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ABSTRACT
Quasar lines of sight intersect intervening galaxy discs or circumgalactic environments at random impact parameters and potential well depths. Absorption line velocity widths (Δv90) are known to scale with host galaxy stellar masses, and inversely with the projected separation from the quasar line of sight. Its dependence on stellar mass can be eliminated by normalizing with the emission-line widths of the host galaxies, σem, so that absorbers with a range of Δv90 values can be compared directly. Using a sample of DLA systems at 0.2 < z < 3.2 with spectroscopically confirmed host galaxies, we find that the velocity ratio Δv90/σem decreases with projected distances from the hosts. We compare the data with expectations of line-of-sight velocity dispersions derived for different dark matter halo mass distributions, and find that models with steeper radial dark matter profiles provide a better fit to the observations, although the scatter remains large. Gas outflows from the galaxies may cause an increased scatter, or scale radii of dark matter halo models may not be representative for the galaxies. We demonstrate by computing virial velocities, that metal-rich DLAs that belong to massive galaxy haloes (Mhalo ≈ 1012 M⊙) mostly remain gravitationally bound to the haloes.
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1 INTRODUCTION
A wealth of information about the chemical evolution of the Universe from low to high redshifts can be obtained from observations of the strongest hydrogen absorption lines in spectra of luminous quasar absorbers (DLAs) and sub-DLAs out to redshifts z > 5 (e.g. Prochaska et al. 2003; Rafelski et al. 2014), revealing a gradual increase of metallicity with increasing cosmic time. Other notable important measurements include the evolution of the cosmic neutral hydrogen density contained in DLAs and sub-DLAs (Noterdaeme et al. 2012b; Zafar et al. 2013; Crighton et al. 2015; Sánchez-Ramírez et al. 2016), and the evolution of dust properties and dust correction to metallicities (De Cia et al. 2018).

Historically, the nature of DLAs have been debated when only the absorption-line information was available. Early on, DLAs were suggested to probe preferentially rotating galaxy discs (Wolfe et al. 1986) based on the observed velocities and line profiles with leading edges (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997). This was supported by models of disc formation (Mo, Mao, & White 1998), whereas numerical simulations showed that line profiles could as well be explained by complex gas dynamics in protogalactic clumps within a hierarchical formation scenario (Haehnelt, Steinmetz, & Rauch 1998).

DLAs have typically several (∼5–40) narrow absorption components identified in their metal absorption lines, with a global velocity width that is much larger than the intrinsic widths of ∼7–20 km s−1 for each component (e.g. Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. 2003; Kulkarni et al. 2012). The observed spread of velocity widths ranging from ∼20 and up to ∼400 km s−1 suggest a connection to rather massive galaxies, where additional clouds in the galaxy haloes besides components that arise in the galaxy discs contribute to the global and diverse absorption line profiles (Wolfe, Gawiser, & Prochaska 2005). Particularly, the presence of outflows from galaxies can explain higher velocity components seen in some DLAs (Bouché et al. 2013). Numerical simulations have shown that average DLAs (dominated by low metallicity systems) arise in haloes of preferentially faint, low-mass galaxies (Nagamine et al. 2007; Cen 2012; Rahmati & Schaye 2014; Bird et al. 2015), but the large
cosmological simulations do not have a sufficient resolution to distinguish individual components that contribute to a single DLA system.

Understanding the nature of DLAs hinges on the knowledge of the connection between DLAs in absorption and their host galaxies detected in emission. At redshifts $<1$ the success rate of identifying galaxy counterparts is relatively high since the galaxies are brighter (e.g. Chen, Kennicutt, & Rauch 2005; Péroux et al. 2011a). More recent observations with modern, sensitive instruments have provided a breakthrough by spectroscopically confirming in emission the host galaxies associated with higher redshifts DLAs (e.g. Fynbo et al. 2010; Péroux et al. 2011a; Noterdaeme et al. 2012a; Fynbo et al. 2013; Kroger et al. 2013; Neeleman et al. 2018). To date, the majority of detected galaxies are preferentially associated with metal-rich DLAs. The numerous failures of searches for DLA galaxy counterparts and upper detection limits can be explained with a simple model that involved a luminosity–metallicity relation, where non-detections mostly belong to low-metallicity DLAs, and hence low-mass and low-luminosity galaxies (Krogager et al. 2017).

Besides the directly measurable metallicities, DLAs themselves contain additional information about the parent galaxy. The velocity widths of metal absorption lines spanning 90 percent of the integrated optical depths (defining $\Delta v_{90}$ in Prochaska & Wolfe 1997; Wolfe & Prochaska 1998) scale with absorber metallicity in a relation that evolves with redshift (Ledoux et al. 2006; Prochaska et al. 2013; Neeleman et al. 2013). Moreover, this relation can be interpreted as a relation between DLA metallicity and host galaxy halo mass (Møller et al. 2013), reflecting the known luminosity–selected galaxy mass–metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004; Maiolino et al. 2008). By measuring the stellar masses of the host galaxies, we now know that DLAs indeed follow a mass–metallicity relation (Christensen et al. 2014; Augustin et al. 2018; Rhodin et al. 2018).

