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ABSTRACT

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a major disease in wheat and other cereal crops. Biological control is a promising alternative for control of this disease as current control options are not efficient. Fungal endophytes could represent a new source of biological control agents since they colonise plant tissues asymptomatically whilst sharing the same biological niche as pathogens. Here, we show that healthy wheat spikes and leaves collected in areas with high FHB incidence harbour fungal isolates that reduce disease severity and pathogen biomass inside the spikes. We employed an in planta screening method using detached spikelets to observe the performance of 15 fungal strains against Fusarium infection. The results were validated in greenhouse spike inoculation assays. Isolates Sarocladium strictum C113L, Anthracocystis fluculossa P1P1, A. fluculossa F63P and Penicillium olsonii ML37 were identified as potential biocontrol agents of FHB in wheat. Timing of application was important: the endophytes needed to be applied at least two days before the pathogen to have a significant effect. Reduced symptom expression correlated with reduced biomass of Fusarium graminearum in the infected spikelets. Interestingly, none of these isolates reduced Fusarium growth in confrontation assays on agar plates. Our results suggest that naturally occurring endophytes could be a new source of biological control agents to be used in integrated pest management strategies.

1. Introduction

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a devastating disease in wheat crops worldwide (Savary et al., 2019). It reduces crop yield as well as grain quality as the pathogens infect the spike at flowering stage (Rojas et al., 2019a; Xu and Nicholson, 2009). Although many Fusarium spp. have been associated with FHB symptoms, Fusarium graminearum is often considered to be the most important species in Europe (Nielsen et al., 2011; Pasquali et al., 2016; Walder et al., 2017). FHB reduces grain quality by accumulation of mycotoxins such as trichothececeases (deoxynivalenol, DON) and the estrogenic toxin zearalenone (Hueza et al., 2014; Lee and Ryu, 2017). Likewise, infected seeds show a reduced germination rate and often exhibit Fusarium crown rot in the seedling stage (Jensen et al, 2000; Moya-Elizondo et al., 2011).

Considerable disease control can be achieved using agricultural management practices in relation to soil and crop debris as well as with crop rotation (Dweba et al., 2017). However, the adoption of reduced-tillage systems can increase the survival of Fusarium and augment inoculum pressure (Townsend et al., 2016). Fungicide treatments at anthesis can reduce disease levels moderately (Freije and Wise, 2015; Takemoto et al., 2018), but their use is being limited by their low efficacy and rising environmental concerns about their use among both...
farmers and consumers. New disease control alternatives are necessary in order complement or replace current approaches and sustain wheat productivity.

Biological control in relation to plant diseases is defined as the use of microbial antagonists or their properties to reduce pathogen activity (Cook and Baker, 1983; Eilenberg et al., 2001). It is a promising alternative that could enrich our set of tools to control FHB more effectively (Collinge et al., 2019; Rojas et al., 2019a) and its use is being increasingly promoted (Adams, 1990; Barzman et al., 2015; van Lenteren et al., 2018). Several organisms have been tested both in vitro and in plant assays for their efficacy to control FHB in wheat (Comby et al., 2017; Khan et al., 2001; Rabiey and Shaw, 2016; Sarrocco et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2015; Xue et al., 2014). However, very few of these discoveries have transformed into commercially available solutions for farmers, with inconsistent field performance being the major limiting factor in the development of biological control solutions (Parnell et al., 2019). Endophytic biological control agents may offer a route to more consistent effect in the field.

Fungal endophytes are naturally occurring plant colonisers and these microorganisms live inside plant tissues without causing visible damage (Collinge et al., 2019; Rodriguez et al., 2009). As fungal endophytes have evolved to exploit the same resources as plant pathogens, they can be considered as potential biological competitors (Silva et al., 2018). These can exhibit a diverse array of modes of action in disease suppression such as direct inhibition by competition, antibiosis or mycoparasitism and indirect inhibition by induced resistance (Latz et al., 2018). Moreover, their endophytic life style could shield them from environmental factors, especially from fluctuating weather conditions and thus increase field performance (Card et al., 2016).

