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Most plastics need to incorporate flame retardants to meet fire safety standard requirements. The amount and the type of flame retardants can differ, so that in waste plastics a large variety of polymers and flame retardants can be found. The recycling of plastics containing flame retardants is increasing. However, only plastics of the same polymer type and the same additive content can be recycled together. Three models based on different chemometrics techniques applied to hyperspectral imaging in the near infrared range were developed [partial least square-discriminant analysis, decision tree (DT) and hierarchical model (HM)]. Optimal results were obtained for all classification techniques. HM shows the highest error at all levels due to the noisy spectra of the black plastics. However, DT classification gave outstanding results, considering that the sensitivity was higher than 0.9 in all cases. Thus, the application of DT with hyperspectral imaging could be used to sort plastic samples with respect to the type of polymer and the flame retardant used with a high degree of accuracy in an automated way. These findings are highly valuable for the plastic and waste management industries.
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Introduction

Most plastics need to incorporate flame retardants (FR) to meet fire safety standard requirements. FRs are organic compounds used to increase the resistance to ignition, reduce flame spreading, suppress smoke formation and prevent a polymer from dripping.1 The amount and the type of FRs can differ, so that in waste plastics a large variety of polymers and FRs can be found. Among all, Brominated FRs (BFR) are cost-effective and offer a high degree of processability, making them the most commonly used FRs in plastics. In Europe, the recycling of polymers from all categories is increasing, which includes plastics containing FRs. However, only plastics of the same polymer type and with a close match in additive content can be recycled together.
The identification of BFRs in plastics has been successfully accomplished using many methods, such as Raman spectroscopy, laser-induced breakdown spectrometry (LIBS), X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and chromatography. However, many of these methods are slow, expensive and difficult to implement in a real-time framework, which make them unsuitable for automated sorting. On the other hand, near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy is extensively used for automated sorting due to its fast scanning abilities and relatively low cost.

Hyperspectral imaging (HSI) is an imaging technique that started in the 1970s with applications mainly in remote sensing. In the last decade, this technique has been applied in many other disciplines. The main feature of this technique is its ability to measure a whole spectrum for every single pixel in which the image (i.e., the sample) is divided. The interest in HSI has recently grown because of the faster, more reliable and robust evolution of the optical devices available and the implementation of powerful, accurate and robust computer vision algorithms for processing those.

HSI is inherently linked to data analysis, especially, to chemometrics techniques. Thus, the success of HSI cannot be understood without referring to the implementation of powerful algorithms to handle all data generated for a single image. Chemometrics is a well-known discipline that allows the extraction of information initially hidden in the data in a multivariate way. Many reviews have been published pointing out the main multivariate or statistical methods that can be applied in HSI for different purposes. However, sometimes it becomes cumbersome to know exactly which multivariate method is the most appropriate for every single purpose. Among the main chemometrics techniques for classification purposes, partial least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), decision trees (DT) and artificial neural networks (ANN) are very well known. Recent studies have shown the good performances in classification of algorithms based on tree structures such as DT or random forest, in comparison with classical techniques of classification such as K-NN, rules based systems (RBS), ANN or deep learning methods.

Therefore, a fast and reliable method to identify and distinguish both the polymer and the contained FRs is proposed in this manuscript by using NIR-HSI together with dedicated classification models. This approach can be the perfect methodology for real-time, automated sorting of plastics with critical additives, selecting and testing the best classification model on real samples of plastics in order to implement an economically reliable recycling process that meets the major requirement of the plastic industry.

