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Hypothesis: To study molecular exchange between colloids requires the preparation of suitably labelled species. Deuterium isotopic labelling has been used to prepare two chemically identical yet isotopically distinguishable poly(lauryl methacrylate)–poly(methyl methacrylate) (PLMA–PMMA) diblock copolymer colloids by polymerisation-induced self-assembly (PISA) directly in an alkane solvent. Molecular exchange should be detectable by performing small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) measurements on the dispersions.

Experiments: SANS measurements were performed on fully hydrogenous PLMA 39–PMMA 57 and deuterated core PLMA 39–P(MMA-d8) 57 colloids. They were mixed in equal amounts and heated to determine if molecular exchange occurred. PISA syntheses are often thermally initiated, and diblock copolymers self-assemble at elevated temperature, making this an important parameter to study. Experimental data for the mixture were compared to predicted curves for exchanged and non-exchanged colloids.

Findings: The scattering of a mixture of fully hydrogenous and deuterated core copolymers does not disappear upon molecular exchange, due to the remaining contrast between the stabiliser and the core and solvent even after the cores fully exchange. By simultaneously fitting the SANS data from dispersions before mixing and using these parameters to constrain fitting the SANS data of mixtures, the molecular exchange between diblock copolymer micelles upon heating is clearly observed.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
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1. Introduction

Significant insight has been gained into the self-assembly of block copolymers [1–10] through the use of molecular exchange, a long-studied topic in colloid science [11–15]. To study the exchange of molecules between environments requires some form of labelling to identify the species uniquely while maintaining their chemical identity. Deuterium isotopic labelling with small-angle neutron scattering and fluorescence labelling with lifetime spectroscopy are two previously employed methods [16–24].

Self-assembled block copolymers are very interesting and important materials, both in terms of their growing potential for application and in the variety of morphologies that can be accessed [25]. Early examples of diblock polymer nano-objects were synthesised using post-polymerisation solvent exchange to reduce the solvent quality for one block [26–28]. Recently, polymerisation-induced self-assembly (PISA) has emerged as a convenient and advantageous way to produce polymer micelles rationally, at high concentrations, and directly in a solvent of interest [29]. It is very versatile and has been demonstrated in water [30], alcohols [31,32], aliphatic solvents [31,32], supercritical carbon dioxide [33], and ionic liquids [34]. The ability to synthesise particles during the polymerisation reaction is a particular advantage of the PISA process. While many of the previous reports using deuterium isotopic labelling to study the exchange between polymer micelles have revealed very interesting aspects of the process, they rely on post-polymerisation methods to prepare colloids [1,3,6,21,22]. These numerous examples of the application of small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) to the study of the self-assembly and molecular exchange of block copolymers demonstrate the value of this technique [1–10,21,22]. The application of neutron scattering to colloids synthesised by PISA, which produces block copolymers that are similar to post-polymerisation methods but offers the particular advantages discussed above, is more limited [35–39]. As particles synthesised by PISA are prepared directly during the polymerisation reaction, molecular exchange during the particle formation process could be significant. Gaining a better understanding of this exchange process for particles synthesised by PISA is what we set out to understand.

SANS has been used to study whether or not exchange occurs between spherical diblock copolymer micelle colloids. The colloids of interest are poly[lauryl methacrylate]–poly(methyl methacrylate) (PLMA–PMMA) diblock copolymers used to form spherical nanoparticles. One is fully hydrogenous (PLMA(39–PMMA(57)), top), and the other is partially deuterated in the core-forming block (PLMA(39–PMMA-d(57)), bottom), resulting in a partially deuterated colloid with an isotopically-labelled core.

Solvent, rather than through the scattering intensity alone. These advantages are what we sought to achieve by finding an approach to modelling the SANS data.