Several studies have investigated the fungal endophytic communities of wheat tissues, including heads, using cultivation dependent (Sieber et al., 1988; Vujanovic et al., 2012; Comby et al., 2016) and independent methods (Karlsson et al., 2017; Rojas et al., 2019b; Sapkota et al., 2015). These studies have shown that wheat tissues are colonised by a diverse but conserved array of fungal taxa that includes Alternaria spp., Cladosporium spp. Epicoccum spp., Fusarium spp., Chaetomium spp., Zymoseptoria spp., Paragonospora spp. and Sarocladium spp. among others (Comby et al., 2016). Furthermore, these studies have explored how fungal endophytic communities are shaped by agronomic practices such as cropping system and fungicide treatments (Gdanetz and Trail, 2017; Hertz et al., 2016; Karlsson et al., 2014).

Recently, we reported that Fusarium infection modifies endophytic community dynamics. An in silico analysis showed that several fungal taxa negatively correlate with Fusarium pathogen abundance inside infected wheat spikes. Interestingly, some fungal taxa appear to be consistently infected with a mixture of Fusarium spp. (mainly F. graminearum and F. culmorum isolates from the area) during anthesis (BBCH 60–69, Lancashire et al., 1991). The inoculation methods are described previously (Rojas et al., 2019b). The aforementioned cultivars are reported to be moderately tolerant to FHB and showed the lowest levels of infection on a visual assessment among all the tested cultivars. Spikes that remained visually healthy at 14 days after anthesis, at the ripening stage (BBCH 85), were harvested. Eighteen spikes were harvested from each location and season.

Spikes were processed within 48 h of sampling. From each spike, three spikelets were removed from the middle section and dissected. Glumes, lemmas, paleas and kernels were separated and, rachises were discarded. Organs were then surface sterilised based on the protocol by Comby et al. (2016) and the procedure optimized for floral tissues as follows: 96% ethanol for 1 min, 2% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min and 96% ethanol for 30 sec followed by rinsing twice with sterile Milli-Q water. A volume of 100 µl from the last water rinse was plated on Potato Dextrose Agar plates (PDA) (Difco®) to assess the efficiency of the surface sterilisation. The different organs were bisection longitudinally (Supplementary Fig. 1) and plated on three different media: malt extract agar (15 g malt extract l−1, PDA (0.78 g PDA l−1) and yeast extract peptone dextrose agar (10 g bacto-peptone, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g dextrose l−1). All three media were supplemented with streptomycin sulphate and chlorotetracyclin hydrochloride (30 µg·ml−1 of each). Plates were maintained at room temperature in the dark and were examined every day for 28 days. Emerging hyphae or colonies were picked and cultured in new PDA plates. Serial dilutions were performed to obtain single spore/cell cultures of all the isolates.

All isolates were grouped according to macroscopic similarities. Several isolates from each group were selected for molecular identification. Selected isolates, representing the different morphological types were cultured in 20 ml of complete medium (CM) (Pham et al., 2008). Mycelium was recovered and DNA extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region from the rRNA gene was amplified using the primers ITS1F, ITS4 and ITS5F (Manter and Vivanco, 2007). For isolates with biocontrol potential, identity was verified further by amplifying the actin, β-tubulin and translation elongation factor 1-α genes using the primers reported by Roe et al., (2010), see Supplementary Table 1. PCR reactions were prepared in 20 µl volume, consisting of: 4 µl 5X Phusion® HF buffer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), 0.4 µl dNTPs (2.5 mM each), 1 µl of each primer (10 µM), 0.2 µl (5U/µl) Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA), 1 µl DNA template and 12.4 µl ddH2O. The PCR programme for the first reaction was 98 °C for 30 sec followed by 30 cycles (98 °C for 5 sec, 56 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 30 sec) and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 6 min. Amplicons were purified using Promega Wizard® DNA Clean-Up System. Samples were sequenced using Sanger sequencing at Eurofins MWG (Ebersberg, Germany). Sequences were aligned against the UNITE database version 7.2 (Abarenkov et al., 2010) and the NCBI database to verify maximum identity and coverage.