Material and methods

Materials

The plastics used in this study were kindly provided by the INNOSORT consortium (http://innosort.teknologisk.dk/). Two different kinds of plastics were supplied: acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) and polystyrene (PS). For each one, two versions were analysed: Natural and Black (with the addition of 5% of carbon black). A reference sample for each polymer (without BFRs addition) was analysed and labelled as REF. The remaining samples were doped in the manufacturing process with 10% of different BFRs according to the corresponding legislation. The types of BFR were: 1,2,5,6,9,10-hexabromo-cyclododecane (labelled as HBCD), Pentabromophenyl ether (labelled as deca-BDE) and 3,5-tetrabromobisphenol A (labelled as TBBPA). These plastics were produced in a disk shape (of around 50 mm of diameter and 3 mm thickness). For each type of plastic, two replicates were provided, one of them for calibration and the other one for testing (Table 1). Figure 1 shows the false colour image of the samples of plastics (Figure 1A), the use of these images for calibration (black samples) or test (dark grey samples) purposes (Figure 1B) and the identification of each group and subgroup of plastics (Figure 1C). A false colour image is a representation of the hyperspectral image in which the spectra are divided into three intervals. Then, the average value of the spectral signal is calculated for each interval at each pixel. Therefore, a false RGB can be constructed by mimicking each interval as one of the RGB channels. This is a qualitative, but very valuable, way of displaying hyperspectral images. Real samples from commercial sources were used as an external validation set. These were blind random pieces of different types of plastic and from different brands (Figure 2A). The composition of these real plastics are specified in Figure 2B.

Hyperspectral imaging

Images obtained from near infrared reflectance spectroscopy hyperspectral imaging (NIRS-HSI) were collected with the UmBio Inspector hyperspectral camera (UmBio, AB, Umea, Sweden) in the wavelength range...
of 1100–2250 nm with a spectral resolution of 4.85 nm (115 bands). The camera was placed at a right angle with respect to the sample (90°). The samples were illuminated with diffuse white light at an angle of 45° to the sample. The final pixel resolution was 300 µm. This configuration had been evaluated and optimised previously and the calibration of the camera was performed by subtracting the ratio between the full reflectance of a Spectralon plate and the dark current collected with the objective closed according to the literature.

The hyperspectral image data processing was performed using HYPER-Tools, an in-house library working under MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA).

**Experimental work-flow**

The spectra were pre-processed to remove outliers and noise (first derivative Savitzky–Golay). The training samples were evaluated and classified by applying three different classification models in a pixel by pixel fashion and analysing sample by sample. Thus, once the best classification model was obtained, this model was evaluated on the NIRS-HSI of the real samples of plastic in order to evaluate the polymers, the versions and the BFRs doping the plastics of these real samples.

**Classification models**

Two different datasets were obtained, one for calibration (CAL) and the other for testing the models (TEST). Therefore, to create the calibration model, a matrix X (M×N) where M is the number of spectra and N is the number of wavelengths, and the corresponding Y matrix containing the identity belonging to each class, are needed.

Partial least square-discriminant analysis

Partial least square (PLS) together with discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) is a supervised discriminant method that predicts whether a sample belongs to a specific class. PLS-DA was performed in the PLS-toolbox (Eigenvector Research Inc., Manson, WA, USA) for MATLAB (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA). This seems a very complicated combination of software. Nevertheless, the two of them (HYPER-Tools and PLS-toolbox) work under the MATLAB environment, and all their utilities can be used in an automated way by means of in-house generated scripts.

Decision trees

DT is a decision modelling tool that graphically displays the classification process of a given input for given output class labels. This method is one of the learning algorithms that generate classification models in the form of a tree structure. It is based on the “divide and conquer” strategy. Data subsets were created by decomposing the whole dataset into smaller datasets. The final model is a tree structure with decision nodes and leaf nodes.

DT was applied in this study by using the free software Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (WEKA) (http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka: last accessed May 2018).

The J48 decision tree-inducing algorithm is a WEKA implementation of the well-known C4.5 decision tree. According to Anyanwu and Shiva and Priyam et al., J48 provides better accuracy and efficiency than other decision tree algorithms. Therefore, J48 was used as the DT in the present study. A confidence factor of 0.5 and minimum bucket size of 30 were applied. The bucket size is the minimum number of samples that can be classified in any leaf of the DT. Usually this value should be one-third of the batch size, which is the number of instances.
Figure 1. Calibration and test set of plastics for this study. A) False colour image of the plastics. B) Calibration (black) and Validation (grey) samples of plastics. C) Description of the plastic samples, type of plastics, version of these plastics (Natural or Black) and type of flame retardants.

