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The present study was conducted to determine if the distribution of symptoms in treatment-seeking Danish veterans was consistent with the ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD symptom profiles. Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that separate classes representing PTSD and CPTSD would be found that membership of a potential CPTSD-class would be predicted by a larger number of childhood traumas, and that a potential distinction between PTSD and CPTSD would be supported by differences in sociodemographic and functional outcomes.

Method: Participants (N = 1,541) were formerly deployed Danish soldiers who completed proxy measures of ICD-11 PTSD and disturbances in self-organization (DSO) symptoms, along with self-report measures of traumatic life events, prior to starting treatment at the Military Psychology Department of the Danish Defence.

Results: All hypotheses were supported. Latent profile analysis (LPA) revealed separate classes representing PTSD and CPTSD. In comparison to the PTSD-class, membership of the CPTSD-class was predicted by more childhood traumatic experiences, and members of this class were more likely being single/divorced/widowed and more likely to use psychotropic medication. Besides a PTSD-class and a CPTSD-class, LPA revealed a Low Symptoms-class, a Moderate DSO-class, a Hyperarousal-class, and a High DSO-class, with clear differences in functional outcomes between classes.

Conclusion: Findings replicate previous studies supporting the distinction between ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD. In addition, there seem to be groups of treatment-seeking military veterans that do not fulfill full criteria for a trauma-related disorder. Further research should explore subsyndromal PTSD and CPTSD profiles in veterans and other populations.

El TEPT y el TEPT-Complejo de la CIE-11 en veteranos daneses en busca tratamiento: Un análisis de perfil latente

Antecedentes: La Clasificación Internacional de Enfermedades de la OMS, 11ª versión (CIE-11), incluye un diagnóstico relacionado con el trauma para el trastorno de estrés postraumático complejo (TEPT-C) distinto del trastorno de estrés postraumático (TEPT). Los resultados de los estudios anteriores respaldan la validez de esta distinción. Sin embargo, ningún estudio hasta la fecha ha evaluado el teoría de que se encontrarían clases separadas que representan el TEPT y TEPT-C en veteranos militares en busca de tratamiento.

Objetivo: Determinar si la distribución de los síntomas en los veteranos daneses en busca de tratamiento fue consistente con los perfiles de los síntomas del TEPT y TEPT-C de la CIE-11. Basados en estudios previos, planteamos la hipótesis de que se encontrarían clases separadas que representan el TEPT y TEPT-C, que la pertenencia a la clase potencial del TEPT-C sería predicha por un mayor número de traumas infantiles, y que una posible distinción entre el TEPT y TEPT-C sería apoyada por las diferencias en los resultados sociodemográficos y funcionales.

Método: Los participantes (N = 1,541) fueron soldados daneses desplegados anteriormente, que completaron medidas indirectas del TEPT y TEPT-C, y que eran veteranos que no cumplían los criterios para un trastorno relacionado con el trauma. En comparación con el PTSD, la pertenencia a la clase del TEPT-C fue predicha por más experiencias traumáticas infantiles, y los miembros de esta clase eran más propensos a ser solteros/divorciados/vuvidos y más...
that those who had experienced severe or chronic trauma
(e.g. torture or childhood abuse) reported higher symptom
erates representative of DSO compared to those with a
less severe trauma history (van der Kolk, Roth, Pelcovitz, Sunday, & Spinazzola, 2005). Several clinical
studies of posttraumatic reactions in samples defined by
childhood trauma have confirmed a symptom cluster
categorized by disturbances in these domains (e.g.
Briere & Rickards, 2007; Dorrepaal et al., 2014;
Karatzias et al., 2017).

Since the WHO ICD is the most widely used
diagnostic system worldwide, a thorough empirical
assessment of the forthcoming ICD-11 models of
trauma-based disorders is required. The ICD-11
model of CPTSD predicts that there should be evidence
of a CPTSD profile characterized by high endorsement
of both PTSD and DSO-symptoms, and a PTSD profile characterized by high endorsement
of PTSD symptoms only.