In this study, we obtain new and independent SANS data before and after heating the polymer micelles, which is also before and after the exchange process would have occurred, and are able to describe the data using an appropriate model. By successfully fitting SANS data in these limits, we are able to determine how completely the cores of these polymer micelles (synthesised by PISA) exchange.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Isotopically-labelled PLMA_{39}–PMMA_{57} diblock copolymer micelles

The poly[lauryl methacrylate] (PLMA) macromolecular chain-transfer agent and poly[lauryl methacrylate]–poly(methyl methacrylate) (PLMA–PMMA) diblock copolymers were synthesised [41] using the same general procedure previously used to prepare poly[lauryl methacrylate]–poly(benzyl methacrylate) diblock copolymers [42–45]. The only difference is that the benzyl methacrylate monomer was exchanged for either methyl methacrylate (hydrogenous) or methyl methacrylate-d₈ (deuterated) monomers, to give the desired isotopic labelling. The diblock copolymers were synthesised in mineral oil, and then diluted into PMMA/PMMA-d₈ core-average dodecane solvent to give a concentration of ~1 vol.%. The degree of polymerisation of the PLMA stabiliser used as macromolecular chain-transfer agent was 39 and of both the PMMA and PMMA-d₈ cores was 57. Mineral oil has a viscosity similar to a long-chain aliphatic hydrocarbon [46] and is known to result in nanoparticle morphologies via PISA that are very similar to dodecane [44]. Therefore, as it is the minority component of the hydrogenous solvent, it is assumed to have the same density and neutron scattering length density as hydrogenous dodecane. An equivalent amount of mineral oil was added to the background solvent for subtraction, and the amount of hydrogenous dodecane for contrast matching was adjusted by the presence of this hydrogenous alkane (mineral oil) solvent. The neutron scattering length densities of the polymers and solvents (SLD, μₙ) were calculated using the mass densities (ρ₀) from literature and the neutron scattering lengths [47] and are shown in Table S1 in the Supporting Material. The SLD of mixtures were calculated as a vol-
2.2. Small-angle neutron scattering

Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) measurements were performed on the instrument D33 at the Institut Laue–Langevin (ILL; Grenoble, France) [48]. The intensity was calculated as a function of Q, the magnitude of the momentum transfer vector, which depends on half the scattering angle (θ) and the neutron wavelength (λ) [49].

\[ Q = \frac{4\pi \sin \theta}{\lambda} \]  

(1)

Two configurations were used with different sample-detector distances \( L_D \) and collimation distances \( L_C \), with the same wavelength \( \lambda = 6 \, \text{Å} \) (configuration 1: \( L_D = 12 \, \text{m}, L_C = 12.8 \, \text{m}, \lambda = 6 \, \text{Å} \); configuration 2: \( L_D = 2 \, \text{m}, L_C = 5.3 \, \text{m}, \lambda = 6 \, \text{Å} \)). This gave an accessible Q-range of 0.0037 < Q < 0.46 Å⁻¹. The beam size was 7 mm by 10 mm. SANS measurements were performed on pure dispersions of PLMA_{39}-PMMA_{57} and PLMA_{39}-P(MMA-d_8)_{57}, and on a 1:1 volume ratio mixture of the two after heating to 150 °C for 1 h. A 1 mm thick sample of liquid water (H₂O) was measured to correct the detector intensity. Raw data were integrated, normalised with respect to transmission, and stitched together using instrument-specific software, LAMP. Data were modelled as explained in the text using the SasView 5.0.2 package [50]. The calculated fits were smeared by dQ calculated during reduction in LAMP.

3. Results and discussion

Dispersions of the PLMA_{39}-PMMA_{57} and PLMA_{39}-P(MMA-d_8)_{57} polymer micelles have dramatically different SANS data, shown in Fig. 2. The difference between the fully hydrogenous and deuterated core micelles is in contrast to previous experiments on fully-deuterated diblock copolymer micelles [22], as the diblock copolymers used in this study are core-deuterated. While fully-deuterated diblock copolymers would be preferable, synthesising deuterated poly(lauryl methacrylate) has proved to be extremely challenging [51].