Figure 2. A) False colour image of the real samples of plastics. B) Composition of the real samples of plastics: P1 (ABS-Black-HBCD), P2 (ABS-Black-REF), P3 (ABS-Natural-HBCD), P4 (ABS-Natural-REF), P5 (PS-Black-Deca-BDE), P6 (PS-Black-TBBPA), P7 (PS-Natural-REF) and P8 (PS-Natural-TBBPA).
Hierarchical model of classification
A hierarchical model for classifying the plastic samples was developed in the present study. In each level of classification, PLS-DA was applied as the classification technique.\textsuperscript{37}

A hierarchical model of classification is a decision tool that maps the input sample as a function of the output categories. This classification occurs first on a low-level, from highly specific characteristics of the input samples. The classifications of the individual sample are combined systematically, and the sample is classified on a higher level iteratively until one output is produced.\textsuperscript{43,44} This hierarchical model was performed in the PLS-toolbox (Eigenvector Research Inc., Manson, WA, USA) for MATLAB (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

Statistical assessment of the results
The statistical assessment of the classification performance can also be carried out by using different classifiers.\textsuperscript{45–47} In our case, the model was statistically evaluated by using the sensitivity (Equation 1), specificity (Equation 2) and class error (Equation 3) for the calibration (CAL) and the test (TEST) sets:

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (1)
\]
\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{FP + TN} \quad (2)
\]
\[
\text{Class error} = 1 - \frac{\text{Sensitivity} \times \text{Specificity}}{2} \quad (3)
\]

In the equations, TP and TN stand for True Positive and True Negative, respectively, accounting for the pixels that have been correctly assigned as belonging (TP) or not belonging (TN), to a specific class. FP and FN stand for False Positive and False Negative, respectively, accounting for the pixels that have been wrongly assigned as belonging (FP) or not belonging (FN), to a specific class.

Results and discussion
The pre-processed spectra from the different samples are shown in Figure 3. Differences can be seen among the spectra of different type of polymers, ABS (green spectra) and PS (red spectra). Figure 3B shows differences among the spectra with different versions of plastic, Black (red spectra) and Natural (green spectra). Figure 3C shows differences among the spectra with different FRs used in the plastic, HBCD (green spectra), TBBPA (yellow spectra), Deca-BDE (red spectra) and Reference (blue spectra).

Results from PLS-DA
Table 2 shows the statistical results of the classification models based on PLS-DA built upon the spectral information from each pixel (i.e., classifying pixel by pixel, independently). The best results were obtained for classifying between Natural and Black versions of the plastics, since the classification model obtained a perfect percentage of classification. Good results were obtained for classifying between ABS and PS plastics, since the sensitivity and specificity were higher than 0.75\textsuperscript{48} for the calibration and test sets. For the classification of the FRs used in the plastics, only deca-BDE and TBBPA achieved sensitivity and specificity higher than 0.75\textsuperscript{48} for both calibration and test set. REF and HBCD classes reached sensitivity lower than 0.75 in both sets.\textsuperscript{48} The reason for this performance could be for the high similarity between the spectral features characterising the FRs in the ABS and PS plastics.\textsuperscript{49}

The previous results obtained pixel by pixel can then be summarised to classify per sample (i.e., per disk of plastic). In this case, the classification of each sample will be based on the most representative type of plastic, version of plastic and FRs present among the pixels of each specific sample. Table 3 shows these results. The results showed a similar performance of this approach with respect to the one classifying pixel by pixel. The best results were reached for classifying between Black and Natural plastic versions, with all samples classified correctly. For the type of plastic, good results were achieved, except for one PS sample that was wrongly classified as ABS. For the classification among FRs, five samples out of sixteen were wrongly classified.