The ICD-11 model of CPTSD has been tested in
several studies, usually using mixture models, such as
classification and latent profile analysis (LPA), which
distinguish different homogenous sub-populations
that share similar patterns of symptom endorsement
(Nylund, Asparouhov, & Muthén, 2007). To the best of
our knowledge, 12 mixture model studies on ICD-11
PTSD and CPTSD have been published to date
(Böttche et al., 2018; Cloitre, Garvert, Brewin, Bryant, &
Maercker, 2013; Cloitre, Garvert, Weiss, Carlson, &
Bryant, 2014; Elklit, Hyland, & Shevlin, 2014; Karatzias, Hyland, Ben-Ezra, & Shevlin, 2018; Karatzias et al., 2017; Kazlauskas, Gегiekайте, Hyland, Zelvienе, & Cloitre, 2018; Knefel, Garvet, Cloitre, & Lueger-Schuster, 2015; Murphy, Elklit, Dokkedahl, & Shevlin, 2016; Palic et al., 2016; Sachser, Keller, & Goldbeck, 2017; Wolf et al., 2015). All except one (Wolf et al., 2015), previous studies identified the presence of at least two distinct symptom profiles; one describing a group of individuals reporting high levels of CPTSD symptoms in both PTSD and DSO-symptom clusters, another endorsing high levels of PTSD symptoms only.

Consistent with the findings concerning DESNOS in the DSM-IV field trials, several of the above-mentioned studies indicate that childhood trauma experience gives a greater risk for CPTSD development than PTSD (Cloitre et al., 2013, 2014; Hyland et al., 2017; Karatzias et al., 2017; Knefel et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2016). Moreover, studies have indicated there are sociodemographic and functional correlates which distinguish CPTSD and PTSD (Cloitre et al., 2013, 2014; Karatzias et al., 2017; Perkonigg et al., 2016). A recent study by Karatzias et al. (2017) found that individuals with CPTSD relative to PTSD profiles were more likely to be unemployed, less likely to be married, and more likely to live alone.

To the best of our knowledge, only two studies to date have tested the ICD-11 model of PTSD or CPTSD in veteran samples. Hansen et al. (2017) investigated the diagnostic rates of PTSD based on the DSM-5 and ICD-11 across three trauma samples. Within a Danish veteran sample (N = 321), recruited through an online survey 6-months post-deployment to the Middle East or Afghanistan, PTSD rates according to the DSM-5 were almost twice that of the ICD-11 (9.6% versus 5.3%), but the difference was statistically non-significant (Hansen et al., 2017). In an internet-based study of U.S. military veterans (N = 323), most of whom served in operations Enduring and Iraqi Freedom, Wolf et al. (2015) reported ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD prevalence to be 21.4% and 13.0%, respectively (Wolf et al., 2015).

No studies to date have tested the ICD-11 model of CPTSD in treatment-seeking military veterans. Based on a large Danish veterans sample seeking treatment for distress following military deployment, the present study aimed to determine if the naturally occurring distribution of symptoms was consistent with the ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD specifications. In the present study, a veteran is defined according to the definition provided by the Danish Ministry of Defence, as a person who has been deployed to an international mission with the armed forces of their country and may or may not have left the military for a civilian career/education (Danish Ministry of Defence, 2016).

Based on previous studies in other trauma populations, as described above, we hypothesized that qualitatively different groups of participants would emerge reflecting PTSD and CPTSD according to the ICD-11. Specifically, we hypothesized that 1) separate classes representing PTSD and CPTSD would be found, and 2) membership of a potentially occurring CPTSD class would be predicted by more traumatic life events, particularly in childhood, and 3) a potential distinction between PTSD and CPTSD would be associated with differences in sociodemographic and functional outcomes.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Data were collected between May 2014 and October 2018 in the Military Psychology Department of the Danish Defence. At intake, all veterans are invited to fill out an on-line administered questionnaire pertaining to their current symptomatology, trauma experience, medication use, and sociodemographic information. For this study, we considered everyone who referred themselves to the Department in the inclusion period (N = 2,561) and filled out the questionnaire (N = 2,248). In total 543 participants were not referred on to treatment after their intake consultation. This might be because their problems were unrelated to their deployment. We therefore excluded these individuals. Finally, 164 individuals referred themselves for treatment more than once during the inclusion period. We included these individuals at their first referral. The final sample consisted of 1,541 individuals. Since we included only participants who completed the questionnaire, we have virtually no missing data (between 0 and 2 missing data points for each item).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. PTSD and CPTSD