The SANS data can be fit using the model of Pedersen and Gertsenberg [52,53] for spherical polymer micelles (spherical polymer core with Gaussian polymer chains attached to the surface), as implemented in SasView [54]. The form factor \( P(Q) \) is given as the sum of two self-terms \( P_s(Q) \) for the spherical cores and \( P_c \) for the Gaussian chains on the surface) and two cross-terms \( S_{sc} \) between the cores and the Gaussian chains \( S_{cs} \) between the chains on the surface themselves). In this expression, \( N \) is the aggregation number, and \( \beta_i \) and \( \beta_c \) are the total excess scattering lengths of blocks in the core (spherical cores) and the shell (chains), respectively. These are given by \( \beta_i = V_i (\rho_i - \rho_m) \), where \( V_i \) is the volume of a block, \( \rho_i \) is the SLD of a block, and \( \rho_m \) is the SLD of the medium.

\[ P(Q) = N^2 \beta_c^2 P_c(Q) + N \beta_c^2 P_s(Q) + 2N \beta_c \beta_s S_{sc}(Q) + N(N - 1) \beta_s^2 S_{cs}(Q) \]  

(2)

The sphere self-term is the well-known spherical form factor \( P_s(Q) \) for a sphere with radius \( r \) [55,56]. In the SasView implementation [54], there is no size distribution. Furthermore, the radius \( r \) is used to determine the value of \( N \) from the volume of the core and \( V_i \). This is a separate fitting parameter, but we have fixed it and iterated fitting the radius until the value of \( N \) converges.

The Gaussian chain self-term for chains in the corona is given by the Debye function \( P_c(Q) \) for a polymer chain with radius of gyration \( R_g \) [57].

\[ P_c(Q) = \frac{2 \exp \left(-Q^2 R_g^2 \right) - 1 + Q^2 R_g^2}{(QR_g)^2} \]  

(3)

The Gaussian chain self-term for chains in the corona is given by the Debye function \( P_c(Q) \) for a polymer chain with radius of gyration \( R_g \) [57].

\[ P_c(Q) = \frac{2 \exp \left(-Q^2 R_g^2 \right) - 1 + Q^2 R_g^2}{(QR_g)^2} \]  

(4)

The sphere-chain cross-term \( S_{sc}(Q) \) and the chain-chain cross-term \( S_{cs}(Q) \) are available elsewhere [53] and are not reproduced here.

The fit data and corresponding scattering length density profiles are shown in Fig. 2, and the best fit values are given in Table S2 in the Supporting Material. The error-weighted residual difference plot [58] \( \Delta(I/\sigma) = (I_{exp} - I_{fit})/\sigma \) where \( I_{exp} \) and \( I_{fit} \) are the experimental and fit scattering intensities, respectively, and \( \sigma \) is the uncertainty of the experimental scattering intensity, is shown alongside these for every value of Q and both sets of data over the entire Q range. This enables the quality of the fits to be compared between the two polymer micelles. It is important to emphasise that the two curves are fit simultaneously, with parameters (aside from the core SLD, Table S1 in the Supporting Material) constrained to fit to the same values. The SLD \( \rho_n \) profile as a distance
from the particle centre is also shown as an inset in Fig. 2. This graphically shows that the difference between the two polymer micelles is in the core only and that the solvated shell and solvent are the same. The zero-average contrast (ZAC) SLDs, which are the volume fraction weighted SLD of the two blocks, are also shown. Because the polymers both have a large volume and hydrogenous PLMA stabiliser block, the ZAC SLD differs significantly from the PMMA/PMMA-\(d_8\) core-average SLD of the solvent as well as from the SLD of the core polymer. That both sets of data are fit so satisfactorily gives confidence in these fit values.

