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Wave-induced surge conditions are found in shallow marine ecosystems worldwide; yet, few studies have quantified how cyclical surges may free swimming animals. Here, we used a recently adapted respirometry technique to compare the energetic costs of a temperate fish species (*Cymatogaster aggregata*) swimming against a steady flow versus cyclical unidirectional and bidirectional surges in which unsteady swimming (such as accelerating, decelerating and turning) occurs. Using oxygen uptake (\(\dot{M}O_2\)) as an estimate of energetic costs, our results reveal that fish swimming in an unsteady (i.e. cyclical) unidirectional flow showed no clear increase in costs when compared to a steady flow of the same average speed, suggesting that costs and savings from cyclical acceleration and coasting are near equal. Conversely, swimming in a bidirectional cyclical flow incurred significantly higher energetic costs relative to a steady, constant flow, likely due to the added cost of turning around to face the changing flow direction. On average, we observed a 50% increase in \(\dot{M}O_2\) of fish station holding within the bidirectional flow (227.8 mg O₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) compared to a steady, constant flow (136.1 mg O₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) of the same mean velocity. Given wave-driven surge zones are prime fish habitats in the wild, we suggest the additional costs fish incur by station holding in a bidirectional cyclical flow must be offset by favourable conditions for foraging and reproduction. With current and future increases in abiotic stressors associated with climate change, we highlight the importance of incorporating additional costs associated with swimming in cyclical water flow in the construction of energy budgets for species living in dynamic, coastal habitats.
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Introduction

Coastal marine ecosystems typically experience dynamic, cyclical water flows (e.g. wave and tide-induced currents). Cyclical flows are beneficial for marine organisms as they transport prey and olfactory cues essential for foraging and survival and can also provide a means of passive transport for migration or dispersal (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Hart and Finelli, 1999, Webb, 1989). Individual fishes inhabiting coastal environments, however, may have to endure challenging conditions, particularly if they need to maintain position in favourable areas or avoid displacement (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Hart and Finelli, 1999, Liao, 2007). Station holding is a common behaviour seen in fishes that take advantage of specific habitats for foraging, mating and reproduction (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Heatwole and Fulton, 2013, Webb, 1989). Species displaying station-holding behaviour often show high site fidelity above a substratum and therefore must swim to accommodate daily hydrodynamic fluctuations (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Hart and Finelli, 1999, Johansen et al., 2007, Webb, 1989). Given the high number of species found in surge zones (Connell, 1978, Denny, 2006, Vijay Anand and Pillai, 2003), it has long been speculated that any excess energetic costs associated with continuous station holding must be offset by the benefits of residing in the area (Fulton and Bellwood, 2003). In addition, since swimming costs are thought to be higher in wave-swept areas (Enders et al., 2003, Roche et al., 2014), any adaptations to reduce elevated costs may be under strong selective pressure (Fulton et al., 2013, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019); furthermore, this pressure may be increasing as enhanced wind speeds, wave heights and severity of storm surge in coastal areas is expected, due to climate change (McCarthy et al., 2001, Parry et al., 2007, Young et al., 2011). Whilst station holding can be ecologically beneficial, it also comes at a cost as resident fishes continuously have to choose between maintaining position and incurring additional energetic costs or seeking refuge from water flow (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Johansen et al., 2008, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019).

Characteristics associated with dynamic flows, including changing water velocities, turbulence and oscillations in flow direction, are most pronounced in shallow, rocky reefs and shorelines (Denny, 2006, Johansen, 2014, Johansen et al., 2007). In such environments, station-holding fish must continuously adjust to meet ambient surge conditions. For example, as the wave-surge increases, fish occupying the water column must accelerate and decelerate to maintain spatial position, therefore incurring an elevated demand of energy, whilst the subsequent reduction in wave-surge allows for passive coasting (Roche et al., 2014). Additionally, oscillations in flow direction may require active reorientation to face the into flow (Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019). Conversely, demersal species (i.e. species associated with the seafloor) may exhibit morphological and behavioural traits allowing them to reduce exposure to ambient hydrodynamics, by refuging and residing near the substrate (Johansen et al., 2008, Johansen et al., 2007, Webb, 1989).

