown in Fig. 2. Near-infrared data that extend deeper than the VISTA VIDEO data exist in the UKIDSS UDS field, which sit within the XMM-LSS tile 1 on the right of the figure. Optical coverage of the full field is provided by the HSC SSP using four overlapping circular pointings. The three blue circles covering the middle and the left part of the figure are part of the ‘deep’ tier of the HSC SSP, whereas the rightmost pointing illustrated by the purple circle is part of the ‘ultra-deep’ tier. In the XMM-LSS tile 3, there are additional optical data from the CFHTLS D1 field. The maximal survey area searched corresponds to the overlap between the HSC data and the VIDEO footprint.

Table 1. The primary optical and near-infrared data sets utilized for each sub-field. In the XMM-LSS field, there are VISTA VIDEO data over the full region, with additional deeper near-infrared data from the UKIDSS UDS survey in tile 1. Deeper optical data from the CFHTLS D1 field are also available in tile 3. The COSMOS field consists of two depths of YJHK, data from UltraVISTA: deep and ultra-deep. The total area of the survey data utilized, which corresponds to the overlap between the HSC and the VISTA data accounting for masked regions, is 5.8 deg².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Area / (deg²)</th>
<th>Primary data sets (near-infrared, optical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XMM-LSS</td>
<td>UDS</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>VIDEO tiles 1 + 2, HSC-UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMM-LSS</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>VIDEO tiles 1 + 2, HSC-UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMM-LSS</td>
<td>Wide/D1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>VIDEO tiles 2 + 3, HSC-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMM-LSS</td>
<td>Ultra-deep</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>UltraVISTA, HSC-UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMM-LSS</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>UltraVISTA, HSC-UD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tiles of the Visible and Infrared Camera (VIRCAM), and hence provides three times the area of the UltraVISTA data, albeit to shallower depths. The XMM-LSS field has optical data from both the ‘deep’ and ‘ultra-deep’ tier of the HSC Subaru Strategic Program (SSP; Aihara et al. 2017) in the GRIZy filters. In total, the field is covered by four pointings of HSC, and we use the DR1 release of the SSP. A subset of the XMM-LSS field has been imaged as part of the UKIDSS UDS (Lawrence et al. 2007). The UDS data, which sit within tile 1 of the XMM-LSS VISTA imaging, reach approximately 1 mag deeper than VIDEO in the JHK bands. The ‘ultra-deep’ HSC SSP imaging pointing coincides with the UDS deep near-infrared data. There exists deeper z-band imaging from the Subaru/SuprimeCam (SC) in the UDS that we utilize in addition to the new HSC Z-band imaging (Furusawa et al. 2016). In addition, in ‘tile 3’ of the XMM-LSS field there exist deeper optical data in the u’griz bands from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) D1 field. Over the full XMM-LSS field there is Spitzer/IRAC imaging from the Spitzer Extragalactic Representative Volume Survey (SERVS; Mauduit et al. 2012). We also include deep IRAC imaging from the Spitzer Large-Area Survey with HSC (SPLASH; Steinhardt et al. 2014) and the Spitzer Extended Deep Survey (SEDS; Ashby et al. 2013) programs in XMM-LSS tile 1.

2.2 The COSMOS field

Deep near-infrared imaging of the COSMOS field has been acquired as part of the ongoing UltraVISTA survey (McCracken et al. 2012). UltraVISTA consists of deep YJHK, imaging taken with the VISTA/VIRCAM over 1.5 deg² over the COSMOS field (Scoville et al. 2007). The UltraVISTA survey has two tiers. The ‘ultra-deep’ tier consists of four deeper stripes covering approximately half the full area. The remaining regions in the ‘deep’ tier are approximately 1 mag shallower. We use the fourth data release (DR4) of UltraVISTA in this work. The footprints of the survey and the auxiliary optical data are shown in Fig. 2. The edges of the ‘ultra-deep’ region were defined using the local depth map. Optical data in the GRIZy filters covering the majority of the field were provided by the ‘ultra-deep’ tier of the HSC SSP DR1, where we also utilize the deeper data provided in the incremental data release (Tanaka et al. 2017). In addition, deeper optical imaging in the u’griz filters from the CFHT D2 field was used in the central 1 deg². In this central region, we also used deep z-band imaging from Subaru/SuprimeCam (Furusawa et al. 2016). This data set reaches ~0.8 mag deeper than the HSC DR1 Z-band imaging. We use Spitzer/IRAC data from several different programmes. The shallowest imaging comes from SPLASH, which we supplement with deeper data from the Spitzer...
and the IRAC data set were derived using PSFEx. The convolution kernel for T-PHOT was obtained using a Richard–Lucy deconvolution algorithm. We used T-PHOT to fit and subtract the neighbouring galaxies around each high-redshift candidate. Aperture photometry was then obtained using a 2.8 arcsec diameter aperture on this cleaned image, which was then corrected to total flux using a point-source correction.

The 5σ limiting magnitudes for the imaging data utilized in this study are presented in Table 2. Depths were calculated using empty aperture measurements on background-subtracted images. We use 1.8 arcsec diameter circular apertures in this work as a compromise between optimizing the signal to noise and the robustness of aperture measurements given the pixel size and seeing of the images (typically a full width at half-maximum of ~0.8 arcsec). Foreground objects were avoided using the SEGMENTATION map produced by SEXTRACTOR. Local depths across the images were calculated using the ‘median absolute deviation’ estimator from the closest 200 apertures to each point (where \( \sigma = 1.48 \times \text{MAD} \)). The global depths for each image or image region (e.g. ultra-deep/deep in UltraVISTA) were then derived by taking the median of the calculated local depths. The UltraVISTA ‘ultra-deep’ stripes and the UDS sub-field provide the deepest tiers of our search, while the VIDEO imaging in COSMOS fields using a photometric redshift fitting analysis. Such an approach allows us to probe a greater dynamic range in apparent and hence absolute magnitude than previous studies.

### 3 GALAXY SELECTION

We searched for bright \( z > 7 \) LBG candidates in the XMM-LSS and COSMOS fields using a photometric redshift fitting analysis. Such an approach allows up to 17 bands of broad-band photometric...
The bright end of the LF at $z = 8–10$

3.1 Photometric redshift analysis

The resulting catalogues, with errors derived from our local depth analysis, were then fitted with a range of galaxy and brown-dwarf templates to form the final high-redshift sample. We used the photometric redshift fitting code LEPHARE (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006) with a wide-range of galaxy templates from the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model library. A declining star formation history was assumed, with characteristic time-scales of $\tau = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10$ Gyr to approximate a burst and constant star formation at the extremes. Dust attenuation was applied assuming the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust law, with $A_V = 0.0–6.0$ (steps of 0.2 mag) to account for very dusty low-redshift interlopers (Dunlop, Cirasuolo & McLure 2007). Metallicities of 1/5 $Z_\odot$ and $Z_\odot$ were considered (Steidel et al. 2016). We performed the fitting with and without strong emission lines, which were included within LEPHARE following the prescription presented in Ilbert et al. (2009). We do not present the resulting best-fitting galaxy physical properties such as the stellar mass, star formation rate, the restframe UV slope, $A_V$, $\tau$, or $Z$ as the low number of photometric detections at these redshifts does not warrant such an analysis. Typically, when overfitting to data in this way, the resulting errors completely span the parameter space. This effect can be observed in the fitting presented in S19 (e.g. see their fig. 10).

