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A NOTE ON THE CACTUS RANK FOR SEGRE-VERONESE VARIETIES

EDOARDO BALLICO, ALESSANDRA BERNARDI, AND FULVIO GESMUNDO

Abstract. We give an upper bound for the cactus rank of any multi-homogeneous polynomial.

1. Introduction

The notion of cactus rank for homogeneous polynomials was first introduced in the literature by A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev in their famous book [14] Definition 5.1, Definition 5.66] with the name of “scheme length”. The expression “cactus rank” was first used in [5] after the pioneering work of W. Buczyńska and J. Buczyński [6] where the authors introduced the notion of cactus varieties.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field and let $S = \mathbb{K}_{DP}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be the divided power ring of polynomials in $n + 1$ variables over $\mathbb{K}$ (if char($\mathbb{K}$) = 0 one can work with the standard polynomial ring – we refer to [14] Appendix A, [4] Section 2.1, [9] Appendix 2 for the theory on divided power rings). Denote by $S_d$ the component of degree $d$ in $S$ and let $\nu_d$ denote the $d$-th Veronese embedding $\nu_d : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}(S_d) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$ given by $[L] \mapsto [L^d]$ (for $f \in S$ homogeneous, $[f]$ denotes its class in the corresponding projective space), namely the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by the line bundle $O(d)$; its image $\nu_d(\mathbb{P}^n) := \{[L^d] : L \in S_1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}S_d$ is the so-called Veronese variety. Given a homogeneous polynomial $f \in S_d$ (here we assume $d > \text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ if $\mathbb{K}$ has positive characteristic), the cactus rank of $f$ is the minimum length of a zero-dimensional scheme $Z$ contained in $\nu_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$ such that such that $[f] \in \langle Z \rangle$.

Equivalently, the cactus rank of $f$ is the minimum length of a zero-dimensional scheme $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ that is apolar to $f$, in the sense of [14] Def. 1.11. In this setting $Z = \nu_d(\Gamma)$. The scheme $\Gamma$ is called a minimal apolar scheme to $f$ and it has been extensively studied in the recent years (see [14] [5] [6] [17], [4] [3] [7]). In particular in [5] the authors explore the behaviors for the cactus rank in the case of cubics polynomials, while in [17] the monomial case is studied.

The notion of cactus rank can be defined with respect to an arbitrary algebraic variety (see e.g. [11]). In this work, we focus on the setting of partially symmetric tensors, which has been studied also in [1] [12] [18] [13], where the authors used a natural extension of the notion of apolarity, and in [10] [11], where the author widened this notion to an even more general setting, and provided equations for cactus varieties via vector bundle techniques (see e.g. [16] [18]).

Given positive integers $s, n_1, \ldots, n_s$, let $R = \mathbb{K}_{DP}[x_{i,j} : j = 1, \ldots, s, i = 0, \ldots, n_j]$ be a polynomial ring in $\sum (n_j + 1)$ variables. Given a multidegree $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$, write $R_d$ for the multihomogeneous component of multidegree $d$, i.e. $f \in R_d$ if, for every $j$, $f$ is homogeneous of degree $d_j = d_1 + \cdots + d_s$ in $R$ and in addition it is homogeneous of degree $d_j$ in the $j$-th set of variables $\{x_{i,j} : i = 0, \ldots, n_j\}$.

Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_s}$, where we may regard $\mathbb{P}^{n_j} = \mathbb{P}(R_{e_j})$ with $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ($1$ being at the $j$-th entry); the Segre-Veronese embedding of multidegree $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_s)$ is the
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\textbf{Definition 1.1.} Let $X := \nu_d(Y) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be the Segre-Veronese variety, $r + 1 = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \binom{n_i + d_i}{n_i}$ (here $\sum d_i < \text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ if we work in positive characteristic). For any $f \in \mathbb{P}^r$ the cactus rank $cr_d(f)$ is the minimal length of a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset X$ such that $f \in \langle Z \rangle$.

