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1 Introduction

By refining a suggestion of M. Flensted-Jensen, the first two authors introduced a notion of cusp forms for reductive symmetric spaces of split rank one in [4]. For reductive groups of split rank one this definition of cusp forms coincides with Harish-Chandra’s definition. It further generalizes the definition of cusp forms for hyperbolic spaces given in [1, 2]. The definition of cusp forms does not straightforwardly generalize to reductive symmetric spaces of higher split rank as the cuspidal integrals are not always convergent; see [11, Section 4].

Let \( G/H \) be a reductive symmetric space of split rank one. We write \( \mathcal{C}(G/H) \) for the space of Harish-Chandra–Schwartz functions on \( G/H \). In [4] a class \( \mathcal{P}_h \) of minimal parabolic subgroups is identified such that the cuspidal integrals

\[
\mathcal{R}_Q \phi(g) := \int_{N_Q \cap \mathcal{Q} H} \phi(gn) \, dn \quad (g \in G)
\]

are absolutely convergent for every \( Q \in \mathcal{P}_h \) and \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H) \). Here \( N_Q \) is the unipotent radical of \( Q \). A function \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H) \) is said to be a cusp form if \( \mathcal{R}_Q \phi = 0 \) for all \( Q \in \mathcal{P}_h \). Let \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H) \) denote the space of cusp forms and let \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H) \) be the closure in \( \mathcal{C}(G/H) \) of the span of \( K \)-finite generalized matrix coefficients of discrete series representations for \( G/H \). It is shown in [4, Theorem 8.20] that

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H).
\]
Let $K$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G$ so that $K \cap H$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $H$. For a finite set $\mathcal{P}$ of irreducible unitary representations of $K$ we write $\mathcal{C}(G/H)_\mathcal{P}$ for the subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G/H)$ of $K$ finite functions with $K$-isotypes contained in $\mathcal{P}$. In [4, Theorem 8.4] it is established that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H)_\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H) \cap \mathcal{C}(G/H)_\mathcal{P}$$

admits an $L^2$-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H)_\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H)_\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\text{res}}(G/H)_\mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\text{res}}(G/H)_\mathcal{P}$ is spanned by certain residues of Eisenstein integrals defined in terms of parabolic subgroups in $\mathcal{P}_h$.

It is a fundamental result of Harish-Chandra that for reductive Lie groups no residual discrete series representations occur, i.e., if $G$ is a reductive Lie group, then

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G); \quad (1.1)$$

see [12], [13, Thm. 10], [14, Sections 18 and 27] and [16, Thm. 16.4.17]. In [4, Theorem 8.22] the following criterion was given for the analogue of (1.1) for reductive symmetric spaces of split rank one:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{res}}(G/H)^K = \{0\} \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H). \quad (1.2)$$

The main result of this article is that this is actually an equivalence.

**Theorem 1.1.** There exist no non-zero $K$-invariant cusp forms, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H)^K = \{0\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ds}}(G/H)^K = \{0\}$.

Note that for the group case equality (1.3) is valid without any assumptions on the split rank of the group, which is a result of Harish-Chandra [12, Lemma 36].

The analysis needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is further used to prove the following theorem, which confirms some special cases of the multiplicity one result of [10, p. 3, Theorem 3].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $G/H$ have split rank one. Every $K$-spherical discrete series representation occurs with multiplicity one in the Plancherel decomposition of $G/H$.

The article is organized as follows: We start by introducing the necessary notation in Section 2. In Sections 3 and 4 we set up the machinery needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is given in Section 5. Finally, Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 6.

## 2 Notation and preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $G$ will be a reductive Lie group of the Harish-Chandra class, $\sigma$ an involution of $G$ and $H$ an open subgroup of the fixed point subgroup for $\sigma$. We assume that $H$ is essentially connected as defined in [3, p. 24]. The involution of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ obtained by deriving $\sigma$ is denoted by the same symbol. Accordingly, we write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ for the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the $+1$- and $-1$-eigenspaces for $\sigma$. Thus, $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of $H$. Here and in the rest of the paper, we adopt the convention to denote Lie groups by Roman capitals, and their Lie algebras by the corresponding Fraktur lower case.

