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ABSTRACT

Radial age gradients hold the cumulative record for the multitude of physical processes driving the build-up of stellar populations and the ensuing star formation (SF) quenching process in galaxy bulges and, therefore, potentially sensitive discriminators between competing theoretical concepts on bulge formation and evolution. Based on spectral modeling of integral field spectroscopy (IFS) data from the CALIFA survey, we derived mass- and light-weighted stellar age gradients ($\nabla_{t,B,M}$) within the photometrically determined bulge radius ($R_{B}$) of a representative sample of local face-on late-type galaxies that span 2.6 dex in stellar mass ($8.9 \leq \log M_{*,T} \leq 11.5$). Our analysis documents a trend of decreasing $\nabla_{t,B,M}$ with increasing $M_{*,T}$, with high-mass bulges predominantly showing negative age gradients and vice versa. The inversion from positive to negative $\nabla_{t,B,M}$ occurs at $\log M_{*,T} \approx 10$, which roughly coincides with the transition from lower-mass bulges whose gas excitation is powered by SF to bulges classified as composite, LINER, or Seyfert. We discuss two simple limiting cases for the origin of radial age gradients in massive late-type galaxy bulges. The first one assumes that the stellar age in the bulge is initially spatially uniform ($\nabla_{t,B,M} = 0$), thus the observed age gradients ($\sim -3$ Gyr/Myr) arise from an inside-out SF quenching (ioSFQ) front that is radially expanding with a mean velocity $v_{\text{ioSFQ}}$. In this case, the age gradients for massive bulges translate into a slow ($<1$ km s$^{-1}$) ioSFQ that lasts until $\sim 2$, suggesting mild negative feedback by SF or an active galactic nucleus (AGN). If, on the other hand, negative age gradients in massive bulges are not due to ioSFQ but primarily due to their inside-out formation process, then the standard hypothesis of quasi-monolithic bulge formation has to be discarded in favor of another scenario. This would involve a gradual buildup of stellar mass over $\sim 3$ Gyr through, for instance, inside-out SF and inward migration of SF clumps from the disk. In this case, rapid ($\lesssim 1$ Gyr) AGN-driven ioSFQ cannot be ruled out. While the $M_{*,T}$ versus $\nabla_{t,B,M}$ relation suggests that the assembly history of bulges is primarily regulated by galaxy mass, its large scatter ($\sim 1.7$ Gyr/R$_{B}$) reflects a considerable diversity. This calls for an in-depth examination of the role of various processes (e.g., negative and positive AGN feedback, bar-driven gas inflows) with higher-quality IFS data in conjunction with advanced spectral modeling codes.
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1. Introduction

A key element in our understanding of bulge evolution in late-type galaxies (LTGs) involves the termination of their dominant mass assembly phase through star formation quenching (SFQ). This process is thought to result from different, perhaps non mutually exclusive mechanisms, such as morphological quenching (i.e., stabilization of the disk against gas fragmentation once its center becomes dominated by a massive stellar spheroid, Martig et al. 2009; Genzel 2014), the inhibition of inflow of cold gas from the cosmic web due to virial shocks in the galactic halo (Dekel et al. 2009) or the stripping away of the gaseous reservoir of galaxies in clusters (see also, Peng et al. 2015; Larson et al. 1980), or negative feedback by an active galactic nucleus (AGN; e.g., Silk 1997; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006; Cattaneo et al. 2009).