Additionally, two fungal isolates, ML37 and ML38, originally recovered from wheat leaves at Lantmännens SW Seed AB were also included in the screening. These isolates were reported to significantly reduce the wheat foliar disease Septoria tritici blotch caused by Zymoseptoria tritici (Latz et al., 2020).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Endophyte isolation

Plots with winter wheat cultivars KW-Nils and SW14308 were grown at Arhus University Flakkebjerg, Denmark (55° 19′ 31.278″ N, 11° 23′ 28.619″ E) and at Lantmännens SW Seed AB, Svalöv, Sweden (55° 54′ 39.65″ N, 13° 6′ 48.283″ E), respectively, during 2016 and 2017. These plots were part of two independent FHB disease scoring trials. In such trials, multiple wheat lines are tested for their response to Fusarium infection. Plots were artificially infected with a mixture of Fusarium spp. (mainly F. graminearum and F. culmorum isolates from the area) during anthesis (BBCH 60–69, Lancashire et al., 1991). The inoculation methods are described previously (Rojas et al., 2019b). The aforementioned cultivars are reported to be moderately tolerant to FHB and showed the lowest levels of infection on a visual assessment among all the tested cultivars. Spikes that remained visually healthy at 14 days after anthesis, at the ripening stage (BBCH 85), were harvested. Eighteen spikes were harvested from each location and season.

A detached-spikelet assay was performed essentially as described by Comby et al., 2017, but using 6-well plates instead of 12-well plates and a different Fusarium graminearum strain. Yeast-like endophytes were grown in 20 ml complete medium for 4 days. Cultures were centrifuged and re-suspended in autoclaved MilliQ water twice to remove
medium traces. filamentous endophytes were cultured on PDA plates for 15 days. spores were collected by flooding the plate. the spore suspensions were filtered using Miracloth®, centrifuged and re-suspended in autoclaved Milli-Q water. spores or cells were counted using a haemocytometer and their concentrations adjusted to 10⁷ cells/spores ml⁻¹. all endophyte cell/spore inoculation suspensions and control treatments were prepared using autoclaved MilliQ water + tween 20 (0.05%) as surfactant.

spring wheat plants cv. Diskett (lantmannen sw seeds ab) were grown to heading stage in a greenhouse (18–20 ºc, 60% relative humidity, 16 h light/8h darkness). this cultivar is moderately susceptible to FHB. spikes were harvested at the pre-anthesis stage, BBCH 59–60. eight to ten spikelets from the middle of spikes were detached, surface sterilised with 96% ethanol for 30 s and rinsed with autoclaved MilliQ water twice. spikelets were dipped in 20 ml endophyte suspension with agitation at 200 rpm for 25 min. three spikelets per treatment were placed vertically in 6-well plates containing 4 ml water agar (2 g l⁻¹) and grown to heading stage in a greenhouse (18 ºc–60). this cultivar is moderately susceptible to FHB.

after 4 days, spikelets were removed from the plate and sprayed on both sides with a macroconidial suspension of Fusarium graminearum isolate WC-091-7 (kindly provided by dr. lise nistrup jørgensen, aarhus university). the macroconidia suspension was prepared using F. graminearum WC-091-7 agar plugs cultured in 20 ml liquid sporulation medium (imholte and schramm, 1968) for 7 days. spores were collected by filtering the culture through autoclaved Miracloth® and the concentration was adjusted to 10⁷ spores ml⁻¹ using autoclaved MilliQ water + tween 20 for inoculation. control spikelets were sprayed with autoclaved MilliQ water + tween 20. after spraying, spikelets were reintroduced to their respective wells. the plates were sealed and kept in the growth chamber at 19 ºc and 16 h of light. in total, five replications (plates) were used in each experiment. experiments were repeated at least four times for each endophyte isolate.