The high-redshift candidates were first required to have a best-fitting photometric redshift in the range $7.0 < z < 9.0$ for the preliminary $z \simeq 8$ sample, and $8.0 < z < 11.0$ for the preliminary $z \simeq 9–10$ sample. The best-fitting solution had to be formally acceptable given the number of available bands for that object in that sub-field. The lower redshift solution was required to be worse than the high-redshift fit at the $2\sigma$ level, corresponding to a $\Delta \chi^2 > 4.0$. The initial selection was undertaken with the optical and near-infrared bands only (i.e. excluding the Spitzer/IRAC data) and with the results of the photometric redshift fitting without emission lines. We then calculated the photometric redshifts including the deconfused [3.6 $\mu$m] and [4.5 $\mu$m] data points, which formed the final photometric redshifts in this work. In this work, we retained objects with best-fitting photometric redshifts $z > 7.4$ without lines. The derived redshifts with nebular emission lines included were consistent with the line-free fits, with a small shift to higher redshifts up to $\Delta z \simeq 0.1$ due to the Lyman $\alpha$ and O III $\lambda5007$ emission lines occupying the near-infrared bands and [4.5 $\mu$m], respectively (see Table 5). The final candidates were then all carefully visually inspected in all filters to remove subtle artefacts and single-band detections. In particular, visual checks in the deep Subaru $z$-band imaging and in a stack of the optical bands was successful at removing low-redshift contaminants that appeared to be acceptable high-redshift LBG candidates. Prior to this final visual selection, the catalogues of sources that passed the automatic cuts on S/N and photometric redshift contained 495 objects.

3.2 Brown dwarf interlopers

Cool Galactic brown dwarfs can mimic the colours of $z \gtrsim 7$ LBGs, as they are typically undetected in the optical bands but peak in the near-infrared. To identify brown dwarfs in our initial LBG sample, we fit the photometry with reference stellar spectra from the SpeX prism library. These templates extend from $\lambda = 0.8$ to 2.5 $\mu$m. While brown-dwarf templates that extend into the mid-infrared do exist, these are derived from models (e.g. Burrows, Sudarsky & Hubeny 2006) and we found that these were unable to reproduce the observed colours of L and T-dwarfs beyond the $K$

[Link: http://pono.ucsd.edu/~adam/browndwarfs/spexprism/index.html]

Figure 3. The observed colours of brown dwarfs in the $J - [3.6]$ versus $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colour space derived from the data in Kirkpatrick et al. (2011) and Patten et al. (2006). The sub-type corresponding to each region of colour space is labelled at the median colour of brown-dwarfs of that type. The grey hatched areas show the expected colours of LBGs in the range $7 < z < 9$ (upper region) and $z > 9$ (lower region) due to the impact of nebular line contamination (as shown in Fig. 5). The spread in $J - [3.6]$ colour (which measures the underlying stellar continuum) was derived from Bruzual & Charlot (2003) SED models with a constant SF history, $Z = 0.2Z_\odot$, $A_V = 0.0–0.5$, and ages in the range 50–500 Myr.
band (e.g. see fig. 4 in Leggett et al. 2007; Leggett et al. 2019). We instead use empirical brown-dwarf colours in the Spitzer/IRAC [3.6 μm] and [4.5 μm] bands to inform our selection. We compiled observed Spitzer/IRAC photometry from Patton et al. (2006) and Kirkpatrick et al. (2011). These studies also had sub-typeing of the brown dwarfs through spectroscopy. In Fig. 3, we show the J − [3.6] and [3.6] − [4.5] colours of brown dwarfs from these studies, colourd and labelled by sub-type. We see that M- and L-dwarfs occupy a tight locus with [3.6] − [4.5] ~ −0.5 and a range of J − [3.6] colours. T-dwarfs instead occupy much redder [3.6] − [4.5] colours. As shown in Fig. 5, the expected Spitzer/IRAC colour of 7 < z < 9 sources is [3.6] − [4.5] ~ 0.0−1.0. Hence, T-dwarfs, which also show a break in the Y band, can mimic the colours of the high-redshift galaxies we are searching for and must be carefully considered and removed. At z > 9, the expected IRAC colour of LBGs due to nebular line emission in these bands changes sign. The blue [3.6] − [4.5] ~ −0.7−0.0 can then be reproduced by both early L- and T-dwarfs. Our primary method for removal of this contaminant was through SED fitting of the red optical and near-infrared photometry using the SpeX templates. Using the best-fitting sub-type we were then able to predict the [3.6 μm] and [4.5 μm] magnitudes from the colours shown in Fig. 3 and the observed J band for each candidate. We show the predicted brown-dwarf magnitudes in the [3.6 μm] and [4.5 μm] bands in the SED figures presented in Appendix C. The predicted average colour (or colours, if multiple sub-types were acceptable brown dwarf fits) were then compared to the observed photometry and used to discriminate between the brown-dwarf and galaxy fits. M- and L-dwarf contaminants are expected to have detections in the red-optical or Y band, and hence even if the IRAC colour is the same as that expected from a genuine high-redshift LBG, this type of contaminant can be clearly excluded from the sample according to the optical/NIR fitting. The removal of T-dwarf contaminants is more challenging, as they can reproduce the optical/NIR SED (i.e. a spectral break) and in some cases reproduce the J − [3.6] and [3.6] − [4.5] colours as shown in Fig. 3. While the average colour of the T-dwarfs sub-types are outside the region occupied by high-redshift sources, the T-dwarfs show a large intrinsic scatter in colour that means they can occasionally reproduce the expected colours of z > 7 LBGs. Fig. 3 shows that this scatter is predominantly a problem at J − [3.6] < 0.2, while the majority of our sample show redder colours than this (see Tables 3 and 4). Thus, in combination with our optical/NIR fitting, we are confident that brown dwarf contamination is not significant in our sample.

4 THE SAMPLE

The result of our photometric redshift selection procedure was a sample of 28 candidate LBGs at $z > 7.5$ from ~6deg$^2$ of...
optical/near-infrared imaging in the XMM-LSS and COSMOS fields. Of this full sample, five have photometric redshifts in the range $8.5 < z < 9.5$ and one object has a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z \simeq 10.9$. We present the observed photometry of the sample in Tables 3 and 4. Postage-stamp images of the candidates and the best-fitting galaxy and brown-dwarf templates are presented in Appendix C.

### 4.1 The XMM-LSS sample

The XMM-LSS field covers $4.5 \text{ deg}^2$ to shallower depths than COSMOS (except in the UDS sub-field), and hence the candidates found in these data contribute to the very bright end of our sample. Within the XMM-LSS field we find eight candidate LBGs at $z \simeq 8$, three at $z \simeq 9$, and one with a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z \simeq 10.9 \pm 1.0$. As shown in Table 3, these objects have typical near-infrared magnitudes of $J \simeq 24–24.5$. We find fewer $z \simeq 8$ objects over the UDS sub-field in comparison to the ‘ultra-deep’ part of COSMOS. This is to be expected as the UDS has shallower $Y$-band data and hence the selection of $Y$-dropout sources is less efficient here. The $z \simeq 9$ candidates were found within the deeper near-infrared data in the UKIRT UDS field. The shallower optical data over the wide XMM-LSS field makes selecting clean samples of high-redshift galaxies more challenging. We therefore view these six objects in the wide XMM-LSS field as the least secure high-redshift LBG candidates in our sample.

We find one $z \simeq 11$ candidate that passes all of our selection criterion in the wide part of our survey. As shown in Fig. 4, for XMM3–3085 the low-redshift fit is unable to reproduce the near-infrared photometry. The $\chi^2$ distribution shows that a $z \simeq 3$ solution is the next most probable, and this solution is formally acceptable in the SED fitting analysis. The object is excluded as a brown dwarf based on the poor $\chi^2$ in our brown dwarf fitting. If we take the best-fitting brown-dwarf sub-type, which is L5, we would expect to measure an IRAC colour of $[3.6] - [4.5] = -0.5$ according to the measured brown dwarf colours shown in Fig. 3. This predicted IRAC colour is inconsistent with the observed photometry as shown in Fig. 4, further strengthening our conclusion that it is not a brown dwarf. This source, if confirmed, would be the brightest object known at $z > 8$. Deeper imaging or spectroscopy of this source will be required to determine robustly the redshift. However, as we show in Section 5, the existence of such a luminous source at this redshift is fully consistent with our derived evolution of the LF at the very bright end.