For the main analysis, we used proxy measures resembling the items of the International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ; Cloitre et al., 2018) that has been developed to measure PTSD and CPTSD as defined in ICD-11. Since the ITQ was not available when the data collection for this project commenced, we used items from the PTSD-checklist, Civilian Version IV (PCL-C; Weathers, Litz, Herman, Huska, & Keane, 1993) and the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales with 42 items (DASS; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995) that closely resemble the ITQ items. Items used and how these compare with respective ITQ items can be seen in Table 1. There has not been an item that corresponds to the ITQ-item ‘I find it hard to stay emotionally close to people’. Furthermore, there was no exact match for the ITQ-item ‘I feel like a failure’. For this item ‘I felt I was pretty worthless’ from DASS was used. The ITQ-item ‘I feel worthless’ was matched with another DASS-item ‘I felt I wasn’t worth much as a person’. Given the
difference in semantics between the two items it was decided to keep both. Since the PCL and DASS have different response scales (PCL: 1–5; DASS: 1–4), we rescaled both scales to range from 0 to 1 in order to make them comparable. Hence, for items from both scales, 0 is the lowest possible score, while 1 is the highest possible score. For PCL-items, there are three intermediate scores while for DASS-items, there are two intermediate scores. Cronbach’s alpha for the total scale was 0.90, for the PTSD-subscale it was 0.87 and for the DSO-subscale 0.83.

2.3. Covariates

For prediction of class membership, we investigated the role of lifetime trauma assessed with the Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire (TLEQ; Kubany et al., 2000). TLEQ lists 19 traumatic events that might have happened during a person’s lifetime, and each item score is the number of times a particular trauma had occurred with an upper limit of six, indicating that the trauma happened six or more times. Three of the 19 TLEQ-items concern childhood trauma; witnessing violence in the family, physical punishment, and sexual abuse. For the purpose of this study, we calculated two sum scores; one for adult trauma (range 0–96) and one for childhood trauma (range 0–18). Given the different range of these two scores, we Z-score transformed them to arrive at meaningful and comparable odds ratios when predicting class membership. In addition to these two trauma variables, we included age and gender as potential class membership predictors. Furthermore, we estimated differences between latent classes in terms of marital status (single/divorced/widowed vs. in a relationship/cohabiting/married), occupational status (Employed/studying vs. Unemployed vs. Sickness benefits), and use of psychotropic medication (Y/N).

2.4. Analyses

Classes were identified by application of LPA as implemented in Mplus version 8.2 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998). In this methodology, classes with differing profiles on the included indicators (here: symptoms of PTSD and CPTSD) are identified in a data-driven manner (McCutcheon, 1987). Common practice in LPA is to initially fit a model to the data with one class, followed by the estimation of models with the continuous addition of extra classes (Nylund et al., 2007). Final model selection is based on theoretical meaningfulness, class sizes, parsimony, and importantly, fit indices expressing how well a model fits the data (Nylund et al., 2007). When evaluating model fit we used the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC; Akaie, 1998), the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC; Schwarz, 1978), and the sample size adjusted BIC (SSA-BIC) as well as two model comparison tests, the Lo-Mendel-Rubin likelihood ratio test (LMR-LRT; Lo, Mendell, & Rubin, 2001), and the bootstrapped likelihood ratio test (BLRT; McLachlan & Peel, 2000). After selecting the final model based on these criteria, we present the model entropy (classification accuracy measure).

We introduced covariates with two different aims: 1) to explore if membership of the identified classes is predicted by age, gender, and trauma in childhood and adult life, and 2) to estimate differences across classes in terms of marital status, employment status, and use of psychotropic medication. For these aims, we applied a 3-step method where class formation and covariate estimation are done in successive steps while accounting for class

---

Table 1. Items in ITQ and their corresponding items in PCL and DASS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Short names</th>
<th>ITQ items</th>
<th>PCL items</th>
<th>Test items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>DREAMS</td>
<td>P1. Having upsetting dreams that replay part of the experience or are clearly related to the experience?</td>
<td>PCL2. Repeated, disturbing dreams of a stressful experience from the past?</td>
<td>PCL1. Repeated, disturbing memories, thoughts, or images of a stressful experience from the past?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTTHOUGHTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>P2. Having powerful images or memories that sometimes come into your mind in which you feel the experience is happening again in the here and now?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVO思UOUGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td>P3. Avoiding internal reminders of the experience (for example, thoughts, feelings, or physical sensations)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVO思IT</td>
<td></td>
<td>P4. Avoiding external reminders of the experience (for example, people, places, conversations, objects, activities, or situations)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPERVIG</td>
<td>STARTLE</td>
<td>C1. When I am upset, it takes me a long time to calm down.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPTSD</td>
<td>UPSET</td>
<td>C2. I feel numb or emotionally shut down.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORTHLESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>C3. I feel like a failure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTMUCHWORTH</td>
<td></td>
<td>C4. I feel worthless.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTANT</td>
<td></td>
<td>C5. I feel distant or cut off from people.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C6. I find it hard to stay emotionally close to people.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