Various pieces of evidence suggest that SFQ is initiated once galaxy bulges have grown to a mass of $M_{*,B} \sim 3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ (Strateva et al. 2001) and a stellar surface density of $\Sigma_{*,B} \sim 10^5 M_{\odot}$ kpc$^{-2}$ (Kauffmann 2003; González Delgado et al. 2016). Indeed, whereas in the local Universe star formation (SF) is almost omnipresent in low-$M_{*,B}$, low-$\Sigma_{*,B}$ bulges, it steeply vanishes above a characteristic mass-density threshold $log(M,\Sigma_{*,B} \sim 10,9)$, as documented through multi-band photometry (e.g., Peng et al. 2010; Omand et al. 2014), single-aperture spectroscopy (e.g., Kaufrmann 2003; Brinchmann et al. 2004),
and, more recently, spatially resolved integral field spectroscopy (IFS; e.g., Fang et al. 2013; Catalán-Torrecilla et al. 2017; Ellison 2018; Woo & Ellison 2019). For instance, Zibetti et al. (2017) report from an analysis of stellar indices for 394 galaxies from the CALIFA IFS survey (Sánchez et al. 2012) a bivariate distribution of galaxy stellar populations on the $\Sigma_*$ versus luminosity-weighted age $(t_\alpha/\tau_{\alpha, c})$ plane, with old $(\log(t_\alpha/\tau_{\alpha, c}) \approx 10)$ quiescent E and S0 galaxies that populate a high-density peak $(\log(\Sigma_*) \geq 8.3)$, whereas younger $(\log(t_\alpha/\tau_{\alpha, c}) \leq 9.5)$ LTGs are confined to $\log(\Sigma_*) \leq 8$. Breda & Papaderos (2018, hereafter BP18) find from spatially resolved modeling of CALIFA IFS data for a representative sample of local LTGs that the contribution $(\delta \mu_{\alpha, c})$ of stellar populations younger than 9 Gyr to the bulge r-band mean surface brightness $(\mu)$ is tightly anticorrelated with $M_{\star, B}$ and $\Sigma_*$, showing a monotonic decrease from $\sim 60\%$ in the lowest-mass bulges to $\sim 10\%$ in the most massive and dense ones $(\log(M_*, \Sigma_*) \sim 11.3, 9.7)$. This, and the fact that the bulge-to-disk age contrast increases with increasing $M_{\star, T}$ (BP18), suggests that the assembly timescale of bulges scales inversely with galaxy mass. This means that the more massive a LTG is, the earlier it has experienced the dominant phase of its bulge build-up (these authors termed sub-galactic downsizing), which is in agreement with earlier conclusions by Ganda et al. (2007). This trend also adds further support to the picture of inside-out galaxy growth (Eggen et al. 1962; Fall & Efstathiou 1980; van den Bosch 1998; Kepner 1999), in which dense galaxy centers complete their assembly first while the stellate mass continues to build up in the galaxy periphery, which is in agreement with previous findings (e.g., Muñoz-Mateos et al. 2007; Salim et al. 2012; Pérez et al. 2013; González Delgado et al. 2014; Tacchella et al. 2015). At a higher redshift $z$, the association between $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$ and SFQ is established through abundance matching studies (van Dokkum et al. 2013), pixel-by-pixel fitting of the spectral energy distribution (Wyatt et al. 2012; Lang et al. 2014; Tacchella et al. 2015; Mosleh et al. 2018), or empirical relations between the rest-frame color and the mass-to-light ratio (Szomoru et al. 2012).

The growth of stellar spheroids above $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$ and the ensuing SFQ, appears to be accompanied by a gradual change in the dominant gas excitation mechanism: whereas nebular emission in low-mass bulges is typically powered by SF, the majority of bulges above $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$ (for instance, 94% of those bulges in the sample of BP18) fall in the loci of Seyferts, LINERs (low-ionization nuclear emission-line regions; Heckman 1980) and Composites. The affinity of quenched, high-$\Sigma_*$ stellar spheroids (bulges and early-type galaxies-ETGs) to LINER-specific emission-line ratios (e.g., Annibali et al. 2010; van Blantert 2012, see Kormendy & Ho 2013 for a review) recently documented with IFS out to several kpc from the nucleus (Papaderos et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2013; Gomes et al. 2016a), thereby adding justification to the generic term LIER (e.g., Belfiore et al. 2016), has been traditionally attributed to photoionization by old $(\geq 100 \text{ Myr})$ post-AGB sources (Binette et al. 1994) or a weak AGN (Ho 2008).

Papaderos et al. (2013), on the other hand, argue that even a strong AGN cannot be ruled out in massive spheroids showing merely weak LINER emission (i.e., with an H$\alpha$ equivalent width EW(H$\alpha$) $< 0.5 \AA$): this is because these authors (see also Gomes et al. 2016a) find that, in the absence of absorbing cold gas with a sufficient filling factor, the bulk of Lyman continuum (LyC) radiation from pAGB sources (consequently, also from a putative AGN) is escaping without being reprocessed into nebular emission. Indeed, the paucity of a cold medium in the nuclear region of quenched spheroids can be seen as natural consequence of depletion, thermalization and expulsion of gas by SF and eventually an AGN. If so, SFQ, LyC photon escape, and LI(N)ER emission are actually inseparable and causally linked facets of one and the same phenomenon, namely the partial or complete evacuation of cold gas from bulges and ETGs once they have grown above $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$, and AGN, if present, has fully unfolded its energy impact.

