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Abstract:

Pike (*Esox lucius*) occupy coastal streams and rivers of the Baltic Sea, where they attain large sizes (>5kg). These large sizes are perhaps due to the fact that they can tolerate relatively high salinities and can thus forage in the nearby more productive brackish environments. In an attempt to quantify the extent to which pike utilize brackish environments, and to provide some insight on the underlying causes for brackish water migrations, we tagged 30 pike from a western Baltic river with acoustic transmitters and were able to track 21 individuals for one year. Based on local anglers, this population was assumed to be brackish in nature, where individuals underwent freshwater migrations to spawn. Our findings however suggest that the smallest and most active individuals make short exits into brackish waters, and do so on rare occasions. Our results further indicate that neither sex nor size are related to activity level. We suggest that these patterns reflect two distinct behaviours – active and passive – and that large pike can be supported by the food availability in the river, without the need to venture into coastal zones, thus defying the conventional view that Baltic pike are all brackish in nature.
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Introduction:

Northern pike (*Esox lucius*, Linnaeus) is a signature apex predator of many freshwater ecosystems in the Northern Hemisphere. As such, this species has a great top-down impact on the structure, abundance and composition of prey fish within a system (Johnson 1966; Skov *et al.* 2002; Craig 2008), and thus acts as an important regulator of fish assemblages, including on nutrient levels and cycles. Evidence suggests that pike can affect the behaviour and movements of prey fish (Jacobsen and Perrow 1998), and alter foraging activity (Bean and Winfield 1995; Jacobsen and Perrow 1998), with important ecosystem-scale impacts, including nutrient levels and cycles (Skov *et al.* 2011). The role of pike in local ecosystem functioning implies its ecological importance in temperate freshwater systems.

Recently however, pike populations – like many other freshwater fish populations – have been exposed to extensive habitat changes and other perturbations, largely stemming from anthropogenic pressures (Craig 2008). This has resulted in considerable research on pike ecology in recent times, especially geared towards investigating the implications of habitat and food chain alterations for conservation and management purposes. Furthermore, given their prized value as a sport fish, Northern pike have received much attention for their performance associated with various fishing gear, as well as their survival during catch-and-release practices (*e.g.* Arlinghaus *et al.* 2009).

Northern pike have been found living in both fresh (*i.e.* rivers and lakes) and brackish waters (low salinity, estuary-like; Harvey 2009). Despite their common use in research and widespread distribution however, few aspects of the species’ life history appear constant across all populations. Instead, research on pike seems to support a more diverse and flexible life history, with different populations behaving differently in space and time. For example, there is evidence suggesting that under laboratory conditions pike activity peaks at approximately 20°C and
decreases gradually at lower temperatures (Casselman 1978). Given these findings, a lower activity level during winter (in comparison to summer) is expected. This is both corroborated (e.g. Kobler et al. 2008) and contradicted (Jepsen et al. 2001; Koed et al. 2006), supporting the idea that pike can display flexible behaviour, perhaps as a function of their specific environment.

Fish movement is arguably the most important behaviour, as it regulates foraging activity and efficiency, predator avoidance and refuge seeking, as well as habitat selection (Shreck et al. 1997). Movement is thus an important determinant of fish fitness as it is associated with various fitness endpoints such as growth, reproductive success and survival (Scherer 1992; Schreck et al. 1997; Barton et al. 2002). Understanding the spatial and temporal movements of a species can thus focus management efforts and make them more profitable. To that effect, understand the underpinning mechanisms behind the flexibility in pike life history may also help improve the current management approach.