visual assessment of symptoms was performed at 7 days after Fusarium inoculation. spikelets were assessed under a stereo scope and each spikelet was given a value from the following scale: 0 = no visible symptoms of FHB; 1 = small bleached or necrotic areas; 2 = general malformation of the spikelet; 3 = general necrosis of spikelet, abundant mycelium on top of the spikelets (supplementary fig. 2). disease severity calculation was modified from the method of Comby et al. (2017). this was done in order to obtain a larger range for data dispersion before the statistical analysis. the 0–3 scale was thus changed to a 0–9 scale.

an additional experiment was performed using this system on promising candidates. four candidates were evaluated for their efficacy to reduce FHB symptoms on detached spikelets when Fusarium macroconidia were sprayed at different times after endophyte inoculation. spikelets were treated as mentioned above, but Fusarium macroconidia suspensions were inoculated at 0, 1, 2 and 3 days after endophyte inoculation. this experiment was repeated three times.

2.3. Fusarium head blight greenhouse assay

spring wheat cv. Diskett was grown under greenhouse conditions until the flowering stage. Endophyte suspensions were prepared as described previously and individual spikes were sprayed using 100 ml spraying bottles at heading stage (BBCH 59), with approximately 1 ml per spike. three to five days later, during full anthesis (BBCH 65), spikes were sprayed with a F. graminearum WC-091-7 macroconidial suspension (10² spores ml⁻¹), approximately 0.5 ml per spike. all control treatments were sprayed using autoclaved MilliQ water + tween 20. spikes were covered with a previously misted transparent plastic bag and sealed using adhesive tape around the straw. bags were removed after 48 h. severity of infection was assessed at 5 days after Fusarium inoculation by counting the number of spikelets with FHB symptoms out of the total number of spikelets in each spike. seven replications were used in each experiment. experiments were repeated at least four times for each isolate.

2.4. qPCR quantification of fungal DNA in endophyte-treated spikes

spikes from the FHB greenhouse tests were collected five days after Fusarium inoculation. six middle spikelets were removed and freeze dried for 48 h. DNA extraction was performed using the E.Z.N.A.* Plant DNA Kit Plant (omega bio-tek, norcross, GA, USA). the specific primers ‘Trif-10F 5’-TCTTGTGACGGGAGCTTTTTA-3’ and ‘Trif-4R 5’-ATCTCGCATGTATCCACCTTGCT-3’ were used to detect the trif gene in F. graminearum (horevaj et al., 2011). specific primers to detect elongation factor gene TEF1 in wheat: EF1α-F 5’-TCTGTGGTTGAGGTTGAC-3’ and EF1α-R 5’-GGCCCCTTTGTACGGTCAAGGT-3’ (nicolaisen et al., 2009), were used as plant DNA reference. reactions of 20 µl were prepared using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR® Green qPCR Master Mix with low ROX, 10 µl per reaction of master mix, 1 µl of each primer, 1 µl of template DNA and 7 µl of water. all reactions were performed in triplicates. the thermocycler program was 2 min at 50 ºC, 10 min at 95 ºC followed by 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95 ºC + 60 sec at 60 ºC. a dissociation curve was elaborated from 60 to 95 ºC for each primer to verify the specificity of the PCR reaction.

standard curves for DNA quantification were built using six 10-fold dilutions starting from 50 ng of pure target DNA (wheat or F. graminearum). reactions were run using ariamx real-time PCR system G8830A (agilent technologies, santa clara, CA, united states). the ratio between the total amount of F. graminearum DNA and the total amount of T. aestivum DNA was calculated as the rate of infection for each treatment. experiments were performed three times.

2.5. In vitro dual-culture assay

Endophyte isolates that showed consistent reduction in FHB disease levels were evaluated in direct confrontation assays against Fusarium graminearum isolate WC-091-7. agar plugs from 7-day-old cultures of the pathogen were placed in the centre of a PDA plate. Endophyte isolates were inoculated on a transverse line on one side of the plate as it is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4. Plates were incubated at room temperature with normal day light conditions. F. graminearum radial growth towards the antagonist (Ra) and towards the edge of the plate (Rc) against each endophyte isolate was measured three times per day until pathogen and endophyte growth made contact. five replications of each combination were cultured and the experiment was performed three times.