self-reported medication using the anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) classification system and included psycholeptica (N05) and psychoanaleptica (N06).
assignment insecurity (van de Schoot, Sijbrandij, Winter, Depaoli, & Vermunt, 2017; Vermunt, 2010) twice: once for predicting class membership, and once for testing class differences. For the first aim, we used the CPTSD group as reference (results presented as odds ratios (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI)). The nominal statistical level was set to \( p < 0.05 \) except for the test of class differences, where we, due to the high number of individual comparisons (15 for each outcome), Bonferroni-corrected the results by adjusting the \( p \)-value to 0.003 (0.05/number of comparisons).

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

The mean (M) sample age was 37 years (standard deviation (SD) = 9.8) and 93.8% were males. Participants experienced on average 6.13 (SD = 3.02, median = 6) unique lifetime traumatic events (range 0–19). In total, 30% (n = 461) endorsed at least one TLEQ-item describing childhood trauma (witnessing violence in the family; 15.7% (n = 242); physical punishment; 22.8% (n = 351); and sexual abuse; 4.3% (n = 67)). The most common index traumatic events were: ‘Combat or war’ (57.2%, n = 882), ‘Sudden unexpected death of someone close’ (8.5%, n = 131), and ‘Other traumatic event’ (7.8%, n = 120).

3.2. LPA results

Table 2 shows fit indices of models with 1–7 classes. AIC, BIC and SSA-BIC are reduced with the addition of every new class, even though the reduction decreases with more classes. Although the LMR-LRT was highly statistically significant with the addition of new classes, with the addition of class seven, LMR-LRT was no longer statistically significant. BLRT was significant with the addition of each new class but could not be computed for the seventh class. The size of the smallest class was reasonable for all estimated models, and for models including up to six classes, the classes were theoretically meaningful and substantially different, indicating a parsimonious model. The addition of the seventh class, however, indicated a non-parsimonious model. Hence, combining perspectives of theoretical meaningfulness and indices of how well the estimated models fit the data, a 6-class model was selected. For this model, the entropy was 0.873. Figure 1 shows the class plot. It was found that 27.3% of the sample belongs to a group with low score on all symptoms (‘Low Symptoms’), while 8.8% score low on the PTSD-symptoms but moderate on the DSO-symptoms (‘Moderate DSO’). Two groups show a medium level of PTSD-symptoms, one of them in combination with medium DSO-symptoms and specifically high hypervigilance (‘Hyperarousal’; 17.2%), the other in combination with high DSO-symptoms (‘High DSO’; 15.4%). Two groups show high levels of PTSD-symptoms; one of them scores high only on PTSD-symptoms (‘PTSD’; 14.1%), the other one score high on PTSD as well as DSO-symptoms (‘CPTSD’; 17.3%).

3.3. Prediction of class membership

The results of the multinomial logistic regression analysis with prediction of class membership are reported in Table 3. It was found that older age decreases the risk of belonging to the four classes with lowest symptom levels: Low Symptoms, Moderate DSO, Hyperarousal and High DSO in comparison to the CPTSD class. For gender, it was found that females are less likely to belong to the High DSO class compared to the CPTSD class (OR: 0.23; 95% CI: 0.07–0.71). Childhood trauma decreases the risk of belonging to the PTSD class compared to the CPTSD class (OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.64–0.93), while it is not predictive of membership in any other class. Adult life trauma decreases the risk of belonging to the Low Symptoms (OR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.44–0.61) and the Moderate DSO (OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.42–0.71) classes compared to the CPTSD class, while it is not predictive of membership in any other class.