Energetic costs of swimming have been determined by measuring oxygen uptake rates (Nelson, 2016, Roche et al., 2014, Svendsen et al., 2016); however, standard swimming performance experiments are predominantly examined using stepwise changes in velocity with constant flows (Beamish, 1964, Brett, 1964, Brett, 1972, Brett, 1971, Kramer and McLaughlin, 2001, Liao, 2007, Marras et al., 2015, Steffensen et al., 1984), which does not account for additional energetic costs associated with swimming in flow regimes with varying velocities (Enders et al., 2003, Liao, 2007, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Roche et al., 2014). More recently, studies have included metabolic estimates of fish swimming in turbulent or cyclic flows. For example, Enders et al. (2003) determined that forced swimming models underestimate the true costs of swimming in turbulent flow by two to 4-fold for juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). A study by van der Hoop et al. (2018) found a reduction in oxygen uptake by a temperate labriform swimmer, the shiner perch (Cymatogaster aggregata), when it experienced highly turbulent flow suggesting that fish may also be able to take advantage of the physical properties of uneven flow regimes to decrease energetic costs if refuge is not available. In addition, Roche et al. (2014) found that when C. aggregata was exposed to a cyclical flow treatment (consisting of a repeatable, unidirectional surge), they incurred an increase in energetic costs by 25.3% when compared to constant flow treatments at the same mean speed (Roche et al., 2014). However, many natural surge habitats are exposed to bidirectional flows in which the flow periodically reverses its direction. In such types of flow, fish must make a whole-body rotation whilst maintaining position to continuously face the direction of the flow (Blake and Chan, 2010, Hammer et al., 1988, Heatwole and Fulton, 2013). Fulton and Bellwood (2005) showed that median-paired fin (MPF sensu Webb 1984) swimming fishes (i.e. those swimming predominantly with the dorsal, anal or pectoral fins) were most abundant in tropical coral reef environments with high, wave-induced water velocities, suggesting that these fish are better suited to manoeuvre and withstand dynamic conditions. Indeed, Marcoux and Korsmeyer (2019) recently measured how energetic costs differ amongst four types of swimming modes for tropical coral reef fishes station holding in bidirectional, oscillatory flow conditions. The costs of swimming in the median-paired fin swimmers (Steffen lamen bursa and Ctenochaetus strigosus) were less affected by increases in the frequency of bidirectional flow than that of axial swimmers (Kuhlia xenura and Kuhlia sandwichensis) using undulations of the body and caudal fin, confirming that non-body locomotion (i.e. MPF swimming) may be more favourable in reef habitats or areas with high amounts of wave-surge (Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019). Accordingly, Schakmann et al. (2020) recently compared the net costs of swimming of a tropical, coral reef fish C. strigosus, in a steady, unidirectional and bidirectional flow regimes.
of varying frequencies and amplitudes, concluding that the costs of station holding in a bidirectional flow increase 2-fold compared to steady flow, potentially driven by the physical act of turning. Whilst this study was a major advance in current knowledge about energetic costs of swimming in wave-driven, cyclical flow environments, this is a virtually unexplored topic of research across species, ecosystems and latitudes, and its impact on animal energy budgets and species distributions remains uncertain.

In the present study, we measured swimming costs of a temperate species in simulated wave-driven water movements, similar to the surge regime experienced by coastal fishes, and compared how these costs differed from costs incurred in a steady, constant flow. We used C. aggregata as a model species due to its natural occurrence in coastal surge areas (Roche et al., 2014, Shaw and Allen, 1977). Water flows were generated from standardized, repeatable, sinusoidal wave functions, one with periodic reversals in flow (bidirectional cyclical flow), and a similar velocity function with flows in only one direction (i.e. unidirectional cyclical flow). Additionally, we calculated two predicted values for both cyclical flows, which were used to isolate the energetic costs of certain behaviours (i.e. accelerating, decelerating and turning) associated with swimming in cyclical flow regimes.

This study tested the following main hypotheses in terms of the energetic cost of swimming in the three treatments (steady, unidirectional and bidirectional flow): (i) fish swimming in a uni- or bidirectional cyclical flow have a predicted MO2 (MO2,P1) that corresponds to that calculated based on swimming at a constant speed (i.e. at the absolute mean flow velocity of the uni- and bidirectional cyclical treatments), implying no additional costs of accelerating, decelerating and turning; (ii) fish swimming in a uni- or bidirectional cyclical flow have a predicted MO2 (MO2,P2) that corresponds to the MO2 of fish in the steady, constant flow treatment integrated over the entire velocity cycle, implying that accelerating, decelerating and turning cause additional energetic costs; (iii) fish swimming in a bidirectional flow incur additional aerobic energetic costs compared to swimming in a unidirectional flow, caused by turning to maintain position and direction against the flow.

**Methods**

**Experimental animals and husbandry**

*Cymatogaster aggregata* (*n* = 7, steady speed treatment; *n* = 11 repeated measures for uni- and bidirectional treatments; total length = 11.72 ± 0.36 cm; mass = 21.37 ± 1.75 g; mean ± s.d.) were caught using a beach seine on San Juan Island, Washington, USA (48°32’N 123°05’W) during August 2017. Fish were held at the Friday Harbor Laboratories of the University of Washington in flow-through tanks, supplied by natural seawater (mean ± s.d., temperature, 12.8 ± 1.2°C; salinity, 34 ppt) and held under a natural light regime (16:8 h). No additional food was provided during husbandry—however, fish fed freely on plankton in the water. Fish habituated in husbandry tanks for at least 24 h prior experimental trials. All methods were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Washington (IACUC protocol number 4238-03).