2.6. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out in R environment version 3.5.2 (R Team 2018). Detached spikelet data were analysed using a generalized linear model specifying a Poisson distribution. FHB greenhouse assay data were analysed using a generalized linear model specifying a binomial distribution. All statistical comparisons were performed against FHB controls and were considered significant when P ≤ 0.05. the in vitro dual culture data were analysed using the function ‘compareGrowthCurves’ from the statmod package in R (giner and smyth, 2016) to compare F. graminearum radial growth towards the antagonist (Ra) and towards the edge of the plate (Rc) for each endophyte isolate. the analysis uses a permutation test of the difference between two groups over time with 10,000 permutations and computes a P-value for each pair of groups. such P-values were adjusted using Bonferroni corrections. Fusarium DNA quantification using qPCR was
analysed using multiple regression analysis of the ratio between ng F. graminearum DNA and ng wheat DNA for each condition. All statistical comparisons were performed against FHB controls and were considered significant when $P \leq 0.05$.

3. Results

Endophyte isolation from healthy wheat spikes resulted in 163 fungal isolates, belonging to 20 different species. Isolated fungal communities were largely dominated by two genera, namely Cladosporium and Alternaria. These fungi represented around 90% of the total fungal communities. Among the less frequently isolated genera were Fusarium, Epicoccum and Parastagonospora. Among the less frequently isolated organisms were several yeast-like fungi from genera such as Vishniacozyma, Anthracocystis and Sporobolomyces (basidiomycetes). After taxonomic classification, reported wheat pathogens and reported human pathogens, as well as known toxic or allergenic species, were discarded. Thus, 13 of these isolates as well as two isolates with demonstrated potential to control Septoria tritici blotch were chosen for endophyte treatment and pathogen arrival on the biocontrol ecosystem. They could represent a source of new potential biological control agents. Here, we show that naturally occurring fungal endophytes could significantly reduce disease severity and pathogen growth inside wheat spikes. Similarly, we found that a detached spikelets assay (Comby et al., 2017) is a fast and reliable screening method for fungal biocontrol candidates.

The four isolates were tested further in whole plant experiments under greenhouse conditions. The FHB severity at 5 dai was significantly reduced when endophytes were inoculated onto the spikes at 3–5 days before anthesis (Fig. 3A). FHB severity reduction was similar for all isolates and ranged between 70% (A. floculosa P1P1 and F63P) and 80% (S. strictum C113L and P. olsonii ML37) (Fig. 3B). Additionally, three isolates that did not show any significant reduction in the detached spikelet assay (Acremonium alternatum ML38, Sarocladium sp. P12P1 and Vishniacozyma victoriae Y12K1) were tested to corroborate the accuracy of the detached spikelet assay selection. These isolates showed no significant reduction in FHB severity in wheat spikes and in some cases, increased FHB severity (Fig. 3A).

The amount of pathogen DNA in endophyte-treated spikes was estimated using qPCR. The ratio between F. graminearum DNA and wheat DNA was significantly reduced when spikes were treated with fungal isolates (Fig. 4). This reduction was around 70% for all isolates.

Finally, the four endophyte candidates were tested for their effect on F. graminearum growth in vitro in dual cultures. No significant effects were observed in Fusarium growth on the plates for any of the isolates after 7 days of co-cultivation (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Biological control solutions are in high demand for many pathosystems. They will supplement the set of tools available to control plant diseases and, in most cases, these innovative solutions will be environmentally friendlier than traditional pesticides. However, care must be taken to avoid selecting unsuitable organisms, e.g. those capable of causing disease in other plants (cultivated or wild), humans and livestock. Fungal endophytes are wide-spread and closely associated with plants. They could represent a source of new potential biological control agents. Here, we show that naturally occurring fungal endophytes isolated from healthy wheat spikes in areas with high levels of FHB can reduce disease severity and pathogen growth inside wheat spikes. Similarly, we found that a detached spikelets assay (Comby et al., 2017) is a fast and reliable screening method for fungal biocontrol candidates.