We fix a Cartan involution $\theta$ that commutes with $\sigma$ and write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ for the corresponding decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into the $+1$- and $-1$-eigenspaces for $\theta$. Let $K$ be the fixed point subgroup of $\theta$. Then $K$ is a $\sigma$-stable maximal compact subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$. In addition, we fix a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a}_q$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}_q$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\sigma$-stable and

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_q \oplus \mathfrak{a}_q,$$
where $a_0 = a \cap h$. This decomposition allows us to identify $a_q^*$ and $a_0^*$ with the subspaces $(a/h)^*$ and $(a/q)^*$, respectively, of $a^*$.

Let $A$ be the connected Lie group with Lie algebra $a$. We define $M$ to be the centralizer of $A$ in $K$. The set of minimal parabolic subgroups containing $A$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

If $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup, then its nilpotent radical will be denoted by $N_Q$. Furthermore, we agree to write $Q = \theta Q$ and $N_Q = \theta N_Q$. Note that if $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, then $MA$ is a Levi subgroup of $Q$ and $Q = MAN_Q$ is the Langlands decomposition of $Q$.

The root system of $a$ in $g$ is denoted by $\Sigma = \Sigma(g, a)$. For $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ we put

$$\Sigma(Q) := \{\alpha \in \Sigma : q \alpha \subseteq q\}.$$ 

Let $Z_g(a_q)$ denote the centralizer of $a_q$ in $g$. We define the elements $\rho_Q$ and $\rho_{Q,h}$ of $a^*$ by

$$\rho_Q(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}(\cdot)|_{n_Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{Q,h}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}(\cdot)|_{n_0 \cap Z_g(a_q)}).$$

We say that $Q$ is $h$-compatible if

$$\langle\alpha, \rho_{Q,h}\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Sigma(Q).$$

We write $\mathcal{P}_h(A)$ for the subset of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ consisting of all $h$-compatible parabolic subgroups.

### 3 $\tau$-spherical cusp forms

Let $(\tau, V_\tau)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $K$. We write $C^\infty(G/H : \tau)$ for the space of smooth functions $\phi : G/H \to V_\tau$ satisfying the transformation rule

$$\phi(kx) = \tau(k)\phi(x) \quad (k \in K, \ x \in G/H)$$

and we write $C(G/H : \tau)$ for the space of $\phi \in C^\infty(G/H : \tau)$ that are Schwartz (see [4, Section 3.1]).

Let $W(a_q)$ be the Weyl group of the root system of $g$ in $a_q$. Then $W(a_q)$ can be realized as the quotient $W(a_q) = N_K(a_q)/Z_K(a_q)$. Let $W_{K,H}(a_q)$ be the subgroup of $W(a_q)$ of elements that can be realized in $N_K,H(a_q)$. We choose a set $W$ of representatives of $W(a_q)/W_{K,H}(a_q)$ in $N_K(a_q) \cap N_K(a_h)$ such that $e \in W$. This is possible because of the identity

$$N_K(a_q) = (N_K(a_q) \cap N_K(a_h))Z_K(a_q);$$

see [15, top of p. 165].

Let

$$a_0 := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Sigma(a_q^*)} \ker(\alpha)$$

and define

$$m_0 := Z_g(a_q) \cap a_0^1.$$ 

Let $m_{0n}$ be the direct sum of all non-compact ideals in $m_0$ and let $M_{0n}$ be the connected subgroup of $G$ with Lie algebra $m_{0n}$. We define $\tau_M$ to be the restriction of $\tau$ to $M$ and write $\tau_M^2$ for the subrepresentation of $\tau_M$ on $(V_\tau)^{M_{0n} \cap K}$. We further define

$$A_{M,2}(\tau) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in W} C^\infty(M/M \cap \nu H \nu^{-1} : \tau_M^2).$$

We equip $A_{M,2}(\tau)$ with the natural Hilbert space structure and note that it is finite-dimensional.