**Experimental setup**

Swimming performance and oxygen uptake rates were measured by intermittent flow-respirometry in a 8.45 L of clear acrylic, Steffensen-type swimming respirometer (Methling et al., 2011, Steffensen et al., 1984), with a working section of 9.0 × 26.0 × 10.0 cm (width × length × depth), and a flow straightener added to the downstream end of the working section. The respirometer was immersed in a 35.8 L of experimental tank connected to an aerated 81 L of water sump, and water was circulated through a UV filter (TetraPond 19 520, 120 V, 60 Hz; 9.8 W) to minimize microbial respiration and reduce background oxygen uptake. Additionally, the chamber was cleaned with a bleach solution every 2 days to remove microbial growth.

Water oxygen tension was measured with a fibre optic oxygen sensor (Fibox 3, Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). The zero calibration was done in a mixture of sodium thiosulfate and a pinch of Borax (sodium borate). All oxygen tension measurements were monitored and logged with AutoResp V1 (Loligo Systems, Copenhagen, Denmark). Temperature was maintained at 13.0 ± 0.1°C (mean ± s.d.) and regulated by a programmable relay (PR-5714D, PR Electronics, Denmark), which controlled a submersible Eheim pump in connection with a cooling unit (Lauda Brinkmann, RM20 refrigerating circulating bath).

Water velocity and direction (unidirectional and bidirectional) were continuously controlled by Labtech Notebook (https://www.sigma.com/), via an analogue output to a motor-unit (Movitrac AC VFD, SEW EURODRIVE, Lyman, SC, USA) via an ADDA converter (Measurement Computing). Solid blocking effects of the trial fish in the swimming respirometer were corrected according to Bell and Terhune (1970).

**Water velocity calibration**

Water velocity (cm/s) in a constant, microturbulent flow was calibrated using a digital flow meter (TAD W30, Höntzsch, Waiblingen, Germany). The digital flow meter was inserted in the working section of the swim tunnel at three different levels (bottom, middle and top) to ensure homogenous water velocity throughout the working area. Starting from a static system, the motor voltage (V) was increased, and the corresponding flow was recorded every 0.5 V.

The water velocity throughout the unidirectional and bidirectional wave cycles was analyzed and calibrated using digital particle image velocimetry (DPIV) to generate a velocity curve over an entire flow period. A 532-nm, 50-mW laser...
Table 1: Water flow characteristics of the unidirectional and bidirectional flow regimes; the period for both flow regimes was 5 s (frequency = 0.2 Hz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water flow regime</th>
<th>Minimum speed (BL s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean speed (BL s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Maximum speed (BL s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Amplitude (BL s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unidirectional</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidirectional</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

line module locator (Laserland, Besram Technologies) was mounted above the swim flume and illuminated a thin sheet along the working area. Video was captured at 120 frames per second (FPS) at 640 x 480 pixels with an Olympus TG-4 (Olympus Australia Pty Ltd, Macquarie Park, NSW).

Artemia cysts (Sander’s Premium Great Salt Lake Artemia Cysts) were used as neutrally buoyant tracer particles for the DPIV measurements. The cysts were prepared by soaking for 40 min (Lauder and Clark, 1984), after which cysts at the surface were removed and the solution of neutrally buoyant cysts were added to the swim tunnel. Images for DPIV were analyzed using Logger Pro (Vernier, Beaverton, OR). Three particles per frame were tracked over three continuous frames (three frames, 0.025 s) over three entire flow period (5 s; 600 frames) for each dynamic flow (uni- and bidirectional). Velocity was calculated by averaging the distance the particle travelled over each measurement period across the top, middle and bottom of the swim tunnel. A description of each flow regime is described in Equations (3) to (4) below (Table 1, bottom of the swim tunnel. A description of each flow period=5s). The unidirectional flow is described as $y = 0.73 \sin(2\pi t) + 1.41$ and the bidirectional flow is described as $y = 2.18 \sin(2\pi t) t$. Predicted oxygen uptake rates are presented over the cyclical changes in velocity for both uni- ($MO_{2,P2-UNI}$; dashed blue line) and bidirectional ($MO_{2,P2-BI}$; dashed gold line) flows. Predicted values were calculated by integrating the sinusoidal equation [Equation (3)] and the modified sinusoidal equation [Equation (4)] into the power function for $MO_2$ and constant swimming speed ($U_s$) Equation (2), thus producing Equations (7) and (8), respectively. Mean $MO_{2,P2-UNI}$ and mean $MO_{2,P2-BI}$ were used for comparison to the uni- and bidirectional $MO_2$ measurements (Table 2).