Whereas there is broad consensus that the dense galaxy centers form and quench first (e.g., Tacchella et al. 2015, 2018), the timescale $\tau_{\mathrm{SFQ}}$ of inside-out SFQ (iosSFQ) in LTG bulges is poorly constrained. A circumstantial argument that the onset of accretion-powered nuclear activity above $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$ does not universally initiate a rapid SFQ rests on the co-existence of bulges classified as Composite, LINER and Seyfert above $\log(M_\star, \Sigma_*)$. This led BP18 to deduce a $\tau_{\mathrm{SFQ}} \geq 2 \text{ Gyr}$, in agreement with estimates by Tacchella et al. (2015) from analysis of massive $(10.84 \leq \log(M_{\star, T}) \leq 11.7)$ galaxies at $z \approx 2.2$. Clearly, quantitative inferences on $\tau_{\mathrm{SFQ}}$ as a function of total and bulge mass are desirable, given that they could help discriminating between different proposed SFQ mechanisms. For instance, a short $\tau_{\mathrm{SFQ}}$ (e.g., on the order of the warm-gas sound crossing time of 600 Myr for a typical LTG bulge with $R_{\bullet} \sim 3 \text{kpc}$) would be consistent with a single or several intermittent energetic episodes leading to quick gas removal (e.g., most plausibly, a strong AGN outburst or a series of nuclear starbursts), and otherwise with a gradual inside-out depletion of gas or, possibly, morphological quenching, or gas starvation scenarios.

Quick iSFQ should thus nearly preserve pre-existing stellar age gradients, whereas slow iSFQ should produce or amplify negative age gradients. Therefore, in the idealized case of a bulge with initially uniform age that experiences an outwardly propagating SFQ front with a constant radial velocity $v_q$, the slope (Gyr/kpc) in stellar age $(\propto 1/v_q)$ could help placing constraints on $v_q$.

Several observational studies in the past decades have greatly improved our understanding on age and star formation rate (SFR) patterns in galaxies and their bulges. These include, for example, i) the analysis of radial specific SFR (sSFR) profiles, obtained from H$\alpha$ and EW(H$\alpha$) determinations (e.g., Catalán-Torrecilla et al. 2017; Belfiore et al. 2018), age-dating of stellar populations via ii) broad-band colors (e.g., Peletier & Balcells 1996; de Jong 1996; Peletier & de Grijs 1998; Muñoz-Mateos et al. 2007) or iii) Lick indices (e.g., Thomas & Davies 2006; Morelli et al. 2016), and iv) full spectral synthesis of IFS data (e.g., González Delgado et al. 2014, 2016; Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2014, 2016). These studies consistently report a radial decrease of sSFR in the central parts of galaxies, and a trend for an inversion (though a large scatter) of color profiles from positive (negative) in low (high) mass bulges (Balcells & Peletier 1993, 1994), in qualitative agreement with the presence of negative $(t_\alpha/\tau_{\alpha, c})$ gradients in high-mass galaxies and vice versa (e.g., González Delgado et al. 2014, 2016).

On the other hand, all these approaches are tied to underlying assumptions and subject to limitations. For instance, the EW(H$\alpha$) is a proxy to sSFR only as long as the extinction-corrected H$\alpha$ luminosity is a reliable SFR tracer. This requires quite specific assumptions (continuous SF at a constant SFR since $\sim 100 \text{ Myr}$ and no LyC photon leakage, and, in the case of IFS, that all H$\alpha$ emission excited by stars is registered). A secondary issue is that negative stellar metallicity gradients