One of the remaining pieces of the puzzle is how the DLA velocity widths and metallicities observed at some random impact parameters, defined as the projected distance between the host galaxy and quasar line of sight, spanning from a few- and up to $\sim100$ kpc, are affected by the host itself. DLAs have been suggested to arise either in infalling pristine gas from the intergalactic medium or in outflows from the host galaxies (Bouché et al. 2013; Péroux et al. 2016), or simply neutral halo gas extending far from the galaxies.

Located at projected distances between a few and up to $\sim100$ kpc from the host galaxies, DLAs must experience the gravity from the matter distribution of the host galaxy, both from baryonic matter at scales defined by the stellar components, and the dark matter (DM) potential. Using both quasars and gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) to trace DLA systems with average spatial offsets between GRB locations and the host galaxy centres, Arabsalmawi et al. (2015) suggest that the location relative to the galaxy affects both velocities and metallicities with a radial dependence that cancel each other. In Møller & Christensen (2019) we investigate the dependence of the projected spatial location on the velocity and metallicity information from the DLA itself by comparing quasar and GRB-DLAs, and find a scaling relation between DLA velocities, host galaxy masses, and DLA impact parameters. We find evidence for an increasing velocity width (measured by $\Delta v_{90}$) in close projection to the host galaxy, but also a dependence that scales with the velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{em}$) of the host galaxy itself.

In this paper, we analyse the velocities in DLAs and their host galaxies as probes of the gravitational potential at the location of the DLA. Different models of DM distributions in galaxy haloes give rise to variations of the projected line-of-sight velocity dispersions as a function of radius from the central galaxy (Sections 3 and 4) depending on the host galaxy halo masses. We compare the velocities with numerical simulations in Section 5, and show remarkable correspondence between simulations and the data. Section 6 presents the summary.

### 2 DLA VELOCITY WIDTHS AND GALAXY SCALING RELATIONS

Models of galaxy formation can be used to predict the rotational velocities of galaxies (e.g. Mo et al. 1998). Unfortunately, observations of DLAs do not provide information of the circular velocity of the DM haloes or detailed transversely resolved velocity information. DLAs only probe velocity components of individual clouds along a single line of sight at a random impact parameter from the centre of the galaxy, and rotation curves of the host galaxies have been measured only in a few instances (e.g. Chen et al. 2005; Péroux et al. 2011a; Bouché et al. 2013). Whereas the gaseous structure that comprise a DLA system might be large, individual clouds that contribute to the DLAs can be much smaller, with sizes as small as 0.1 pc (Krogager et al. 2016). However, much larger structures covering coherent sizes of 100 kpc have also been reported for DLAs (Ellison et al. 2007). Since DLAs contain several components separated in velocity space, where each one is located inside the potential well of the galaxy, we may use the full DLA system as a probe of the host halo velocity dispersion.

Logically, more massive galaxies in more massive haloes give rise to higher velocity dispersion at a fixed distance, so in order to compare galaxies spanning a large range in masses, we also need to know the masses of the galaxies and halos themselves. Because $\Delta v_{90}$ scales with the metallicity of the galaxy (Ledoux et al. 2006; Neeleman et al. 2013) it follows from the mass–metallicity relations that a scaling between $\Delta v_{90}$ and the stellar mass of the parent galaxy exists too (Møller et al. 2013). In addition, the galaxies themselves obey scaling relations with velocities. For example, the relation between the stellar mass and the velocity width ($\sigma_{em}$) of strong emission lines, the so-called stellar-mass Tully–Fisher relation (Kassin et al. 2007) is well known. For various galaxy samples this relation is found to be redshift invariant at $z \lesssim 3$ (Christensen & Hjorth 2017).

The existence of these scaling relations allow us to compare DLA systems with a large range of $\Delta v_{90}$ arising from galaxies with a large range in stellar masses. We compile data from the literature for a sample of observed DLA systems, where host galaxies have been detected in emission and emission-line velocities are reported (see details in Møller & Christensen 2019). Table 1 presents the sample of 21 DLAs at redshifts $0.2 < z < 3.2$ for which we have information of both $\Delta v_{90}$ and $\sigma_{em}$. We also include an additional 11 identified DLA galaxies with measured stellar masses in Table 1, which will be used to investigate how the velocities correlate with halo masses.

In Fig. 1 we illustrate the measured ratio $\Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em}$ as a function of impact parameters for the DLAs. In Møller & Christensen (2019) we argue that this relation arises as metallicity traces the local gravitational potential at the location of the DLA.

Following on that result we here investigate the underlying reason for this scaling relation. The gravitational potential that is dominated by dark matter at large distances can be computed from theoretical models of its mass distribution, and here we aim to test a wide range of such models against this new set of observational constraints.