Oxygen uptake

Oxygen uptake rates ($MO_2$: mg O₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) were used as a proxy for oxidative metabolism at various swimming speed regimes (Beamish, 1964, Chabot et al., 2016, Nelson, 2016). The $MO_2$ was calculated from the linear regression of the decline in oxygen tension as function of time (Steffensen et al., 1984, Svendsen et al., 2016):

$$MO = \alpha \Delta O_2 V_{resp} M_{fish}^{-1}$$ Equation (1)

where $\Delta O_2$ is the slope of the decline in oxygen partial pressure as function of time, $\alpha$ is the oxygen solubility of the water, $V_{resp}$ is the volume of the respirometer minus the volume of the fish (L) assuming the density of the water equals the density of the fish (Green and Carritt, 1967) and $M_{fish}$ is the mass of the fish. Microbial (i.e. background) respiration was determined prior and after each measurement trial with an extended measurement phase of 30 min and the average subtracted from $MO_2$ measurements of the corresponding fish.

Experimental protocol

To ensure enough space for the fish to turn within the working chamber of the respirometer in the bidirectional flow treatment, the dimensions of the respirometer were at least 14 cm longer than the average fish in the chamber, and the length of the fish was no more than 23% longer than the width of the flume. Given that the fish bends to turn, this ensured sufficient space and preliminary observations of fish in the bidirectional flow confirmed this; however, the mean respirometer:organism volume:ratio was, on average, 398:1, thereby exceeding the recommendations (<150:1) for swimming respirometers according to Svendsen et al. (2016). Therefore, to ensure linear decline (with an $r^2 \geq 0.86$) of oxygen as a function of time, oxygen uptake rates were determined from a series of continuously, intermittent measurement cycles, consisting of a 900-s measurement phase, followed by a 240-s flush and 60-s mixing phase.

Unidirectional swimming at steady velocities

$MO_2$ [Equation (2)] at steady, unidirectional water flow velocities was established following a modified standard critical swimming speed protocol ($U_{crit}$; Table 2 and Fig. 2)
(Brett, 1964). Prior to each swimming trial, individual fish were introduced to the respirometer and allowed to habituate at a steady flow velocity of 0.5 BL s⁻¹, until $MO_2$ stabilized, typically between 3 and 4 h (Chabot et al., 2016, Roche et al., 2014). Water flow velocity ($U$) was incrementally increased by 0.5 BL s⁻¹ following every third measurement cycle. Swimming speeds were selected below gait-transitioning ($U_{pc}$) to ensure fish were demonstrating aerobic swimming (Roche et al., 2014). Measurements were stopped after three measurements at $U = 3.0$ BL s⁻¹, and all individuals were transferred to their holding tanks. No fish were observed to fatigue at any of the flow velocities examined. To avoid overuse of the fish, the seven fish that underwent the modified $U_{crit}$ protocol were not used in the cyclical flow treatments.

A three-parameter, hydrodynamic-based power function was fit to $MO_2$ as a function of swimming speed ($U$) (Korsmeyer et al., 2002) for the constant flow swimming protocol. The relationship is described by the following Equation (2):

$$MO_2 = a + b \cdot U^c$$

where $a$ is equal to the theoretical oxygen uptake at standard metabolic rate (SMR) at zero speed ($MO_2$ SMR), $b$ is the linear coefficient and $c$ is the power to which water flow velocity, $U$, is raised.

### Uni- and bidirectional swimming treatments at varying velocities

A computer-generated sine-wave function [Equation (3)] simulated moderate wave periods (5 s) representative of those found in the San Juan Islands, Washington, inshore coasts (Finlayson, 2006, Roche et al., 2014) (Fig. 1). The sinusoidal oscillation in velocity over time is described by the following Equation (3):