In the past, several fungi isolated from cereal tissues have been tested as biocontrol agents against FHB. Isolates such as Clonostachys rosea Latz et al. 2020 and Cladosporium cladosporioides were observed reducing FHB symptoms in spikes and seedlings. Similarly, Phoma glomerata, Aureobasidium pullulans and Sarocladium kiliense were observed reducing FHB symptoms

Table 1: Fungal endophytes isolated from wheat spikes used for biocontrol screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Medium*</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Phyllum</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Closest BLAST hit</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML38</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Acremonium alternatum ¹</td>
<td>Latz et al 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F93G</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Glume</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Alternaria alternata</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D101Lb</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Aureobasidium pullulans</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A24L</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F33G</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Glume</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML37</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C113L</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Sarocladium strictum ²</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F63P</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1P1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Potato Dextrose Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Palea</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E103L</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Cryptococcus sp.</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12P1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Potato Dextrose Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Palea</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Cryptococcus sp.</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A103LB</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Sporobolomyces</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D63P</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Sporobolomyces</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y12K1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Yeast Extract Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Kernal</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>V. victoriae</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A103La</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>V. victoriae</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Identification based on ITS alignment against UNITE database version 7.2 and NCBI database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Medium*</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Phyllum</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Closest BLAST hit</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML38</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Acremonium alternatum ¹</td>
<td>Latz et al 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F93G</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Glume</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Alternaria alternata</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D101Lb</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Aureobasidium pullulans</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A24L</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F33G</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Glume</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML37</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C113L</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>Sarocladium strictum ²</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F63P</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1P1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Potato Dextrose Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Palea</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E103L</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12P1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Potato Dextrose Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Palea</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A103LB</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D63P</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y12K1-S</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Yeast Extract Agar</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Kernal</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A103La</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Malt Extract Agar</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Lemna</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>Anthracocystis fibrillosa ³</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Effect of endophyte isolates on development of symptoms caused by *Fusarium graminearum* on wheat spikelets. A). Aggregated disease severity (sum of severity of individual spikelets) of *Fusarium* infection on detached spikelets at 7 days after pathogen inoculation. Boxplot shows range and median severity for each treatment. Points below and up boxes are outlier data points. Significant differences (\( P < 0.01 \)) compared to FHB control are denoted as ‘**’. B. *Fusarium* symptoms developed on detached spikelets sprayed with fungal isolates that significantly reduced FHB symptoms: Sarocladium strictum C113L, Anthracocystis flocculosa F63P and *A. flocculosa* P1P1 and Penicillium olsonii ML37.

Fig. 2. Effect of endophyte isolates on *Fusarium* disease severity on detached spikelets at different intervals before pathogen inoculation (0, 1, 2 and 3 days after endophyte inoculation). Boxplot shows range and median of aggregated disease severity (sum of severity of individual spikelets) for each treatment. Points below and up boxes are outlier data points. Significant differences (\( P < 0.01 \)) compared to FHB control are denoted as ‘**’. 
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in detached spikelets (Comby et al., 2017). We identified four isolates: Sarocladium strictum C113L, Anthracocystis flocculosa F63P and A. flocculosa P1P1 and Penicillium olsonii ML37 with biocontrol effect against FHB. To our knowledge, this is the first report of these species as potential biocontrol agents in cereals against FHB

Sarocladium strictum (syn.: Acremonium strictum) is a well-known endophyte in Poaceae and has been isolated from wheat tissues in several studies (Sieber et al., 1988; Crous et al., 1995; Vujanovic et al., 2012; Comby et al., 2016). This species has been observed to reduce in vitro growth of Helminthosporium solani and suppressing the nematode Meloidogyne incognita in tomato (Goswami et al., 2008). Similarly, it has been reported to be a potential biocontrol agent against Botrytis in tomato (Gyung et al., 2009). Recently, Comby et al. (2017) identified Sarocladium kiliense as a potential biocontrol agent of FHB using detached spikelets.