---

1 An analogous case of conversion of stellar age gradients into a mean SF propagation velocity was presented in Papaderos et al. (1998) for the blue compact galaxy SBS 0335-052E (Izotov et al. 1990).
in the bulge can readily lead to an outwardly increasing specific LyC production rate by a factor of ~2, thereby mimicking positive Hσ-based sSFR gradients. A further potential caveat of i is that line-of-sight dilution by the triaxial stellar background of the bulge can naturally produce positive EW(Hσ) (thus also SSFR) gradients (cf. Papaderos et al. 2002, for an analogy to local blue compact dwarf galaxies), further adding to the previous effect. Regarding ii, extinction-corrected color gradients are not convertible into age gradients without assumptions on the star formation- and chemical enrichment history, and can strongly be affected by nebular emission (Huchra 1977; Krüger et al. 1995; Papaderos et al. 1998; Schaerer & de Barros 2009). As for iii, Lick indices are per se luminosity-weighted, thus primarily reflect the radial distribution of young stars. Since they are designed for instantaneously formed stellar populations (e.g., globular clusters) and not for systems with prolonged SF, and potentially suffer from emission-line infilling, they appear to be of questionable applicability to LTG bulges. Finally, iv is subject to the notorious age-metallicity-extinction degeneracy. A further concern is that the systematization and inter-comparison of results from studies employing the methods above is partly hindered by the fact that age gradients are mostly inferred within the effective radius R eff instead of within the bulge radius R b. As recently pointed out by BP18, given that R eff shrinks with increasing bulge-to-total ratio and is functionally coupled to the Sérsic index (Trujillo et al. 2001), the usual normalization to it can erase potentially important physical trends or lead to artificial correlations. Likewise, some studies adopt radius normalizations that might optimally serve specific science goals but are less suited to the study of the bulge component. As an example, González Delgado et al. (2016) infer gradients normalized within a50, the semi-major axis of the elliptical aperture containing 50% of the total light at 5635 Å. Since a50 is unrelated to R b and also cannot be converted into a photometric radius R* without knowledge of the ellipticity, a transformation of age gradients from this study into V(t, R) is not possible.

The goal of our study is to infer stellar age gradients within a homogeneously defined R b for a representative sample of local LTGs, as a step toward the systematization of the physical properties of bulges and the exploration of the mechanisms driving their ioSFiQ. In Sect. 2 we briefly present our sample and analysis methodology, and in Sect. 3 we discuss trends between bulge age gradients and galaxy mass, and their possible interpretation in the context of ioSFiQ. The main results from this study are summarized in Sect. 4. Throughout we adopt distance estimates from NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database for H₀ = 67.8 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹.

2. Analysis and main results

The sample used for this analysis has been studied in detail by BP18 and comprises 135 non-interacting, nearly face-on (<40°) local (≤130 Mpc) LTGs from the CALIFA IFS survey (Sánchez et al. 2012, 2016) conducted with the Potsdam Multi-Aperture Spectrometer (PMAS; Roth et al. 2005; Kelz et al. 2006). It spans 2.6 dex in total stellar mass (8.9 ≤ logM∗ < 11.5) and 3 dex in bulge mass (8.3 ≤ logM∗,B < 11.3), therefore can be considered representative of the LTG population in the local Universe.

The reader is referred to BP18 for details on the photometric and spectral modeling analysis. Here, we only recall that the isophotal radius R b of the bulge component in our sample ranges between 2′′5 and 11′′2 (cf. Fig. A.2 in BP18), with only four galaxies (3%) having a bulge diameter <6′′. Therefore, convolution with the point spread function (FWHM ≈ 2′′6, in the case of CALIFA IFS data) does not appreciably affect our study. R b was determined with the code iFtt (cf. BP18 for details Breda et al. 2019) as the radius of the Sérsic model to the bulge at an extinction-corrected surface brightness 24.0 mag/arc′. An alternative approach might have been to define R b at the radius where the surface brightness of the bulge equals that of the disk (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2014), this would have required, however, image decomposition, which can be prone to methodological uncertainties discussed in BP18.

The spectral modeling of low-resolution (R ~ 850) CALIFA IFS data in the V500 setup was carried out spaxel-by-spaxel with the pipeline Porto3D (Papaderos et al. 2013; Gomes et al. 2016a), which invokes the population synthesis code STARLIGHT (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005). The simple stellar population libraries used consisted of templates from Bruzual & Charlot (2003) for 38 ages between 1 Myr and 13 Gyr and allowing for a time resolution of 1 Gyr for ages above 7 Gyr. As pointed out in BP18, the intrinsic V-band extinction in the bulge, as inferred with STARLIGHT for a foreground screen model was found to be relatively low (0.3 ± 0.18 mag) and not show a clear trend with M4,B.