$$U(t) = \alpha \cdot \sin(2\pi ft)$$

where $U$ is the water velocity as function of time $t$ (s), $f$ is the frequency (0.2 Hz) and $\alpha$ equals the velocity amplitude (BL s⁻¹) during the sinusoidal wave. Using this equation, we attempted to create two different cyclic flow regimes:
(i) applying the sinusoidal wave function properties to the flow regime, the velocity follows a sine wave with half the period in one direction (positive) and the other half in the opposite direction (negative) with the velocity crossing zero every 2.5 s (Finlayson, 2006) (hereafter referred to as bidirectional cyclical flow; Fig. 1, red line); and (ii) taking the absolute value of the sinusoidal wave function [Equation (3)] thereby generating all velocities in the same direction with the velocity approaching zero every 2.5 s (hereafter referred to as unidirectional cyclical flow; Fig. 1, black line). Both the uni- and bidirectional flow regimes were programmed to have an amplitude corresponding to \( \sim 70\% \) of \( U_{\text{crit}} \) for \( C. \ aggregata \) (\( \sim 3.0 \) BL s\(^{-1}\)) therefore ensuring that maximum speeds did not cause anaerobically driven gait transitioning in this species (Roche et al., 2014). Flow calibration confirmed that the unidirectional flow obtained a mean amplitude of 0.73 ± 0.05 BL s\(^{-1}\) (± s.e.) and a maximum speed of 2.14 BL s\(^{-1}\) whilst the bidirectional flow obtained an amplitude and maximum speed of 2.18 ± 0.03 BL s\(^{-1}\) (± s.e., Table 1). Lastly, the combined effects of inertial forces and the duration of the wave period did not allow the unidirectional flow to completely stop at zero, as described by Equation (4) (Schakmann et al., 2020):

\[
U(t) = [a \cdot \sin(2\pi ft)] + e \quad \text{Equation (4)}
\]

where \( U \) is the water velocity as function of time \( t \) (s), \( f \) is the frequency (0.2 Hz) and \( a \) equals the amplitude (BL s\(^{-1}\)) above the elevation \( (e) \) and \( e \) is the elevation of the waveform above zero, corresponding to the minimum speed (BL s\(^{-1}\)) (Schakmann et al., 2020). Characteristics of the uni- and bidirectional flow regimes are described in Table 1.

To ensure there was no confounding effect of treatment order, each individual experienced both the unidirectional and bidirectional treatments and were randomly assigned that treatment they would experience first. Oxygen uptake rates were measured through three measurement cycles for one treatment (for a total of 1 h), before returning to the initial constant flow (0.5 BL s\(^{-1}\)). The fish was then allowed to settle down for 1 h and restore their baseline \( \dot{M}O_2 \) (steady state), before being exposed to the other cyclical flow treatment for an additional three measurement cycles. Finally, to ensure our results were not driven by a learning behaviour of the fish (i.e. improving in performance over time) for three individual fish that ended on the bidirectional measurement, we conducted extended measurements of oxygen consumption in a subset of individuals (see Supplementary Figure 2).

**Predicted oxygen uptake rates**

Measured rates of oxygen uptake during the cyclical flow treatments were each compared with the two predicted values:

1. The first predicted value (\( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P1} \)) was based on the assumption that, if there are no additional costs associated with the varying speeds and flow directions of the uni- or bidirectional flow cycles, then a fish in either treatment would exhibit the same \( \dot{M}O_2 \) as that measured at the same absolute mean velocity of the steady, constant flow, from the modified \( U_{\text{crit}} \) protocol. To calculate this value for each cyclical flow, we first generated a three-parameter hydrodynamic power function [Equation (2)] for each of the seven fish that experienced the modified \( U_{\text{crit}} \) protocol (Fig. 2, black dashed lines). The average absolute water velocity for the unidirectional and bidirectional flow were calculated using the equations, \( a \cdot 2/\pi + e \) and \( a \cdot 2/\pi \), respectively (Table 1). As a result, \( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P1} \) was calculated for both the uni- and bidirectional flow characteristics:

\[
\dot{M}O_2,\text{P1-UNI} = a + b \cdot (a \cdot 2/\pi + e) \quad \text{Equation (5)}
\]

\[
\dot{M}O_2,\text{P1-BI} = a + b \cdot (a \cdot 2/\pi) \quad \text{Equation (6)}
\]

(2) The second predicted value (\( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P2} \)) was based on the mean \( \dot{M}O_2 \) of a fish that corresponds to all the speeds encountered during the uni- and bidirectional flow cycle as determined in the stepwise, constant speed (\( U_{\text{crit}} \)) modified protocol [Fig. 1, dashed blue (unidirectional) and gold (bidirectional) lines]. This was achieved by integrating the area under the curve of the hydrodynamic power function [Equation (2)], generated from the relationship between \( U \) and \( \dot{M}O_2 \) (Fig. 2), over the sinusoidal changes in velocity of the uni- and bidirectional flows [Equations (3) to (4), respectively] for each of the seven fish. The \( \dot{M}O_2 \) at a given time during the cycle was calculated as follows:

\[
\dot{M}O_2,\text{P2-UNI}(t) = a + b \cdot (|a \cdot \sin(2\pi ft)| + e) \quad \text{Equation (7)}
\]

\[
\dot{M}O_2,\text{P2-BI}(t) = a + b \cdot (a \cdot \sin(2\pi ft)) \quad \text{Equation (8)}
\]