The initial block volumes were calculated from the mass densities of the polymers, taken from the literature. (These are shown in the Supporting Material, Table S1.) The \(R_g\) of the PLMA\(_{39}\) stabiliser was calculated from the contour length of the polymer (the product of the degree of polymerisation and the length of two carbon–carbon bonds in an all trans configuration, 2.54 Å, which is calculated from the bond length of two C–C bonds in similar sp\(^3\) carbons and the C–C–C bond angle of gas phase propane [59–61]) and the Kuhn length of PLMA (30 Å, taken from the literature [62,63]). Assuming that the polymers are Gaussian coils, the \(R_g\) can be calculated using Eq. 5 from the contour length (\(L\)) and the Kuhn length (\(b\)), where \(N_b = L/b\) is the number of Kuhn segments [64].

\[
R_g = \sqrt{\frac{L}{6N_b} b}
\]

Using these parameters to preliminarily calculate the scattering curves, the data cannot be successfully modelled. In order to obtain the best fit to these data, the volume of the PLMA chains in the corona \((V_c)\) must be varied. No other parameter describing the chains has sufficient impact on the scattering data. This has not been required for SAXS data of PLMA-stabilised polymer micelles [43], but this is likely because the H/D isotopic–contrast in SAXS results in a greater sensitivity for the scattering between this polymer and the dodecane solvent [65,66], which have very similar electron densities. While the exact origin of this is not clear, we envision two possible possibilities. Either the mass density of this specific PLMA polymer differs from the literature value (due to its molar mass), or the degree of polymerisation is different to that determined from NMR (due to experimental uncertainty of those measurements). For even well-defined polymers with low mass dispersities \((D_M)\), the distribution of molecular volumes are broad. Using Harrisson’s method to calculate standard deviations from dispersities [67], the molecular volume and the distribution for this PLMA\(_{39}\) polymer \((D_M = 1.13 [41])\) is 17700 ± 6400 Å\(^3\). The model used to fit the SANS data assumes a single molecular volume, and the fit molecular volume is within this range. As good fits to the data are obtained only after some modification to the anticipated inputs (Fig. 2), it is clear that fitting this parameter is necessary.

Having determined that the structure of the polymer micelles can be determined from neutron scattering data, the impact of molecular exchange on the SANS data of mixtures of the two in PMMA/PMMA-\(d_8\) core-average dodecane can be assessed. Using the fit parameters from the individual polymer micelles (shown in Fig. 2), scattering curves can be predicted for a mixture of the two dispersions that maintain the same number concentration but have different core SLDs. The extremes of no molecular exchange (core SLDs remain the same but the number concentration of each is halved) and complete molecular exchange (core SLD is the average of PMMA and PMMA-\(d_8\) and the number concentration is fixed) are shown alongside the data in Fig. 3. It should clearly be possible to distinguish these two cases from experimental SANS data.

Unlike in some previous studies [3,4,6,9], the scattering intensity does not reduce to 0 at complete exchange. This is because the PLMA\(_{39}\)–PMMA\(_{57}\) diblock copolymers are partially deuterated with only a deuterated core, not fully deuterated, and because the PLMA\(_{39}\) block has a significant volume in proportion to the PMMA\(_{57}\) and PMMA-\(d_8\) blocks. This can be seen by considering how different the ZAC SLDs of the two block copolymers are from either the PMMA or PMMA-\(d_8\) cores or the PMMA/PMMA-\(d_8\) core-average solvent, shown in Fig. 2. The ZAC SLD of a block copolymer with the average volume and SLD of PMMA and PMMA-\(d_8\) is 1.56 × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\) (compared to 0.47 × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\) for PLMA\(_{39}\)–PMMA\(_{57}\) or 2.65 × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\) for PLMA\(_{39}\)–PMMA\(_{57}\)-\(d_8\)), which is also not equivalent to the SLD of the PLMA/PMMA-\(d_8\) core-average solvent (3.96 × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\)). In this case, even when the mixed core is fully contrast-matched to the solvent, the PLMA stabiliser still has contrast with the solvent. Despite the SANS intensity not dropping to 0, the two situations (no exchange and complete exchange) are clearly different and should be distinguishable experimentally.