These results show the ability of linear classification to discriminate between types of plastic and versions of plastic with HSI. Nevertheless, this technique presents problems for discriminating among the FRs added to the plastic, mainly due to the similarity among the spectra of the different FRs.\textsuperscript{49}
Figure 3. NIRS-HSI for classification of A) type of polymer, PS (red samples) and ABS (blue samples), B) version of plastic, Black (red samples) and Natural (blue samples) and C) type of flame retardant, HBCD (red samples), TBBPA (yellow samples), Deca-BDE (green samples) and REF (blue samples).
Table 2. Results per pixels for the calibration (CAL) and test (TEST) set of plastics using PLS-DA as the chemometrics technique for classifying the type of plastic (ABS, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene and PS, Polystyrene), version (Natural and Black) and flame retardants (REF, Reference; HBCD, 1,2,5,6,9,10-Hexabromo cyclododecane; Deca-BD, Pentabromophenyl ether; and TBBPA, 3,5-Tetabromobisphenol A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of plastic</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Flame retardants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NATURAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (CAL)</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (TEST)</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (CAL)</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (TEST)</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class error (CAL)</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class error (TEST)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Results per sample for the calibration (CAL) and test (TEST) set of plastics using PLS-DA as the chemometrics technique for classifying for the type of plastic (ABS, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene and PS, Polystyrene), version (Natural and Black) and flame retardants (REF, Reference; HBCD, 1,2,5,6,9,10-Hexabromo cyclododecane; Deca-BD, Pentabromophenyl ether; and TBBPA, 3,5-Tetabromobisphenol A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of plastic</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Flame retardants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NATURAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (CAL)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (TEST)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (CAL)</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (TEST)</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class error (CAL)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class error (TEST)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results on decision trees

From all the classification techniques based on tree structures, DT was selected in this work as the classification technique, since DT is one of the simplest tree structures and J48 DT is one of the most efficient algorithms.\(^{41,42}\)

Table 4 shows the statistical results of the classification models based on DT using the pixel information one by one. For classifying the types of plastics, very good results were achieved (sensitivity and specificity higher than 0.97 for the calibration sets and higher than 0.96 for the test set). In general, better results were reached for PS than ABS. For classifying the versions of the plastics, very good results were also obtained (sensitivity and specificity higher 0.99 for calibration and test sets). For the FRs, very good results were reached for the calibration and test sets (specificity higher than 0.96, and sensitivity higher than 0.94 for calibration set and higher than 0.93 for test set). Moreover, very good results for the class error were achieved for all cases (lower than 0.05, for the calibration and test sets).

Table 5 shows the results for the classification of the plastics per samples, i.e. classifying disk by disk, as a function of type of plastic, version of plastic and FRs present in the samples. In this case, this approach presents perfect results for all the classification problems.

Results on hierarchical model

A hierarchical classification model was performed. Figure 4 shows the hierarchical classification model described. For that, based on the results obtained by the single PLS-DA model (Table 2 and Table 3), the best results were obtained when discriminating between the two versions of the plastics (Natural and Black). For this reason, the classification of the plastics by their versions was chosen as the first PLS-DA model (P1). Once the plastics had been classified as Natural or Black, the next step was to classify them as a function of their polymer (ABS and PS). This step implied two PLS-DA models, one of them for Natural plastics (P2) and the other for Black plastics (P3). At this stage, we had classified four groups.
Table 4. Results per pixel for the calibration (CAL) and test (TEST) set of plastics by using DT as the chemometrics technique for classifying the type of plastic (ABS, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene and PS, Polystyrene), version (Natural and Black) and flame retardants (REF, Reference; HBCD, 1,2,5,6,9,10-Hexabromo cyclododecane; Deca-BDE, Pentabromophenyl ether; and TBBPA, 3,5-Tetrabromobisphenol A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of plastic</th>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Flame retardants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (CAL)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (TEST)</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (CAL)</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (TEST)</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class error (CAL)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Results per sample for the calibration (CAL) and test (TEST) set of plastics by using DT as the chemometrics technique for classifying for type of plastic (ABS, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene and PS, Polystyrene), version (Natural and Black) and flame-retardants (REF, Reference; HBCD, 1,2,5,6,9,10-Hexabromo cyclododecane; Deca-BDE, Pentabromophenyl ether; and TBBPA, 3,5-Tetrabromobisphenol A).