3 LINE-OF-SIGHT VELOCITY DISPERsIONS IN HALOES

To compare the observations with predictions of velocity dispersions of galaxy haloes, we need to compute the expected radial profiles. Far from the stellar disc of the galaxy and the baryonic components, the mass contribution is dominated by dark matter. Assuming a density distribution of the DM one can compute the line-of-sight velocity dispersion, \( \sigma_{\text{los}}(R) \), as a function of projected radial distance, \( R \), from the galaxy. This quantity should be equivalent to measuring a velocity dispersion as a function of the impact parameter as provided by the observations of \( \Delta v_{\text{LOS}} \). For an isotropic distribution (Binney & Tremaine 1987; Merritt 1978; Hernquist 1990; Dehnen 1993), this can be computed as

\[
\sigma_{\text{los}}^2(R) = \frac{2}{\Sigma(R)} \int_R^\infty \sigma_\text{los}(r)^2 \rho(r) \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2-R^2}} \, dr, \tag{1}
\]

where the normalization depends on the projected surface density given by

\[
\Sigma(R) = \frac{2}{\int_R^\infty \rho(r) \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2-R^2}} \, dr}. \tag{2}
\]

\( \sigma_\text{los}(r) \) is the radial velocity dispersion, which can be derived from the Jeans equation as

\[
\sigma_\text{los}^2(r) = \frac{1}{\rho(r)} \int_R^\infty \rho(r') \frac{GM(r')}{r'^2} \, dr', \tag{3}
\]

with the mass profile as function of radius being

\[
M(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi r'^2 \rho(r') \, dr'. \tag{4}
\]

All equations above depend on the radial density profile, which can be parametrized as a double power law

\[
\rho(r) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_\text{c}} \right)^{\gamma} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_\text{c}} \right)^{\beta-\gamma} \right]^{\beta-\gamma+\delta}, \tag{5}
\]
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Figure 1. Measured velocities for DLAs and their host galaxies as a function of impact parameters. The lines represent $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ from various DM mass distribution profiles. Based on computed real values from the models we argue that $\Delta v_{90} \approx \sigma_{\text{los}}$. All models have a scale radius of 10 kpc, and are normalized to provide the smallest $\chi^2$ with respect to the data.

where $r_s$ is a scale radius and $\rho_0$ the central density. Various combinations of $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]$ give the known profiles from Hernquist (1990) [1,4,1], Jaffe (1983) [1,4,2], Plummer (1911) [2,5,0], and NFW Navarro, Frenk, & White (1997) [1,3,1] and an isothermal profile [2,3,0] (Binney & Tremaine 1987). Other special cases of DM profiles are suggested by Dehnen (1993):

$$\rho(r) = \frac{(3 - \gamma)M}{4\pi r^2 (r + r_s)^{\gamma + 1}}$$

(6)

where the factor $\gamma$ can be chosen to give a steeper inner profile than other density profiles for $\gamma > 2.5$. In addition to this suite of halo models, we also investigate the Einasto profile (Einasto 1965) parametrized as

$$\rho(r) = \exp(-2n((r/r_s)^{1/n} - 1)).$$

(7)

All these radial DM profiles include the most common and classical halo models used in theoretical works. As the density profiles have varying slopes the differences in the projected line-of-sight velocity dispersions are apparent at either very small or large radial distances.

Line-of-sight radial velocity dispersion profiles, $\sigma_{\text{los}}(R)$, for the various density distributions are illustrated in Fig. 1. To compare models with the data points, we initially use a common scale radius of $r_s = 10$ kpc for all models, $n = 1$ defining a relatively steep slope for the Einasto profile, and $\gamma = 2.75$ for the Dehnen (1993) model to represent a very steep inner density profile. The velocity dispersion profiles are normalized to provide the smallest $\chi^2$ values to fit the data.

To evaluate whether $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ for a halo gives a value representative of $\Delta v_{90}$ for a DLA, we compute the absolute value of $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ by setting the scale radius $r_s = 17$ kpc, and from abundance matching in Section 4, we compute the median DLA halo mass from Table 1 to be $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.7} M_\odot$. This gives $\sigma_{\text{los}} \sim 100 - 130 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ depending on the chosen DM halo mass profile at $r = r_s$, while the median measured $\Delta v_{90} = 141 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in Table 1. Therefore it is a good approximation to set $\Delta v_{90} \approx \sigma_{\text{los}}$. The median stellar mass of the DLA galaxies in Table 1 is $M_\star = 10^{9.8} M_\odot$, for which the stellar-mass Tully–Fisher relation in Christensen & Hjorth (2017) implies an emission-line velocity dispersion of $\sigma_{\text{em}} \sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. With these simple considerations, we expect the curves in Fig. 1 to lie around $\Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{\text{los}} \approx 1.7 - 2.2$ at $b \sim 17$ kpc in good agreement with the measured data points and their spread.