Several studies have investigated pike movement, and have found that pike can be abundant in coastal areas with low salinity. This is the case in Denmark, Finland, Sweden and Germany for example, where pike are often found in coastal streams and rivers of the Baltic Sea (Craig 2008), with salinities approaching their upper tolerance limit. In the Baltic Sea, two Swedish pike populations were found to reproduce in different environments: one displaying an anadromous behaviour, with obligate freshwater spawning, and the other spawning in brackish Baltic waters (Westin and Limburgh 2002). This reproductive behaviour in brackish waters has also been observed in Finland for example (Lappalainen et al. 2008). Pike eggs and fry have also been shown to tolerate high salinities (Jørgensen et al. 2010). It is thus perhaps not surprising that pike spawn in brackish waters. Nonetheless, understanding the drivers and the extent to which this flexible behaviour is present in pike populations is necessary to understand population dynamics, and advise adequate management measures. In this study, we aimed to investigate the movements of a Danish
pike population inhabiting a coastal river flowing into the Baltic Sea. Based on local angler knowledge (from local angling clubs for example), brackish-water environments were expected to be of considerable importance for this population. This idea stems from the fact that this pike population is composed of very large individuals, and that feeding strictly in a small freshwater river is thought to be insufficient for individuals to attain such sizes. In fact, this population has long been assumed to be a brackish-water population, with individuals journeying into freshwater only to spawn (i.e. anadromous). We tested this hypothesis by tagging and tracking pike with acoustic transmitters over the course of one year.

Materials and Methods

Study area

River Tryggevælde, southeast Sealand, Denmark (Figure 1), is home to a population of Northern pike comprising many large individuals (> 5 kg), supporting a valuable recreational angling community. The pike population has long been assumed to consist of mainly brackish-water individuals, driving the population’s large individual mass and condition factor. The river is approximately 45 km long, and flows into Køge Bay which gives into the Baltic Sea. Our study focused on the 12 downstream-most river kilometres, and the river outlet (river mouth, where the river exits into the bay).

Fish

Pike were caught between 9 March and 11 March 2014, either by electrofishing (n = 18) or angling (n = 12) along the banks of the river between 500 and 5000 m from the outlet (within the receiver range, see below). Individuals were kept in carp sacks or a large live-well placed in the river for up
to 24 hours. For the procedure, fish were anesthetized with 0.05mg l⁻¹ of 2-phenoxyethanol in freshwater. Fish were then weighed, measured, sexed, and implanted with an acoustic transmitter (V13-1L, Vemco, 13 mm in diameter, 36 mm in length, 11 g in air, Nova Scotia, Canada). The incision was closed with two absorbable sutures (Vicryl 3-0 FS-2, Ethicon, Scotland). The procedure took between 3 and 5 minutes. Fish were then placed in a tank with fresh river water, and later released on either 10 March or 11 March 2014 at their site of capture. See Table 1 for summary data.

Environmental variables
Water temperature was monitored every 30 minutes using a temperature logger (HOBO Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger 8K, UA-02-08) at the outlet (82m from the outlet). Salinity was measured once per hour using a conductivity logger (HOBO Conductivity Data Logger, UA-002-C) at two positions (82 m and 2818 m from the outlet). Water discharge data were obtained from the Ministry of the Environment using a logger situated approximately 16 km from the outlet. Discharge was adjusted for size of the water catchment. Loggers were positioned in the river and outlet on 25 June 2014, approximately 108 days after fish were tagged and released in the river.

Tracking movements
Movements were tracked with 12 automatic listening stations (VR2 receivers, Vemco, Nova Scotia, Canada) placed strategically along the river and river outlet to detect fish movement within the river, but also to detect fish as they moved in and out of the river and into the bay (Figure 1). Range testing using a ‘dummy’ tag took place before fish were released, and ranged between 60 and 200m. Signal detection efficiencies were between 70 and 100 %. At locations where detection efficiencies were
lower, two receivers were positioned nearby with overlapping ranges (see Table 2 for details on position and efficiency of receivers).

Of the 30 tagged pike, 21 (16 females and 5 males) were detected for one full year. Only those individuals were used for movement analyses. The remaining 9 individuals either died (1 female and 4 males) or disappeared from the study area (2 females and 2 males).