Single-spaxel (SSp) determinations of the mass- and light-weighted age (⟨t⟩,M and ⟨t⟩,L, respectively) were converted into radial profiles using an adaptation of the isophotal annuli (isan) surface photometry technique by Papaderos et al. (2002). The key feature of this method lies in the computation of statistics within logarithmically equidistant isophotal zones, each corresponding to a photometric radius R* (r0), being defined on a reference image of the emission-line-free pseudo-continuum at 6390–6490 Å.

Following BP18, the mean age and its uncertainty σisan within each isan were determined, respectively, as the arithmetic average and standard deviation about the mean of the individual SSp determinations. One advantage of this approach is that it prevents the highest-luminosity (or highest-Σ*) spaxels from dictating the result, since all spaxels subtended within an isan are given equal weight. Typically, three to seven isans are included within R b. As a next step, the mean t, M and t, L were spline-interpolated to a finer radius step, and a linear regression (both non-weighted and weighted by σisan) was computed within RPSF < R* ≤ R b, with RPSF set to 2′/6 in order to exclude the innermost PSF-affected part of age profiles from fits. From the latter, the mass- and light-weighted stellar age gradient (V⟨t⟩,M and V⟨t⟩,L, respectively) within the bulge was determined. Figure 1 illustrates this procedure on the example of the LTG CGCG 0163-062.

![Fig. 1. Illustration of the derivation of V⟨t⟩,M and V⟨t⟩,L in the bulge through linear fits (red lines) between RPSF and R b (blue and black vertical dashed lines, respectively) to spline-interpolated stellar age determinations (gray curves) within individual isan (markers). Error bars show the standard deviation about the mean of single-spaxel determinations within each isan.](image-url)
Fig. 2. Logarithm of total stellar mass $M_{\star,T}$ ($M_\odot$) vs. radial age gradient within the bulge radius $R_B$ in $Gyr/R_B$, as obtained from mass- and light-weighted bulge age determinations ($\nabla(t_\star)_M$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_T$; upper and lower panel, respectively). Symbols are color-coded according to the bulge classification scheme by BP18 (blue, green and red for iA, iB and iC bulges, respectively). Semi-transparent and solid markers correspond, respectively, to determinations from non-weighted and weighted linear fits. Bulges classified by BP18 as LINER (+) and Seyfert (x) are indicated. Dashed-dotted lines show non-weighted linear fits to weighted $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ determinations, the arithmetic average of which for the three bulge classes is depicted by stars.

Figure 2 shows $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ vs. LTG mass log($M_{\star,T}$), with error bars depicting formal uncertainties from weighted and non-weighted linear fits (semi-transparent and solid markers, respectively). The color coding corresponds to the tentative subdivision of bulges into the intervals iA, iB and iC (blue, green and red, respectively) according to $\delta(\log(\mu))$ (mag), which was defined by BP18 as the difference $\mu_{B,PIX} - \mu_{B,GET}$ between the mean $r$-band surface brightness of the present-day stellar component and that of stars older than 9 Gyr. Thus, a $\delta(\log(\mu)) \approx 0$ mag characterizes bulges that have completed their make-up earlier than 9 Gyr ago ($z \approx 1.34$), whereas a $\delta(\log(\mu))$ of, say, $-2.5$ mag translates into a contribution of 90% from stars younger than 9 Gyr. Breda & Papaderos (2018) showed that $\delta(\log(\mu))$ tightly correlates with physical and evolutionary properties of LTG bulges (e.g., stellar age, surface density and mass) and proposed it as a convenient means for their classification. The most massive, dense and old bulges fall onto the iC class ($\delta(\log(\mu)) \geq -0.5$ mag) whereas bulges classified as iA ($\delta(\log(\mu)) \leq -2.5$ mag) are the youngest and reside in the least massive LTGs. Spectroscopically classified after Baldwin et al. (1981), nearly all iA bulges fall on the locus of HII regions, whereas almost all bulges classified as LINER (+) and Seyfert (x) are hosted by LTGs with log($M_{\star,T}$) $>$ 10.5, as apparent from Fig. 2.

Linear fits to weighted determinations of age gradients (dashed-dotted lines) yield the relations $\nabla(t_\star)_M = -(1.73 \pm 0.15) \log(M_{\star,T}) + (17.0 \pm 1.56)$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_T = -(2.0 \pm 0.15) \log(M_{\star,T}) + (20.1 \pm 1.56)$.