![Figure 4](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-figures/493/2/2059/5721544)

**Figure 4.** The observed photometry and best-fitting SED models from our photometric redshift analysis for the highest redshift source in our sample. Selected within the XMM-LSS field, the object XMM3–3085 has a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z = 10.9 \pm 1.0$. The black points show the observed photometry for this galaxy. In the upper plot, we show the best-fitting galaxy templates, with the blue line showing the preferred high-redshift solution and the red line showing the low-redshift best fit. The inset shows the model $\chi^2$ as a function of redshift. In the lower plot, we show the results of fitting the photometry with brown-dwarf models. The expected $[3.6] - [4.5]$ colour for the best-fitting brown-dwarf sub-type is shown as the orange line. The inset here shows the $\chi^2$ for each sub-type of brown dwarf. In this case, the brown dwarf fit is significantly worse than the galaxy fit.

### 4.2 The COSMOS sample

Within the COSMOS field, we select 14 candidate LBGs at $z \simeq 8$, and two at $z \simeq 9$. The brightest object, UVISTA–1212, was found in the ‘deep’ part of the UltraVISTA data (see Fig. 2) whereas the remaining candidates were found within the ‘ultra-deep’ region. As the ‘ultra-deep’ stripes are the deepest near-infrared region analysed, the COSMOS candidates selected here form the...
faint end of our sample with $J \simeq 24.8-25.7$. It is to be expected that fewer candidates will be selected in the ‘deep’ component of UltraVISTA, as this imaging constitutes the shallowest Y-band data analysed in this study. The majority of the LBGs are detected in the Spitzer/IRAC data, with some objects visually showing the red colours expected from strong rest-frame optical emission lines (e.g. UVISTA-953). Three of the candidates were selected outside the region of very deep $z$-band data from Subaru. UVISTA-1212 is the brightest object we find within COSMOS, and with a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z = 9.12^{+0.20}_{-0.12}$. It is one of the most luminous $z \simeq 9$ LBG candidates known with $H = 24.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ mag. The brown-dwarf fit can be strongly excluded based on the Spitzer/IRAC colour.

### 4.3 Comparison to Stefanon et al. (2017, 2019)

A search for $z > 7$ LBGs in the COSMOS field was performed by Stefanon et al. (2017, 2019), who utilized a $Y$ and $J$-drop colour cut methodology to find 16 galaxy candidates with photometric redshifts in the range $7.4 < z < 9.2$. In our COSMOS sample, we recover seven of this sample, predominantly the brightest objects, which are labelled in Table 5 and in the SED plots in the appendix.

### 4.4 Rest-frame optical colours

At $7 < z < 9$, the $H$-band has been observed in samples of similarly luminous galaxies at $z \simeq 7$ (Bowler et al. 2017). We show the measured $3.6$ – $4.5$ colours of our sample plotted against photometric redshift in Fig. 5, in comparison to other results derived from fainter sources in Smit et al. (2014) and a compilation of $z > 7$ objects presented in Roberts-Borsani et al. (2015). We show the expected colours from a range of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models with emission lines added according to a simple rest-frame-dependent emission-line strength model described in Bowler et al. (2014). We assume rest-frame equivalent widths in the range $EW_	ext{OIII}(3727)+$ $H\beta = 500$–$2000$Å [fixed at $z = 6.8$ and allowed to evolve according to $(1 + z)^{3.5}$; Fumagalli et al. 2012]. While the error bars are large, we find a preference for red colours in our sample, which is in agreement with some line contamination. We find a wide distribution of colours
Figure 5. The observed [3.6] − [4.5] colours of our sample of bright \( z \simeq 8 \) and \( z \simeq 9 \) LBGs. The upper plot shows which strong rest-frame optical emission lines populate the [3.6] and [4.5] bands at a given redshift. In the lower plot, our results are shown as the back circles. The purple squares show the results of Roberts-Borsani et al. (2015) and Oesch et al. (2016). The red squares show the results of Smit et al. (2014). The horizontal orange shaded region shows the expected colour in these bands without emission lines. The blue shaded region shows the expected colour with emission lines of \( EW(\text{[O III]} + H\beta) = 500-2000 \) Å.

within the sample spanning [3.6] − [4.5] \( \simeq 0.0−1.0 \). As shown in Fig. 5, these colours span the expected range from continuum-only emission (orange shaded region) to significant contamination by extreme emission lines (blue shaded region). Our results therefore indicate that there is a distribution in rest-frame optical emission-line strengths in bright \( (M_{UV} \lesssim -21) \) star-forming galaxies at \( z > 6 \), from no discernible emission to rest-frame equivalent widths exceeding \( EW([\text{O III}]+H\beta) > 1500\AA \). This is consistent with previous measurements of the IRAC colours of bright \( z \simeq 7 \) LBGs, which also show a large spread in [3.6] − [4.5] under a deconvolution analysis using HST/WFC3 imaging (Bowler et al. 2017). Hashimoto et al. (2018) find evidence for a strong Balmer break indicative of an evolved stellar population in a lensed \( z \sim 9 \) LBG. The IRAC colour in this galaxy, MACS1149-JD1, was measured to be [3.6] − [4.5] \( = 0.9 \), which if interpreted as a Balmer break, suggests that this galaxy was forming stars only 250 Myr after the Big Bang. The majority of our sample do not show such red colours, which suggests that either MACS1149-JD1 is not representative of the galaxy population at these redshifts or that the IRAC colour in this object is due to contamination by the [O III] emission line.

5 DETERMINATION OF THE LF

In this section, we use the results of our search for \( z = 8–10 \) star-forming galaxies to determine the bright end of the rest-frame UV LF. The best-fitting photometric redshifts and absolute UV magnitudes for our sample of 28 LBGs are presented in Table 5. We calculate the absolute UV magnitude from the best-fitting SED model using a top-hat filter of width 100 Å centred on 1500 Å. Due to the wide-area imaging utilized by our study, we are able to select extremely luminous LBG candidates with absolute magnitudes as bright as \( M_{UV} \simeq -23 \). While the majority of the most luminous sources we detect were found in the XMM-LSS field, we also detect one particularly luminous \( z \simeq 9 \) source in the COSMOS field, as well as recovering the bright sub-sample of LBG candidates found by S19. At the faint-end of our sample the sources exclusively come from the COSMOS/UltraVISTA ‘ultra-deep’ tier of data, which crucially provides very deep Y-band imaging that allows the selection of \( z > 7 \) sources down to an \( M_{UV} \simeq -21.5 \). Due to the lack of photometric filters around the Lyman break region (e.g. as compared to \( z \simeq 7 \) where the break is bracketed by multiple close Z- and Y-band filters) the photometric redshifts at \( z = 8–9 \) have a broader probability distribution and hence have larger errors. We nevertheless split our sample into \( z = 8 \) and \( z = 9 \) bins for the LF calculation with the expectation that once spectroscopically confirmed, the sources will span the expected range in photometric redshifts as derived from the fitting. We find one ultra-luminous candidate LBG with a photometric redshift of \( z = 10.85^{+1.00}_{-1.02} \), leading to a derived absolute magnitude of \( M_{UV} \simeq -23.68 \). Given the large errors on the photometric redshift of this source, due to the putative Lyman break from this candidate occupying the space between the \( J \) and \( H \) bands, we estimate the number density associated with this candidate and compare it to previous results at \( z \simeq 10 \). In Table 5, we present the photometric redshifts with and without nebular emission lines included in the fitting. For the LF analysis, we utilize the photometric redshifts derived when fitting with nebular emission lines, as there is evidence from the Spitzer/IRAC colours that line emission is important in bright LBGs at high redshift (Section 4.4). The line-free results are typically \( \delta z = 0.0–0.1 \) lower, and hence our LF results are unchanged if we use these values.