Given $\nu \in W$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, we define the parabolic subgroup $Q^\nu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ by

$$Q^\nu := \nu^{-1}Q\nu.$$

Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}_h(A)$. For $\phi \in C(G/H : \tau)$ define $\mathcal{H}_Q,\tau,\phi : A_q \to A_{M,2}(\tau)$ to be the function given by

$$\langle\mathcal{H}_Q,\tau,\phi(a)|_\nu(m) = a_q^{\nu^{-1}} \rho_{Q,h} \int_{N_Q/H \cap N_Q} \phi(mavn) \ dn \quad (\nu \in W, \ m \in M, \ a \in A_q).$$

Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}_h(A)$. For $\phi \in C(G/H : \tau)$ define $\mathcal{H}_Q,\tau,\phi : A_q \to A_{M,2}(\tau)$ to be the function given by

$$\langle\mathcal{H}_Q,\tau,\phi(a)|_\nu(m) = a_q^{\nu^{-1}} \rho_{Q,h} \int_{N_Q/H \cap N_Q} \phi(mavn) \ dn \quad (\nu \in W, \ m \in M, \ a \in A_q).$$
By [4, Theorem 5.12], the integral is absolutely convergent for every \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H) \). Furthermore, the map \( \mathcal{H}_{Q, \tau} : \mathcal{C}(G/H : \tau) \to C^{\infty}(A_{\theta}) \otimes A_{M, 2}(\tau) \) thus obtained is continuous. We call \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H : \tau) \) a \( \tau \)-spherical cusp form if for every \( Q \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(A) \),

\[
\mathcal{H}_{Q, \tau} \phi = 0.
\]

We will now describe the relation between the \( \tau \)-spherical cusp forms and the cusp forms defined in the previous section. Let \( \theta \) be a finite subset of \( \mathbb{R} \). For a representation of \( K \) on a vector space \( V \), we denote the subspace of \( K \)-finite vectors with isotypes in \( \mathcal{P} \) by \( V_{\mathcal{P}} \). Consider \( C(K) \) equipped with the left-regular representation of \( K \). Define \( V_{\tau} := C(K)_{\theta} \), i.e., let \( V_{\tau} \) be the space of \( K \)-finite functions on \( K \) whose isotomy types for the left regular representation are contained in \( \mathcal{P} \). We define \( \tau \) to be the unitary representation of \( K \) on \( V_{\tau} \) obtained from the right action. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

\[
\zeta : \mathcal{C}(G/H)_{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{C}(G/H : \tau)
\]

given by

\[
\zeta \phi(x)(k) = \phi(kx) \quad (\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H)_{\mathcal{P}}, \ k \in K, \ x \in G/H).
\]

By [4, Remark 6.3] we now have

\[
\zeta(\mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H)_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{cusp}}(G/H : \tau).
\]

4 A formula for \( \mathcal{H}_{Q, \tau} \)

In [5] Eisenstein integrals were constructed which were then used in [4] to derive a formula for \( \mathcal{H}_{Q, \tau} \). This formula is very useful to analyze the relation between cusp forms and discrete series representations. We will now recall this formula and all relevant objects. For details we refer to the two mentioned articles.

We fix \( Q \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(A) \). We further choose a minimal \( \sigma \theta \)-stable parabolic subgroup \( P_{0} \) containing \( A \), with the property that \( \Sigma(Q) \cap \sigma \theta \Sigma(Q) \subseteq \Sigma(P_{0}) \). (It is easy to see that such a minimal \( \sigma \theta \)-stable parabolic subgroup always exists.)

Given \( \psi \in A_{M, 2}(\tau), \lambda \in a_{Q}^{\ast} \) and \( v \in \mathcal{W} \), we define the function \( \psi_{v, \lambda} : G \to V_{\tau} \) by

\[
\psi_{v, \lambda}(k mango) = a^{\lambda} P_{0}^{\mathcal{P}} \tau(k) \psi_{\lambda}(m).
\]

Let \( \omega_{v} \) be a non-zero density on \( h/h \cap \text{Lie}(v^{-1}Qv) \). If \( \langle \text{Re} \lambda, a \rangle \) is sufficiently large for every \( a \in \Sigma(Q) \cap \sigma \theta \Sigma(Q) \), then for each \( x \in G \) and \( v \in \mathcal{W} \) the function

\[
h \mapsto \psi_{v, \lambda}(x h v^{-1}) \ d h(e)^{-1} \omega_{v}
\]

defines an integrable \( V_{\tau} \)-valued density on \( H/H \cap v^{-1}Qv \) (see [5, Proposition 8.2]). For these \( \lambda \) we define the Eisenstein integral \( E_{\tau}(Q : \psi : \lambda) \in C^{\infty}(G/H : \tau) \) by

\[
E_{\tau}(Q : \psi : \lambda)(x) := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{H/H \cap v^{-1}Qv} \psi_{v, \lambda}(x h v^{-1}) \ d h(e)^{-1} \omega_{v} \quad (x \in G).
\]