Despite a large scatter, both panels of Fig. 2 consistently reveal a trend for an inversion of age gradients from positive to negative values with increasing galaxy mass: whereas iA bulges hosted by lower-$M_\Sigma$ LTGs show in their majority flat or positive age gradients, the opposite is the case for iB & iC bulges for which both $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ are generally negative with an average value of $\sim -2$ Gyr/R_B above log($M_{\star,T}$) $\approx 10.5$.

We note that this trend is highly unlikely to be driven by the outwardly increasing contribution of the star-forming disk, given that profile decomposition by BP18 yields that in most cases the latter provides a small fraction of the $r$-band emission at $R_B$, and $(t_\star)_T$ determinations are relatively insensitive to young stellar populations. Moreover, would disk contamination be strongly affecting mass-weighted age gradients within $R_B$, then one would expect low-mass (iA) bulges to be most prone to this effect and show negative $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ values, which is the opposite of the evidence from Fig. 2.

3. Discussion

Over the past years, observational evidence has been accumulating for a dependence of the slope of stellar age gradients on galaxy mass and luminosity (cf. Sect. 1). The present study adds further insights into this subject by virtue of the fact that it investigates for the first time a representative sample of local LTGs and determines age gradients within a clear-cut defined bulge radius. On the other hand, one should bear in mind some methodological limitations of this study. Of those, especially important is the age-metallicity-extinction degeneracy being inherent to all purely stellar population spectral synthesis codes (cf. BP18 for a further discussion). In the case of STARLIGHT, typical uncertainties of 0.1-0.15 dex on age determinations (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005, 2014) within individual starlight could propagate into errors in $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ at a level that we estimate to be $\sim 20\%$. The same applies to systematic errors arising from the neglect of nebular continuum emission: as demonstrated by Cardoso et al. (2017), already at 0.15 dex on age determinations (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005, 2014) within individual starlight could propagate into errors in $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ at a level that we estimate to be $\sim 20\%$. The same applies to systematic errors arising from the neglect of nebular continuum emission: as demonstrated by Cardoso et al. (2017), already at 0.15 dex on age determinations (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005, 2014) within individual starlight could propagate into errors in $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ at a level that we estimate to be $\sim 20\%$. The same applies to systematic errors arising from the neglect of nebular continuum emission: as demonstrated by Cardoso et al. (2017), already at 0.15 dex on age determinations (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005, 2014) within individual starlight could propagate into errors in $\nabla(t_\star)_T$ and $\nabla(t_\star)_M$ at a level that we estimate to be $\sim 20\%$.
to extend this study with metalliclicity determinations both in the stellar and nebular component, which is a forthcoming task. Interestingly, Tissera et al. (2016) find from cosmological simulations evidence for inside-out disk formation, with simulated disks with a mass around $10^{10} M_\odot$ showing steeper negative stellar metalliclicity gradients, in agreement with observational results (e.g., González Delgado et al. 2015).

One may consider two simple limiting cases for the bulge growth prior to the onset of ioSFQ, the first one employing the assumption that the sSFR within $R_0$ has initially been roughly spatially uniform (thus $\nabla (t_{b,M}) = 0$) and the second one assuming an inside-out bulge assembly process, thus a negative $\nabla (t_{b,M})$ of generic origin. In a simple gedankenexperiment, one can then convert in the first case the present-day $\nabla (t_{b,M})$ into a mean radial velocity $v_R$ (km s$^{-1}$) for a spheric-symmetric outwardly (inwardly) propagating front of SF quenching (shringk).