In this work, we give an upper bound on the maximum possible value of $cr_d$ in terms of $d_1, \ldots, d_s$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_s$, or equivalently on the value of $cr_d$ for every $f \in R_d$ (Theorem 1.2).

Possible approaches to this problem include the use of the notion of Hankel operators as it is applied in [2] to partially symmetric tensors, or of extended notions of apolarity as in [13, 12, 10]. Our approach is based on the one followed by M. V. Catalisano, A. V. Geramita and A. Gimigliano in [8], where the authors show that the Hilbert function of a certain number of double fat points on the Segre-Veronese variety coincides with the Hilbert function of a scheme contained in $\mathbb{P}^n$ consisting of fat points and linear spaces, for $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$. This will allow us to give an explicit upper bound in the case of Segre-Veronese varieties.

Following [8] we construct an embedding
\[
u_d : Y \to \mathbb{P}R_d = \mathbb{P}^r\]
where $\nu_d$ is the minimal length of a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset X$ such that $f \in \langle Z \rangle$.

Our main result is the following:

\textbf{Theorem 1.2.} For any multi-homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{P}^r \simeq \mathbb{P}R_d$ with $r+1 = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \binom{n_i + d_i}{n_i}$, $n := n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ and $d := d_1 + \cdots + d_s$, we have that

- if $d$ is even, say $d = 2k + 2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $cr_d(f) \leq \binom{n+k}{k} + \binom{n+k+1}{k+1}$,
- if $d = 2k + 1$ is odd we have $cr_d(f) \leq 2\binom{n+k}{k}$.

\textbf{Remark 1.3.} We compare our result with some known results on this topic. For $s = 1$, we obtain an upper bound for the cactus rank in the symmetric case: for $d = 3$, Thm. 1.2 recovers the result of [6] on cubic forms; for higher values of $d$, Thm. 1.2 does not give an improvement with respect to the bound provided by [17].

In the tensor case (when $d_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$), we obtain more interesting results: if $s$ is odd and $n = n_i$ for every $i$, Thm. 1.2 provides the upper bound $2\binom{m+s/2}{s/2}$ which grows as a polynomial of degree $\lfloor s/2 \rfloor$ in $ms$; a similar growth is attained in the case where $s$ is even. In particular, in the case $s = 3$, we obtain that the maximum value of $cr_{1,1,1}$ grows with leading term $6m$ which was already known to Buczyński (personal communication, 2016); we observe that a bound with the same leading term can be obtained as a straightforward application of the result of [5]. For every fixed value of $s$, Thm. 1.2 shows that the maximum possible cactus rank grows as a polynomial of degree $s/2$ in $m$ (a lower bound with this growth is immediate via flattening techniques), whereas the maximum possible value for the tensor rank (see e.g. [13]) grows as a polynomial of degree $s - 1$ in $m$.

We point out that during the referee process of this paper we have been informed that M. Gałązka is currently working on the same topic and he independently obtained bounds similar to the ones of Thm. 1.2 using different techniques.

\textbf{Acknowledgements.} This paper was conceived during the International workshop on “Quantum Physics and Geometry” (Levico Terme, Trento, Italy, July 4–6, 2017), funded by CIRM.
Lemma 3.1. The evaluation \( u : R_d \rightarrow S_d \) is injective.

Proof. Take \( f \in R_d \) and write
\[
f = \sum_{0 \leq a_1 \leq d_1, \ldots, 0 \leq a_s \leq d_s} x_0^{a_1} \cdots x_s^{a_s} F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s},
\]
with \( F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s} \in R_{d_1-a_1, \ldots, d_s-a_s} \) and no \( x_{0,j} \) appearing in \( F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s} \), so that, for every \( j \), \( F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s} \) is homogeneous of degree \( d_j-a_j \) in \( \{x_{i,j}\}_{i=1,\ldots,n_j} \). Therefore
\[
u(f) = \sum_{0 \leq a_1 \leq d_1, \ldots, 0 \leq a_s \leq d_s} x_0^{a_1+\cdots+a_s} F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s}(z_{i,j}),
\]
where \( F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s}(z_{i,j}) \) is just the image of the evaluation \( x_{i,j} \mapsto z_{i,j} \). Now, if \( u(f) = 0 \), then for every \( \rho \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \), we have \( \sum_{a_1+\cdots+a_s=\rho} F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s}(z_{i,j}) = 0 \); this is a sum of linearly independent terms, because the summands have different multidegree in the variables \( \{z_{i,j}\} \). This shows \( F_{a_1, \ldots, a_s}(z_{i,j}) = 0 \) for every choice of \( (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \) and therefore \( f = 0 \).