4 GALAXY SCALE RADII IN HALO MODELS

4.1 Dark matter halo scale radii

Since this analysis involves galaxies with very different stellar masses over a range of redshifts, a direct comparison as done in Section 3 is too simplified since the scale radii of the galaxies are not the same. In order to place the galaxies in the same system and compare to models, it is relevant to scale the observed impact parameters with the galaxies’ scale radii. These scale radii depend on the galaxy mass and dark matter concentration parameters, which again depend on redshifts.

The total stellar masses are known for some of the galaxies in Table 1, and are computed by fitting spectral energy distributions (SEDs) to template spectra created with a range of star formation histories, stellar ages, reddenings, and metallicities. In all SED fits, a Chabrier initial mass function has been adopted. We refer the reader to Christensen et al. (2014) and Rhodin et al. (2018), where SED fits to DLA galaxies are explained in detail. To compute the DM halo masses, we use the formalism from halo abundance matching methods described in Moster et al. (2013). Halo abundance matching techniques generally find that the fraction of stellar to dark matter mass peaks around $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{12} M_\odot$ with a weak redshift evolution. For each of the DLA galaxies with known stellar masses we compute halo masses listed in Table 1, taking into account the redshift evolution from abundance matching models. The halo masses correlate with the DLA metallicity, following the scaling between the host galaxy stellar-mass and DLA metallicity. Halo masses of $\sim 10^{12} M_\odot$ for these metal-rich absorbers agree with the high bias factors from cross-correlations with the Lyman-α forest for metal-rich systems and consequently large halo masses (Pérez-Rafols et al. 2018).

The next step is to derive the scale radii. The halo mass within the virial radius of the galaxy ($r_v$) can be described as

$$M_{\text{halo}} = \frac{4}{3\pi} r_v^3 \Delta_v(z) \rho_{\text{c}}(z).$$

(8)

where $\Delta_v(z)$ is the overdensity and $\rho_{\text{c}}(z)$ is the critical energy density in a flat universe at redshift, $z$

$$\rho_{\text{c}}(z) = \frac{3H(z)^2}{8\pi G}.$$  

(9)

The Hubble parameter evolves as $H(z) = H_0 \Omega(z)$, where $H(z)^2 = \Omega_{\Lambda}(1 + z)^3 + \Omega_m(1 + z)^3$ with $\Omega_m = 0.727$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.273$, and $H_0 = 70.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (Komatsu et al. 2011). The overdensity can be parametrized as

$$\Delta_v(z) = 18\pi^2 + 8[\Omega(z) - 1] - 39[\Omega(z) - 1]^2$$

(10)

(Bryan & Norman 1998; Posti et al. 2014), where

$$\Omega(z) = \Omega_{m0}(1 + z)^3/E(z)^2.$$  

(11)

The scale radius and halo radius are connected through the concentration parameter, $c = r_h/r_s$. Numerical simulations (Mo & Mao 2004) have shown that the concentration parameter depends on the halo mass

$$c(M) = 11 \left( \frac{M_{\text{halo}}}{10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot} \right)^{0.15}.$$  

(12)
More recent numerical simulations find a different dependence (Klypin, Trujillo-Gomez, & Primack 2011)
\[ c(M) = 9.6 \left( \frac{M_{\text{halo}}}{10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot} \right)^{-0.075} \]  
(13)

Combining equations (8), (9), (10), and (12), we get
\[ r_s^3 = M_{\text{halo}}^{1.225} \frac{3}{4\pi 11^2} \frac{1}{\rho_\odot} \Delta_\chi(z) \left( \frac{10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot}{10^9} \right)^{0.45} \]  
or alternatively with equations (8), (9), (10), and (13), we get
\[ r_s^3 = M_{\text{halo}}^{1.225} \frac{3}{4\pi 9.6^2} \frac{1}{\rho_\odot} \Delta_\chi(z) \left( \frac{10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot}{10^9} \right)^{-0.225} \]  
(15)

Whether we use equation (12) or (13), for the redshift dependence of the concentration parameters, the differences in the output results are insignificant relative to the scatter of the data points.

The concentration parameter decrease with redshift at \( z < 2 \) roughly as
\[ c(M)(z) = \frac{c(M)_{z=0}}{(1 + z)^{0.75}} \]  
(Klypin et al. 2011), while at higher redshifts the decrease in the concentration parameter levels off (see also Zhao et al. 2009). At higher redshifts (\( z > 3 \)) and for very large halo masses (\( M_{\text{halo}} > 10^{13} M_\odot \)) the trend changes and the concentration parameter starts to increase. However, apart from a single object (DLA1009–0026), the haloes and redshifts involved in this analysis do not reach this regime, so we take a very simplistic approach. To reflect the inversion of \( c(M) \) for the massive host of DLA1009–0026 we assume that \( c \sim 7 \), similar to the also relatively massive host of DLA1323–0021 that has a slightly lower redshift. Including a redshift dependence, with \( r_s \propto (1 + z)^{0.75} \), equation (15) becomes
\[ r_s^3 = M_{\text{halo}}^{1.225} \frac{3}{4\pi 9.6^2} \frac{1}{\rho_\odot} \Delta_\chi(z) \left( \frac{10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot}{10^9} \right)^{-0.225} (1 + z)^{2.25} \]  
(17)