We measured the number of exits out of the river performed by each fish as periods when fish were detected at receivers outside the river for 1 hour or more. One hour was chosen as the cut-off after initial investigation of the data, which suggested fish seldom spent less than 1 hour when venturing out. Furthermore, a shorter cut-off may not have been biologically significant in terms of feeding, while a longer cut-off may have removed valuable data. Total distance moved was determined as the sum of the distance between different receivers where the fish was detected in sequence. At times when a fish was detected simultaneously at two receivers, the fish’s position was assumed to be midway between the two receivers. Mean daily activity was then calculated as the total distance travelled, divided by the length of the study period for each fish (i.e., time between first and last detection), regardless of days without detections. This approach results in the minimum mean daily activity.

Statistical analyses

We first tested whether fish captured by angling differed from those being electrofished using a series of ANOVAs to test for differences in mean daily activity and fate (i.e., survived, disappeared or dead). Given the general size difference among males and females, sex was used as a covariate in the subsequent analyses but capture method was not, given that the method did not affect activity levels or fate (i.e., capture method did not affect whether a fish died or disappeared). Furthermore, given the collinear nature of mass and length, we used length only as a proxy for size for the analyses.
To investigate whether size and sex affected mean daily activity, we used a generalized linear model (GLM). Whether fish exited the river or not was modeled as a binary outcome (GLM, logit link) with size, sex and mean daily activity as model variables. The number of exits performed was modeled as a Gaussian-distributed variable (GLM, log link) with size, sex and mean daily activity as model variables. A final GLM was used to examine whether size, sex and mean daily activity affected the final fate of the fish. All statistical analyses were performed in R version 1.1.383 (R Core Team, 2013) using the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009), lattice (Deepayan, 2008) and MASS (Venables & Ripley, 2002) packages.

Results

Capture method did not affect mean daily activity and fate ($p > 0.05$). Out of 21 tagged individuals tracked for one year, 15 travelled into the bay for one hour or more, but only 2 of these individuals remained in the bay for more than 24 hours, and did so only once each.

Mean daily activity was not correlated to sex (GLM, $Z = -0.504, p > 0.05$) or length (GLM, $Z = 1.03, p > 0.05$), though we note a trend for females being more active than males. Whether a fish exited the river was positively correlated to mean daily activity (GLM, $Z = 1.89, p < 0.05$, Figure 2) but negatively correlated to length (GLM, $Z = -2.05, p < 0.05$, Figure 3). The number of exits from the river was not correlated to length, sex, or mean daily activity (GLM, $p > 0.05$).

Pike generally spent most of their time in the lower stretches of the river (Figure 4). Periods when fish exited the river into the bay coincided with peaks in salinity in the river (between 6 and 10ppt, Figure 5). In fact, 14 of the 15 pike which exited the river did so either between 5 August to 8 August 2014 or 3 September to 6 September 2014.

Discussion
In this study, we show that in a population of Northern pike assumed-to-be brackish, individuals do move into brackish water (15 out of 21, 71%), but generally stay there less than 24 hours. We found that of the individuals that did travel into brackish water, only 2 (13.3%) stayed in the bay for more than 24 hours, suggesting that in general, pike of this population do not utilize that environment nearly as much as was previously thought. This finding also suggests that the high growth rate observed in this population must be due to either high food availability within the river itself, or large influxes in prey items coming in from the bay with strong currents. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that smaller pike were more likely to exit into the bay and that pike generally spent more time in the lower reaches of the river.