Now with an expectation of what to look to for, the scattering of the mixtures of PLMA\(_{39}\)–PMMA\(_{57}\) and PMMA\(_{57}\)–PMMA\(_{39}\)-\(d_8\) polymer micelles can be assessed. The SANS data are shown in Fig. 3. Qualitatively, the scattering from the heated sample is much more similar to that predicted for complete molecular exchange than no molecular exchange. To confirm this, the data were fit to determine the exact composition of the particles. The core SLD is the only parameter that has been varied, and a good fit to the data can be obtained with this highly constrained model. The best fit SLD (\(1.041 ± 0.0033\) × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\)) is essentially identical to that predicted by averaging those of PMMA and PMMA-\(d_8\) (3.958 × 10\(^{-6}\) Å\(^{-2}\)). The data and the fit differ most in the region of the local maximum at \(Q = 0.06\) Å\(^{-1}\), but as the \(Q → 0\) scattering intensity agrees well, this suggests that this is due to a local increase in scattering contrast. The real space equivalent magnitude of \(Q\) at this peak \((d = 2πn/Q)\) from Bragg’s Law [49] is 104 Å, which is precisely the diameter of the core of the particles. At the local level, the cores consist of a mixture of hydrogenous and deuterated polymer chains. Using the random phase approximation (RPA) [68] and the known properties of the two polymer chains, we estimate the amount of additional scattering that could be expected from this heterogeneous core [69] to be \(~ 0.025\) cm\(^{-1}\). The inputs to this calculation and the predicted scattering curve are shown in Table S4 and Figure S1 in the Supporting Material.
This contribution alone is insufficient to obtain complete agreement between the data and the model, so it has not been incorporated into our fitting routine, but the fact that it is of the correct order of magnitude shows that local heterogeneities can have important consequences for SANS data of such polymer micelles.

Furthermore, SANS data for a mixture of PLMA$_{39}$–PMMA$_{37}$ and PLMA$_{39}$–P(MMA–d$_8$)$_{37}$ heated before combining, then diluted in PMMA/PMMA–d$_8$ core-average dodecane were measured and are shown in Figure S2 in the Supporting Material. The data are very well fit with no parameters for the two polymers (Table S5 in the Supporting Material) being varied; only the scale factors for the two populations and the background were varied. The glass transition temperature of PMMA is much greater than room temperature [70], so no exchange would be expected for this dispersion. These data show clearly that this is the case.

In summary, these measurements show that complete molecular exchange occurs for polymer micelles that are synthesised by PISA. The polymer micelles in this study were heated again after synthesis, but these measurements show that the diblock copolymer chains are sufficiently mobile to exchange upon reheating. This would suggest that exchange also occurs during synthesis, particularly for thermally-initiated radical reactions.

4. Conclusions

By using isotopically-labelled diblock copolymers and small-angle neutron scattering, we have shown that diblock copolymer micelles synthesised by PISA undergo molecular exchange. Polymer spheres prepared using this method are generated directly during the reaction, and therefore, exchange during the preparation of the particles may be particularly significant compared to polymer micelles prepared using a post-polymerisation method [3,4,6,9]. For particles synthesised by thermally-initiated PISA, this preparation at elevated temperature could increase the possibility of molecular exchange. This was demonstrated previously by recent time-resolved SANS experiments, which monitored the scattering invariant as a function of time to infer molecular exchange [41]. That analysis showed that a mixture of fully hydrogenous and deuterated core polymer micelles reached a steady state during heating. Here, we focussed on producing and studying polymer micelles at the time extremes (before exchange and after heating and presumptive exchange) and showed by successfully modelling the SANS data that the core of the micelles had exchanged after heating.

This molecular exchange merits further consideration as this can provide valuable information about the reactions used to synthesise copolymer nanoparticles by PISA, in particular, along with the molecules that produce them. Many examples of successful PISA formulations use thermally-induced radical initiation, and the results of this study suggest that they will likely be experiencing molecular exchange throughout the synthesis reactions. Recently, examples of photo-initiated PISA have been reported in the literature [71–73,40,74], and these reactions are, presumably, less likely to undergo molecular exchange during their synthesis. This may have consequences on the nanoparticles that can be produced. Whatever the exact system, however, this demonstration that SANS and isotopically-labelled diblock copolymers synthesised by PISA can reveal molecular exchange in such nanoparticles suggests variables to study that will hopefully provide useful insight into their mechanism of self-assembly.
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