3.4. Difference in sociodemographic and functional outcomes

Differences between classes in marital status, use of psychotropic medication, and employment are illustrated in Figure 2. The overall comparison of marital

Table 2. Fit indices, likelihood ratio tests, and class proportions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>SSA-BIC</th>
<th>LMR-LRT</th>
<th>BLRT</th>
<th>Class proportions (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 class</td>
<td>12,999.5</td>
<td>13,117.0</td>
<td>13,047.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 classes</td>
<td>7389.2</td>
<td>7570.8</td>
<td>7462.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 classes</td>
<td>6169.0</td>
<td>6414.6</td>
<td>6268.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 classes</td>
<td>5390.0</td>
<td>5700.0</td>
<td>5515.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 classes</td>
<td>4964.7</td>
<td>5338.5</td>
<td>5116.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 classes</td>
<td>4606.2</td>
<td>5044.1</td>
<td>4783.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 classes</td>
<td>4443.1</td>
<td>4945.1</td>
<td>4646.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: AIC = Akaike Information Criteria; BIC = Bayesian Information Criteria; SSA-BIC = Sample size adjusted BIC; LMR-LRT = Lo-Mendell-Rubin Likelihood Ratio Test; BLRT = Bootstrapped Likelihood Ratio Test.
Figure 1. Plot of the 6-class model.
Notes: For readability, classes with low DSO-symptoms are plotted in blue, while classes with elevated DSO-symptoms are plotted in red.

Table 3. Multinomial regression analysis of being in different classes with the CPTSD class as reference. Results are presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. * = \( p < 0.01 \), ** = \( p < 0.001 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Childhood traumatic events</th>
<th>Adult life traumatic events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Symptoms</td>
<td>0.66**</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>(0.53–1.57)</td>
<td>(0.78–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate DSO</td>
<td>0.60**</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>(0.60–2.37)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperarousal</td>
<td>0.68**</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>(0.28–1.20)</td>
<td>(0.79–1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High DSO</td>
<td>0.74*</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>(0.07–0.71)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>(0.64–0.93)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Proportion of individuals in each class who are single/divorced/widowed, uses psychotropic medication, are unemployed or receives sickness benefits.
Notes: For readability, classes with low DSO-symptoms are plotted in blue, while classes with elevated DSO-symptoms are plotted in red. *Unemployed and sickness benefits are coded as a three-category variable (unemployed, sickness benefits, in some way occupied (work, student, etc.)].
status reveals differences across classes \((p < 0.0001)\), with the DSO-symptom cluster (Moderate DSO, High DSO, CPTSD) consistently displaying higher risk of being single/divorced/widowed compared to the classes with low DSO-symptoms (Low Symptoms, Hyperarousal, PTSD). For use of psychotropic medication, an overall difference between classes \((p < 0.0001)\) was found with higher symptom level (be it symptoms of PTSD or DSO) to be associated with increased proportion of individuals using psychotropic medication (from 5% in the Low Symptoms-class to 40% in the CPTSD-class). All individual class comparisons are statistically significant except Low Symptoms vs. Moderate DSO, Moderate DSO vs. Hyperarousal, Moderate DSO vs. PTSD, High DSO vs PTSD, High DSO vs. CPTSD, and Hyperarousal vs PTSD. Finally, for employment status, an overall difference between classes \((p < 0.0001)\) was found. While the unemployment level is relatively stable across groups (from 2%-6%), the proportion of individuals in the group who received sickness benefits is generally increasing with the symptom severity level (from 2% in the Low Symptoms-class to 25% in the CPTSD-class). Specifically, we find that all individual class comparisons are statistically significant except Low Symptoms vs. Moderate DSO, Moderate DSO vs. Hyperarousal, Hyperarousal vs. High-DSO, High-DSO vs. PTSD, High-DSO vs. CPTSD, Hyperarousal vs. PTSD and PTSD vs. CPTSD.

4. Discussion

This study aimed to determine if the naturally occurring symptom distribution in treatment-seeking military veterans was consistent with the ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD specifications. Based on previous studies in other trauma populations, it was hypothesized that qualitatively different groups of participants would emerge with symptom endorsement that reflects PTSD and CPTSD according to ICD-11. Secondly, we hypothesized that membership of a potential CPTSD class would be predicted by higher rates of traumatic life events, particularly in childhood. Finally, we hypothesized that a potential distinction between PTSD and CPTSD would be further supported by differences in sociodemographic and functional outcomes.