We found no sex-bias in activity levels. Sex bias in activity is not uncommon in fish during the spawning season (cichlids, Taylor et al., 2003; salmonids, Bekkevold et al., 2004; Fraser et al., 2004), but not always the case. It has been suggested that this behaviour may be to reduce the risk of inbreeding; by having one sex move more than the other, the risks of inbreeding are greatly reduced. In pike, an increased movement by females has been suggested to be a form of homing behaviour in females to previously suitable spawning grounds (Koed et al., 2006). The females may move more to regain that area and thus gain a competitive advantage over it. Females have also been observed to move more than males regardless of the season (Jepsen et al., 2001), perhaps in an attempt to forage more often and attain a larger size and greater reproductive potential (Casselman, 1978; Bregazzi and Kennedy, 1980; Casselman, 1996). While our approach does not allow us to adequately distinguish activity levels over time (continuous manual tracking, as done in Koed et al. 2006 is more effective), our findings may suggest that in this river, adequate spawning and foraging areas are plentiful and females do not need to move extensively to find them. The hypothesis that food abundance is high and may not require active foraging is supported by the fact that size was not related to mean daily activity, and females were larger than males. This may reflect different
behavioural tactics across individuals rather than sex- and size-driven differences (e.g., Thomaz et
al., 1997).

Our findings on activity levels are not unlike a number of previous studies carried out on
pike inhabiting lakes and reservoirs which showed mostly sedentary behaviour during the non-
spawning season (e.g., Cook and Bergersen, 1988). While some individuals did exhibit high activity
levels, most exhibited sedentary behaviours with infrequent peaks in activity. However, individuals
with high activity levels were significantly more likely to make exits into the bay, perhaps
suggesting a more active foraging tactic. Lower activity levels may be associated with an ambush
predation tactic. These two contrasting behaviours are not unusual in *E. lucius*. Individuals of the
same populations have been observed to have widely different behavioural patterns. For example,
individuals from a western Baltic population living in transitional waters were found to either reside
in a lagoon year-round, or to spawn in the lagoon and migrate into freshwater during the non-
spawning period (Jacobsen et al., 2017). The authors found that these behaviours were consistent
within individuals over time, and may reflect individual variation in home ranges and resource
allocation/optimisation. Our findings support this idea, with active individuals undergoing short
journeys into the bay, and less active individuals remaining in freshwater. The observation that
smaller fish were more likely to exit into the bay may also support two behavioural tactics. For
example, it is possible that small individuals exit into the bay to acquire food actively if larger,
more dominant individuals are feeding in freshwater, and preventing smaller individuals from doing
so.

Exits into Køge Bay did not appear to be related to temperature or discharge, but coincided
with higher salinities. While 14 of the 15 individuals that travelled into the bay did so either
between 5 August to 8 August, or 3 September to 6 September, salinity was still high between the
two periods and peaked in early September (15ppt), but no pikes were detected leaving the river
during that time. This suggests that salinity itself is not a driver for migrations into brackish water. Instead, it is more likely that strong winds which pushed saline water into the bay (Fischer and Matthäus, 1996) during that period brought with them prey items which the pike could feed on. The period between the two peak periods for exits into the bay may have been too saline for pike to tolerate, and may explain why the pike migrated back into freshwater. The return of salinity to more tolerable levels (8-10ppt) may have allowed active pike to return to the bay between 3 September to 6 September, where food items may have been more abundant than normal. Alternatively, it is also possible that prey items actively swam into the outlet of the river during periods of high salinity, and the pike subsequently followed them into the bay as they exited back under lower salinity conditions. Regardless of the mechanism however, it appears smaller, more active individuals made exits into the bay.

The commercial catches of pike in the Baltic Sea have been declining drastically in the past decades, likely reflecting a decline in the population of Baltic pike despite their flexible nature (Jacobsen et al., 2008; Lehtonen et al., 2009). While the specific causes for this decline remain unknown, poor recruitment (Nilsson 2006) and anthropogenic activities (including overfishing and habitat changes) are thought to be the main source (Jacobsen et al. 2008; Lehtonen et al., 2009). Our study supports the idea that pike have flexible life histories, which may provide this species with an advantage over other species with more strict life histories. Pike can utilize habitats with wide-ranging salinities, exhibit both active and passive behaviours, and may be more adaptable to future environmental changes caused by a human-dominated world, though current declines may suggest otherwise. Future research should consider investigating the underlying mechanisms that lead individuals in adopting one life history over another. Our findings do however suggest that long-standing, widely-accepted descriptions of pike populations as anadromous or primarily brackish may not be accurate.
Acknowledgments

We wish to dedicate this manuscript to Dr. Lene Jacobsen (author of this writing) who dedicated her career life to science, but who left us too soon. We also wish to thank Hans-Jørgen Aggerholm Christensen, Jes Dolby, Michael Holm and Morten Carøe, as well as the volunteer anglers for their help in the field. This study was funded by the Danish Fishing Licenses.