The resulting \( \dot{M}O_2 \) values for each flow [Fig. 1, dashed blue (unidirectional) and gold (bidirectional) lines] were averaged over a complete cycle for each fish, and a mean was calculated for each flow, providing us with the second predicted \( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P2} \) of fish in the cyclical flow treatments, \( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P2-UNI} \) and \( \dot{M}O_2,\text{P2-BI} \). Therefore, these theoretical values were used to test the hypothesis that fish in the uni- and bidirectional flow treatments have costs equivalent to swimming at steady speeds over the range of cyclic velocities experienced, accounting for the non-linear relationship between \( \dot{M}O_2 \) and speed.
but incur no additional costs of accelerating, breaking and, in the bidirectional flow, turning.

Data analysis
A two-sample t-test was used to compare MO2 of the uni- and bidirectional cyclical flow treatments to each of their respective predicted values (MO2,P1-UNI, MO2,P2-UNI, MO2,P1-BI and MO2,P2-BI). MO2,P1 and MO2,P2 values for each fish and each cyclical flow regime were generated from the individual power functions of the fish that underwent a modified Ucrit protocol (Table 2). All statistical analyses were completed using R (version 3.2.2) (R Core Team, 2016).

Results
Steady velocity swimming and predicted oxygen uptake rates
The three-parameter hydrodynamic-based power function describing the relationship between oxygen uptakes (MO2) as a function of swimming speed (U) in the modified Ucrit protocol (0.5–3 BL s^-1; Fig. 2, solid black line; R^2 = 0.75) for all fish (n = 7) was:

MO2 = 120.27±7.12 + 6.92±4.70U^2±31±6.09 Equation (9)

The mean MO2,P1 at the average absolute swimming velocity for the unidirectional and bidirectional flow was 148.4 ± 13.2 and 136.1 ± 13.7 mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1, respectively (mean ± s.d.; Table 2, Figs 2 and 3). The predicted mean MO2,P2 for the unidirectional and bidirectional flow was 151.1 ± 16.6 and 143.7 ± 18.1 mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1, respectively (mean ± s.d.; Table 2, Fig. 3).

Uni- and bidirectional swimming treatments at varying velocities
There were no significant differences between MO2 in the unidirectional flow (154.7 ± 19.5 mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1, mean ± s.d.) compared to both MO2,P1-UNI (two sample t-test; t = 0.816, df = 15.86, P = 0.42) and MO2,P2-UNI (two sample t-test; t = 0.321, df = 14.473, P = 0.75) (Fig. 3). However, there were significant differences between the MO2 in the bidirectional flow (227.8 ± 29.1 mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1, mean ± s.d.) compared to both MO2,P1-BI (two sample t-test; t = 9.005, df = 15.134, P < 0.001) and MO2,P2-BI (two sample t-test; t = 7.564, df = 15.999, P < 0.001), with an increase of 50 and 45%, respectively (Fig. 3).

Discussion
Through the use of a recently adapted respirometry technique, we demonstrate the importance of incorporating dynamic, cyclical flow regimes when estimating energetic costs of species living in coastal environments (Liao, 2007, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Schakmann et al., 2020, Webb, 1984). Most open shorelines rarely experience prolonged periods of still water or constant flows as wind-driven oscillatory waves, varying in frequency and amplitude, are ubiquitous to coastal environments (Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Fulton et al., 2013, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Schakmann et al., 2020). Assuming that fishes living in coastal, intertidal environments only swim at steady speeds is therefore likely to misrepresent the true costs of swimming (Roche et al., 2014, Webb, 1989). Here, we found C. aggregata may incur a 50% (91.7 mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1) increase in oxygen uptake for station holding in a bidirectional cyclical flow compared to swimming in a steady flow with the same mean velocity (MO2,P1-BI). Fish in the unidirectional flow, which had to constantly accelerate and decelerate to overcome inertia, without changing their orientation, did not have significantly increased costs compared to steady swimming at the same mean velocity (MO2,P1-UNI, <5%) or accounting for the range of velocities (MO2,P2-UNI, <2%). Therefore, we can assume that costs associated with accelerating are either negligible or the savings associated with coasting/decelerating offset the cost of acceleration. Based on this result, the excess energetic

Figure 3: Oxygen uptake rate (MO2; mg O2 kg^-1 h^-1) for unidirectional and bidirectional cyclical flow treatments (n = 11) compared to the two predicted values for each treatment (n = 7). Boxes represent the first- and third quartiles; bold lines indicate median values. Whiskers denote variability outside of the quartiles and open circles represent outliers. Black circles represent individual values for each fish. MO2,P1 values for each flow regime are generated from the assumption that fish in a cyclical flow would have the same MO2 at the same absolute mean swimming speed as in a stepwise constant flow (Equations (5) to (6), respectively). Mean MO2,P2 values for each flow regime are derived from integrating the modified sinusoidal wave function in the hydrodynamic power function for MO2 (Equation (7) to (8), respectively). There was no significant difference between MO2 of the unidirectional flow compared to either predicted value; however, there was a significant difference between MO2 of the bidirectional flow compared to both predicted values (P < 0.001)
costs of swimming in a bidirectional cyclical flow are likely predominantly driven by the cost of turning as the fish is continuously required to physically turn around and reorient itself as water direction changes (Schakmann et al., 2020).