All hypotheses were supported. LPA results indicated that a CPTSD-class (17.3%) was clearly distinguishable from a PTSD-class (14.1%). Compared to the PTSD-class, membership of the CPTSD-class was predicted by more childhood traumatic events, and members of the CPTSD-class were more likely of being single/divorced/widowed, and more likely of using psychotropic medication than members of the PTSD-class. In addition to the PTSD and CPTSD classes, we found a Low Symptoms-class (27.3%), a Moderate DSO-class (8.8%), a Hyperarousal-class (17.2%) and a High DSO-class (15.4%). Across all six classes, we found differences in sociodemographic and functional outcomes.

The distinction between two latent classes of participants with high PTSD scores, one scoring high only on PTSD symptoms, the other scoring high on both PTSD and DSO-symptoms, maps onto the ICD-11 specification of CPTSD and replicates findings from previous studies (Böttche et al., 2018; Cloitre et al., 2013, 2014; Elklit et al., 2014; Karatzias et al., 2018, 2017; Kazlauskas et al., 2018; Knefel et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2016; Palic et al., 2016; Sachser et al., 2017). Consistent with the ICD-11 model of CPTSD, childhood interpersonal trauma was a statistically significant predictor of CPTSD, as compared to PTSD. Several authors have proposed that the DSO-symptoms (i.e. affective dysregulation, negative self-concept and disturbances in relationships) be understood as the long-term sequela of childhood trauma. Results of the DSM-IV field trial suggested that traumatic events have the most pervasive impact on an individual during their first decade of life, while those who experience trauma in adulthood are more likely to develop PTSD than CPTSD (van der Kolk et al., 2005). Whilst emerging evidence suggest that childhood trauma is indeed strongly associated with adult CPTSD (Cloitre et al., 2013, 2014; Karatzias et al., 2017; Knefel et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2016), CPTSD may also develop in adults exposed to extreme circumstances such as combat (Brewin et al., 2017; Cloitre et al., 2013). In this way, trauma history should be viewed as a risk factor rather than a requirement for CPTSD (Brewin et al., 2017). The fact that childhood trauma was not predictive of any other class than the PTSD-class relative to the CPTSD-class indicates that this might be unique to CPTSD risk factor.

Our finding of differences in sociodemographic and functional outcomes between the CPTSD and PTSD classes is in line with and further adds to previous literature. A study by Karatzias et al. (2017) identified a small PTSD-class and a larger CPTSD-class in a clinical sample of treatment-seeking adults and found CPTSD-class members were more likely to be unemployed, less likely to be married and more likely to live alone. In our treatment-seeking military veteran sample, we find no significant differences between the CPTSD and PTSD-class with regard to employment status. The unemployment level was generally low in the present sample (from 2% in the Low Symptoms-Class to 6% in the Hyperarousal-class) which corresponds to findings suggesting that Danish soldiers display a good labour market affiliation post-deployment (Elron, Stoltenberg, Nissen, Nielsen, & Pedersen, 2019).
However, veterans with CPTSD, relative to PTSD, were more likely of being single/divorced/widowed, and more likely to use psychotropic medication; supporting the distinction between CPTSD and PTSD in regards to differences in severity of functional impairment, which may have implications for the nature and duration of treatment.

The identification of six qualitatively different classes in our study is somewhat surprising given that previous studies typically identified a PTSD profile, a CPTSD profile, and occasionally a Low Symptoms profile. This might be explained in terms of our study sample size since smaller samples tend to have solutions with fewer classes, while more broadly representative samples tend to have larger number of classes (Brewin et al., 2017). However, there are similarities of our identified classes with those identified by others: for example, the class labelled ‘High DSO’, resembles a profile labelled ‘DSO’ in a LPA community study of 229 predominantly male adult survivors of childhood institutional (Knefel et al., 2015) which identified four latent classes; a PTSD-class, a CPTSD-class, a Low Symptoms-class and a DSO-class, consisting of individuals reporting medium PTSD symptoms and high DSO-symptoms (Knefel et al., 2015). Similar to Knefel et al. (2015) we could speculate that the ‘High DSO’-class in our study might represent individuals with a distinct class of subthreshold CPTSD.