As apparent from Fig. 3, for the majority of bulges above $\log(M_{*B}) \approx 10$ (GB and IC class in the notation by BP18), this $v_R$ is in the range of 1–2 km s$^{-1}$, which is ~2 dex lower than the central stellar velocity dispersion of LTG bulges (150–200 km s$^{-1}$, Falcón-Barroso et al. 2016). This primaiy faice points against a brief energetic event (e.g., an AGN-driven blast wave or series of starbursts) that rapidly swept gas out of the bulge, thereby leading to an abrupt cessation of SF out to $R_0$. The inverse point at a present-day stellar mass of log($M_{*B}$) $\approx 10$ translates by the relations log($M_{*B}$) = 1.22 $\cdot$ log($M_{*T}$) - 2.87 and $(t_{b,M}) = 2.3 $ $\cdot$ log($M_{*B}$) - 13.44 by BP18 to an age of ~9.6 Gyr ($\tau = 0.4$) for the onset of ioSFQ. This suggests that, on the statistical average, LTGs with a present-day log($M_{*T}$) $\geq$10 have entered their qSFQ phase no later than ~4 Gyr after the Big Bang. To reconcile this with the observed age contrast between bulge center and periphery (~3 Gyr; cf. Fig. 2) in the most massive ($>$10$^{11} M_\odot$) LTGs, one then has to assume that all LTGs may have formed in a galaxy bulge evolution at a cosmic age of $\leq$1 Gyr ($z \geq 5.7$). The hypothesis that this process was driven by negative AGN feedback appears to be compatible with existing data, even though the peak of the average growth rate of super-massive black holes has occurred only at $z \approx 3$ (e.g., Shankar et al. 2009).

Admittedly, the assumption of a nearly constant $v_R$ is simplistic: for instance, by analogy to a SF-driven supershell that spherically expands against an ambient medium of constant density, one would expect the radius to grow as $R(t) \propto t^{1/3}$ and $t^{1/3}$ for the case of, respectively, an instantaneous and continuous injection of mechanical energy (e.g., De Young & Heckman 1994, see also McCray & Kafatos 1987). Furthermore, a stable, spheric-symmetrically expanding ioSFQ front is improbable in the presence of a turbulent multi-phase gas medium exposed to strongly directional outflows from an AGN, as several observations indicate (e.g., Kehrig et al. 2012, see Kormendy & Ho 2013 for a review).

As for the second hypothesis, it assumes a superposition of a pre-existing negative $\nabla(t_{b,M})$ with that subsequently arising through ioSFQ. Discriminating between both is clearly a formidable task, perhaps only possible through a combined chemodynamical decomposition of stellar populations and the nebular emission they are associated with. Obviously, if the observed $\nabla(t_{b,M})$'s of ~3 Gyr/R$_0$ are primarily of genetic origin, then massive (IB and IC) bulges cannot have formed quasi-monolithally ($<$1 Gyr. Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004, for a review), but instead over a prolonged phase of 2–3 Gyr that lasted until $z \approx 2.2$, as suggested by BP18. These authors proposed that bulges arise out of galactic disks on a timescale that is inversely related to the present-day LTG mass, with massive IC bulges forming in the most massive galaxies first, whereas the least massive IA bulges still assembling out of gaseous and stellar material from the disk.

This minimum timescale of 2–3 Gyr for the make-up of bulges is probably also consistent with the two-phase galaxy formation scenario by Oser et al. (2010), if the initial ($z \geq 2$) dissipational phase of in-situ SF in their simulations is chronologically associated with the dominant phase of bulge formation in the most massive LTGs. The same applies to the timescales predicted by bulge formation scenarios envisaging inward migration and coalescence of massive ($>10^9 M_\odot$) SF clumps emerging out of violent disk instabilities (Bournaud et al. 2007; Mandelker et al. 2014). As pointed out in BP18, a natural consequence from inward migration of SF clumps from the disk are negative age gradients in massive IC bulges, in agreement with the evidence from Fig. 2.

The large scatter in $\nabla(t_{b,M})$ in Fig. 2, even though partly due to observational and methodological uncertainties, suggests that there are multiple evolutionary pathways for bulges being bracketed by the two limiting cases of slow and quick ioSFQ. The spread of determinations at the I.h.s. of the diagram ($\nabla(t_{b,M}) \geq 0$) might reflect a various degree of intensity and confinement of SF to the center of low-mass bulges, possibly regulated by the inflow rate of intra-clump gas from the disk (Hopkins 2012) and influenced by minor wet mergers with gaseous entities from the galaxy itself and its surroundings.

As for bulges with $\nabla(t_{b,M}) < 0$, the documented spread in $\nabla(t_{b,M})$ might be accounted for by a superposition of different non-mutually exclusive processes. These include both negative and positive AGN feedback, the latter in the sense of fast nuclear outflows plunging into the ambient dense gas and triggering localized SF in the periphery of the bulge, this way promoting its growth (Maiolino et al. 2017) and further steepening negative age gradients. The role of bars for this phase and over the whole bulge evolution is most certainly another important

---

Fig. 3. Logarithm of total stellar mass $M_{*B}$ vs. age gradient (determinations from weighted linear fits) translated into an average inside-out SF quenching velocity ($v_R$; negative values) or outside-in SF shrinking velocity (positive values) in the bulge. Dashed-dotted lines show linear fits. The meaning of symbols is identical to that in Fig. 2.
unknown: Gadotti & dos Anjos (2001) found mostly negative U-B and B-V color gradients, with flat or positive gradients mostly in barred galaxies.