The function \( \lambda \mapsto E_{\tau}(Q : \psi : \lambda) \) extends to a meromorphic \( C^{\infty}(G/H : \tau) \)-valued function on \( a_{Q}^{\ast} \). This definition of Eisenstein integrals coincides with the definition in [5, Section 8]. We write \( E_{\tau}(Q : \cdot) \) for the map

\[
A_{M, 2}(\tau) \ni \lambda \mapsto E_{\tau}(Q : \psi : \cdot).
\]

We define

\[
a_{Q}^{\ast+} = a_{Q}^{\ast+}(P_{0}) := \{ \lambda \in a_{Q}^{\ast} : \langle \lambda, a \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } a \in \Sigma(P_{0}) \}.
\]

Let \( S_{Q, \tau} \) be the set of \( \lambda \in a_{Q}^{\ast+} + i a_{Q}^{\ast} \) such that \( E_{\tau}(Q : \cdot) \) is singular at \( \lambda \). By [4, Lemma 5.4], this set is finite and contained in \( a_{Q}^{\ast+} \). It follows from [4, Theorem 8.10 (b)] that all poles of \( E_{\tau}(Q : \cdot) \) are simple.

Let \( \xi \) be the unique vector in \( a_{Q}^{\ast+} \) of unit length with respect to the Killing form. For a meromorphic function \( \varphi : a_{Q}^{\ast} \to \mathbb{C} \) and a point \( \mu \in a_{Q}^{\ast} \), we define the residue

\[
\text{Res}_{\lambda=\mu} \varphi(\lambda) := \text{Res}_{z=0} \varphi(\mu + z \xi).
\]
Here, \( z \) is a variable in the complex plane, and the residue on the right-hand side is the usual residue from complex analysis, i.e., the coefficient of \( z^{-1} \) in the Laurent expansion of \( z \rightarrow \varphi(\mu + z\xi) \) around \( z = 0 \). For \( \mu \in S_{0,1}^\circ \) we define \( \text{Res}_x(Q : \mu) = \text{Res}_x(Q : \mu : \cdot) \) to be the function \( G/H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{M,2}(\tau), V_\tau) \) given by

\[
\text{Res}_x(Q : \mu : x)(\psi) = - \text{Res}_{\mu-\mu} E(Q : \psi : \lambda)(x).
\]

By [4, Theorem 8.10 (a)],

\[
\text{Res}_x(Q : \mu)(\psi) \in \mathcal{C}_d(G/H : \tau) \quad (\mu \in S_{0,1}^\circ, \psi \in A_{M,2}(\tau)).
\] (4.1)

Following [4, Section 4.1], we define for \( \phi \in C^\infty_c(G/H : \tau) \) the smooth function \( \mathcal{J}_{Q,r}\phi : A_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) \) that is determined by the equation

\[
\langle \mathcal{J}_{Q,r}\phi(a), \psi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int \int_{\epsilon v + i\mathfrak{a}_1^\circ} \langle \phi(x), E_\tau(Q : \psi : -\lambda)(x) \rangle a^\lambda \, dx \, d\lambda
\]

for every \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) \) and \( a \in A_\tau \). Here \( v \) is any choice of element of \( \mathfrak{a}_1^+ \); the definition is independent of this choice. The map \( \mathcal{J}_{Q,r} : C^\infty_c(G/H : \tau) \rightarrow C^\infty_c(A_\tau) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) \) extends to a continuous map

\[
\mathcal{J}_{Q,r} : \mathcal{C}(G/H : \tau) \rightarrow C^\infty_c(A_\tau) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau);
\]

see [5, Proposition 7.2]. The image of \( \mathcal{J}_{Q,r} \) is contained in the tempered \( \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) \)-valued functions on \( A_\tau \) and is called the tempered term of the Harish-Chandra transform. This map has the following properties.