5.1 Completeness simulations

We perform a full simulation of our selection process by injecting and recovering fake high-redshift sources into the imaging data. This process allows an estimate of the incompleteness of our selection methodology. In this study, we use predominantly optical and near-infrared imaging over the XMM-LSS and COSMOS extragalactic fields as shown in Figs 1 and 2. To accurately derive the comoving number density of galaxies from our data, it is necessary to take into account the differing image depths across the two fields. To do this, we simulate six separate regions of the fields which are detailed in Table 1. Fake sources were created with a realistic rest-frame UV slope (mean \( \beta = -2.0 \)) with a Gaussian scatter of \( \Delta \beta = 0.2 \) (Rogers et al. 2014). We injected these sources as point sources (Bowler et al. 2014) into all of the available ground-based images within each sub-field and recovered them following the steps undertaken for real sources. The proportion of fake galaxies that passed this selection procedure were then used to estimate the completeness as a function of \( M_{UV} \) and \( z \), which was then folded into the LF calculation. The absolute magnitude distribution of injected fake sources was calculated according to an assumed underlying LF. We ran simulations assuming both a DPL function form derived in Bowler et al. (2015), and a Schechter function form with the parameters and redshift evolution of Bouwens et al. (2015). As expected, the completeness derived from these two assumed LF functional forms were comparable for the faintest sources in our sample, where the DPL and Schechter forms converge. For the brightest sources (at \( M_{UV} < -21 \)) the results differ due to the steep exponential slope of the Schechter function, which results in a larger contribution from up-scattered sources and the derived ‘completeness’ typically exceeding one. If the underlying functional
form was Schechter, then applying this correction would bring the observed excess down, and the data points would reflect the steep exponential decline. When we do this however, we still find an excess of sources bright-ward of the knee, suggestive of a deviation from this function form. Hence, in our final LF, we calculate the binned points assuming the completeness derived from an underlying DPL function.

5.2 Binned results

We calculate the binned LF data points, $\Phi(M_{UV})$, from our sample using the classic $1/V_{max}$ estimator (Schmidt 1968). Here, the fiducial volume that each galaxy could occupy is given by the shell between the limits of the redshift bin (e.g. $8.5 < z < 9.5$). The upper redshift limit is then modulated according to the point at which that object would be undetected in our selection, taken as when the redshifting of the galaxy SED causes it to drop below the 5σ limit of the detection band. Hence, for the faintest objects in a given data set the $V_{max}$ is lower than that for brighter objects, which typically could be recovered in the full redshift range of the selection. The incompleteness is taken into account by effectively reducing this volume by $1/C(M_*, z_2)$ where $C$ is the completeness as a function of the absolute magnitude and redshift of each galaxy (and is determined from the simulations described previously).

For our final rest-frame UV LF results, we combine the XMM-LSS and COSMOS samples to span the full range in absolute UV magnitude probed by the different depths of data in the two fields. We calculate the $z = 8$ and $z = 9$ LF bright-ward of $M_{UV} = -21.4$, as this is the magnitude at which our simulations demonstrate that we become more than 50 percent incomplete. At $z = 8$, we compute the results in bins of width 0.5 mag near to the faint cut-off. For the brightest bin at $z = 8$ and for the two bins at $z = 9$, we use larger bin widths of 1.0 mag to account for the smaller number of objects in these magnitude and redshift ranges. The resulting binned points are shown in Fig. 6 and are tabulated in Table 6.

The wide area we probe using the ground-based XMM-LSS field in combination with COSMOS enables us to determine the number density of LBGs as bright as $M_{UV} \approx -23$ for the first time at these redshifts. At $z \approx 8$, where our three bins span $\approx 1.5$ mag in absolute UV magnitude, we see a clear decline in the number density of bright galaxies by more than a factor of 10. However, the decline we see is not as rapid as expected from the Schechter function that object would be undetected in our selection, taken as when the redshifting of the galaxy SED causes it to drop below the 5σ limit of the detection band. Hence, for the faintest objects in a given data set the $V_{max}$ is lower than that for brighter objects, which typically could be recovered in the full redshift range of the selection. The incompleteness is taken into account by effectively reducing this volume by $1/C(M_*, z_2)$ where $C$ is the completeness as a function of the absolute magnitude and redshift of each galaxy (and is determined from the simulations described previously).

For our final rest-frame UV LF results, we combine the XMM-LSS and COSMOS samples to span the full range in absolute UV magnitude probed by the different depths of data in the two fields. We calculate the $z = 8$ and $z = 9$ LF bright-ward of $M_{UV} = -21.4$, as this is the magnitude at which our simulations demonstrate that we become more than 50 percent incomplete. At $z = 8$, we compute the results in bins of width 0.5 mag near to the faint cut-off. For the brightest bin at $z = 8$ and for the two bins at $z = 9$, we use larger bin widths of 1.0 mag to account for the smaller number of objects in these magnitude and redshift ranges. The resulting binned points are shown in Fig. 6 and are tabulated in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>$M_{UV}$ /mag</th>
<th>$\Delta M$ /mag</th>
<th>$\phi$ /10^{-6}/mag/Mpc^3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-21.65</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$2.95 \pm 0.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-22.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$0.58 \pm 0.33$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-22.90</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.06$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$0.84 \pm 0.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$0.16 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wide area we probe using the ground-based XMM-LSS field in combination with COSMOS enables us to determine the number density of LBGs as bright as $M_{UV} \approx -23$ for the first time at these redshifts. At $z \approx 8$, where our three bins span $\approx 1.5$ mag in absolute UV magnitude, we see a clear decline in the number density of bright galaxies by more than a factor of 10. However, the decline we see is not as rapid as expected from the Schechter function that object would be undetected in our selection, taken as when the redshifting of the galaxy SED causes it to drop below the 5σ limit of the detection band. Hence, for the faintest objects in a given data set the $V_{max}$ is lower than that for brighter objects, which typically could be recovered in the full redshift range of the selection. The incompleteness is taken into account by effectively reducing this volume by $1/C(M_*, z_2)$ where $C$ is the completeness as a function of the absolute magnitude and redshift of each galaxy (and is determined from the simulations described previously).
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Table 7. The DPL and Schechter function best-fitting parameters derived in this study. In the fitting we combined our results at bright magnitudes with the McLure et al. (2013) (McLeod et al. 2016) results at $M_\mathrm{UV} > -21$ at $z = 8$ ($z = 9$). The first column denotes the redshift in question. This is followed by the best-fitting $\phi^*$, $M^*$, and $\alpha$ parameters. The final column shows the best-fitting bright-end slope ($\beta$) for the DPL parametrization, which is shown in the upper row for each redshift. The faint-end slope at $z = 9$ for the DPL fit is denoted by an asterisk to signal that it was fixed in our fitting analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$\phi^*$ /10$^{-4}$/mag/Mpc$^3$</th>
<th>$M^*$ /mag</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$4.83\pm 2.25$</td>
<td>$-19.80\pm 0.26$</td>
<td>$-1.96\pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$-3.98\pm 0.14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$1.92\pm 1.07$</td>
<td>$-20.48\pm 0.23$</td>
<td>$-2.18\pm 0.16$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$2.85\pm 1.39$</td>
<td>$-19.67\pm 0.33$</td>
<td>$-2.10^*$</td>
<td>$-3.75\pm 0.22$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$0.53\pm 0.56$</td>
<td>$-20.80\pm 0.43$</td>
<td>$-2.31\pm 0.24$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.1 Comparison to previous studies

Our derived LF points are consistent (within the errors) with previous results in the magnitude regime where they overlap. The most comprehensive work to this study was undertaken by S19, who searched for $z > 7$ LBGs in the COSMOS field using the shallower UltraVISTA DR3 data. As described in Section 4.3, we reselect $\sim$50 percent of their sample as high-redshift objects. When comparing our LF points at $z \approx 8$ we find a number density that is approximately a factor of two lower, in agreement with the direct sample comparison. Note that when calculating the $z \sim 9$ LF, S19 use a subset of their full sample with $z_{\text{phot}} > 8.6$. Hence, the five objects which they assign to the $z \approx 9$ bin are also included in the $z \approx 8$ results. If instead their sample was split by best-fitting photometric redshift as we do in this study, the evolution they find in the number counts between $z = 8$ and $9$ would be reduced. The HST pure-parallel program BoRG has provided wide-area NIR imaging for the selection of high-redshift galaxies over multiple sight-lines. With filter sets especially designed for selection $z \approx 8$ and $z \approx 9$ sources, the BoRG and BoRG[9] surveys have covered an area of approximately $\sim$350 arcmin$^2$ each, allowing constraints on the bright end of the LF. At $z = 9$, Morishita et al. (2018) used the full BoRG[9] survey to search for galaxy candidates, finding two sources consistent with being at this redshift. As shown in Fig. 6, their derived number density is consistent with our results, although the small number of objects (two sources in three magnitude bins) makes the errors on the binned points particularly large.