Lemma 3.2. The image of \( u : R_d \rightarrow S_d \) is \( A \).
Proof. Recall $A = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{L_1∪...∪L_s}(d))$. We first prove that $u(R_d) \subseteq A$. Fix $f \in R_d$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. It is sufficient to prove that $u(f) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{L_j}(d))$ for every $j$. Since $f$ has degree $d_j$ with respect to the variables $\{x_{0,j}, x_{1,j}, \ldots, x_{n_j,j}\}$, every monomial appearing with non-zero coefficient in $f$ has degree at most $d_j$ with respect to $\{x_{1,j}, \ldots, x_{n_j,j}\}$; therefore each monomial appearing with non-zero coefficient in $u(f)$ has degree at most $d_j$ with respect to $\{z_{1,j}, \ldots, z_{n_j,j}\}$ and hence degree at least $d - d_j$ with respect to the other homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n$. This is equivalent to saying that $u(f) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{L_j}(d))$ by the definitions of $M_j$ and of $L_j$. The inclusion $u(H^0(O_Y(d))) \supseteq A$, now follows from the fact that $u(H^0(O_Y(d)))$ and $A$ have the same dimension, which is a consequence of the injectivity of $u$ (Lemma 3.1), and of the fact that $R_d$ and $A$ have the same dimension (case $Z = W = \emptyset$ of [8] Theorem 1.1). □

Remark 3.3. The map $u$ induces an isomorphism between the open set $\mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with $z_0 \neq 0$ and the open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{Y}$ with $x_{0,j} \neq 0$. Explicitly, this isomorphism is $u : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$

$((1, \xi_{1,1}, \ldots, \xi_{n_1,1}), \ldots, (1, \xi_{1,s}, \ldots, \xi_{n_s,s})) \mapsto (\xi_{i,j} : i = 1, \ldots, n_j, j = 1, \ldots, s)$,

where $(\xi_{1,1}, \ldots, \xi_{n_1,1})$ are coordinates on the open set $\mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ of the $j$-th factor of $Y$. In particular, any zero-dimensional scheme $W \subset \mathbb{A}^n = U \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ corresponds isomorphically to a zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset Y$ of the same degree.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\nu_d : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, $N = \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$, be the order $d$ Veronese embedding. The point $f$ is (the class of) a multi-homogeneous polynomial; let $p = u(f) \in \mathbb{P}^N$ be its image via $u$, so that, from Lemma 3.2, $p \in \mathbb{P}A$. Following [5], let $N_d = \binom{n+k}{k}$ if $d = 2k + 2$ is even and $N_d = 2\binom{n+k}{k}$ if $d = 2k + 1$ is odd. Theorem 3 in [5] shows that $\nu_d(p) \in N_d$ and in fact that there is a punctual (i.e., connected) zero-dimensional scheme $W \subset \mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\deg(W) \leq N_d$ and $p \in (\nu_d(W))$. If $K$ has positive characteristic, (in this case we assume $\text{char}(K) > d$ as in Definition 1.1) we follow [4] Section 2.1 and Section 4]. Let $Z = u^{-1}(W) \subset \mathbb{A}^n \subset Y$ be the corresponding zero-dimensional scheme in $Y$ obtained via the isomorphism induced by $u$. We have $p = u(f) \in (\nu_d(W))$ and therefore $f \in (\nu_d(Z))$. □
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