**Proposition 4.1** ([4, Corollaries 8.2 and 8.11]). (i) Assume \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}(G/H : \tau) \). Then for every \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) \) and \( a \in A_\tau \) one has

\[
\langle \mathcal{H}_{Q,r}\phi(a), \psi \rangle = \sum_{\mu \in S_{0,1}^\circ} a^\mu \int_{G/H} \langle \phi(x), \text{Res}_x(Q : \mu : x)(\psi) \rangle \, dx.
\] (4.2)

(ii) \( \mathcal{C}_d(G/H : \tau) = \ker(\mathcal{J}_{Q,r}) \).

**5 Proof of Theorem 1.1**

From (1.2) it follows that (ii) implies (i) in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, if (1.3) holds, then (i) implies (ii). It remains to prove (1.3).

Let \( Q \in \mathcal{P}_0(A) \). Let further \( 1_K \) be the trivial representation of \( K \) and let \( \phi \in \mathcal{C}_d(G/H : 1_K) = \mathcal{C}_d(G/H)^K \). Then \( \mathcal{J}_{Q,1_K}\phi = 0 \). Hence \( \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_K}\phi = 0 \) if and only if the right-hand side of (4.2) vanishes for all \( a \in A_\tau \) and all \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_K) \). The latter is true if and only if

\[
\int_{G/H} \langle \phi(x), \text{Res}_{1_K}(Q : \mu : x)(\psi) \rangle \, dx = 0 \quad (\mu \in S_{0,1_K}, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_K)),
\]

i.e., \( \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_K}\phi = 0 \) if and only if \( \phi \) is perpendicular to

\[
V_Q := \text{span}\{\text{Res}_{1_K}(Q : \mu)(\psi) : \mu \in S_{0,1_K}, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_K)\}.
\]

To show (1.3) it thus suffices to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** \( V_Q = \mathcal{C}_d(G/H)^K \).

To prove the proposition we will study the orthogonal projection (with respect to the inner product on \( L^2(G/H : 1_K) \))

\[
T_{ds} : C^\infty_c(G/H : 1_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_d(G/H : 1_K).
\]

To this end we first recall a formula for \( T_{ds} \).
Let the minimal $\sigma$-stable parabolic $P_0$ be as before (see Section 4). For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)$ we define the Eisenstein integral $E_{\tau}(P_0 : \psi : \lambda) = E(P_0 : \psi : \lambda)$ like $E_{\tau}(Q : \psi : \lambda)$ in the previous section, but with $\tau$ and $Q$ replaced by $1_k$ and $P_0 = \partial P_0$, respectively. Note that in order to replace $Q$ by $P_0$ in this construction, we need to replace the space $\mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{W}} C^\infty(M_0/M_0 \cap \nu H \nu^{-1} : \tau_{M_0}),$$

where $\tau_{M_0}$ is the restriction of $\tau$ to $M_0 \cap K$. However, in view of [4, Lemma 8.1] applied with $\nu H \nu^{-1}$ in place of $H$, for $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau) = \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(\tau).$$

We normalize these Eisenstein integrals as in [7, Section 5], and thus we obtain the normalized Eisenstein integral

$$E'(P_0 : \psi : \lambda) \in C^\infty(G/H : 1_k)$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)$ and generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$. We define

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}} := \{ a \in A : a^q < 1 \text{ for all } a \in \Sigma(P_0) \}.$$

For $w \in \mathcal{W}$ let $\delta_w \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)$ be the element satisfying

$$\langle \psi, \delta_w \rangle = \psi_w(e) \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)$ is spanned by $\{ \delta_w : w \in \mathcal{W} \}$. For $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ we write

$$\Phi_w(\lambda : \cdot) = \Phi_{P_0,w}(\lambda : \cdot) : A_{\mathfrak{q}} \to \text{End}(C) = C$$

for the function introduced in [6, Section 10]. From [6, (53) and Remark 6.2] it follows that $\Phi_w(\lambda, a)$ depends holomorphically on $\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*+} + i\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$. Moreover, it can be seen from [6, (15) and Proposition 5.2] that $\Phi_w(\lambda : a)$ is real for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*+}$.