5.3 Schechter and DPL function fitting

To determine the best-fitting parameters for the DPL and Schechter functional forms we combine our results with data points at fainter magnitudes derived in previous studies. We include the results of McLure et al. (2013) and McLeod et al. (2016) for the fitting at $z = 8$ and $z = 9$, respectively. These studies used a similar photometric-redshift fitting methodology as that utilized in this work. We checked that our conclusions are unchanged if we include the other available studies over the same range in absolute magnitude. We determined the best-fitting parameters using least squares fitting, with the one-dimensional errors on the parameters derived by minimizing the $\chi^2$ over the other free parameters. We present the best-fitting DPL and Schechter function parameters and errors in Table 7, and show the best-fitting DPL fit as the dashed lines in Fig. 6. We also fit Schechter and DPL functions to a selection of data at $z = 5$–9 to derive the evolution of the fit parameters. Here, we include the results of Ono et al. (2018) that probe predominantly bright-ward of the LF knee. At $z = 6$ and $z = 7$, we add our previous results from Bowler et al. (2014, 2015). For the faint end of the LF, we include the results of Bouwens et al. (2015) at $z = 5$ and $z = 6$, and McLure et al. (2013) at $z = 7$. We stress that our results are not sensitively dependent on which studies we choose to fit. All parameters are allowed to be free in the fitting process, except for the faint-end slope of the DPL at $z = 9$, which we cannot constrain from our data. Here, we fix the slope to the best-fitting value found for a DPL fit at $z = 6$ and $z = 7$ ($\alpha = -2.1$; Bowler et al. 2015). Once the best-fitting parameters and errors have been derived for each redshift bin and functional form, we combine these results to derive a simple linear evolution model. We fit the parameters derived for each redshift between $z = 5$ and $z = 9$, and measure the gradient and intercept of $\phi^*$, $M^*$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ (for DPL) over this range. The results and the linear fits are shown in Fig. 7 for the DPL form. The evolution can be described by the following equations, with reference to $z = 6$:

$$M^* = (-21.03 \pm 0.49) + (0.49 \pm 0.09) (z - 6)$$

$$\log_{10}(\phi^*) = (-3.52 \pm 0.32) + (0.00 \pm 0.06) (z - 6)$$

$$\alpha = (-1.99 \pm 0.29) - (0.09 \pm 0.05) (z - 6)$$

$$\beta = (-4.92 \pm 0.60) + (0.45 \pm 0.08) (z - 6).$$

The results of this simple analysis show that with a DPL form the LF evolution is dominated by changes in $M^*$ and $\beta$, with $\alpha$ and $\phi^*$ showing little change. In Fig. 7, we also show the best-fitting parameters at $z \approx 4$ derived in an independent analysis by Adams et al. (2020). Their analysis show a similarly bright $M^*$ and steep bright-end slope ($\beta \approx -5.0$) to our fitting results at $z \approx 5$. As we discuss in Section 6.2, at $z \approx 4$ the impact of AGN on the bright end of the LF becomes significant. However, even with this added complication, the fits of Adams et al. (2020) show best-fitting $M^*$ and $\beta$ values that are lower than our $z \geq 7$. 

Figure 7. The evolution of the four DPL parameters from $z = 5$ to 9 derived in our fitting analysis. The best-fitting value and error were derived at each redshift by fitting to a compilation of LF measurements as described in the text. The blue lines in each plot show the linear fit to these results. We fit to all points at $z \geq 5$ except the faint-end slope at $z = 9$, which cannot be constrained by our data and is shown as an open circle. The open squares at $z = 4$ show the results of an independent analysis by Adams et al. (2020) and is shown for comparison. The equivalent plot for a Schechter function fit is presented in Fig. B1.
results, while the $\phi^*$ and $\alpha$ values are comparable in agreement with our proposed evolution. We perform an identical analysis assuming a Schechter function. The evolution of the parameters are shown in Appendix B. The assumption of a Schechter function dramatically changes the derived form of the evolution, with the best-fitting absolute magnitude becoming nearly constant at $M^* \simeq -21$ while the $\phi^*$ and $\alpha$ parameters strongly evolve. We discuss the implications of these different evolutionary scenarios in the next section.

6 DISCUSSION

In this study, we searched for $z \gtrsim 7.5$ LBGs within $\sim 6$ deg$^2$ of optical, near-, and mid-infrared imaging in the XMM-LSS and COSMOS fields. The result was a sample of 27 candidate galaxies with best-fitting photometric redshifts in the range $7.4 \lesssim z \lesssim 9.1$, and one extremely bright candidate $z \sim 10$ LBG in the XMM-LSS field. With this sample we computed the rest-frame UV LF and used this, in combination with data at $z = 5-7$, to derive the shape and evolution of the best-fitting function parameters in the range $z \simeq 5-10$.

6.1 Shape of the rest-frame UV LF at $z = 8-9$

With the advent of sufficiently deep and wide-area surveys to select samples of luminous ($L > L^*$) galaxies at high redshifts, there has been an increased discussion on the functional form of the rest-frame UV LF. Prior to surveys from VISTA, UKIRT, and HSC, the observed LFs at $z > 6$ were derived almost exclusively from HST data covering at most 0.2 deg$^2$ (e.g. from CANDELS). These results were well described by a Schechter functional form, as the lack of galaxies at bright magnitudes, in addition to the larger errors in these bins, permitted an exponential decline bright-wing of the knee in the number counts. The UltraVISTA survey has revolutionized the study of the very bright end of the $z \simeq 7$ Lf, as it crucially provided deep $I'$-band data that probe just red-ward the Lyman break at these redshifts, on a degree scale for the first time. In Bowler et al. (2012, 2014), we presented a sample of very bright $z \simeq 7$ LBGs selected predominantly from the UltraVISTA data, which provided the first evidence for an excess in the number density above that expected from the previously assumed Schechter function. In subsequent deeper data releases, the high-redshift nature of these sources has been confirmed with significantly deeper optical to near-infrared photometry (Bowler et al. 2018). Furthermore, several of these extremely luminous $z \simeq 7$ sources have now been spectroscopically confirmed with ALMA (e.g. Hashimoto et al. 2019, Schouws et al. in preparation), thus strengthening the conclusion that the rest-frame UV LF at this redshift deviates from a Schechter from. In this study, we find evidence that a shallower functional form continues out to $z \simeq 8$ and $z \simeq 9$ (and potentially even $z \simeq 10$, see Section 6.3). A number of the very bright LBG candidates we present in this work were selected from regions of shallower optical data, as a consequence of the wide-areas needed to find them. This could lead to a higher rate of contamination in these sub-samples. Even in a pessimistic case of high contamination, leading to the confirmation of only one or two sources in these bins, this would still significantly challenge a Schechter function decline. At lower redshifts there is now additional evidence for a deviation from a Schechter function. Using the HSC SSP data, Ono et al. (2018) found that the $z \simeq 4-7$ LFs show an excess of very bright galaxies and are preferentially fit with a DPL or lensed Schechter function. The excess of sources at $M_L \simeq -24$ from this study can be seen in Fig. 6, in comparison to our previous work at $z \simeq 6$ and $z \simeq 7$.