The scale radii for the DLA galaxies are listed in column 9 in Table 1. Fig. 2 illustrates the result, where impact parameters are normalized by their scale radii, reflecting the same radial dependence across all measured DLAs. The DM halo profiles have been normalized to produce the minimum \( \chi^2 \) value for the measured data points. Table 2 lists the values of \( \chi^2/\text{dof} \) for each model fit. Models with a flatter DM density profile slope, such as the Isothermal or NFW profiles have worse fit compared to the steeper profiles for Dehnen, Jaffe, or Einasto models.

There may be a complication in combining numerous diverse haloes with only a single line of sight probed in each case. To compare with the velocity dispersion distribution observed in the Milky Way, we overplot halo star velocity dispersion measurements (Battaglia et al. 2005). In order for the Milky Way to be placed in the same scaled system, we computed the scale radius \( r_s = 31 \text{ kpc} \) at \( z = 0 \) based on the stellar mass log \( M_* = 10.8 M_\odot \) for the Milky Way (Licquia & Newman 2015), and assume \( \sigma_{\text{em, MW}} = 90 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

As seen, the MW stars follow well the DM potential given by either the Einasto, Plummer, or Hernquist models, whereas the DLA data points exhibit a larger scatter.

### 4.2 Adding baryonic mass components

The halo models addressed above only contain dark matter. However, the baryonic components in the form of stellar mass and...
gaseous material also contribute to the potential and therefore also to the projected line-of-sight velocity dispersion.

To evaluate the contribution to $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ we add a baryonic component to a halo with a mass $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.7} M_\odot$, derived from the median halo mass in our sample. We add an exponential disc galaxy profile with a density profile $\rho(r) \propto \exp(-z/r_d)\exp(-r/r_d)$, where the disc scale length $r_d$ is varied between 0.1 and 1 kpc. A very small disc scale length is used because the halo models use scale radii of 1 kpc. To compute the mass distribution, the disc height, $r_\pm$, is assumed to be always equal to 100 pc. Such a disc model is clearly very simplified, but serves the purpose of describing the changes of $\sigma_{\text{los}}$, when including baryons. The total baryonic mass is varied from $10^{10}$ to $10^{11} M_\odot$, representing a baryonic fraction of 2–20 per cent.

The radial mass profile of the galaxy is added to equation (4). Since the radial velocity dispersion $\sigma(r)$ depends on the dynamics of the baryonic disc, which is not known, we assume it to be equal to the rotational speed of the baryons alone. Finally, this is added in quadrature to $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ for the DM halo to compute the combined $\sigma_{\text{los}}$, DM + baryons.

The changes for the computed $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ profiles are illustrated in Fig. 3. When adding a massive disc component, there is a large contribution from baryons to $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ around the disc scale length, while for less massive discs, the difference from the pure DM halo model is less pronounced.

The stellar masses of the DLA galaxies in our sample span almost three orders of magnitude from $\log M_*$ = 8.3–11.1, but we do not know the contribution from cold neutral gas to the entire galaxy mass. As DLA galaxies are by definition absorption selected, and therefore sensitive to the amount of neutral gas present, the baryonic mass may also preferentially be in the form of gas that has not yet been processed in star formation, or does not form stars at the time of observations. We therefore test the expected $\sigma_{\text{los}}$ in the case of a gas mass 10 times that of the stellar mass. Such high values of atomic gas to stellar mass are found in local low-mass galaxies selected from the 21 cm ALPFA survey (Huang et al. 2012). Similarly, recent 21 cm emission studies of local absorption selected (low stellar mass) galaxies have revealed H1 mass to stellar mass ratios of 5–100 (Kanekar et al. 2018a), and in $z \sim 0.6$ absorption selected galaxies, high molecular gas fractions have been detected (Kanekar et al. 2018b; Møller et al. 2018). Whether higher redshift DLA systems that probe more massive galaxies also have such high H1 gas mass fractions is not supported by any current observations but remains to be verified by future observations. A realistic H1 disc is larger in size relative to the stellar disc. Adding such a massive, extended H1 disc causes the velocity dispersion profile to be flatter with a bump around the chosen scale radius. This is not consistent with the measured data points, and moreover with a fraction of $M_{\text{HI}}/M_* \sim 100$, the total baryonic mass is similar to or even higher than the total DM halo mass, in conflict with the commonly accepted DM to baryonic mass fraction.