Let $\Delta = \{ -a \}$ be the set of simple roots in $\Sigma(P_0)$. From [9, Theorem 21.2 (c)] (see also [9, Definition 12.1]) it follows that $T_{db}$ coincides with the operator $T_\delta$ defined in [8, (5.5)]. In our setting it is straightforward to rewrite this equation and thus obtain the following formula for $T_{db}$: For $\psi \in C^\infty(G/H : 1_k), w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $a \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$,

$$T_{db}\psi(w^{-1}aw) = \int_{G/H} \psi(x) \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma} \overline{\text{Res}(\Phi_w(\lambda : a)E(P_0 : \delta_w : -\lambda)(x))} \, dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

Note that $T_{db}\psi$ is completely determined by this formula as $KA_{\mathfrak{q}}\mathfrak{w}H$ is a dense open subset of $G$.

We now compare the residues occurring in (5.1) to the residues $\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu)$. This is done in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** The set $S := S_{Q,1_k}$ is equal to the set of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{*+} + i\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ such that

$$\lambda \mapsto \Phi_w(\lambda : a)E'(P_0 : \delta_w : -\lambda)$$

is singular at $\lambda$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $a \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$. The poles which occur are simple. Moreover, for every $\mu \in S$ there exists a constant $\mu_0 > 0$ so that for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $a \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$,

$$\text{Res}_{\delta_w}(\Phi_w(\lambda : a)E'(P_0 : \delta_w : -\lambda)) = c_{\mu_0} \Phi_w(\mu : a) \text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu)(\delta_w).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

**Proof.** Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ be the unique minimal parabolic subgroup contained in $P_0$ with $\Sigma(P) \cap \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^* = \Sigma(Q) \cap \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$. For generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$, the standard intertwining operator $A(\alpha(P) : Q : 1_M : \lambda)$ maps the space $C^\infty(Q : 1_M : \lambda)^K$ to the space $C^\infty(\sigma(P) : 1_M : \lambda)^K$. Both of these spaces are 1-dimensional. Let $1_{Q,\lambda} \in C^\infty(Q : 1_M : \lambda)^K$ and $1_{\sigma(P),\lambda} \in C^\infty(\sigma(P) : 1_M : \lambda)^K$ be determined by

$$1_{Q,\lambda}(e) = 1_{\sigma(P),\lambda}(e) = 1.$$
Then the action of \( A(\sigma(P) : Q : \mathbf{1}_M : \lambda) \) on \( C^\infty(Q : \mathbf{1}_M : \lambda)^K \) is determined by the identity

\[
A(\sigma(P) : Q : \mathbf{1}_M : \lambda)\mathbf{1}_{Q,\lambda} = c(\sigma(P), Q : \lambda)\mathbf{1}_{Q,\lambda}.
\]

Here \( c := c(\sigma(P), Q : \cdot) \) is the partial c-function which for \( \lambda \) in the set

\[
[\lambda \in a^*_c : \text{Re}(\lambda, \alpha) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma(P) \cap \Sigma(Q)]
\]

is given by the integral

\[
c(\lambda) = \int_{\theta N_0 \cap \theta N_0} \mathbf{1}_{Q,\lambda}(\overline{n}) \, d\overline{n}, \tag{5.3}
\]

and for other \( \lambda \in a^*_c \) by meromorphic continuation. It follows from [4, Proposition 4.4] that for generic \( \lambda \in a^*_c \),

\[
E_{1\kappa}(Q : \psi : -\lambda) = c(\lambda + \rho_{Q,b})E^1(\hat{P}_0 : \psi : -\lambda) \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_K)).
\]

By assumption, \( Q \in \mathfrak{p}_b(A) \), and hence \( (\rho_{Q,b}, \alpha) \geq 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \Sigma(Q) \). Therefore, \( (\lambda + \rho_{Q,b}, \alpha) > 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \Sigma(P_0) \cap \Sigma(Q) \) if \( \lambda \in a^*_c + i a^*_q \), and thus \( \lambda \mapsto c(\lambda + \rho_{Q,b}) \) is holomorphic on \( a^*_c + i a^*_q \) and given by the integral representation (5.3). Note that for \( \lambda \in a^*_c(P) \) the integrand is strictly positive, and hence \( c(\lambda + \rho_{Q,b}) > 0 \).