It is difficult to quantify the costs associated with constant swimming and maneuvering of wild fish displaying a station-holding behaviour in hydrodynamic environments (Carlson and Lauder, 2011, Hart and Finelli, 1999, Johansen et al., 2007, Webb, 1989); however, continuous acceleration and deceleration associated with swimming in a cyclical wave surge is believed to be more energetically costly than maintaining a constant swimming speed (Heatwole and Fulton, 2013, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Roche et al., 2014). Surprisingly, our results revealed this not to be true, supporting the findings from Schakmann et al. (2020), which did not find a significant increase in the net costs of swimming for _C. strigosus_ in a unidirectional, cyclical flow. One possible explanation for this could be because fish in the unidirectional cyclical flow may exert additional energy to accelerate with increasing flow velocity but then conserve energy whilst decelerating as the flow decreases, therefore allowing these two parts of the wave cycle to energetically offset one another (Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Schakmann et al., 2020). Furthermore, Roche et al. (2014) concluded _C. aggregata_ would decrease the number of fin beats during the deceleration phase therefore transitioning into a gliding behaviour and conserving energy. By comparing our unidirectional measurement to that predicted from steady swimming costs over the cycle (MO$_{2,2-UNI}$), we were able to determine that the costs of continuously accelerating and breaking to overcome inertia were not significantly different from the costs to overcome drag at the velocities experienced during the wave cycle. Our findings are in contradiction to Roche et al. (2014) who found a 14.2% increase between predicted MO$_2$ representative of the non-linear relationship between oxygen uptake and swimming speed (equivalent to our MO$_{2,2-UNI}$) and their unidirectional cyclical flow at high amplitude (1.0 BLs$^{-1}$), indicating an added cost of the acceleration and deceleration. One possible explanation for the differences between our findings and those of Roche et al. (2014) could be the fish in our study were smaller, being on average 24% shorter (TL) and weighing 74% less, and therefore experiencing less drag due to their smaller surface area. Our study required relatively small fish that were able to turn around in the chamber for the bidirectional cyclical flow treatment without interacting with the surrounding sides of the respirometer. This may have aided in energy conservation as fish in our study had a slightly larger working area (i.e. an extra ~0.5 body lengths) with which they could decelerate whilst the larger fish used by Roche et al. (2014) may also have used more energy (i.e. higher MO$_2$) because of their higher inertia and need for active deceleration/breaking to maintain station holding with a smaller swimming section of the respirometer.

Although the comparison between MO$_2$ of fish in the unidirectional flow and the bidirectional flow needs to be done with caution, due to the differences in flow characteristics (Table 1), a few distinctions are worth discussing. The unidirectional flow had a higher mean swimming speed than the bidirectional flow; yet, the MO$_2$ of swimming in the bidirectional flow was 47% greater. Therefore, our estimates of costs associated with turning are likely to be conservative. Additionally, whilst this study measured MO$_2$ at a single frequency and amplitude (Table 1), our results are supported by a previous study on a tropical reef fish using additional frequencies and amplitudes (Schakmann et al., 2020). Specifically, Schakmann et al. (2020) also found costs associated with accelerating and decelerating to be negligible relative to the costs of turning 180° whilst station holding in bidirectional, cyclical flows.