| Type of plastic | Version  | Flame retardants |
|                | ABS      | PS               |
| Sensitivity (CAL) | 1.000    | 1.000            |
| Sensitivity (TEST) | 1.000    | 1.000            |
| Specificity (CAL) | 1.000    | 1.000            |
| Specificity (TEST) | 1.000    | 1.000            |
| Class error (CAL) | 0.000    | 0.000            |

Figure 4. Hierarchical model developed for classifying plastic samples with FR additives.
of plastics (NATURAL-ABS, NATURAL-PS, BLACK-ABS and BLACK-PS). Thus, the next step was to classify as a function of the FRs. For the group NATURAL-ABS, we could not build a hierarchical model able to discriminate among all the FRs at once. We had to insert an intermediate step with a model discriminating between HBCD, TBBPA and the remaining FRs (Deca-BDE and REF) (P4). Then, these last samples were classified based on the FRs content, in a following ad hoc step (P8). A similar approach was adopted for the group NATURAL-PS where the same problems as in the previous case were encountered. As previously explained, the classification was divided into two subsequent steps, the first one discriminating among HBCD, TBBPA and the remaining FRs (Deca-BDE and REF) (P5), and the second dividing these remaining samples among Deca-BDE and REF (P9). In the case of BLACK-ABS samples, the proposed model discriminated all samples as a function of the FRs at once (P6). Finally, for the BLACK-PS samples, our PLS-DA model discriminated the plastics at first in two sub-groups as a function of FRs contained (P7): one of them with the samples containing HBCD and Deca-BDE as FR, and the other one with the samples containing TBBPA and REF. In both cases, a subsequent PLS-DA model was carried out, to discriminate between HBCD and Deca-BDE (P10) and between TBBPA and REF (P11).

Table 6 shows the statistical results of our hierarchical classification model applied to the data pixel by pixel, and Table 7 shows the same approach applied per sample, i.e. classifying disk by disk. Very good results (Sensitivity > 0.750) was obtained for the classification of the plastics according to their version (BLACK and NATURAL) (P1) in both cases (Table 6 and Table 7). The classification as a function of the polymer (ABS and PS) (P2 and P3) led to good classification results with Sensitivity higher than 0.750 both for the classification by pixel (Table 6) and by sample (Table 7).

For classifying the four classes (BLACK-ABS, BLACK-PS, NATURAL-ABS and NATURAL-PS) according to the specific FRs (P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10 and P11), better results were achieved for the Natural plastics than for the Black plastics. For the Natural versions of the plastics (P4, P5, P8 and P9), good results of classification were achieved (Sensitivity > 0.900). However, for the Black versions of the plastics (P6, P7, P10 and P11), the results were not very satisfactory (Sensitivity > 0.500). This fact could be due to the high noise present in the spectra of the Black plastics where light scattering phenomena occur. This is also true for some of the Natural plastics that appear black due to particular kind of FR used, even if no carbon black was used for their preparation.

Comparing the different models developed in this study, the best results were obtained for the model based on DT (Table 4 and Table 5) following by the results of the hierarchical classification model (Table 6 and Table 7) and the worst results were obtained for PLS (Table 2 and Table 3). These results are in reasonable agreement with previous studies that showed the best classification results for tree-structure-based models and the accuracy of classification techniques based on tree structures.

Other studies aimed at classifying plastics showed similar performances to the PLS-DA and hierarchical classification model results, therefore inferior to the ones obtained here with the DT approach.