In light of this evidence from previous studies, and our new results at $z > 7$, it is pertinent to discuss what functional form is to be expected for the rest-frame UV LF at very high redshift. In the local Universe, the mass and rest-frame optical LFs of galaxies can be well described by single or double Schechter functions (e.g. Peng et al. 2010; Baldry et al. 2012; Loveday et al. 2012). However, when measurements have been made using a waveband that probes recent SF rather than mass, several studies have found a shallower decline than expected from a Schechter function at the high-luminosity end. For example, measurements of the far-IR LF (e.g. Soifer et al. 1987) and dust-corrected near-UV (Jurek et al. 2013) and H$\alpha$ (Gunawardhana et al. 2013) LFs have all shown deviations from a Schechter form. Scatter in the mass-to-light ratio of galaxies, for example due to stochastic star formation, can naturally explain this observed shallower decline for SFR-based LFs as opposed to mass functions (MFs) in these studies. Salim & Lee (2012) and more recently Ren, Trenti & Mason (2019) have theoretically demonstrated this effect, showing that scatter in SFR as a function of galaxy or halo mass causes a shallower decline in LF measurements that trace the galaxy SFR. Given this theoretical prediction, why is it that rest-frame UV LFs at intermediate redshifts ($2 \lesssim z \lesssim 5$; van der Burg, Hildebrandt & Erben 2010; Shapley 2011; Parsa et al. 2016) show an apparent Schechter function form, despite tracing the recent SFR of the galaxies in question? An answer to this question may be found by inspecting the results of galaxy formation simulations and models. The majority of these models initially overpredict the number of luminous galaxies in the rest-frame UV LF, potentially due to the effect of scatter between SFR and mass (e.g. Paardekooper et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2014; Genel et al. 2014; Henriques et al. 2015). The models are then brought into agreement with the observed number densities with the addition of significant dust attenuation (see discussion in Bowler et al. 2015). Therefore, from both theoretical arguments and the results of simulations, it is expected that without the effects of dust, the rest-frame UV LF should have a shallower decline at the bright end, inconsistent with a Schechter function form. While the presence of dust in intermediate-redshift LBGs is expected and has been comprehensively measured (e.g. Fudamoto et al. 2017; McLure et al. 2018), the same is not true at the very high redshifts considered in this study. Depending on the dust formation mechanism, it is argued that early galaxies have limited dust (e.g. Michelowoski 2015). Indeed, low dust attenuation is often assumed for high-redshift galaxies and is what is expected from the evolution of the colour–magnitude relation (Rogers et al. 2014; Bouwens et al. 2015). We therefore would expect the observed rest-frame UV LF to approach a power-law-like form at the bright end as the effects of dust become less significant. While there have been direct observations of dust continuum emission from $z \gtrsim 7$ LBGs (e.g. Laporte et al. 2017; Bowler et al. 2018; Tamura et al. 2019), the derived dust masses in these sources are reduced compared to low-redshift observations, because of the higher assumed dust temperature (e.g. Hashimoto et al. 2019). In addition to the effect of reduced dust at the highest redshifts, there is reason to believe that the underlying MF of galaxies during this epoch is shallower than observed in the local Universe. In the phenomenological model presented in Peng et al. (2010), the exponential decline in the number of massive galaxies at low-redshift is a result of a characteristic quenching stellar mass ($M_* = 10^{10.5} M_\odot$) above which SF, and hence mass-growth, is halted. The expected stellar masses of the galaxies we find are significantly lower than this.
quenching mass (e.g. Bowler et al. 2014), and hence it is reasonable to assume that the stellar MF at this time has a different form. The detection of the very bright star-forming galaxies in this work suggests that we may be observing this transition into an era before mass quenching and significant dust attenuation.

One other potentially important effect on the observed shape of the bright end of the LF is the role of magnification bias. In the case of a steeply declining galaxy LF, gravitational lensing can have a significant effect on the number of very luminous sources detected. For example, if an underlying Schechter function is assumed for high-redshift galaxies, all sources detected bright-ward of $M_{UV} \approx -23$ are strongly lensed objects (Barone-Nugent et al. 2015; Mason et al. 2015). As in our previous works at $z = 6$ and $z = 7$, we directly measured the gravitational lensing of our sources using a simple model of the magnification from foreground galaxies in our images (Bowler et al. 2014, 2015). We find no evidence that the brightest sources are preferentially lensed compared to a random sky position. The typical magnification due to foreground galaxies was a brightening of 0.1–0.4 mag, and this was found to be uncorrelated with the observed magnitude of the source. We therefore exclude strong lensing as a cause of the observed shape of the LF. Even when demagnifying the sources at $z \approx 7$, we still find an excess in the number of the brightest galaxies compared to the Schechter function prediction (Bowler et al. 2014). The importance of the magnification bias on the observed LF depends on the steepness of the underlying function. We have argued that the rest-frame UV LF at very high redshifts is expected to be shallower than the typically assumed Schechter function. In the case of a DPL or power-law form, the effects of lensing will be significantly reduced, particularly in the magnitude ranges probed by this study (see fig. 13 in Mason et al. 2015). The direct measurement of a low magnification for the brightest objects in our sample thus further supports a more gentle decline in the bright end of the LF than expected from a Schechter function.

6.2 Form of the LF evolution from $z \approx 5$–10

In Fig. 8, we show a comparison between the observed rest-frame UV LF data points from $z = 4$–9 and our evolving DPL model. Remarkably, the LF is now measured over six magnitudes even at $z \approx 9$. From the data alone, it is clear that there is a rapid change in the number density of star-forming galaxies over this epoch ($z \approx 5$–9; ≈800 Myr), and that this evolution predominantly happens around the knee of the function at $M_{UV} \approx -21$. In this study, we have focused on determining the bright end of the very high-redshift LF. Between $z \approx 8$ and $z \approx 9$, we find no evidence for a change in the number density of the brightest galaxies ($M_{UV} \approx -23$). It is clear from Fig. 8 however that this lack of evolution is also observed down to $z \approx 5$, as seen in our previous studies (Bowler et al. 2014, 2015) and at brighter magnitudes in the study of Ono et al. (2018). The results of our DPL function fitting in Section 5.3 demonstrate that this observed lack of evolution at the very bright end is a result of a change in shape in the rest-frame UV LF over this redshift interval. As we have argued in the previous sub-section, this conclusion is theoretically motivated by a change in the presence of dust in galaxies over this time-scale, such that between $z \approx 9$ and $z \approx 5$ the rest-frame UV LF transitions from being a DPL-like function to being better described by a Schechter function. One added complication when considering the shape of the $z \approx 2$–4 LF is the presence of high-redshift AGNs that have comparable number densities to LBGs at $M_{UV} \approx -23$. These faint AGNs show similar broad-band colours to LBGs and ‘contaminate’ the measurement of the galaxy UV LF, leading to a boost in the bright end of the function that must be accounted for (Bian et al. 2013; Ono et al. 2018; Stevans et al. 2018; Adams et al. 2020). At $z > 5$, the quasar LF is observed to rapidly drop ($\phi \propto 10^{-k(z+2)}$, $k = -0.72$; Jiang et al. 2016), making this effect insignificant at the magnitudes probed by this study (see Bowler et al. 2014 for further discussion). The presence of AGN at $z \approx 4$ is clearly visible in Fig. 8 at $M_{UV} < -23$.