In conclusion, the models that include a stellar and gaseous components in addition to the DM halo mass are able to explain the radial dependence of $\Delta \sigma_{\text{los}}/\sigma_{\text{em}}$. For reasonable baryon to DM fractions, the contributions from baryons do not play a significant role compared to the dominant DM contribution at large impact parameters.

5 HALO VIRIAL VELOCITIES

5.1 Comparison with numerical simulations

Having derived halo virial masses, we compare the measured $\Delta v_{\text{vir}}$ with the distribution of halo virial velocities and $\Delta v_{\text{vir}}$ values derived from numerical models of DLAs (Haehnelt et al. 1998; Bird et al. 2015). In the simulations, random lines of sight through galaxy haloes are drawn and simulated spectra of DLAs are created with radiative transfer models. Then metal absorption line widths are measured for galaxies with known virial masses and virial velocities (Bird et al. 2015). The ratio between velocities $\Delta v_{\text{los}}/v_{\text{vir}}$ peaks around 0.9, with a significant spread in the ratio.

In order to compare the observed DLA systems with simulations, we need to determine the halo virial velocity for each of the 26 DLA galaxies in Table 1 for which we know the stellar and halo masses. We assume the simple relation that the rotational velocity at the radius $r_{\text{vir}} = r_{200}$, where the overdensity $\Delta_c$ is 200 times that of the cosmic value, is equal to the circular velocity $V_{\text{c}} = GM_{\text{halo}}/r_{\text{vir}}$. The halo mass at this radius is determined by combining equations (8) and (9): $M_{\text{halo}} = 100r_{\text{vir}}^3 H(z) G^{-1}$, and the halo virial velocity can be computed as

$$V_{\text{vir}} = 10G M_{\text{halo}} H(z).$$

Comparing our observed data to the models, Fig. 4 shows a remarkable similarity. The histogram of $\Delta v_{\text{los}}/V_{\text{vir}}$ has errorbars representing 68 per cent confidence regions for small-number Poisson statistics (Gehrels 1986). Testing the full cumulative distribution with a two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test gives a probability of $P = 0.98$ that the two distributions are drawn from the same underlying sample.

We note that the simulated DLA data are measured at a single redshift of $z = 3$, whereas the data points belong to a compilation of DLAs at all redshifts between 0.1 and 3.2. We checked for a possible redshift dependence by splitting the observed data in two redshift intervals at $z < 1.0$ and $z > 1.0$, for which the KS test probabilities are $P = 0.64$ and $P = 0.38$, respectively. For the high redshift sample, the observed galaxies show higher velocities than the simulated ones. Still, the cumulative distribution shapes remain similar to that of the full sample, so that the evidence for a systematic shift with redshift is weak.

Bird et al. (2015) find that the average halo virial velocity for DLAs is 70 km s$^{-1}$, while the haloes we have analysed here have higher velocities ranging from 60 to 420 km s$^{-1}$ and a median of $V_{\text{vir}} = 145$ km s$^{-1}$, simply because the DLA hosts detected to date...
are dominated by more massive and luminous galaxies belonging to relatively metal-rich DLAs. In addition, impact parameters are not presented for the simulations, but if a radial dependence of $\Delta v_{90}$ also exist in simulations, it would imply that lower $\Delta v_{90}$ values were more common because the cross-section at larger radii is higher. The excellent agreement illustrated in Fig. 4 could therefore be a coincidence. For a proper comparison with numerical simulations we need to know the stellar- or halo masses of hosts for each of the individual simulated DLAs, as well as the impact parameters used for determining $\Delta v_{90}$.

Even though we do not know the spatial position of the individual components along the line of sight that give rise to the full absorption line profile in observations, the correspondence does give credit to the interpretation that DLA absorption line widths trace the host halo potential. Fig. 5 illustrates the dependence of the impact parameter on the derived $\Delta v_{90}/V_{\text{vir}}$ fraction. Although there is no clear scaling relation, no DLA systems with a high $\Delta v_{90}$ velocity width relative to $V_{\text{vir}}$ is found at large impact parameters, indicating that the DLA systems are gravitationally bound to the haloes. To check if redshifts and the local gravitational potential play an important role for $\Delta v_{90}/V_{\text{vir}}$ in simulations, it is necessary to compare the observed data with simulated DLAs at a range of redshifts with known impact parameters from simulated galaxies that better match the observed masses of the DLA galaxies. Such comparisons between models and simulations will be the aim of a future study.

### 5.2 DLA systems are bound to the host haloes

Having computed the halo mass distributions, we can proceed to ask if the DLA systems are bound to the haloes, or whether their velocities are sufficient to allow them to escape from the parent galaxy potential (see also Møller & Christensen 2019). By computing the relative velocity offsets from the DLA absorption redshift and galaxy emission redshifts listed in Table 1, we can compare with the escape velocities at the DLA impact parameters.