The expected imprints of morphological quenching or gas starvation (cf. Sect. 1) on stellar age gradients in the bulge has, to our best knowledge, not been quantitatively explored from the theoretical point of view. Whereas both of these processes act toward shutting off SF all over R_b, thus probably have no dominant effect on pre-existing age gradients, it cannot be excluded that they favor, over a certain period at least, a non-uniform sSFR within the bulge, eventually leaving an imprint on \( \nabla (t_\star B) / \mathcal{L}_M \) and contributing to its scatter. The resolution of current cosmological simulations is insufficient for spatially resolving the bulge and carrying out a comparative investigation of the expected \( \nabla (t_\star B) / \mathcal{L}_M \) for the above scenarios. Moreover, contrary to an AGN, one cannot switch on and off gas inflow onto the bulge in order to quantitatively assess the effect of inhibition of gas transfer from the cosmic web (Dekel et al. 2009) or the local galaxy environment (e.g., Peng et al. 2015). Additionally, the investment in computational time for higher-resolution simulations appears justifiable only after an improvement of contemporary models, which in some respects suffer from significant deficits. For instance, simulations on larger spatial scales (out to R_e) by Hirschmann et al. (2013) and Choi et al. (2017) always predict strongly positive age gradients in massive (\( \log (M_*, \mathcal{L}/M_\odot) > 10 \)) galaxies (Hirschmann et al. 2015), which indicates that better prescriptions or additional physical processes need to be implemented.

4. Summary and conclusions

The trend for an inversion of the slope of radial stellar age gradients from positive values in young low-mass bulges to negative values for old massive bulges, here solidified through a homogeneous analysis of representative sample of local late-type galaxies from the CALIFA survey, likely encodes crucial information on the physical drivers of bulge growth and the ensuing inside-out cessation of star-forming activity.

For massive bulges (\( \log (M_*, \mathcal{L}/M_\odot) > 10 \)), we distinguish between two simple limiting cases, the first one attributing stellar age gradients to an inside-out quenching of star formation, and the second one viewing them as relics from the bulge formation process. In the first case, and assuming that prior to ioSFQ bulges had a spatially uniform age, the observed gradients translate into a mean inside-out SFQ velocity of 1–2 km s\(^{-1}\), which is consistent with a gradual evacuation or thermalization of a cold gas being susceptible to star formation. If due to negative AGN feedback, this could hint at a mild growth of super-massive black holes in tandem with the bulge. However, alternative mechanisms for the shut-off of star formation (e.g., morphological quenching or inhibition of cold gas inflow from the halo and the cosmic web) cannot be excluded given the lack of quantitative theoretical constraints on the radial age patterns these processes could leave behind.

In the second scenario, that is, assuming that the observed age gradients portray the bulge formation process, then the range of values inferred (~3 Gyr/R_b) argue against a quasi-monolithic build-up and point instead to a prolonged formation phase that could have been driven by a superposition of inward migration of star-forming clumps from the disk and in situ star formation. Quite importantly, if the observed age gradients in bulges are mainly of genetic origin, then one cannot rule out a quick ioSFQ episode following an energetic AGN outburst, given that such a process would leave virtually no imprints on pre-existing age patterns in the stellar component.

As the large scatter (1.7 Gyr/R_b) of age gradients at a given mass suggests, the evolutionary pathways of galaxy bulges are far more complex (see Fig. 4), and possibly shaped by a mixture of the two aforementioned limiting scenarios with, for instance, positive AGN feedback, bulge-bar interaction and possibly also morphological quenching and gas starvation. A further exploration of the age gradient vs. stellar mass relation with higher-resolution IFS data and detailed numerical simulations, incorporating realistic recipes for star formation and AGN-driven feedback, appears to be of considerable interest and fundamental to the development of a coherent picture on the assembly history of late-type galaxies and their structural components.
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