Species that are morphologically or behaviourally adapted for minimizing costs in complex and dynamic water flows are expected to be most prevalent in coastal and coral reef ecosystems (Fulton et al., 2013, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019). _Cymatogaster aggregata_ are pectoral fin, or labiform, swimmers (Roche et al., 2014), and therefore based on findings from Marcoux and Korsmeyer (2019) are less sensitive to increases in wave frequency or direction changes in wave-surge habitats compared with fishes using swimming modes involving body and caudal fin undulations (Blake, 2004, Fulton, 2007, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Webb, 1994). This conclusion is consistent with previous studies that have found fishes with the pectoral fin swimming mode to be predominant in coral reef ecosystems exposed to high wave action (Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Fulton et al., 2013). For species in coastal habitats that experience dynamic water flow, increased fitness may be dependent on their swimming morphology and phenotypic plasticity (Binning and Roche, 2015, Binning et al., 2014, Fulton et al., 2013). For example, damsel fish ( _Acanthochromis polyacanthus_ ) collected from exposed, high wave-energy sites had a 36% larger aerobic capacity and 33% faster critical swimming speed than those that were collected in sheltered locations (Binning et al., 2014). Furthermore, species assemblage, swimming mode and intraspecific phenotypic plasticity have all been linked to environmental water flow characteristics (Binning and Roche, 2015, Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Fulton et al., 2013, Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019). Because many coastal areas are directly vulnerable to changes in wave climate, species that are not capable of adapting to increases in storm surge and wave amplitudes may be forced to move to less suitable habitat if sheltering is not a sustainable option (McCarthy et al., 2001). Therefore, as climate change alters water flow in coastal habitats, concomitant challenges of other anthropogenic effects such as ocean warming and overfishing may result in declining biodiversity and coastal ecosystem function (Bellwood et al., 2003, Hooper et al., 2005, Johansen and Jones, 2011, Micheli et al., 2014).

Obtaining accurate values of energetic requirements for fish is crucial for estimating both short- and long-term energy budgets to predict how species will fare under current and future climate scenarios. Potential costs associated with oscil-
latory, wave-driven water flows are often overlooked due to the difficulty of creating these types of flows in a swimming respirometer. Marcoux and Korsmeyer (2019) were the first to demonstrate the importance of using a cyclical, bidirectional swimming to compare metabolic rates across swimming modes of coral reef fishes. More recently, Schakmann et al. (2020) evaluated how the net costs of swimming change with different cyclical flows and found turning was energetically expensive for the labriform swimming, tropical coral reef fish C. strigosis. By comparing three different swimming protocols: unidirectional cyclical flow, bidirectional cyclical flow and steady, constant flow, the present study reports the costs of swimming and turning for a temperate labriform swimmer C. aggregata in cyclical flows. Along with previous studies, our results indicate a general risk of underestimating $\dot{M}O_2$ demand for field conditions, especially for species inhabiting dynamic coastal or coral reef ecosystems where swimming is needed in order to maintain station against currents and wave surge (Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Schakmann et al., 2020). Therefore, the inclusion of increased energetic costs associated with wave surge conditions in the wild may be beneficial in future studies. In addition, comparing species with different swimming modes in both a bidirectional flow and a steady, constant flow is needed to investigate how costs of turning may vary amongst species and could explain why MPF swimming species are common in wave-swept habitats (Fulton, 2007, Fulton and Bellwood, 2005, Fulton et al., 2013); an understanding that is critical for accurate bioenergetic modelling and its use in conservation efforts.

In addition to changes in wave height and intensity, marine fishes also face increases in metabolic rates with increasing sea surface temperatures, leaving many fish in coastal and coral reef ecosystems precariously vulnerable (Johansen and Jones, 2011, Rummer et al., 2014). Underscoring this idea, Johansen and Jones (2011) found a decrease in swimming performance of multiple genera of coral reef damselfishes with increasing water temperature; highlighting that increasing temperatures in conjunction with increasing wave action could compound on the ability of fish to maintain spatial position on wave-swept reefs. Taking into consideration the increased costs associated with station holding found in this study and Schakmann et al. (2020), fishes exposed to future increases in temperature may not have the aerobic capacity or the swimming performance needed to stay resident (Johansen and Jones, 2011). Temperature, swimming performance and environmental dynamics all have influence on the energetic requirements of fish in coastal marine ecosystems and therefore need to be considered when developing energy budgets for species that inhabit them.

We conclude that the increased $\dot{M}O_2$ of swimming in cyclical flows is most prominently due to the cost of turning and not from accelerating or decelerating, expanding upon work by Schakmann et al. (2020) on coral reef fish. This behaviour is particularly critical for station holding where fish orient themselves in the direction of flow, highlighting the importance of effective manoeuvrability in areas with high wave action, and suggests that fish may need to select areas of high productivity for their gains to offset the added costs of turning (Fulton and Bellwood, 2005).

In an era of climate change, a major contribution to the field of conservation physiology is the development of energy budgets, allowing researchers to predict how animals will react to factors associated with anthropogenic-induced effects (Cooke et al., 2004). In decades to come, the severity of storm-surge and wave action is predicted to increase in coastal areas along with increasing sea surface temperatures (McCarthy et al., 2001, Parry et al., 2007, Slott et al., 2006), demonstrating the need to incorporate added costs associated with dynamic coastal habitats when estimating energetic requirements for both temperate and tropical species (Marcoux and Korsmeyer, 2019, Schakmann et al., 2020).
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