First we scale the halo mass profile to the total mass of the DLA DM halo plus the galaxy stellar mass. Then we find the enclosed mass at the DLA impact parameter and compute the escape velocity at that position. Fig. 6 illustrates that from the 26 DLA-galaxy pairs only three DLA systems (DLA0738+313, DLA1127–145, and DLA0827+243) have relative velocities that allow them to escape the host galaxy potential. However, these three relative velocities are just barely above the escape velocities by 55, 18, and 62 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The illustration in Fig. 6 uses a Hernquist density distribution, but another density profile will not change the conclusion. For the remaining 23 DLAs, and even if the DLAs arise in galaxy outflows, the gas does not have sufficiently large velocities to allow it to escape the potential well of the galaxies.

We can only measure the radial velocity component along the line of sight from the absorption and emission redshifts, meaning that any tangential velocity differences are ignored. However, this correction is expected to be small compared to the computed escape velocities. The correction is of the order of a few 10–100 km s$^{-1}$ computed from equation (3) to represent the tangential velocity (dispersion) on the plane of the sky.

### 6 DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this paper we use absorption and emission lines in DLA systems and their host galaxies to probe the gravitational potential of the host galaxy halo at the random line of sight to the background
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impact parameters demonstrate that DLA clouds mostly remain
gravitationally bound to the host galaxies. We furthermore compare
the ratio of \( \Delta v_{90} \) to virial velocities with numerical simulations,
and while the match is good, it could be a coincidence as the
observations with detected DLA hosts systematically include more
massive galaxies than the simulations.

6.1 DLAs as probes of galaxy haloes

The absorption line width, \( \Delta v_{90} \), has previously been proven to
scale with the DLA metallicity and also with the stellar mass
of the host galaxy. As a consequence, metal-rich DLA systems
also belong to massive haloes. In a sample of 26 metal-rich DLA
systems with metallicities in the range \(-1.2 < \frac{[M/H]}{<} 0.6
\) with spectroscopically confirmed host galaxies, we compute
their corresponding halo masses to lie in the range \( 10^{10.8} < M_{\text{halo}} <
10^{13.2} M_\odot \) with a median of \( 10^{11.7} M_\odot \). Rotation curves of three
DLA galaxies that are also included in our sample yielded similarly
high halo masses (Péroux et al. 2013). These high values of halo
masses are in excellent agreement with the high bias factor measured
by cross-correlating DLAs with the Lyα forest, which implies that
those metal-strong DLAs arise in massive haloes \( M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{12} M_\odot \),
while metal-weaker systems arise in haloes that are two orders of
magnitude less massive (Pérez-Rafols et al. 2018).

The \( \Delta v_{90} \) values lie between \( 20 \) and \( 400 \) km s\(^{-1}\) corresponding
to a large dynamical range of galaxy masses. In order to compare
velocities in galaxies with masses spanning almost three orders
of magnitude, we therefore normalize this velocity width by the
velocity dispersion of the host galaxy traced by its emission lines,
\( \sigma_{em} \). We investigate the radial dependence of this dimensionless
parameter \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) for DLAs and their host galaxies, and compare
with line-of-sight velocity dispersions expected from various DM
model distributions. The DLA data suggest a steep radial dependence
of the distribution out to a distance of \( \sim 60 \) kpc from the
host. While the different halo mass distributions give quite different
predictions for the line-of-sight velocity dispersions at either very
large or small impact parameters, the currently known data sample
does not allow us to rule out any of the models.

Extra mass-components from baryons, which dominate at low
impact parameters, give rise to steeper or flatter profiles depending
on the (baryonic) scale radii. However, in order to explain the
steepness of the relations and the scatter of data points, we have to
modify the baryonic component for each DLA system individually
such that no global scaling relation is obvious. In comparison,
the velocity dispersions of halo stars in the Milky Way apparently
can be described to follow pure DM models without the need to add a
baryonic component.

6.2 Spread of \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) measurements

Several effects could contribute to the observed scatter of the data
points relative to that predicted by the various DM halo models.
Outflows from galaxies would increase both \( \Delta v_{90} \) and \( \sigma_{em} \) as the
latter also scales with galaxy star-formation rates (Krühler et al.
2015; Christensen & Hjorth 2017). Outflows will therefore cause
minor increase in the scatter of the \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) ratio. Additionally,
some DLAs are known to arise in galaxy groups (Kacprzak, Murphy,
& Churchill 2010; Péroux et al. 2017; Fynbo et al.
2018), and dynamical interactions between group members and
their overlapping haloes are likely to affect the kinematics of the
absorbers, as detected in the complex intergroup gas kinematics
associated with the \( z = 0.313 \) DLA towards Q1127–145 (Chen
et al. 2019).

The DM halo models presented in this work assume spherical
symmetry, which does not need to be the case. In galaxy clusters for
example, velocity anisotropies along different directions have been
measured (e.g. Wojtak et al. 2009). How this can affect individual
haloes such as those probed by DLAs is not clear, but any anisotropy
cannot explain the very large values of \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) in Fig. 2.