Individuals in the Hyperarousal-class in our study reported elevated levels of hypervigilance, startle response, and affect dysregulation, and no or limited elevation on the DSO-symptoms. This might illustrate that given their training to be hypervigilant, veterans might have specific difficulties letting go of this hypervigilance when they return from deployment (Kimble, Fleming, & Bennion, 2013). It might also be that this group is described most adequately in the context of anxiety and fear disorders, given the symptoms profile of these individuals (elevated sense of threat and affective dysregulation). Finally, we identified a small group of individuals who reported lower PTSD symptoms and medium symptoms of emotional numbing, negative self-concept and feeling distant or cut off from other people. We labelled this group Moderate DSO.

Since our study is the first among the LPA-studies to identify six distinct classes, the comparison of all classes warrants a little more attention: Interestingly, when comparing the classes on sociodemographic and functional outcomes, we found statistically significant differences across all classes, indicating that the LPA-derived classes are not spurious, but indeed relevant symptom profiles capturing diverging psychopathologies. While unemployment level was relatively stable across classes, the proportion of individuals receiving sickness benefit generally increased with symptom level. A similar tendency was seen for psychotropic medication use; classes with higher symptom levels also reported use of more psychotropic medication. Remarkably, comparisons between classes revealed that regarding marital status, classes with high DSO-symptoms (Moderate DSO, High DSO, and CPTSD) consistently displayed higher risk of being single/divorced/widowed compared to classes with low DSO-symptom counterparts (Low Symptoms, Hyperarousal, and PTSD). Together, these findings indicate CPTSD not only has higher number of symptoms but also greater functional impairment than any other group of treatment-seeking veterans. Moreover, it is important to highlights that individuals with high DSO-symptoms generally displayed greater functional impairment than individuals with low DSO-symptoms.

In summary, our results provide evidence for a distinct group in our sample that is more accurately captured by the proposed diagnostic category of ICD-11 CPTSD than PTSD. LPA identified three additional symptomatic groups of treatment-seeking veterans; a High DSO profile, a Hyperarousal profile, and a Moderate DSO profile. These groups do not fulfill the criteria for a trauma-related disorder, although they present with some of the symptoms of PTSD/CPTSD. These groups present with clinically significant pathology that would require appropriate support and treatment.

Our results should be considered in light of the following limitations; Firstly, we used proxy measures of ICD 11 PTSD and DSO symptoms. Hence, this measure might have been limited in its ability to measure affective dysregulation, negative self-concept, and disturbances in relationships. Given the finding that individuals with high DSO-items consistently displayed greater functional impairment than individuals with low DSO-symptoms, we do, however, believe that the chosen test items did capture closely enough the DSO-symptom clusters. Future studies in veteran samples should employ disease-specific measures of ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD in order to validate current results. Second, self-report data were used regarding the occurrence of symptoms and exposure to traumatic events. Therefore, recall-bias cannot be excluded. Third, lifetime trauma was measured with the TLEQ, which only includes three items concerning childhood trauma, and does not include items concerning emotional abuse, emotional neglect or physical neglect in childhood. Furthermore, no military-specific traumatic exposure was measured. Fourth, the study was based on cross-sectional data and it is not known whether the sociodemographic and functional characteristics are causes or consequences (or both) of CPTSD. Finally, in the present study, we did not consider diagnostic comorbidities such as depression, anxiety or substance abuse.

5. Conclusion

Notwithstanding its limitations, this is the first study that demonstrates that the distinction in ICD-11 of
PTSD and CPTSD is clinically relevant in a sample of treatment-seeking military veterans. Our findings support the ICD-11 model of CPTSD by 1) identifying distinct groups, or classes, of PTSD and CPTSD, 2) confirming childhood trauma to increase the risk of belonging to the CPTSD class, as compared to the PTSD class, and 3) providing evidence that CPTSD is associated with increased likelihood of being single/divorced/widowed and using psychotropic medication, as compared to PTSD. Because proxy measures were used to measure ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD criteria, future studies in veteran samples should employ disease-specific measures of ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD in order to validate these results.

Implications

Compared to PTSD, CPTSD has different types of symptoms, greater severity and greater functional impairment. Therefore, treatments for CPTSD might require a greater number of interventions and/or longer duration to provide CPTSD patients with an end-state health status equal to that obtained by PTSD patients (Karatzias et al., 2019). Future research should aim at developing and testing new treatment approaches for patients with CPTSD. Future research should also explore subsyndromal profiles of ICD-11 PTSD and CPTSD in military and other populations.
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