Let \( \mu \in S \). Since the pole of \( E_{1\kappa}(Q : \psi : -\lambda) \) at \( \lambda = -\mu \) is simple and the function

\[
\lambda \mapsto \frac{\Phi_w(\lambda : a)}{c(\lambda + \rho_{Q,b})}
\]

is holomorphic on \( a^*_q + i a^*_q \), it follows that

\[
\text{Res}(\Phi_w(\lambda : a)E^1(\hat{P}_0 : \delta_w : -\lambda)) = \frac{\Phi_w(\mu : a)}{c(\mu + \rho_{Q,b})} \text{Res}_{1\kappa}(Q : \mu)(\delta_w),
\]

and hence (5.2) follows with \( c_\mu = \frac{1}{c(\mu + \rho_{Q,b})} \).

**Proof of Proposition 5.1.** Since \( T_{ds} \) is the restriction to \( C^\infty(G/H)^K \) of the orthogonal projection

\[
\mathcal{C}(G/H)^K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{ds}(G/H)^K
\]

(with respect to the \( L^2 \)-inner product), it follows from the formula (5.1) for \( T_{ds} \) and Lemma 5.2 that

\[
\mathcal{C}_{ds}(G/H)^K = \text{span} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in S} \text{Res}(\Phi_w(\lambda : a)E^1(\hat{P}_0 : \delta_w : -\lambda)) : a \in A^*_q, w \in \mathcal{W} \right\}
\]

\[
= \text{span} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in S} c_\mu \Phi_w(\mu : a) \text{Res}_{1\kappa}(Q : \mu)(\delta_w) : a \in A^*_q, w \in \mathcal{W} \right\}
\]

\[
\subseteq V_Q.
\]

The other inclusion \( V_Q \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ds}(G/H)^K \) is a consequence of (4.1).

**6 Multiplicity of \( K \)-spherical discrete series representations**

In this final section we use the analysis that has been used for the proof of Theorem 1.1 to prove Theorem 1.2.

We begin with a lemma. If \( \pi \) is a discrete series representation for \( G/H \), then we write \( \mathcal{C}_\pi(G/H) \) for the closure of the span of the \( K \)-finite generalized matrix coefficients of \( \pi \) in \( \mathcal{C}(G/H) \). Note that the closure of \( \mathcal{C}_\pi(G/H) \) in \( L^2(G/H) \) decomposes into a direct sum of representations equivalent to \( \pi \).

**Lemma 6.1.** For every \( K \)-spherical discrete series representation \( \pi \) of \( G/H \) there exists a unique \( \mu \in S_{Q,1} \) so that

\[
\mathcal{C}_\pi(G/H)^K \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{Res}_{1\kappa}(Q : \mu)(\psi) : \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_K)\}. \tag{6.1}
\]
Moreover, if \( \mu, \nu \in S \) and \( \mu \neq \nu \), then for every \( \psi, \chi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k) \),

\[
\int_{G/H} \text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu : x)(\psi)\overline{\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \nu : x)}(\chi) \, dx = 0.
\]  

(6.2)

**Proof.** Let \( \pi \) be a \( K \)-spherical discrete series representation for \( G/H \). Then \( C_\pi(G/H)^K \) is non-zero and \( C_\pi(G/H)^K \) is canonically identified with a subspace \( C_\pi(G/H : 1_k) \) of \( C(G/H : 1_k) \). Let \( \phi \in C_\pi(G/H : 1_k) \). Let \( \Delta_{G/H} \) and \( \Delta_A \) be the Laplacian on \( G/H \) and \( A_q \), respectively. Since \( \phi \) is a joint-eigenfunction of \( D(G/H) \), there exists a \( c \in \mathbb{C} \) such that

\[
\Delta_{G/H}\phi = c\phi.
\]

The constant \( c \) depends only on \( \pi \), not on the particular choice of \( \phi \). By [4, Lemma 8.4], the function \( \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_k}\phi \) satisfies

\[
\Delta_A \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_k}\phi = (c + \langle \rho_{p_0}, \rho_{p_0} \rangle)\mathcal{H}_{Q,1_k}\phi.
\]  

(6.3)

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that \( \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_k}\phi \) is a finite sum of exponential functions, all with non-zero real exponents \( \mu \) in the set \( S_{Q,1_k} \). Together with (6.3) this implies that there exists a unique \( \mu \in S_{Q,1_k} \) (only depending on \( \pi \), not on the function \( \phi \)) with \( \langle \mu, \mu \rangle = c + \langle \rho_{p_0}, \rho_{p_0} \rangle \), and a \( \psi_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k) \) such that

\[ \mathcal{H}_{Q,1_k}\phi(a) = a^\mu \psi_0. \]