When comparing the \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) distributions in Fig. 1 that were
not scaled according to DM halo models (see also Møller &
Christensen 2019) to the scaled models in Fig. 2, it is not evident that
the more advanced theoretical models provide better fits to the data.
Table 2 shows that the unscaled data have less scatter compared to the
predicted velocities from all DM distributions. When computing
the scale radii, \( r_s \), we rely on abundance matching of halo to stellar
mass ratios. However, studies of weak gravitational lensing, Tully–
Fisher relations, and stellar kinematics have demonstrated that
individual galaxy halo masses can be underpredicted by abundance
matching by as much as a factor of 10 (Leauthaud et al. 2012).
Scale radii would accordingly be smaller by up to \( -0.4 \) dex and the
data points move to smaller \( b/r_s \) values, but because this is not a
systematic shift we cannot make a global correction to the data in
Fig. 2.

Some of the scatter seen in Fig. 2 may be caused by DLA galaxies
observed with a spectral resolution that only allows the emission
lines to be marginally resolved and \( \sigma_{em} \) is therefore uncertain.
Another effect for the data point with the highest \( \Delta v_{90}/\sigma_{em} \) value
(from DLA2233+131 at \( z = 3.151 \)), is that it has an unusually small
\( \sigma_{em} \). At redshifts \( z > 3 \) the stellar-mass Tully–Fisher relation has
a much larger scatter compared to at lower redshifts (Christensen &
Hjorth 2017), and the small \( \sigma_{em} \) may reflect this breakdown of the
TF relation. Therefore the normalization by \( \sigma_{em} \) may not be valid
at \( z > 3 \).

In addition, the impact parameters are measured in projection,
which could in principle move the data points in Figs 2 and 3.
slightly to the right. DLAs likely consist of multiple individual cloud components that each have different physical distances from the halo centre, and for a uniform distribution along the line of sight, the average distance will be dominated by components close to the plane of the sky, and the correction to the measured impact parameter will therefore be small. Occasionally, DLA systems have a single absorption component, which is significantly offset from the rest of the components. If it happens to contribute by more than 5 per cent of the total optical depth $\Delta \tau_{90}$ will be severely affected. Possibly this single component does belong to the DLA system, e.g. in a high velocity cloud along the line of sight, or occasionally to a rotating disc (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997), or it could be otherwise unrelated. In this work we use $\Delta \tau_{90}$ reported in the literature along with a few additional values measured from archive data. For a single one of the DLAs (towards Q0153 in the literature along with a few additional values measured from we find a significantly smaller system trace multiple individual clouds along the quasar line of sight projected velocity dispersion, and that the components in a DLA could be otherwise unrelated. In this work we use occasionally to a rotating disc (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997), or it system, e.g. in a high velocity cloud along the line of sight, or affected. Possibly this single component does belong to the DLA

6.3 Observed and simulated DLA velocity widths

In order to use the DM models to predict the projected velocity dispersions, the measured data need to have several trace particles along the line of sight for a proper comparison. The observed DLA systems are likely contained in few gas clouds that are confined spatially within the galaxy halo, and the global velocity widths of these gas clouds represent the dynamical motions. In this work we assume that the velocities represented by $\Delta \tau_{90}$ is a measure of the projected velocity dispersion, and that the components in a DLA system trace multiple individual clouds along the quasar line of sight (see also the discussion in Møller & Christensen 2019). Numerical simulations have investigated the spatial location of these individual components finding that the high density DLA absorption systems typically trace a path-length of ~50–100 kpc depending on how large $\Delta \tau_{90}$ is (Bird et al. 2015). As the halo masses in Table 1 imply virial radii in the range 40–230 kpc, similar in size to the simulated objects, we assume that the observed DLAs probe similar path-length through the haloes.

The earliest simulations were unable to reproduce the large $\Delta \tau_{90}$ (Haehnelt et al. 1998; Pontzen et al. 2008), but by including feedback from supernova explosions, modern numerical simulations are better able to reproduce the observed $\Delta \tau_{90}$ distributions (Cen 2012; Bird et al. 2015). The observed $\Delta \tau_{90}/\sigma_{\text{em}}$ values have a few values above what can be explained from simple dark matter plus baryon models hinting that additional velocity components to $\Delta \tau_{90}$ are needed for some of the systems. The data fits the simulated $\Delta \tau_{90}/\sigma_{\text{em}}$ for DLAs remarkably well, suggesting that the observations do indeed trace gaseous components in galaxy haloes that have been affected by feedback effects. By comparing the actual measured $\Delta \tau_{90}/\sigma_{\text{em}}$ with the expected value from models alone, we may derive how much of the DLA gas have been affected by such feedback mechanisms.

In a future investigation, we will expand this investigation by analysing simulated DLAs at different redshifts instead of the simple $z = 3$ model used here. It would also be interesting to investigate the dependence of $\Delta \tau_{90}/\sigma_{\text{em}}$ with impact parameters in simulations in order to compare with the observed trends.
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