Anthracocystis flocculosa (syn.: Pseudozyma flocculosa) has been reported as a plant epiphyte or saprophyte (Avis and Bélanger, 2002). It is known for its capacity to reduce disease levels of powdery mildews, including Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei in barley, using a combination of antimicrobial substances and mycoparasitism (Laur et al., 2017). Interestingly, two of the potential biocontrol isolates in our study were identified as Anthracocystis flocculosa (isolates F63P and P1P1) and they showed similar levels of biocontrol capacity in both screening tests. These microorganisms were isolated from fields in Sweden, but in different years. This suggests that Anthracocystis flocculosa strains with biocontrol properties are established in the fungal communities within the agroecosystem.

On the other hand, P. olsonii ML37 was observed as a potential
biocontrol agent of *Zymoseptria tritici* on wheat under controlled conditions and in the field (Latz et al., 2020). *Penicillus olsonii* has been described as a woody plant endophyte (Nicoletti et al., 2014) and recently, as causing post-harvest fruit rot in tomato (Anjum et al., 2018). It has been studied for its ability to degrade pectin and the production of polygalacturonases (Wagner et al., 2000). Other isolates have been used as biocontrol agents (Ahmed et al., 2015; Waqas et al., 2018). It has been suggested that endophytes could provide a more reliable field performance (Collinge et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2018). Their evolutionary history makes them natural competitors for the same biological niche. Furthermore, their endophytic life-style would make them less exposed to environmental factors and will provide a source of nutrients as they deliver their effect.

We have shown that potential candidates colonise wheat spikes and that two days of endophyte establishment are enough for a biocontrol effect to be observed. Similar results were obtained by Comby et al. (2017), who observed an increase in endophyte biomass in wheat spikelets treated with potential biocontrol agents as they reduced FHB symptoms. We observed that endophytes only provided their effect a few days after inoculation. This suggests an endophytic lifestyle and could represent a potential improvement in field performance.

Finally, the screening method to select potential biocontrol candidates has a direct influence on the expected mode of action of such promising microorganisms. Screening procedures often involve tests for direct competition in *vitro*, production of secondary metabolites, utilisation of specific carbon sources and antimicrobial enzyme activity. Such approaches are high throughput and successful in identifying promising microorganisms with specific features, like antibiotic-producing bacteria, but often there is no correspondence between these features and disease control in *planta*.

The mode of action of endophytic fungi in disease control is diverse. It could be direct through antibiotic, indirect via competition or plant-mediated defence activation (Latz et al., 2018). Microorganism selection using indirect approaches that do not involve the plants can lead to poor choices and inefficient use of microorganism collections. In this study, we observed no direct correlation between pathogen inhibition in plates and the capacity to reduce disease symptoms in planta. Moreover, there was a clear correlation between the performance in the detached-spikelet test and in greenhouse assay. The reduction in symptoms was associated with a reduction in fungal biomass, meaning that endophyte treatment has a direct effect on fungal activity and not only on the appearance of visible symptoms.

In *in vitro* tests are high throughput, but, in essence, artificial and do not reproduce natural conditions. Using a classical dual-culture selection, the promising organisms of this study would have been discarded. We have used a detached-spikelet assay trying to replicate a more realistic scenario whilst maintaining high throughput and reproducibility. We were therefore able to test several isolates in a relatively short time and coupled this approach with a pre-selection for industrial properties such as no pathogenicity, high spore production and low safety risks (Köhler et al., 2011). It is recommendable to implement this type of *in planta* screening methods when searching for potential biocontrol agents as these could provide a greater array of modes of action (Latz et al., 2018).

In conclusion, naturally occurring fungal endophytes are a potential source of new biocontrol agents. We isolated fungi from healthy looking spikes in fields with high FHB incidence and identified four fungal isolates that were able to reduce FHB symptoms in wheat. This is the first report of naturally occurring biocontrol of FHB provided by *Sarocladium strictum*, *Anthracocystis flocculosa* and *Penicillus olsonii*. The role of the plant microbiome in plant health has only recently gained interest. In order to exploit fully the diversity in biotechnological solutions for agriculture, we must refine our methods and rethink our understanding of plant–microbe interactions.
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