In view of (4.2) it follows that \( \phi \) is orthogonal to \( \text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \nu)(\psi) \) for every \( \nu \in S \) with \( \nu \neq \mu \) and \( \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k) \). We conclude that for every \( K \)-spherical discrete series representation \( \pi \) there exists a unique \( \mu \in S \) such that

\[
C_\pi(G/H)^K \subseteq \left( \bigoplus_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} \text{span}[\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \nu)(\psi) : \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)] \right)^\perp.
\]

For \( \mu \in S \) let \( D_\mu \) be the set of discrete series representations \( \pi \) such that \( \Delta_{G/H} \pi \) acts on \( C_\pi(G/H) \) by the scalar \( \langle \mu, \mu \rangle - \langle \rho_{p_0}, \rho_{p_0} \rangle \). It follows from Proposition 5.1 that

\[
\bigoplus_{\pi \in D_\mu} C_\pi(G/H)^K = \left( \bigoplus_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} \bigoplus_{\pi \in D_\mu} C_\pi(G/H) \right)^\perp,
\]

and hence for every \( \mu \in S \),

\[
\bigoplus_{\pi \in D_\mu} C_\pi(G/H)^K = \left( \bigoplus_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} \bigoplus_{\pi \in D_\mu} C_\pi(G/H) \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} \bigoplus_{\pi \in D_\mu} \text{span}[\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \nu)(\chi) : \chi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)] = \text{span}[\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu)(\chi) : \chi \in \mathcal{A}_{M,2}(1_k)].
\]

This proves the assertions in the proposition. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let \( \pi \) be a \( K \)-spherical discrete series representation. If \( |\mathcal{W}| = 1 \), then the right-hand side of (6.1) is 1-dimensional, and hence \( \dim C_\pi(G/H)^K = 1 \) and the multiplicity with which \( \pi \) occurs in the Plancherel decomposition is equal to 1.

Now assume that \( |\mathcal{W}| = 2 \). In view of Lemma 5.2 we may rewrite (5.1) as

\[
T_{ds}\phi(w^{-1}aw) = \sum_{\mu \in S} \sum_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} c_\nu \Phi_w(\mu : a) \int_{G/H} \phi(x)\overline{\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu : x)(\delta_w)} \, dx,
\]

with \( a \in A_q^* \) and \( w \in \mathcal{W} \). We used in the derivation of this formula that \( \Phi_w(\mu : \cdot) \) is real-valued for \( \mu \in A_q^* \). Since \( \text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu)(\delta_w) \in C_{ds}(G/H)^K \), it follows in view of (6.2) that for \( \nu, w \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( a \in A_q^* \),

\[
\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu : w^{-1}aw)(\delta_w) = \sum_{\nu \in S \setminus \{\mu\}} c_\nu \Phi_w(\mu : a) \int_{G/H} \text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu : x)(\delta_w)\overline{\text{Res}_{1_k}(Q : \mu : x)(\delta_w)} \, dx.
\]
In particular, it follows that there exist constants \( c_{v,w} \in \mathbb{C} \) so that
\[
\text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu : kawh)(\delta_v) = c_{v,w} \Phi_w(\mu : a) \quad (k \in K, \ a \in A_q, \ h \in H, \ v, w \in W).
\]
Let \( v_0 \) be the non-trivial element in \( W \). Note that for every \( w \in W \) the restricted functions
\[
\text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu)(\delta_v)|_{KA_qwH} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu)(\delta_{v_0})|_{KA_qwH}
\]
are linearly dependent. Since the \( \text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu)(\delta_v) \) are \( K \)-fixed (hence \( K \)-finite) vectors in an irreducible sub-representation of \( L^2(G/H) \), they are analytic vectors and hence real analytic functions on \( G/H \). It follows that \( c_{v,w} \) is independent of \( w \in W \), and thus that \( \text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu)(\delta_v) \) and \( \text{Res}_{1K} (Q : \mu)(\delta_{v_0}) \) are linearly dependent. Therefore, the right-hand side of (6.1) is 1-dimensional. This implies that \( \dim L_\pi(G/H)^K = 1 \) and that \( \pi \) occurs in the Plancherel decomposition of \( G/H \) with multiplicity one.
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