Author Contributions

Conceived and carried out field work: LBH, TK, LJ and KA. Contributed materials for field work: KA and LJ. Data compilation and analyses: KBG and LBH. Wrote the manuscript: KBG.
References


Bean, C. W., and Winfield, I. J. 1995. Habitat use and activity patterns of roach (Rutilus rutilus (L.)), rudd (Scardinius erythrophthalmus (L.)), perch (Perca fluviatilis L.) and pike (Esox lucius L.) in the laboratory: the role of predation threat and structural complexity. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, 4, 37-46.


Harvey, B. (2009). A biological synopsis of northern pike (Esox lucius). Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Science Branch, Pacific Region, Pacific Biological Station, West Vancouver, Canada.


Tables

**Table 1.** Mean length and mass (±SEM) of tagged pike (*Esox lucius*) according to sex (range in bracket).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Females (<em>19</em>)</th>
<th>Males (<em>11</em>)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length (cm)</strong></td>
<td>87.3±3.6</td>
<td>76.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(71.0 – 118.0)</td>
<td>(66.1 – 82.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td>6.02±0.8</td>
<td>3.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.0 – 14.2)</td>
<td>(2.2 – 4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Position (m from river outlet), detection range (m) and detection efficiency (%) of receivers upon range testing. Note: n/a indicates not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver position (distance from outlet in m)</th>
<th>Detection range (downstream, upstream in m)</th>
<th>Detection efficiency (downstream, upstream in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12000</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500</td>
<td>135, 60</td>
<td>100, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5400</td>
<td>70, 80</td>
<td>90, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900</td>
<td>135, not measured</td>
<td>70, not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800</td>
<td>130, 85</td>
<td>80, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>85, 85</td>
<td>100, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>70, 120</td>
<td>100, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 in bay</td>
<td>200, n/a</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 in bay</td>
<td>200, n/a</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560 in bay</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 in bay</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
<td>100, n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures Captions

**Figure 1.** River Tryggevælde, Southern Sjælland, Denmark. The river runs for 45km before exiting into Køge Bay. Red dots represent receiver placements.

**Figure 2.** Predicted probability (mean and 95% confidence intervals) that acoustically tagged pike (*Esox lucius*) exited River Tryggevælde (March 2014 – June 2015) as a function of an individual’s mean daily activity (meters per day), assuming an average length of 85.0cm. Actual data are plotted as filled circles. More active fish were more likely to exit into the bay.

**Figure 3.** Predicted probability (mean and 95% confidence intervals) that acoustically tagged pike (*Esox lucius*) exited River Tryggevælde (March 2014 – June 2015) as a function of an individual’s length (cm), assuming an average daily activity of 242.9 meters per day. Actual data are plotted as filled circles. Smaller fish were more likely to exit.

**Figure 4.** Movements of pike (*Esox lucius*) from least (A) to most (U) active, detected by the receiver lines at approximately -580, -100, 3000, 5500, 8000 and 12000 meters from the river outlet. Red traces represent females (*n* = 16) and blue traces represent males (*n* = 5). The horizontal line represents the river outlet, with values ≥ 0 in the river, and values < 0 in the bay. Black dots represent exits into the bay longer than 1 hour. Note: fish A spent long periods at the river outlet (though still in freshwater).

**Figure 5.** Environmental variables. Number of pike (*Esox lucius*) which exited the river (black bars) per day overlapped with temperature (top panel), salinity (middle panel) and discharge (lower panel) between March 2014 and June 2015.
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