The lack of evolution we observe at the bright end of the $z > 7$ LF is a consequence of the general evolution we see at $z = 5$–7 in which the bright-end slope steepens and $M^*$ brightens with time. The additional freedom in the bright-end slope that is granted in the DPL formalism, and the addition of our bright LF points, results in a different evolutionary scenario than previous studies that have typically assumed a Schechter function form. In the last decade, there has been continued discussion on which parameters drive the LF evolution at high redshift, for example whether changes in $M^*$ (e.g. McLure et al. 2009; Bouwens et al. 2011) or $\phi^*$ (e.g. van der Burg et al. 2010) are dominant. Recent analyses by Bouwens et al. (2015) and Finkelstein et al. (2015), who used a compilation of HST data, concluded instead that the LF evolves predominantly by $\phi^*$-evolution between $z \approx 4$ and 8 with the absolute UV magnitude appearing to remain constant at $M^* \approx -21$. In contrast, by allowing the functional form to change over the range $z \approx 5$–7, we found evidence for changes in $M^*$ over this epoch (Bowler et al. 2015). Here, we have extended this analysis and have shown that an evolving DPL formalism holds up to $z \approx 9$. We also exploited our function fitting framework to explore what evolution we would derive if we assumed a Schechter function when fitting our compilation of data. The results of this analysis are presented in Appendix B. Interestingly, the resulting evolution from this procedure is a predominantly $\phi^*$-evolution, consistent with the
previous results from Bouwens et al. (2015) and Finkelstein et al. (2015). This comes about due to the approximately constant number density of $M_{\text{UV}} \simeq -23$ sources, coupled with the hard exponential cut-off imposed in the Schechter function formalism. The results of this analysis do not adequately reproduce the observed LF points at $M_{\text{UV}} \lesssim -22$ at $z > 7$ however, as can be seen in Fig. B2. In light of our new observations, and the compilation of other studies at bright magnitudes at $z = 5–7$, we argue that the previously derived $\phi^*$-evolution was caused by the fitting of a different and possibly not correct function to the data. While the relatively steep bright-end slope at $z \simeq 5–6$ can be equally well reproduced by a DPL or Schechter function (Bowler et al. 2015), at $z > 6$ the DPL better describes the drop-off as demonstrated in Fig. 8. As we have discussed in Section 6.1, the observed change in the shape of the rest-frame UV LF can be explained as the combined effect of a lack of mass quenching and a lack of dust obscuration in $z > 7$ star-forming galaxies.

Our results have strong implications for the yield of upcoming wide-area near-infrared surveys from Euclid and WFIRST. In Fig. 9, we compute the cumulative number density of galaxies as a function of apparent magnitude with our evolving DPL and Schechter function parametrization. The DPL formalism dramatically increases the predicted number of very bright $z > 6$ LBGs over the Schechter function predictions. For reference, the Euclid satellite will provide $\sim 40 \text{deg}^2$ of $YJH$ data to a 5σ depth of $m_{\text{AB}} = 26.0$ as part of the deep survey component, and $\sim 15000 \text{deg}^2$ to a depth of $m_{\text{AB}} = 24.0$ in the wide component. If our derived DPL formalism is an accurate representation of the galaxy number counts at $z > 7$, then we expect numerous detections (thousands) of very bright LBGs at these redshifts even in the wide survey from Euclid.

6.3 The existence of very bright $z > 9$ LBGs

Using our formalism for the evolution of the rest-frame UV LF at $z \geq 5$, we can speculate on the prevalence of very bright $z > 9$ LBGs. The predicted DPL function at $z = 10$ from our evolution parametrization is shown in Fig. 10, along with the results of previous studies. The extrapolated $z = 10$ parameters are $M^* = -19.07$, $\phi^* = 3.05 \times 10^{-4} /\text{mag/Mpc}^3$, $\alpha = -2.36$ and $\beta = -3.12$. We estimate the number density of our single $z \simeq 10$ source using an LF bin at $M_{\text{UV}} = -23.5$. The candidate LBG, XMM-3-3085, has a photometric redshift of $z_{\text{phot}} = 10.85^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$. If confirmed as a high-redshift source, this object would be the most luminous LBG known at $z > 7$ with an $M_{\text{UV}} \simeq -23.7$. The detection of one such source over our survey area however is not unexpected from our evolving DPL model. The extrapolated DPL almost exactly matches the derived number density of this single source. The expected number density of AGNs at this $M_{\text{UV}}$ and redshift is a factor of 1000 times lower than of this source assuming the evolving DPL model of Jiang et al. (2016). Morishita et al. (2018) also presented one surprisingly bright $z \simeq 10$ candidate found within the BoRG[z9] survey. The source, 2140+0241–303, has an apparent magnitude of $m_{\text{AB}} = 24.5$ and was found in only 350 arcmin$^2$. The derived number density of $z \simeq 10$ LBGs from Morishita et al. (2018) is an order-of-magnitude higher than our extrapolated DPL prediction. In Fig. 10, we also plot the estimated number density derived from the $z = 11.1$ source GN-z11 presented in Oesch et al. (2016). This object is fainter than the BoRG[z9] source, with $m_{\text{AB}} = 26.0$, however the derived number density is also significantly in excess of our extrapolated DPL prediction. At the faint end of the LF at $z \simeq 10$, our extrapolated DPL LF and the Schechter function parametrization of Bouwens et al. (2016) are in excess of the binned LF points at this redshift derived by Oesch et al. (2018). Oesch et al. (2018) argue that there is an accelerated decline in the number of sources from $z = 8$ to 10. If confirmed, this would make the detection of extremely bright sources at these ultra-high redshifts even more unusual (see also McLeod et al. 2016). The detection of these ultra-high-redshift sources will continue to be extremely challenging prior to the launch of JWST, with contamination being more likely given the lack of detections red-ward of the Lyman

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure9.png}
\caption{The cumulative number density of LBGs from $z \simeq 5$ to $z \simeq 9$ derived from our fitting analysis. At each redshift, we assume a bin of width $\delta z = 1.0$. The DPL and Schechter function results are shown as the solid and dotted lines, respectively. Note that this calculation assumes 100 percent completeness in the selection, and hence represents a maximal yield per deg$^2$ at each $m_{\text{AB}}$ value.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure10.png}
\caption{A compilation of derived rest-frame UV LF results at $z \simeq 10$. The open red circle shows the estimated number density of very bright LBGs at this redshift derived from our single LBG candidate with a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z = 10.9 \pm 1.0$. We also show the estimated number density of the $z = 11.1$ source from Oesch et al. (2016). Note that the Morishita et al. (2018) results were calculated with a non-standard $\Delta z = 1.2$, hence the volumes here should be considered a lower limit in this comparison. The dashed line shows our DPL model extrapolated to $z = 10$, and the dotted purple line shows the Schechter function fit from Bouwens et al. (2016).}
\end{figure}
break. If any of these extremely bright sources are spectroscopically confirmed, it would lend significantly weight to our proposed model of the evolving LF, as current Schechter function parametrizations essentially do not predict any sources to exist bright-ward of $M_{UV} \simeq -22$ at $z \gtrsim 10$.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have undertaken a search for bright $z \gtrsim 7.5$ LBGs over 6 deg$^2$ of ground-based data in the COSMOS and XMM-LSS fields. Using a full photometric redshift fitting method to the UltraVISTA DR4, VIDEO, and UKIRT UDS near-infrared imaging combined with deep optical and Spitzer/IRAC data, we find 27 candidate LBGs in the redshift range $7.4 < z < 9.1$. The galaxies are some of the most luminous galaxies known at ultra-high redshift, with absolute UV magnitudes in the range $-23.2 < M_{UV} < -21.3$. We also find one candidate LBG with a best-fitting photometric redshift of $z = 10.9 \pm 1.0$ in the XMM-LSS field. We carefully exclude brown-dwarf contaminants that can mimic the colours of high-redshift galaxies, by incorporating the expected Spitzer/IRAC colours using an empirical relation between the $J$, $[3.6 \text{~um}]$- and $[4.5 \text{~um}]$-band magnitudes and sub-type. We compute the rest-frame UV LF from our sample at $z = 8$ and $z = 9$, extending the measurements to $M_{UV} \simeq -23$ for the first time at these redshifts. When compared to the Schechter function predictions from previous studies based on fainter samples, we find an excess in the number density of very bright galaxies in our samples. We find instead that a DPL provides a good fit to the data. When comparing the derived number density of very bright LBGs from this study and previous works, we find a lack of evolution between $z \simeq 5$ and $z \simeq 9$ at $M_{UV} \lesssim -23$. By fitting a simple linear evolution model to the data at $z \geq 5$, we find that a DPL model with a brightening characteristic magnitude ($\Delta M^*/\Delta z \simeq -0.5$) and a steepening bright-end slope ($\Delta \beta/\Delta z \simeq -0.5$) can reproduce the observed evolution in the rest-frame UV LF in the range $5 < z < 10$. We argue that a shallower decline in the number density of the most luminous sources is to be expected at very high redshifts, due to the reduction in the dust obscuration that has been shown in both simulations and observations to shape the bright end of the rest-frame UV LF at $z \lesssim 5$. The lack of mass quenching for galaxies at these very high redshifts further acts to soften the bright-end decline of the observed LF. Further insights into the interplay between these important astrophysical effects will be obtained from new larger samples derived from upcoming surveys (e.g. Euclid and WFIRST), robust measurements of the MF at very high redshifts from including deep Spitzer and JWST data, and detailed dust continuum measurements from ALMA.
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Here, we present a comparison between the photometry in S19 and our catalogues, in an effort to understand why we do not recover their full sample of LBG candidates. At the bright end of our samples we find good agreement within the errors (δm < 0.2), however we find that for the fainter S19 candidates their photometry is systematically fainter than that measured in our catalogues as shown in Fig. A1. For objects Y5, Y8–Y11, Y14, and Y16 we