Application on real samples

Once the best classification model was determined, this was applied to the real samples, namely, the different waste plastics of different common brands (Figure 2A), in order to evaluate the polymer used for these plastics, and whether they contained carbon black and FRs (Figure 2B). This is an important step, since this model would be used in recycling processes for the waste recycling and plastic industries that will rely on its accuracy for economic benefits.

At first, the classification model developed in this study was applied on the real samples in order to discriminate between the polymers of the plastics (ABS and PS). Figure 5A illustrates this classification. The DT model classified the real samples of plastics into ABS samples (blue samples) and PS samples (red samples) correctly. The DT model, classified the different samples and applied the classification model per whole sample.

After that, the DT classification model was applied on the real samples to discriminate between the version of the plastics (BLACK and NATURAL), i.e. for classifying the plastics as a function of whether the plastics contain carbon black. Figure 5B shows this classification.

In Figure 5B, we can see how the DT model classified the real samples of plastics among Black samples (red) and Natural samples (blue). In addition, in this case, the DT model classified the different samples as a whole, and not pixel by pixel, and the results were 100% correct.
Finally, Figure 5C illustrates the DT classification model applied to the real samples to discriminate between the specific FRs used for doping the plastic (HBCD, TBBPA, Deca-BDE and REF).

For this task, again, the DT model analysed the real samples of plastics as whole, not pixel by pixel, and it classified them among REF samples (blue samples), TBBPA samples (yellow samples), Deca-BDE (green samples) and HBCD samples (red samples). In both cases, we can observe that the FRs used by the plastic industries are in accord with the fire safety standard required. Once again, the DT model classified the different samples 100% correctly as a function of the FRs for doping the plastics.

Table 6. Results per pixel for the calibration (CAL) and test (TEST) sets of plastics using hierarchical classification model as the chemometrics technique for classifying for the type of plastic (ABS, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene and PS, Polystyrene), version (Natural and Black) and flame retardants (REF, Reference; HBCD, 1,2,5,6,9,10-Hexabromo cyclododecane; Deca-BDE, Pentabromophenyl ether; and TBBPA, 3,5-Tetabromobisphenol A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Sensitivity (CAL)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (TEST)</th>
<th>Specificity (CAL)</th>
<th>Specificity (TEST)</th>
<th>Class error (CAL)</th>
<th>Class error (TEST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 Natural and Black</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 Natural → ABS and PS</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Black → ABS and PS</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 Natural → ABS → HBCD, TBBPA and DecaBDE+REF</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 Natural → PS → HBCD, TBBPA and DecaBDE+REF</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6 Black → ABS → HBCD, TBBPA, DecaBDE and REF</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Black → PS → HBCD + DecaBDE and TBBPA + REF</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 Natural → ABS → DecaBDE and REF</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9 Natural → PS → DecaBDE and REF</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10 Black → PS → HBCD and DecaBDE</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11 Black → PS → TBBPA and REF</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Three classification models were developed in this study based on the combination of chemometrics techniques and HSI. All, these methods were suitable for classifying the plastic samples, but the best results were achieved with DT as the classification technique.

The results indicate that the application of DT with HSI could be used for sorting plastic samples with respect to their type of plastic (polymer), version of plastics (colour) and the FRs used for doping the plastic, with a high degree of accuracy and in an automated way. These findings are highly valuable for the plastic industries and for the waste recycling industries. These results are even
Figure 5. NIRS-HSI of the real samples of plastics and their classification as a function of: A) Type of polymer, PS (red samples) and ABS (blue samples). B) Versions of plastic, Black (red samples) and Natural (blue samples). C) Type of flame-retardant, HBCD (red samples), TBBPA (yellow samples), Deca-BDE (green samples) and REF (blue samples); by using a DT classification model for the whole sample.
more remarkable, considering that the applications of the models on real samples, led to correct classification of 100%, notwithstanding the differences in texture, shape and orientation of these last samples.

Therefore, a new method, fast, robust and reliable to identify and distinguish the polymers and the contained substances could be of high value to the plastic and waste recycling industries, saving both time and money.
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