![Figure A1. A comparison of the H-band magnitudes for the z ≃ 8–9 sample of S19, who used the shallower UltraVISTA DR3 data, and the photometry derived in this work. The filled points show the overlapping LBG candidates between this study and S19 sample, while the open points show the objects that were not recovered as high-redshift sources in our analysis. The majority of the sources that we do not resolve are at the faint end. Comparing to the one-to-one line (dotted), we find that S19 derive brighter magnitudes by ≥0.5 mag that we measure.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/493/2/2059/5721544)
find offsets exceeding 0.4 mag, with offsets of 0.7 mag for Y9 and Y11. S19 used the UltraVISTA DR3 photometry, whereas we use the more recent DR4 release. If we instead measure our photometry on the DR3 images, the offset is significantly reduced, demonstrating that the observed difference in magnitude is mainly due to a difference between the DR3 and DR4 data. There is no zero-point offset between the two data releases. As the objects with the biggest discrepancy between DR3 and DR4 are close to the magnitude limit of the survey, it is likely that they were up-scattered by noise into the S19 sample (while other high-redshift candidates were down-scattered). S19 require a 5σ detection in a stack of five bands for selection, whereas we impose a more conservative cut of 5σ significance in a single band. The result is that our selection is less affected by noise, because we do not select as close to the limit of the data. To test this hypothesis we compared the photometry for a sample of z ≳ 7 sources that were first identified in the UltraVISTA DR1 to the resulting photometry from DR2, using our method of cutting at 5σ in a single band (Bowler et al. 2014). We find no systematic offset between these measurements, providing reassurance that our photometry is robust for the sample presented in this work. Stefanon et al. (2017) note that they find offsets between their HST/WFC3 data and the UltraVISTA imaging. For objects Y5, J1, and J2 they find that the UltraVISTA H-band measurement was 1 mag brighter than the WFC3 H_{160}-band magnitude. Our photometry of these objects suggest that the UltraVISTA H-band magnitudes should be ∼0.5 mag fainter than those presented in Stefanon et al. (2017), somewhat reducing the observed discrepancy.

APPENDIX B: SCHECHTER FUNCTION EVOLUTION

In addition to the DPL fitting, we ran an identical procedure assuming a Schechter function. The best-fitting Schechter function parameters and the linear fit to these results are shown in Fig. B1. The evolution of the parameters according to the linear fit is given by the following equations for the characteristic magnitude, normalization, and faint-end slope respectively:

\[ M^* = (-20.83 \pm 0.42) + (0.12 \pm 0.07) (z - 6) \]

\[ \log_{10}(\phi^*) = (-3.25 \pm 0.26) - (0.30 \pm 0.05) (z - 6) \]

\[ \alpha = (-1.84 \pm 0.28) - (0.23 \pm 0.05) (z - 6). \]

As can be seen in Fig. B1, we find that the assumption of a Schechter function changes dramatically the derived evolution, in comparison to our fiducial DPL fits. We find a strong evolution in \( \phi^* \) and \( \alpha \), while the characteristic magnitude staying approximately constant at \( M^* \approx -21 \). These evolving Schechter functions cannot reproduce the measured number density of very bright LBGs at \( z \geq 7 \). As shown in Fig. B2, at \( M_{UV} \lesssim -22 \) at \( z = 7-9 \), the Schechter function fits dramatically underpredict the number of sources that have been observed, whereas it provides a good description of the decline at \( z = 5-6 \) (see Bowler et al. 2015 for further discussion).

APPENDIX C: POSTAGE-STAMPS AND SED FITTING FIGURES

The postage-stamp cut-out images and SED fitting results for our sample of 28 high-redshift LBGs. In Figs C1 and C2, we show the postage-stamps for the \( z \approx 8 \) sample in the XMM-LSS and COSMOS fields, respectively. In Fig. C3, we show the postage-stamps for the \( z \approx 9 \) sample, and the images for the \( z \approx 10 \) source are shown in Fig. C4. The SED plots for the \( z \approx 8 \) sample in the XMM-LSS and COSMOS fields are shown in Figs C5 and C6, respectively. The SED fitting results for the \( z \approx 9 \) sample are shown in Fig. C7.
Figure C1. Postage-stamp images for the $z \simeq 8$ sample selected in the XMM-LSS field. Each object corresponds to a single row of stamps, which are ordered from left to right in increasing effective wavelength of the filter. The stamps are 10 arcsec on a side, with North to the top and East to the left. The objects are ordered by $J$-band magnitude as in Table 3. The ID of each source is shown on the left, followed by the stacked optical image, the $z'$- or Z-band image, the near-infrared bands ($YJHK_s$), and finally the deconfused Spitzer/IRAC [3.6 $\mu$m] and [4.5 $\mu$m] bands. The stamps are saturated beyond the range $[-1, 4] \sigma$. 
Figure C2. Postage-stamp images for the COSMOS sample at $z \simeq 8$. The figure is in the same format as Fig. C2.
Figure C2 – continued
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Figure C3. Postage-stamp images of the $z \simeq 9$ sample. The three candidates from XMM-LSS are shown at the top, followed by the two COSMOS sources. The objects are ordered by field, and then by $H$-band magnitude as in Table 4. The scaling of the stamps is the same as described in Fig. C1. Note that the background for object UDS787 is elevated due to a nearby star. For this object the NIR detection is confirmed in the $H + K_s$ stack (not shown), and the apparent detection in the optical stack results from noise in the $G$ band.

Figure C4. Postage-stamp images of the $z \simeq 10$ candidate. The images are as described in the caption of Fig. C1.
Figure C5. The observed photometry and best-fitting SED templates for the $z \sim 8$ candidates in the XMM-LSS field. The objects are ordered from top-left to bottom-right by $J$-band magnitude as in Table 3 and Fig. C1. For each object, we show two plots oriented one above the other, the upper plot shows the galaxy fit results, while the lower plot show the results of fitting brown dwarf templates. The measured photometry is shown as the black filled points with errors. In the case of a non-detection at the 2$\sigma$ level, the photometry for that band is shown as an upper limit. In the upper plot the blue line shows the high-redshift best-fit, and the red line shows the second best fit, which is typically at $z \sim 2$. The inset plot displays the $\chi^2$ for each redshift. In the lower plot at $\lambda > 2.5\,\mu m$, we estimate the Spitzer/IRAC photometry by using measured brown dwarf colours as described in Section 3.2. For each brown dwarf sub-type that is an acceptable fit to the optical and near-infrared bands we show the expected [3.6 $\mu m$] and [4.5 $\mu m$] results as a coloured line. The inset plot displays the $\chi^2$ for each dwarf type.
The bright end of the LF at $z = 8–10$ – continued
Figure C6. The observed photometry and best-fitting SED templates for the $z \approx 8$ candidates in the COSMOS field. The plot format is described in the caption to Fig. C5.
Figure C6 – continued
**Figure C7.** The observed photometry and SED fitting results for the $z \approx 9$ candidates. The three XMM-LSS sources are shown on the top row, followed by the two COSMOS sources in the bottom row. The plot format is described in the caption to Fig. C5.
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